



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Between politics and administration : compliance with EU Law in Central and Eastern Europe

Toshkov, D.D.

Citation

Toshkov, D. D. (2009, March 25). *Between politics and administration : compliance with EU Law in Central and Eastern Europe. Between politics and administration: Compliance with EU law in Central and Eastern Europe*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13701>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13701>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
PREFACE.....	viii
ABBREVIATIONS.....	xii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Research puzzle	1
1.2 Research question.....	3
1.3 Compliance, implementation, transposition	4
1.4 Practical and societal relevance	5
1.5 Scientific relevance	8
1.6 Research design	12
1.7 Plan of the book	14
CHAPTER 2 NEGOTIATING EU MEMBERSHIP: A HISTORICAL OVERVIEW	17
2.1 Early contacts and association agreements	17
2.2 Clearing the way for enlargement	18
2.3 Negotiations for membership	21
2.4 EU coordination structures	26
2.5 Conclusion	30
CHAPTER 3 LITERATURE REVIEW	33
3.1 Studying the incorporation of EU law in the candidate countries	33
3.2 Studying policy change in the candidate countries	36
3.3 Studying transposition and compliance in the EC/EU	38
Macro-institutional factors	39
Capacity.....	41
Preferences.....	42
Political and legal culture.....	43
Features of the directive	45
Features of the national legislative process	46
Power, learning, and enforcement	46
3.4 Conclusions	47
CHAPTER 4 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	54
4.1 Introduction	54
4.2 Decision-making model under institutional constraints.....	56
4.3 Solving the model.....	59
4.4 The impact of preferences	61

4.5 Administrative constraint.....	64
4.6 Policy-making constraint	65
4.7 Preference salience	69
4.8 Expected transposition patterns	72
4.9 Overview	74
CHAPTER 5 EXPLAINING VARIATION IN TRANSPOSITION	
PERFORMANCE: COUNTRY-LEVEL ANALYSIS	82
5.1 Differences in transposition performance	82
5.2 The influence of preference salience.....	84
5.3 Administrative capacity.....	87
5.4 Policy-making capacity	91
5.5 Conclusion	94
CHAPTER 6 EXPLAINING VARIATION IN TRANSPOSITION	
PERFORMANCE: DIRECTIVE-LEVEL ANALYSIS	96
6.1 Sample selection	96
6.2 Dependent variable	98
6.3 Independent variables	100
6.4 Method of analysis.....	103
6.5 Results and interpretation	103
Statistical model estimates	103
Interaction effects.....	105
Size of the effects and predicted probabilities	110
6.6 Conclusion	113
CHAPTER 7 COMPARATIVE CASE STUDY DESIGN AND CASE SELECTION	114
7.1 Infringement procedures and compliance with EU law in CEE	114
7.2 Research design of the comparative case studies	120
CHAPTER 8 REGULATING ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS	124
8.1 Information society policy	124
8.2 Transposition of the telecom package in the EU: old and new member states...	126
8.3 The Czech Republic: administrative or political reasons for delay	129
8.4 Estonia: high ambitions and low capacity	132
8.5 Lithuania: early start and prioritizing the EU	133
8.6 Poland: organizational mismatch and (political) opposition.....	135
8.7 Slovenia.....	136
8.8 Transposition and interpretation	138
8.9 Practical implementation: market reviews	140
8.10 Cross-country comparisons	141
CHAPTER 9 ESTABLISHING THE MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR WORKING	
CONDITIONS	144
9.1 Social policy in the EU	144
9.2 Social policy transposition in CEE.....	146

9.3 Hungary and Poland: smooth transposition	148
9.4 Slovakia and Lithuania: problems with implementation.....	148
9.5 Latvia and Slovenia – transposition delays	149
CHAPTER 10 PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT	151
10.1 Nature protection policy in the EU	151
10.2 The Czech Republic: a success?	153
10.3 Estonia: selective inclusion of consultation and co-operation partners	154
10.4 Hungary: belated transposition	155
10.5 Latvia: early and encompassing consultation.....	156
10.6 Slovakia: early preparation, much consultation, but limited success.....	158
10.7 Cross-country comparisons	158
CHAPTER 11 SYNTHESIS OF EMPIRICAL FINDINGS	160
11.1 Comparing aggregate performance across sectors	160
11.2 Explaining within-country variation	160
11.3 The effect of preferences, administrative and policy-making capacities	163
CHAPTER 12 CONCLUSION	167
12.1 Empirical contributions.....	167
12.2 Theoretical and methodological contributions	172
12.3 Normative implications	175
APPENDIX I EC DIRECTIVES: LEGAL BACKGROUND	179
APPENDIX II FORMALIZING THE THEORETICAL MODEL.....	181
II.1 Deriving the solution of the constrained optimization problem.....	181
II.2 Proof of Hypotheses 3a and 3b.....	182
II.3 Proof of Hypotheses 4a and 4b.....	183
APPENDIX III EMPIRICAL RESULTS FROM DURATION MODELS.....	184
III.1 Data and method	184
III.2 Results.....	184
III.3 Comparison with the logistic regression analysis	185
APPENDIX IV CONTENT ANALYSIS DICTIONARY FOR INFORMATION	
SOCIETY LEGISLATION	187
APPENDIX V LIST OF INTERVIEWS.....	189
REFERENCES.....	191
SAMENVATTING	202
CURRICULUM VITAE	208