

#### **Like me, or else: Nature, nurture and neural mechanisms of social emotion regulation in childhood** Achterberg, M.

#### **Citation**

Achterberg, M. (2020, March 12). *Like me, or else: Nature, nurture and neural mechanisms of social emotion regulation in childhood*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/86283



**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



# Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/86283> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author**: Achterberg, M. **Title**: Like me, or else: Nature, nurture and neural mechanisms of social emotion regulation in childhood **Issue Date**: 2020-03-12

## CHAPTER EIGHT

## Frontostriatal white matter integrity predicts development of delay of gratification: A longitudinal study

This chapter is published as: Achterberg M.\*, Peper J.S.\*, Van Duijvenvoorde A.C.K., Mandl R.C.W. & Crone E.A. (2016), Frontostriatal white matter integrity predicts development of delay of gratification: A longitudinal study*, Journal of Neuroscience 36*(6): 1954-1961. \* shared first authorship

## Abstract

The ability to delay gratification increases considerably across development. Here, we test the hypothesis that this impulse control capacity is driven by increased maturation of frontostriatal circuitry using a fiber-tracking approach combined with longitudinal imaging. In total, 192 healthy volunteers between 8 and 26 years underwent diffusion tensor imaging scanning and completed a delay-discounting task twice, separated by a 2-year interval. We investigated dynamic associations between frontostriatal white matter (WM)integrity and delay of gratification skills. Moreover, we examined the predictive value of frontostriatal WM integrity for future delay of gratification skills. Results showed that delay discounting increases with age in a quadratic fashion, with greatest patience during late adolescence. Data also indicated nonlinear development of frontostriatal WM, with relative fast development during childhood and early adulthood and—on average—little change during mid-adolescence. Furthermore, the positive association between age and delay dis-counting was further increased in individuals with higher WM integrity of the frontostriatal tracts. Predictive analysis showed that frontostriatal WM development explained unique variance in current and future delay of gratification skills. This study adds to a descriptive relation between WM integrity and delay of gratification by showing that maturation of frontostriatal connectivity predicts changes in delay of gratification skills. These findings have implications for studies examining deviances in impulse control by showing that the developmental path between striatum and prefrontal cortex may be an important predictor for when development goes astray.

*Keywords: adolescence; development; impulsivity; longitudinal; white matter*

## Introduction

Between childhood and adulthood, vigorous advancements in the ability to sustain goal-directed cognition in the face of immediate rewards are observed (Eigsti *et al.*, 2006; Olson *et al.*, 2007; de Water *et al.*, 2014). This ability to delay gratification can be captured in delay discounting tasks, estimating an individual's preference for a smaller immediate reward over larger, delayed rewards. A crucial element of these tasks is that the subjective value of a reward decreases when the delay to that reward increases (Critchfield and Kollins, 2001). This capacity has been interpreted as an index of impulse regulation, which changes considerably during adolescence (van den Bos *et al.*, 2015).

A leading hypothesis suggests that maturation of this type of impulse regulation capacity is driven by increased regulatory control of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) over reward-related striatal areas (Figner *et al.*, 2010; Christakou *et al.*, 2011). Several studies showed that the striatum is more activated by decisions involving immediately available rewards, whereas prefrontal and parietal cortices are activated when individuals control the temptation to choose immediate rewards (McClure *et al.*, 2004; Peters and Buchel, 2011). These results lead to the question whether maturation of prefrontal-striatal white matter connections concurs with, and predicts future-oriented choices across development.

The integrity of connections between the striatum and prefrontal cortex can be assessed by using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). DTI measures the diffusion profile of water molecules *in vivo* allowing us to probe microstructural properties of the connecting white matter (WM) fiber bundles (Jones, 2008). The measurements most commonly derived from DTI are fractional anisotropy (FA), measuring the directional variation of diffusion, and mean diffusivity (MD), measuring the amount of diffusion (Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996). Several DTI studies revealed higher WM integrity across adolescence (Olson *et al.*, 2009; Bava *et al.*, 2010; Simmonds *et al.*, 2014; Peper *et al.*, 2015), although the shape of the trajectory is not yet well understood, some reporting linear and others non-linear changes (for an overview see Schmithorst and Yuan (2010)). Moreover, recent studies in adults (Peper *et al.*, 2013) and adolescents (Van den Bos et al., 2015) reported an association between higher fronto-striatal WM integrity and increased preference for delayed rewards. From these studies, two important issues remain unresolved: 1) whether the relationship between age and discounting is eliminated—or merely diminished—when brain connectivity is taken into account (Steinberg and Chein, 2015) and 2) whether maturation of fronto-striatal white matter connections across development is an important *predictor* of individual development of delay of gratification skills

To address these questions, the current study followed participants with ages ranging from childhood throughout early adulthood (age 8-26) over a twoyear period. This longitudinal design enabled us to (1) test whether the association between age and discounting behavior is mediated by WM integrity

between striatum and PFC, and (2) move beyond a descriptive relation between age, WM integrity and behavior, by testing how brain maturation predicts change in behavior over time.

In line with the existing literature, we hypothesized that (i) the ability to delay gratification improves with increasing age (Green *et al.*, 1994; Olson *et al.*, 2007; de Water *et al.*, 2014) and (ii) the integrity of fronto-striatal WM matures with increasing age (Olson *et al.*, 2009; Bava *et al.*, 2010; Schmithorst and Yuan, 2010; Simmonds *et al.*, 2014; Peper *et al.*, 2015). The longitudinal design allowed us to test in more detail the shape of change (Braams *et al.*, 2015). In addition, we hypothesized that (iii) the increasing effect of age on the ability to delay gratification is further increased in individuals with relatively high frontostriatal WM integrity (Liston et al., 2006) (positive mediation). Ultimately, we hypothesized that (iv) fronto-striatal WM integrity predicts the improvement of delay gratification over time. That is to say, we expect that fronto-striatal WM integrity at timepoint 1 can predict delay of gratification at timepoint 2, and that thereby brain maturation precedes and predicts behavioral change .

## Methods

#### Participants

The current study was part of a large longitudinal study, referred to as Braintime, conducted at Leiden University, the Netherlands. A total number of 299 participants (ages 8-25) were recruited through local schools and advertisements at timepoint 1 (T1). All participants were fluent in Dutch, right-handed, had normal or corrected-to-normal vision, and an absence of neurological or psychiatric impairments. Two years later, at timepoint 2 (T2), 254 participants were included. From the 254 participants that had measurements on both time points, 14 participants had missing delay discounting data at one of the two time points and 13 participants had missing DTI data at one of the two time points. 34 participants were excluded due to erratic discounting behavior at one of the two time points. Consistent discounting behavior was defined as having at least two decreases in subjective value (indifference points) and not more than one increase in subjective value as time increased (Dixon et al. 2003). The excluded participants had similar demographic characteristics as the included participants (excluded participants: 50% male; age range 8.21-24.44; age at T2 *M* = 16.05, *SD*= 3.66). Results with the excluded participants remained unchanged.

There were no outliers in delay discounting data (Z-value  $\lt$  -3.29 or  $\gt$ ) 3.29). Outliers in DTI data were winsorized (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013).The final longitudinal sample (participants included at T1 and T2) consisted of 192 participants (48.4% males; age range = 8.01 - 26.62; age at T2 *M* = 16.31, *SD*=3.61), see Table 1 for demographic characteristics. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, or participant's parents in the case of minors. All anatomical MRI scans were reviewed and cleared by a radiologist from the radiology department of the Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC). No anomalous findings were reported. Participants received a financial reimbursement for their participation in a larger scale study (e.g., Braams *et al.* (2014a); Braams *et al.* (2014b); Peters *et al.* (2014a); Peters *et al.* (2014b); van Duijvenvoorde *et al.* (2016a)). The institutional review board of the LUMC approved the study and its procedures.

Intelligence quotient (IQ) was estimated with the subsets 'similarities' and 'block design' at T1 and the subsets 'vocabulary' and 'picture completion' at T2 of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Adults, third edition (WAIS-III) or the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, third edition (WISC-III). Different subsets were used to prevent learning effects. The demographic characteristics of the sample are listed in Table 1. There was no significant correlation between estimated IQ and delay of gratification skills at T1 ( $r=.0195$ ,  $p=.195$ ) nor at T2  $(r=.113, p=.119)$ . Therefore, IQ was not included as covariate in the remaining analyses.

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of the sample  $(N=192, 48.4\%)$  male) at time point 1 and time point 2 [means (SD)]. IQ: intelligence quotient; AUC: area under the discounting curve (normalized); FS-tract: fronto-striatal tract; FA: fractional anisotropy; MD: mean diffusivity (in mm^2/s).



#### Delay-Discounting Task

A computerized version of a hypothetical delay-discounting task described by Peper *et al.* (2013) was used, based on the paradigm explained by Richards *et al.* (1999). Subjects were asked to make a series of choices, between either a small, immediately available amount of money or  $\epsilon$ 10 available after a delay (i.e., "What would you rather have:  $\epsilon$ 2 right away or  $\epsilon$ 10 in 30 days?"). Discounting was assessed at four delays (2, 30, 180 and 365 days later). Trials with different delays were presented in a mixed fashion. Furthermore, the task was adaptive: after the choice for the immediately available money, this amount was decreased on a next trial, whereas if the delayed money was preferred, the amount of immediately

available money on the next trial was increased (decreasing adjustment algorithm) (Du *et al.*, 2002).

The amount of immediately available money the participant considered to be equivalent to the  $E10$  delayed reward was taken to indicate the subjective value of the delayed rewards. Based on these so called 'indifference points', the area under the discounting curve (AUC) was obtained, an often-used measure of amount of discounting (Myerson *et al.*, 2001). The normalized AUC ranges from 0 (complete discounting) to 1 (no discounting). The smaller the AUC, the faster people discount the delayed reward and the more impulsive (or delay aversive) they are. The task was presented as a hypothetical delay-discounting task. However, several studies have shown that choices on a hypothetical delaydiscounting task substantially and significantly correlate (*r*'s up to 0.74) with choices on a delay discounting task with real rewards in adults (Bickel *et al.*, 2009; Scheres *et al.*, 2010).

#### Imaging acquisition and processing

The same imaging acquisition was used as described in Peper *et al.* (2013). Scans were acquired on a 3-Tesla Philips Achieva MRI system. Two transverse Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI) scans were obtained with the following parameter settings: 30 diffusion-weighted volumes with different noncollinear diffusion directions with b-factor 1,000 s/mm2 and 5 diffusion-unweighted volumes (bfactor 0 s/mm2); anterior -posterior phase encoding direction; parallel imaging SENSE factor  $= 3$ ; flip angle  $= 90$  degrees; 75 slices of 2 mm; no slice gap; reconstruction matrix  $128 \times 128$ ; Field of view (FOV) = 240  $\times$  240 mm; TE = 69 ms; TR = 7,315 ms; total scan duration = 271 s per DWI set. The second DWI set had identical parameter settings as used for the first set except that it was acquired with a reversed k-space readout direction (posterior-anterior phase encoding direction) enabling the removal of susceptibility artifacts during post processing (Andersson *et al.*, 2003). During scanning, the FOV was angulated according to the anterior commissure-posterior commissure line, and diffusion gradients were adjusted accordingly during data processing. Subsequently, diffusion scans were realigned to the averaged b0 scan and corrected for motion, eddy current, and susceptibility distortions (Andersson and Skare, 2002; Andersson *et al.*, 2003). A tensor was fitted to the diffusion profile in each voxel using a robust tensor fitting method to correct for possible effects of cardiac pulsation and head motion (Chang *et al.*, 2005; Chang *et al.*, 2012). The main diffusion direction was determined as the principal eigenvector of the eigenvalue decomposition of this fitted tensor.

Based on the eigenvalue decomposition, two measures derived from the diffusion tensor were computed: 1) the fractional anisotropy (FA), which measures the *directional variation* of diffusion and ranges from 0 (no preferred diffusion direction) and 1 (highly preferred diffusion direction) and 2) mean diffusivity (MD), measuring the *amount* of diffusion (Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996). White matter pathways were reconstructed using deterministic streamline tractography, based on the Fiber Assignment by Continuous Tracking (FACT) algorithm (Mori *et al.*, 1999). Within each voxel of the cerebral white matter, 8 streamlines were started, following the computed diffusion directions from voxel to voxel until one of the stopping criteria was reached (being FA<0.1, sharp turn of 45 degrees or more, or exceeding brain tissue). This procedure resulted in a collection of reconstructable white matter tracts, from which fiber tracts of interest could be selected.



*Figure 1. The frontostriatal WM tract within an individual subject is displayed in yellow, with the striatum and PFC as inclusion ROIs. Red regions display the VOI. The VOI was created across the whole sample; a voxel was included when it had a frontostriatal fiber running through in at least 50% of the total sample.*

#### Frontostriatal volume of interest

We used a 'volume of interest' (VOI) to measure fronto-striatal white matter tracts as described by Peper *et al.* (2013). The VOI requires that the fiber tracts that are reconstructed for each subject in native space, are put into model space in order to create the VOI (for a detailed description, see Peper *et al.* (2013)). In short, tracts were required to run through both the striatum and PFC to be included as fronto-striatal white matter. Inclusion regions-of-interest (ROIs) were based on the automatic anatomical labeling (AAL) template (Tzourio-Mazoyer *et al.*, 2002), including the caudate, putamen, and pallidum (AAL regions 71–76), as well as the dorsolateral, ventrolateral, and ventromedial prefrontal cortices (AAL regions 5– 10; 13– 16; 25–28). The ROIs were dilated with 2 voxels in all directions to ensure that they penetrate the white matter. Exclusion ROIs were the genu of the corpus

callosum (manually delineated on the midsagittal slice), the uncinate fasciculus, and the longitudinal fascicules (manually delineated by a plane through the temporal lobes where the amygdala was located). For fiber selection, all ROIs had to be defined only once, on the model brain. For an individual example of frontostriatal fiber tracts, see Figure 1. All voxels within the selected fronto-striatal tracts were flagged, resulting in individual binary maps of fronto-striatal tracts (in model space) for each participant of the sample on both time-points T1 and T2. Subsequently, the VOI was created for fronto-striatal tracts of the sample: Every voxel within the fronto-striatal tract should have a fiber running through in at least 50% of the sample (i.e. thresholded at 50%; Figure 1). Then this particular voxel was flagged and added to the VOI. The left and right hemisphere were combined to ensure comparability with earlier reports (Liston et al., 2006; de Zeeuw et al., 2012; Peper et al., 2013; van den Bos et al., 2015) that did not report hemispheric differences in relation to impulsive behavior. Within the VOI of the fronto-striatal tract, DTI metrics (FA and MD) were calculated for each individual subject of the whole sample.

#### Global white matter

As a control measure of global white matter development and to test for specificity of the contribution of fronto-striatal white matter tracts to delay discounting behavior, white matter tracts of the whole brain –excluding frontostriatal tracts- were examined as well.

#### Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 21 and in R, version 3.1.1. The contribution of gender and intelligence to delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized) were explored using independent sample T-tests and Pearson's correlation in SPSS. Pearson's correlation in SPSS were also used to investigate the stability of delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized) and white matter integrity (FA and MD) over time. Furthermore, mediation analyses were performed to test whether the relation between age and delay discounting was mediated by fronto-striatal white matter integrity, measured by FA and MD. For correct comparison between FA and MD we used z-values in the mediation analyses. The present study used a bootstrapping approach to mediation as implemented in the SPSS macros of Preacher and Hayes (Preacher and Hayes, 2008). Confidence intervals (95%) were estimated using the bias-corrected bootstrap method (number of resamples = 10000) implemented in the macros.

Mixed models were used to investigate age-related change (linear, quadratic or cubic) in delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized) and frontostriatal white matter integrity (FA and MD). Analyses were performed with the nlme package in R (Pinheiro *et al.*, 2013). Mixed models are particularly useful in longitudinal studies, since these datasets have time points within participants and the mixed model approach can recognize this type of data dependency. In order to test for developmental effects, we followed a formal model-fitting procedure (for a similar approach, see Braams *et al.* (2015)). We started by using a null model that only included a fixed and a random intercept, to allow for individual differences in starting points and to account for the repeated nature of the data. We fitted three polynomial age-models with increasing complexity that tested the grand mean trajectory of age: i.e., a linear, quadratic and cubic age-trend. Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike (1974)) and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC; Schwarz (1978)), both standardized model-fit metrics were used to compare the different models. Lower AIC and BIC values indicates a better model fit. Log likelihood ratio tests were used between nested models, to test which age-trend best described the data. Reported p-values for the mixed models are based on log likelihood ratio tests. All models were fit with full information maximum likelihood estimates.

Ultimately, linear regression models in SPSS were used to test longitudinal prediction models. In specific, we tested whether fronto-striatal white matter integrity (FA and MD) at T1 could predict delay of gratification skills at T2, while taking into account delay of gratification performance at baseline.

## Results

#### Age effects on delay discounting

Cross sectional data showed that advanced age was related to a larger AUC (normalized), meaning less steep discounting of delayed rewards with age, at both T1 (*r*=.207, *p*=.004) and at T2 (*r*=.204, *p*=.004). Delay of gratification skills at T1 were positively correlated with delay of gratification skills at T2 (*r*=.543, *p*<.001).

The longitudinal analyses, testing for linear, quadratic, and cubic changes in delay discounting, showed that age-related change in delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized) was best described by a quadratic age-model (age $\cdot$ : *β*=.1.269, *p*<.001; age²: *β*=-0.568, *p*=.040) see Table 2. This model indicates a 'peak' in AUC, during late adolescence/early adulthood (see Figure 2a). We also performed the analyses without the relative smaller group of young adults (N=21). However, age-related change in delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized) was –conform the analysis on the total sample- best described by a quadratic age-model (age<sup>1</sup>: *β*=.1.274, *p*<.001; age<sup>2</sup>: *β*=-0.509, *p*=.033). Finally, with respect to behavioral performance, we tested potential gender differences. In the current data set, there were no significant gender or gender x age interaction effects in delay of gratification.



*Figure 2. Individual variability over time for AUC normalized (a), FA (b), and MD (c). Every line represents one individual, with AUC/FA/MD at T1 at the left side of the line and AUC/FA/MD at T2 at the right side of the line. The solid lines display the predicted value of the best-fitting age model. Dotted lines represent the 95% CI.*

#### Age effects on the frontostriatal tract

Cross-sectional data at T1 and T2 showed that white matter integrity of the fronto-striatal tract increased with age. Age was significantly positively correlated with FA at T1 ( $r = .440$ ,  $p < .001$ ) and at T2 ( $r = .351$ ,  $p < .001$ ), and significantly negatively correlated with MD at T1 ( $r=-.220$ ,  $p=.002$ ), but not at T2 (*r*=-.089, *p*=.089). Moreover, white

matter integrity measures were positively correlated between T1 and T2 (FA:  $r = .611$ ,  $p < .001$ ; MD:  $r = .583$ ,  $p < .001$ ).

Longitudinal analyses revealed that age-related change in white matter integrity (FA and MD) was best explained by a cubic age-model (FA:  $age: \beta = 0.152$ , *p*<.001; age<sup>2</sup>: *β*=-0.050, *p*=.006; age3: *β*=0.047, *p*=.004; MD: age<sup>1</sup>: *β*=-0.00010, *p*<.001; age²: *β*=0.00005, *p*=.018; age3: *β*=-0.00007, *p*=.001) see Table 2. More specifically, our data indicate that FA mostly increased during childhood and early adulthood. The reversed pattern of FA-changes was observed for MD (see Figure 2b and 2c). Analyses only including 8-18 year old participants revealed that age-related change in white matter integrity was best explained by a quadratic age-model (FA: age<sup>1</sup>: β=0.137, p < 0.01; age<sup>2</sup>: β=-0.048, p=.005; age3: *β*=0.022, *p*=.1220; MD: age<sup>1</sup>: *β*=-0.00011, *p*<.001; age<sup>2</sup>: *β*=0.00005, *p*=.015; age3: *β*=-0.00003, *p*=.131). Additional analyses showed that there were no significant gender or gender x age interaction effects in white matter integrity (nor in FA or in MD).

#### Mediation analyses

To investigate the relation between age and white matter integrity in explaining variance in delay of gratification skills, we performed mediation analyses using the Preacher and Hayes method (Preacher and Hayes, 2008). At T1, the effect of age on delay of gratification (path c:  $B=.016$ ,  $p=.004$ ) was fully mediated by FA (path a: B=.123, *p*<.001; path b: B= .067, *p*= .0019; path c': B=.008, *p*=.195; mediation effect a\*b: 95% confidence interval (CI) .0034 - .0140; *p*=.004), see Figure 3a. Furthermore, the effect of age on delay of gratification skills (path c: B=.016,  $p=004$ ) was significantly mediated by MD (path a: B= $-0.0614$ ,  $p=002$ ; path b: B=-.059, *p*=.003; Path c': B=.012, *p*=.026; mediation effect a\*b: 95% CI .0012 - .0076;  $p=030$ ).

Partly overlapping results were found at T2: FA was a significant mediator of the association between age and delay of gratification skills (path c: B=.014, *p*=.005; path a: B=.097, *p*<.001 ; path b: B=.038, *p*=.047; Path c': B=.011, *p*=.046; mediation effect a\*b: 95% CI .0004 - .0081; *p*=.061), see Figure 3b. However, MD within the fronto-striatal-tract did not mediate the association between age and delay of gratification skills (path c: B=.014, *p*=.005; path a: B=- .035, *p*= .083; path b: B=.012, *p*=0.488; path c': B=.015, *p*=.004; mediation effect a\*b:  $95\%$  CI= -.0028 - .0006;  $p=517$ ). Thus, the relation between age and delay of

gratification performance was mediated by white matter integrity within the fronto-striatal tract at both time points.



*Figure 3. Mediation models. The relation between age and delay of gratification skills is partly mediated by FA at timepoint 1 and at timepoint 2. Values are standardized regression coefficients and asterisks indicate significance coefficients (\*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001).*

#### Longitudinal prediction

To test whether white matter integrity of the fronto-striatal tract could predict future discounting behavior we performed a linear regression analysis with delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized), age, FA and MD at T1 as predictors for delay of gratification skills at T2. The results showed that in addition to delay of gratification skills at T1 (*β*=.504, *p*<.001), FA was a significant predictor (*β*=.158,  $p=0.034$  for delay of gratification skills at T2 ( $R$ <sup>2</sup> *total model*=.321,  $R$ <sup>2</sup> *FA* =.017),

see Table 3 and Figure 4. Age at T1 and MD did not significantly predict future discounting behavior. The same analyses were performed with non-linear age changes (age<sup>2</sup> and age<sup>3</sup>). On top of delay of gratification skills and FA at T1, age<sup>2</sup> and age<sup>3</sup> did not significantly predict future discounting behavior. Thus, while accounting for behavioral performance at baseline, FA within the fronto-striatal tract explains unique variance in future delay of gratification skills.

We also investigated whether delay of gratification skills at T1 was predictive of fronto-striatal white matter integrity at T2. We entered FA at T2 as dependent variables and FA, Age and delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized) at T1 as predictor. The same analyses were conducted with MD. Linear regression analyses showed that both FA ( $\beta$ =-.018,  $p$ =.763) and MD ( $\beta$ =-.008, *p*=.895) at T2 were not significantly predicted by delay of gratification skills at T1.



*Figure 4. Delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized) at T2 was predicted by delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized) at T1 and FA of the frontostriatal tract at T1. The y-axis displays the unstandardized predictive value of the regression model with AUC (normalized), age, FA of the frontostriatal tract, and MD of the frontostriatal tract at T1 as predictors.*



Chapter 8

#### Global white matter effects

In order to test for the specificity of the fronto-striatal tract in predicting discounting behavior, we performed a similar analysis with global FA and MD (i.e., all white matter connections excluding the connections marked as frontostriatal tract). Longitudinal analyses revealed that age-related change in global white matter integrity (FA and MD) was also best explained by a cubic age-model (FA: age<sup>1</sup>: *β*=0.225, *p*<.001; age<sup>2</sup>: *β*=-0.095, *p*<.001; age3: *β*=0.047, *p*=.004; MD: age¹: *β*=-0.00010, *p*<.001; age²: *β*=0.00005, *p*=.018; age3: *β*=-0.00007, *p*=.001). Age-related change between 8 and 18 years only was –similar to the fronto-striatal tracts- best explained by a quadratic age-model (FA: age<sup>1</sup>: β=0.227, p<.001; age<sup>2</sup>: *β*=-0.041, *p*<.001; age3: *β*=0.017, *p*=.073; MD: age¹: *β*=0.00005, *p=*.063; age²: *β*=0.00009, *p*<.001; age3: *β*=-0.00002, *p*=.123). Importantly, the linear regression analysis showed that global FA ( $\beta$ =.059,  $p$ =.539) and MD ( $\beta$ =.060,  $p$ =.802) did not predict future discounting behavior.

Table 3. Linear regression predicting delay of gratification skills at T2 using delay of gratification skills (AUC normalized), age, FA, and MD at T1.

	B	SE.		
Constant	$-996$	.772		.199
T1 AUC (normalized)	.463	.059	.504	.000
T1 Age	.003	.005	.048	.473
T1 FA of the FS-tract	1.970	-922	$-158$	$-0.34$
T1 MD of the FS-tract	726.143	766.871	.064	-345

## **Discussion**

Development in risk-taking tendencies and impulsive control have been attributed to an imbalance between subcortical and cortical brain regions (Somerville *et al.*, 2010), but very few studies examined the anatomical connections between these areas in relation to impulsive choice. One important dimension of impulsivity is the ability to delay gratification (Whelan *et al.*, 2012). Next to examining developmental patterns in impulsive choice and fronto-striatal white matter integrity, the current study aimed to test if the integrity of frontostriatal white matter connections *mediated and predicted* the ability to delay gratification across development. We were able to demonstrate that age-related increases in the preference for delayed rewards (i.e. less impulsive choice) was significantly dependent on a better quality of connections between the PFC and striatum. Moreover, the longitudinal analysis revealed that stronger connectivity between striatum and PFC predicted less impulsive choices two years later.

The first question addressed in this study was to test age related change in the ability to delay gratification between childhood and young adulthood. From our results it appears that delay of gratification is largest around late adolescence followed by a slight decline in young adults. This finding fits well with a recent study on age-related changes in discounting of real rewards (Scheres *et al.*, 2006). It appears that there is a gradual increase in delay of gratification skills between childhood and late-adolescence, reaching a plateau in late adolescence/ early adulthood. Prior studies also suggested most reward oriented behavior in midadolescence and a steep increase in late-adolescence in self-control (Steinberg *et al.*, 2008; Olson *et al.*, 2009; de Water *et al.*, 2014). A possible explanation that follows from these findings is that adolescents  $-$  more than children  $-$  flexibly apply self-control for the purpose of reward maximization, which levels off in early adulthood.

Next to developmental change, there was also evidence for consistency in behavior across sessions within individuals. That is to say, we found correlations between delay of gratification skills at T1 and T2, showing that participants who were better able to delay gratification at T1 were also better able to delay gratification at T2 which is consistent with prior studies (Audrain-McGovern *et al.*, 2009; Anokhin *et al.*, 2011). These results indicate a substantial level of traitlike, individual stability in delay of gratification skills in adolescence (Casey *et al.*, 2011). These findings set the stage for examining the hypothesis in this study: how individual variation in behavior is mediated and predicted by striatumprefrontal cortex connectivity.

In a set of longitudinal analyses we investigated the age-related change in fronto-striatal white matter connections. Results indicated that the integrity of fronto-striatal white matter increases with age, and seems to do so in a cubic fashion: the most pronounced increases in white matter integrity appear to take place in pre-adolescence and young adulthood, with  $-$  on average  $-$  a relatively stable period in between. This finding corresponds to previous longitudinal studies demonstrating protracted maturation of large association fiber bundles (Bava *et al.*, 2011; Lebel and Beaulieu, 2011). Studies testing for non-linear relations in white matter tracts are scarce (Olson *et al.* (2009) and reported similar cubic relations in white matter, with the strongest changes in FA and MD during pre-adolescence and young adulthood. Importantly, in a longitudinal study, Simmonds *et al.* (2014) recently reported -in white matter tracts connected to the PFC-, a period of rapid growth in childhood, followed by a slowdown of growth in mid-adolescence and acceleration of growth again in late adolescence/early adulthood. Our longitudinal results also suggest a 'plateau' in white matter development during mid-adolescence, but this seems to be the result of larger variation in white matter development during this period; some children show increases in white matter integrity, while others remain stable or even show decreases. Our results add to increasing evidence obtained from several neuroimaging modalities, showing large variability in brain activity, morphology and connectivity during mid-adolescence (Scherf *et al.*, 2012) pointing towards a unique period of vulnerabilities and opportunities (Crone and Dahl, 2012). It must be noted however, that due to a relative smaller number of participants early adulthood (N=21), the increase in white matter integrity in this period must be interpreted with caution (Mills and Tamnes, 2014). Indeed, the analyses without these young adults hint towards highest values of white matter integrity during late adolescence, leveling off thereafter. Thus, replication of these results in a larger number of adults is warranted to typify the exact nature of frontostriatal white matter development after adolescence*.*

Next, we tested whether white matter integrity of fronto-striatal connections was related to individual differences in the ability to delay gratification in adolescents, similar as to what has previously been reported in adults (Peper *et al.*, 2013; van den Bos *et al.*, 2014). Our results showed that white matter integrity of the fronto-striatal tract (specifically FA) mediated the relation between age and delay discounting, consistent with findings of a recent study on the relation between fronto-striatal connectivity and adolescent delay discounting (van den Bos *et al.*, 2015). However, it is not clear whether the relationship between age and delay discounting is eliminated, or merely diminished, when connectivity is taken into account (Steinberg and Chein, 2015). Our results on T1 show a full mediation (the direct effect is no longer significant), while our results on T2 show a partly mediation.

Finally, we for the first time tested whether white matter frontostriatal connectivity *predicted* change in delay discounting across development. Predicting change is important for potential early identification of adolescents who are prone to impulsive choice (see also Ullman *et al.* (2014). The results showed that fronto-striatal white matter integrity was a significant predictor of the ability to delay gratification two years later, while taking into account delay of gratification performance at baseline. These findings indicate that brain structure is an important underlying mechanism for predicting change in behavior, whereas a reversed claim (i.e., behavior being a predictor for brain change) cannot be made based on the current study. Taken together, change in delay of gratification is partly driven by a more mature white matter connectivity path between striatum and PFC.

It has to be noted that our results are based on a hypothetical delaydiscounting task. According to the economic literature not actually paying the participant for the choices on the delay discounting task could possibly undermine the participants behavior in how seriously they take the choices. Although our earlier reported results of hypothetical discounting in adults (Peper *et al.*, 2013) were consistent with results of real discounting in adults (van den Bos *et al.*, 2014) and several studies have shown that choices on hypotheticaland real tasks significantly correlate in adults (Bickel *et al.*, 2009; Scheres *et al.*, 2010), it might be possible that specifically adolescents are influenced by the hypothetical aspect of our task. However, a recent study with a real-discounting task in a larger age range (8-25; van den Bos *et al.* (2015)) revealed similar modulating relations between structural connectivity and delay discounting, suggesting that the use of a hypothetical task might not influence the findings significantly.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the current study provides crucial links for our understanding of the neural mechanisms underlying delay of gratification skills. The ability to delay gratification improves between childhood and early adulthood and this is predicted by the integrity of fronto-striatal white matter connections. This study adds to a descriptive relation between white matter integrity and delay of gratification skills by showing that maturation of fronto-striatal connectivity predicts improvements in delay of gratification skills over a two-year period. These findings have implications for studies examining deviances in impulse control in adolescence, such as in cases of substance abuse or crime, by showing that the developmental path between striatum and PFC may be an important predictor for when development goes astray.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a VENI grant from the Dutch Science Foundation (NWO) awarded to J.S.P (VENI 451-10-007) and a European Research Council (ERC) starting grant awarded to E.A.C. (ERC-2010-StG-263234).