



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Reconstructing a Hopeful Theology in the Context of Evolutionary Ethics

Keogh, G.

Citation

Keogh, G. (2014, June 11). *Reconstructing a Hopeful Theology in the Context of Evolutionary Ethics*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25894>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25894>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/25894> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Keogh, Gary

Title: Reconstructing a hopeful theology in the context of evolutionary ethics

Issue Date: 2014-06-11

Reconstructing a Hopeful Theology in the Context of Evolutionary Ethics

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 11 juni 2014
klokke 13.45 uur
door

Gary Keogh

geboren te Dublin, Ireland
in 1987

PROMOTIECOMMISSIE

Promotor

Prof.dr. W.B. Drees

Overige leden

Prof.dr. E.P. Bos

Prof.dr. H. Philipse (Utrecht University)

Prof.dr. R.D.N. van Riessen

Prof.dr. M. Ruse (Florida State University)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am privileged to know a number of people who have been highly supportive and encouraging in relation to this project and my career. From teachers to colleagues, there are a number of people to whom I must express my gratitude for their reassuring confidence; Stanford Kingston of Trinity College, Dublin, and Dr Patrick McDevitt and Ronan Tobin of All Hallows College, Dublin City University. Dr Thomas Dalzell and Siobhán Larkin, both also of All Hallows, gave me significant support by allowing me the opportunity to teach on undergraduate modules and thus, further test myself professionally and learn my craft. Dr Siobhán Cahillane-McGovern gave me further opportunities at Hibernia College, allowing me to merge my interests in religious studies and education on their teacher-training programmes, and for that I am also most grateful to her. I must also thank all of my students for their stimulating engagement with my courses.

For conversations and comments on the academic content of this work, I must thank my colleagues on the committee of the Irish Theological Association, and also Dr Joseph McCann for his insightful perspective on various aspects of my ideas. I must also thank my Ph.D. committee, Prof. Michael Ruse, Prof. Renée van Riessen, Prof. Herman Philipse, and Prof. Bert Bos.

Academically, I undoubtedly owe the biggest debt to Prof. Willem B. Drees. I feel honoured that Prof. Drees agreed to act as my promoter at Leiden University, and from the time of my initial proposal, he has provided invaluable guidance and challenged me to develop my ideas more rigorously; for these reasons and others I must simply say a sincere ‘thank you’.

On a personal level, I am certain that those around me invested as much energy into this project as I did, if not more. Without them, completing this work would most definitely, not have been possible; Edel, my brother Eric, and my parents, Maura and Brendan.

Go Raibh Míle Maith Agaibh (a thousand thanks)

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	3
Introduction	7
Chapter One: The Context of Theological Metaethics: A Presupposed Good and	
Conspicuous Evil	13
1.0 Introduction	13
1.1 Preliminary Semantic Clarifications.....	15
1.2 The Theo-Centric Context of Ethics.....	16
1.3 The Theodicy Question	20
1.4 Original Sin: Evil as Sin and Punishment	26
1.4.1 Sin and Human Nature	31
1.4.2 Suffering as Punishment	33
1.5 The Legacy of Original Sin	36
1.6 Problems with Original Sin as a Theodicy in Light of Darwin	44
1.6.1 Issues with the Asymmetry of A Primordial Good and Conspicuous Evil	44
1.6.2 The Measure of Suffering	48
1.6.3 Anthropocentrism	50
1.7 Conclusion.....	52
Chapter Two: The Compatibility of Evolutionary Ethics and Christian Ethics.....	55
2.0 Introduction	55
2.1 An Understanding of Christian Ethics.....	58
2.1.1 Moral Freedom.....	59
2.1.2 Agape and Neighbourly Love	61
2.1.3 Natural Law	66
2.2 An Understanding of Evolutionary Ethics	69
2.2.1 The Question of Altruism in an Evolutionary Context	71
2.2.2 Evolutionary Explanations for Altruism	74
2.3 Perceived Conflict with Human Freedom	84
2.4 Perceived Conflict with Agape and Neighbourly Love.....	97
2.5 Perceived Conflict with Natural Law	102
2.6 Conclusion.....	108
Chapter Three: An Evolutionary Theological Metaethic	111

3.0 Introduction	111
3.1 A Shift in the Framework for Understanding Good and Evil	114
3.2 A Shift in Understanding God's Creative Action	120
3.3 A Shift Towards an Eschatological View	123
3.4 The Divine in an Evolutionary View	135
3.5 Eschatology and Evil	140
3.6 Eschatology and Present Moral Responsibilities	147
3.7 Culmination of Evolutionary and Axiological Themes	150
3.8 Conclusion	152
Chapter Four: A Theologically Appropriated Naturalistic Ontology	154
4.0 Introduction	154
4.1 A Naturalistic Ontology: Overcoming its Discontents	156
4.2 The Theological Coherence of a Naturalistic Ontology	173
4.2.1 Alternative Possibilities	174
4.2.2 Natural Evil	183
4.2.3 The Integrity of Contingency	189
4.3 A Theological Appropriation of a Naturalistic Ontology	191
4.4 Conclusion	201
Chapter Five: A Hopeful Theology in the Context of Evolutionary Ethics	203
5.0 Introduction	203
5.1 Overcoming Material Fatalism: The Question of Free Will	205
5.1.1 Free Will Contra a Material Mind	208
5.1.2 Free Will in a Naturalistic Ontology	212
5.2 Freedom and Hope	216
5.3 Theological Interpretations of Natural Freedom	223
5.4 The Expanding Moral Circle	224
5.5 A Christian Interpretation of Moral Evolution	235
5.6 Conclusion	242
Conclusion	243
Bibliography	250
Index	266
Samenvatting	270
Curriculum Vitae	273