



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

An instrumental approach to deception in bargaining

Koning, L.F.

Citation

Koning, L. F. (2011, June 15). *An instrumental approach to deception in bargaining*. *Dissertatierreeks, Kurt Lewin Institute*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/17711>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/17711>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

References

- Adams, J. S. (1963). Towards an understanding of inequality. *Journal of Abnormal and Normal Social Psychology*, 67, 422-436.
- Adams, J. S. (1965). Inequity in social exchange. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 62, 335-343.
- Aquino, K. F., & Reed II, A. (2002). The self- importance of moral identity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 86, 1423-1440.
- Bacharach, S. B., & Lawler, E. J. (1981). Power and tactics in bargaining. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 34, 219-233.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173-1182.
- Becker, G. M., & McClintock, C. G. (1967). Value: Behavioral decision theory. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 18, 239-286.
- Blount, S. (1995). When social outcomes aren't fair: The effect of causal attributions on preferences. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 63, 131-144.
- Boles, T. L., Croson, R. T. A., & Murnighan, J. (2000). Deception and retribution in repeated ultimatum bargaining. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 83, 235-259.
- Camerer, C., & Thaler, R. H. (1995). Anomalies: Ultimatums, dictators and manners. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9, 209 - 219.
- Cayne, B. S., et al. (Eds.). (1991). *Webster's dictionary of the English language (1991 Edition)*. New York: Lexicon Publications.
- Dees, J. G., & Cramton, P. C. (1991). Shrewd bargaining on the moral frontier: Toward a theory of morality in practice. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 1, 135-167.
- DePaulo, B. M., Kashy, D. A., Kirkendol, S. E. Wyer, M. M., & Epstein, J. A. (1996). Lying in everyday life. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70, 979-995.
- Edwards, W. (1961). Behavioral decision theory. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 12, 473-498.
- Emerson, R. M. (1962). Power-dependence relations. *American Sociological Review*, 27, 31-40.

- Emerson, R. M. (1972a). Exchange theory, Part I: A psychological basis for social exchange. In J. Berger, M. Zelditch Jr., & B. Anderson (Eds.), *Social theories in progress* (Vol. 2, pp. 38-57). Boston: Houghton-Mifflin.
- Emerson, R. M. (1972b). Exchange theory, Part II: Exchange relations and networks. In J. Berger, M. Zelditch Jr., & B. Anderson (Eds.), *Social theories in progress* (Vol. 2, pp. 58-87). Boston: Houghton-Mifflin.
- Fellner, G., & Güth, W. (2003). What limits escalation? Varying threat power in an ultimatum experiment. *Economics Letters*, 80, 53-60.
- French, J. P. R. Jr., & Raven, B. (1960). The bases of social power. In D. Cartwright and A. Zander (Eds.), *Group dynamics* (pp. 607-623). New York: Harper and Row.
- Gneezy, U. (2005). Deception: The role of consequences. *The American Economic Review*, 95, 384-394.
- Güth, W., Schmittberger, R., & Schwarze, B. (1982). An experimental-analysis of ultimatum bargaining. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 3, 367-388.
- Handgraaf, M. J. J., Van Dijk, E., & De Cremer, D. (2003). Social utility in ultimatum bargaining. *Social Justice Research*, 16, 263-283.
- Kadlec, D. (2002). Enron: Who's accountable? *Time*. Retrieved August 30, 2008, from <http://www.time.com/time/business/article/0,8599,193520,00.html>.
- Kagel, J. H., Kim, C., & Moser, D. (1996). Fairness in ultimatum games with asymmetric information and asymmetric payoffs. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 13, 100-110.
- Kahneman, D., Knetsch, K., & Thaler, R. (1986). Fairness as constraint on profit seeking: Entitlements in the market. *American Economic Review*, 76, 728-741.
- Kelley, H. H., & Stahelski, A. J. (1970). Social interaction basis of cooperators' and competitors' beliefs about others. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 16, 66-91.
- Kelley, H. H., & Thibaut, J. W. (1978). *Interpersonal relations. A theory of interdependence*. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
- Keltner, D., Gruenfeld, D. H., & Anderson, C. (2003). Power, approach and inhibition. *Psychological Review*, 110, 265-384.
- Kipnis, D. (1972). Does power corrupt? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 24, 33-41.

- Komorita, S. S., & Parks, C. D. (1995). Interpersonal relations: Mixed-motive interaction. *Annual Review of Psychology, 46*, 183-207.
- Koning, L., Van Dijk, E., Van Beest, I., & Steinel, W. (2010). An instrumental account of deception and reactions to deceit in bargaining. *Business Ethics Quarterly, 20*, 57-73.
- Koning, L., Steinel, W., Van Beest, I., & Van Dijk, E. (2010a). *Deception and false expectations*. Manuscript in preparation for publication.
- Koning, L., Steinel, W., Van Beest, I., & Van Dijk, E. (2010b). *Goals and the use of deception*. Manuscript in preparation for publication.
- Koning, L., Steinel, W., Van Beest, I., & Van Dijk, E. (2011). Power and deception in ultimatum bargaining. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 115*, 35-42.
- Kravitz, A. K., & Gunto, S. (1992). Decisions and perceptions of recipients in ultimatum games. *Journal of Socio-Economics, 21*, 65-84.
- Leliveld, M. C., Van Beest, I., Van Dijk, E., & Tenbrunsel, A.E. (2009). Understanding the influence of payoff valence in bargaining: A study on fairness accessibility, norms, and behavior. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 45*, 505-514.
- Lewicki, R. J. (1983). Lying and deception: A behavioral model. In M. H. Bazerman & R. J. Lewicki (Eds.), *Negotiating in organization* (pp. 68-90). California: Sage.
- Lewicki, R. J., Saunders, D. M., & Barry, B. (2010). *Negotiation* (6th edition). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Liebrand, W. B. G., Jansen, R., Rijken, V. M., & Suhre, C. (1986). Might over morality: The interaction between social values and the interpretation of decision-making in experimental games. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 22*, 203-215.
- Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (1990). *A theory of goal setting and task performance*. New York: Prentice Hall.
- MacKinnon, D. P., & Dwyer, J. H. (1993). Estimating mediated effects in prevention studies. *Evaluation Review, 17*, 144-158.
- McClintock, C. (1977). Social motivations in settings of outcome interdependence. In D. L. Druckman (Ed), *Negotiations: Social-psychological perspectives* (pp. 49-77). California: Sage.
- McClintock, C. G., & Allison, S. (1989). Social value orientation and helping behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 19*, 353-362.

- Messick, D. M., & McClintock, C. G. (1968). Motivational basis of choice in experimental games. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 4, 1-25.
- Mitchell, T. R., & Biglan, A. (1971). Instrumentality theories: Current uses in psychology. *Psychological Bulletin*, 76, 432-454.
- Murnighan, J. K., Babcock, L., Thompson, L., & Pillutla, M. (1999). The information dilemma in negotiations: Effects of experience, incentives and integrative potential. *The International Journal of Conflict Management*, 10, 313-339.
- O'Connor, K. M., & Carnevale, P. J. (1997). A nasty but effective negotiation strategy: Misrepresentation of a common-value issue. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 23, 504-515.
- Pillutla, M. M., & Murnighan, J. K. (1995). Being fair or appearing fair: Strategic behavior in ultimatum bargaining. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38, 1408-1426.
- Pillutla, M. M., & Murnighan, J. K. (1996). Unfairness, anger, and spite: Emotional rejections of ultimatum offers. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 68, 208-224.
- Pillutla, M. M., & Murnighan, J. K. (2003). Fairness in bargaining. *Social Justice Research*, 16, 241-262.
- Pruitt, D. G., & Carnevale, P. J. (1993). *Negotiation in social conflict*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Roth, A. E., Murnighan, J. K. (1982). The role of information in bargaining: An experimental study. *Econometrica*, 50, 1123-1142.
- Rubin, J. Z., & Brown, B. (1975). *The social psychology of bargaining and negotiations*. New York: Academic Press.
- Suleiman, R. (1996). Expectations and fairness in a modified ultimatum game. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 7, 531-554.
- Schweitzer, M. E., & Croson, R. (1999). Curtailing deception: The impact of direct questions on lies and omissions. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 10, 225-248.
- Schweitzer, M. E., DeChurch, L., & Gibson, D. E. (2005). Conflict frames and the use of deception: Are competitive negotiators less ethical? *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 35, 2123-2149.
- Steinel, W., & De Dreu, C. K. W. (2004). Social motives and strategic misrepresentation in social decision making. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 86, 419-434.

- Steinel, W., Utz, S., & Koning, L. (2010). The good, the bad and the ugly thing to do when sharing information: Revealing, concealing and lying depend on social motivation, distribution and importance of information. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 113, 85-96.
- Straub, P. G., & Murnighan, J. K. (1995). An experimental investigation of ultimatum games: Information, fairness, expectations, and lowest acceptable offers. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 27, 345-364.
- Strudler, A. (1995). On the ethics of deception in negotiation. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 5, 805-822.
- Suleiman, R. (1996). Expectations and fairness in a modified ultimatum game. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 7, 531-554.
- Tenbrunsel, A. E. (1998). Misrepresentation and expectations of misrepresentation in an ethical dilemma: The role of incentives and temptation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41, 330-339.
- Thaler, R. H. (1992). *The winner's curse: Paradoxes and anomalies of economic life*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Van Beest, I., Andeweg, R., Koning, L., & Van Lange, P. A. M. (2008). Do groups exclude others more readily than individuals in coalition formation? *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 11, 69 - 81.
- Van Beest, I., Steinel, W., & Murnighan, J. K. (2008). *Honesty pays: On the benefits of disclosing information in coalition formation*. Paper presented at the conference of the International Association of Conflict Management. Available online at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1298608>.
- Van Dijk, E., De Cremer, D., & Handgraaf, M. J. J. (2004). Social value orientations and the strategic use of fairness in ultimatum bargaining. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 40, 697-707.
- Van Dijk, E., Van Kleef, G. A., Steinel, W., & Van Beest, I. (2008). A social functional approach to emotions in bargaining: When communicating anger pays and when it backfires. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94, 600-614.
- Van Dijk, E., & Vermunt, R. (2000). Strategy and fairness in social decision making: Sometimes it pays to be powerless. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 36, 1-25.

- Van Lange, P. A. M. (1992). Confidence in expectations: A test of the triangle hypothesis. *European Journal of Personality, 6*, 371-379.
- Van Lange, P. A. M. (1999). The pursuit of joint outcomes and equality in outcomes: An integrative model of social value orientations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 77*, 337-349.
- Van Lange, P. A. M., & Kuhlman, D. M. (1994). Social value orientations and impressions of partner's honesty and intelligence: A test of the morality effect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 67*, 126-141.
- Van Lange, P. A. M., Otten, W., De Bruin, E. M. N., & Joireman, J. A. (1997). Development of prosocial, individualistic, and competitive orientations: Theory and preliminary evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 73*, 733-746.
- Vrij, A. (2001). *Detecting lies and deceit: The psychology of lying and the implications for professional practice*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Walster, E., Walster, G.W., & Berscheid, E. (1978). *Equity: Theory and Research*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.