



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Democratic reforms and legitimacy in established Western democracies

Ziemann, K.

Citation

Ziemann, K. (2014, September 17). *Democratic reforms and legitimacy in established Western democracies*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/28768>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/28768>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/28768> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Ziemann, Kavita

Title: Democratic reforms and legitimacy in established Western democracies

Issue Date: 2014-09-17

Democratic Reforms and Legitimacy in Established Western Democracies

Kavita Ziemann

Democratic Reforms and Legitimacy in Established Western Democracies

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van
Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op
woensdag 17 september 2014
klokke 16:15 uur

door

Kavita Ziemann
geboren te Holon (Israël)
in 1980

Promotiecommissie

Promotor : Prof. dr. R.B. Andeweg

Overige Leden : Prof. dr. I.C. van Biezen
Prof. dr. M. Flinders (*University of Sheffield*)
Prof. dr. F. Hendriks (*University of Tilburg*)
Prof. dr. G.A. Irwin
Prof. dr. R.A. Koole
Prof. dr. M.H. Leyenaar (*Radboud University Nijmegen*)

This thesis was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) under project number 017.004.016.

Contents

List of Illustrations	xi
Figures	xi
Tables	xii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Questions	3
1.3 Time Series Analysis	5
1.4 Large N Case Selection	6
1.4.1 Case Studies: Process of Reform	6
1.4.2 Illustrations	7
1.5 Reforms	7
1.5.1 Reforms as Independent and Dependent Variables	8
1.5.2 Categorizing Reforms on Two Dimensions	8
1.6 Empirical Approach to Legitimacy	8
1.6.1 Satisfaction with Democracy and Trust in Institutions	9
1.6.2 Mass -Level Cultural Dispositions	10
1.7 Plan of the Book	11
2 Two Views of Legitimacy — A Neoinstitutionalist Answer	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 The Normative Approach to Legitimacy	14
2.2.1 Variables of Normative Legitimacy: Constitutional Provisions and Law	15
2.3 The Empirical Approach to Legitimacy	16

- 2.3.1 Variables of Empirical Legitimacy: Mass and Individual Level Attitudes 17
- 2.4 Reconciling Two Views of Legitimacy: A Neo-institutionalist Approach 19
 - 2.4.1 Input Legitimacy 19
 - 2.4.2 Throughput Legitimacy 20
 - 2.4.3 Output Legitimacy 20
- 2.5 Legitimacy and Reform in the Following Chapters 22

- 3 How Much Reform in Advanced Industrialized Democracies 27**
 - 3.1 Chains of Delegation 27
 - 3.2 Shifts in the Chains of Delegation 28
 - 3.2.1 Shifts Within the Chain of Delegation 28
 - 3.2.2 Shifts to Direct Democracy 29
 - 3.2.3 Shifts to Non-Majoritarian Institutions 29
 - 3.3 The Inventory 30
 - 3.3.1 Coding Reforms 31
 - 3.3.2 Reform Discussion 34
 - 3.3.3 Reform Failure 34
 - 3.3.4 Country Reports and Datasets 35
 - 3.3.5 Coding Examples 36
 - 3.3.6 Expert Advice 38
 - 3.4 Patterns of Institutional Change in the Last Two Decades 39
 - 3.4.1 Shifts Within Delegate Institutions 40
 - 3.4.2 Shifts towards Citizens 41
 - 3.4.3 Shifts towards Non-Majoritarian Institutions 42
 - 3.4.4 Reforms Across-Countries and Over-Time 42
 - 3.5 Reform Discussions and Reform Failures 44
 - 3.6 Conclusion 47

- 4 Under what Circumstances do Reforms Occur ? 49**
 - 4.1 Introduction 49
 - 4.2 Illustrations from Israel and New -Zealand 51
 - 4.2.1 Shifting Power Relations: Dealignment and Realignment 52
 - 4.2.2 Veto Players 53
 - 4.2.3 Crisis 57
 - 4.2.4 Nearness of Elections 60
 - 4.3 Hypotheses, Data and Analysis 62
 - 4.3.1 Hypotheses 62

4.3.2	Binary Time-Series Cross-Sectional Analysis	64
4.4	Results	66
4.5	Conclusion	69
5	Can Mass-Level Cultural Dispositions Explain Direction in Reform?	71
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	Reforms and Mass- Cultural Dispositions	72
5.2.1	Aggregative and Indirect: Pendulum Reforms	73
5.2.2	Integrative and Indirect: Consensus Reforms	76
5.2.3	Aggregative and Direct: Voter Reforms	80
5.2.4	Integrative and Direct: Participatory Reforms	82
5.3	Mass-Level Foundations of Different Models of Democracy	84
5.4	Can Mass-Level Cultural Dispositions Explain Type of Reform?	87
5.5	Findings	88
5.5.1	Four Cases	90
5.6	Conclusion	94
6	The Impact of Reforms on Satisfaction with Democracy and Trust in Institutions	97
6.1	Introduction: Linking Reforms to Legitimacy	97
6.2	Increase in Non-Majoritarian Institutions and their Link to Legitimacy	97
6.2.1	Non-Majoritarian Institutions Against the Tyranny of the Majority	99
6.2.2	Non-Majoritarian Institutions and Procedural Legitimacy	99
6.2.3	Citizen preferences and expert institutions	100
6.2.4	Expert Institutions and Legitimacy: Some Expectations	101
6.3	Increase in Participation Opportunities	102
6.3.1	Preference Among Citizens for Participation	104
6.3.2	Linking Participation to Legitimacy	104
6.3.3	Participation and Legitimacy: Some Expectations	107
6.4	Hypotheses, Data and Method	108
6.5	Hypotheses based on the Typology of Democracy	109
6.5.1	The Variables and their direction	110
6.5.2	Time Series Analysis	114
6.6	The Impact of Reforms on Satisfaction with Democracy and Trust in Institutions	115
6.7	Discussion	125
6.8	Conclusion	128

7	Process of Reform: Bottom-Up Reforms and Legitimacy	131
7.1	Introduction	131
7.2	Direct Democratic Theory	132
7.3	Case Selection	134
7.4	United Kingdom: AV Referendum May 2011	135
7.4.1	Direct Influence	136
7.4.2	Knowledge and the Exchange of Arguments	136
7.5	New Zealand: Kicking the Tyres on MMP November 2011	138
7.5.1	Direct Influence	138
7.5.2	Educational Campaign	140
7.5.3	The Pro and Contra Campaigns	140
7.5.4	Politicians Hold their Tongue	141
7.5.5	Parallels and Differences with the 1990s	142
7.6	The Netherlands: Burgerforum 2006	144
7.6.1	Inclusion and Representation	145
7.6.2	Learning, Consultation and Deliberation	145
7.6.3	Direct Influence	146
7.7	Conclusion	147
8	Conclusion: Democratic Drift Across Industrialized Democracies	151
8.1	Introduction	151
8.2	Reforms Across 21 Democracies	154
8.3	Democratic Drift Across Industrialized Democracies?	155
8.3.1	Constitutional Morality and Anomie	155
8.3.2	Gap between Elite Reform Choices and Societal Needs	157
8.3.3	Gap between Rhetorical Practices and Governing Practices	158
8.4	Inability to Rebuild Satisfaction and Trust	159
8.5	Implications for Theory: Do Institutions Matter?	160
8.6	Future Research	161
8.7	Implications for Reformers: The Impact of Anomie on Legitimacy	162
A	Inventories of Reform, Discussion and Failures	165
A.1	Inventory of Reforms	165
A.2	Inventory of Discussions	185
A.3	Inventory of Failures	195

B Under what circumstances do Major Reforms Occur?	199
B.1 Operationalization of Variables	199
B.2 Diagnostics	201
B.3 Occurrence of Reform per Country	203
B.4 List of Interviews Israel	207
B.5 List of Interviews New-Zealand	208
C The Impact of Reforms on Satisfaction with Democracy and Trust in Institutions	209
C.1 The Variables	209
C.2 Diagnostics	216
Bibliography	219
Author Index	239
Samenvatting	245
Curriculum Vitae	249
Acknowledgments	251

List of Illustrations

Figures

2.1	Multidimensional Legitimacy	21
2.2	Indirect—Direct Dimension	23
2.3	Typology of Reform	23
2.4	Occurrence of Institutional Change	24
2.5	Linking Mass-Level Dispositions to Type of Reforms	24
2.6	Impact of Reforms on Empirical Legitimacy	25
2.7	Impact of the Process of Reform	25
3.1	Shifts Within Delegate Institutions	40
3.2	Shifts Within Delegate Institutions Per Year	40
3.3	Shifts Within Delegate Institutions Per Country	41
3.4	Shifts from Delegates to Citizens	41
3.5	Shifts from Delegates to Citizens Per Year	42
3.6	Shifts from Delegates to Citizens Per Country	42
3.7	Shifts from Delegates to Non-Majoritarian Institutions	43
3.8	Shifts from Delegates to Non-Majoritarian Institutions Per Year	43
3.9	Shifts from Delegates to Non-Majoritarian Institutions Per Year	44
3.10	Reforms Per Country	45
3.11	Reforms Per Year	45
3.12	Number of Discussions Per Year	46
3.13	Number of Discussions Per Country	46
3.14	Number of Failures Per Year	47
3.15	Number of Failures Per Country	47

5.1	Indirect—Direct dimension and Aggregative—Integrative dimension	85
7.1	New-Zealand’s two-stage referendum 2011	139
C.1	Satisfaction with Democracy Over Time per Country	210
C.2	Trust in Parliament Over Time per Country	212
C.3	Trust in Government Over Time per Country	213

Tables

4.1	Hypotheses	65
4.2	Model 1: Veto Players and Dependent Variable Occurrence of Reforms	67
4.3	Model 2: Situational Variables and Dependent Variable Occurrence of Reforms	68
4.4	Full Model: Logistic Regression of Dependent Variable Occurrence of Reforms	69
5.1	Hendriks’ Models of Democracy	73
5.2	Types of Reforms Overview	84
5.3	Mass Level Foundations of Democracy	86
5.4	Changes in Mass-Level Dispositions 1980 and 1990; sources: Grendstad 1999 and EVS 1990 (N=11)	89
5.5	Cultural Groups and Mean Confidence in Parliament (source: EVS 1990)	95
6.1	Hypotheses and Variables	113
6.2	The Impact of Reforms on Citizen Attitudes Model 1	117
6.3	The Impact of Reforms on Citizen Attitudes Model 2	118
6.4	The Impact of Reforms on Citizen Attitudes Model 3	119
6.5	The Impact of Reforms on Citizen Attitudes Model 4	121
6.6	The Impact of Reforms on Citizen Attitudes Model 5	122
6.7	The Impact of Reforms on Citizen Attitudes; Full Model	124
7.1	Three Types of National Bottom-Up Electoral System Reform Processes	134
7.2	Results	149
8.1	Results per Research Question	152
8.1	Results per Research Question (continued)	153
8.1	Results per Research Question (continued)	154