



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Collective action : a regulatory focus perspective

Zaal, M.P.

Citation

Zaal, M. P. (2012, February 16). *Collective action : a regulatory focus perspective*. Kurt Lewin Institute Dissertation Series. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18489>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/18489>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

References

- Aiken, L. S. & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Bettencourt, B., Charlton, K., Dorr, N., & Hume, D. L. (2001). Status differences and in-group bias: A meta-analytic examination of the effects of status stability, status legitimacy, and group permeability. *Psychological Bulletin, 127*, 520-542.
- Bliuc, A. M., McGarty, C., Reynolds, K., & Muntele, D. (2007). Opinion-based group membership as a predictor of commitment to political action. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 37*, 19-32.
- Boen, F., & Vanbeselaere, N. (1998). Reactions upon a failed attempt to enter a high status group: An experimental test of the five-stage model. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 28*, 689 – 696.
- Brewer, M. B. (1999). The psychology of prejudice: Ingroup love or outgroup hate? *Journal of Social Issues, 55*, 429-444.
- Corning, A. F., & Myers, D. J. (2002). Individual orientation toward engagement in social action. *Political Psychology, 23*, 703-729.
- Cotter, D. A., Hermsen, J., Ovadia, S., & Vanneman, R. (2001). The glass ceiling effect. *Social Forces, 80*, 655-681.
- Crocker, J., Major, B., & Steele, (1998). Social stigma. In D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (Vol. 2, 4th ed., pp. 504-553). Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Crow, S. M., Folk, L. Y., & Hartman, S. J. (1998). Who is at greatest risk of work-related discrimination: Women, blacks, or homosexuals? *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal, 11*, 15-26.
- Crowe, E., & Higgins, E. T. (1997). Regulatory focus and strategic inclinations: Promotion and prevention in decision-making. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 69*, 117–132.
- Danaher, K., & Branscombe, N. R. (2010). Maintaining the system with tokenism: Bolstering individual mobility beliefs and identification with a discriminating organization. *British Journal of Social Psychology, 49*, 343 – 362.
- Doosje, B., Spears, R., & Ellemers, N. (2002). Social identity as both cause and effect: The development of group identification in response to anticipated and actual

- changes in the intergroup status hierarchy. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 41, 57–76.
- Ellemers, N. (1993) The influence of socio-structural variables on identity enhancement strategies. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 4, 27-57.
- Ellemers, N., Pagliaro, S., Barreto, M., & Leach, C. W. (2008). Is it better to be moral than smart?: The effects of morality and competence norms on the decision to work at group status improvement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95, 1397 – 1410.
- Ellemers, N., Spears, R., & Doosje, B. (1997). Sticking together or falling apart: In-group identification as a psychological determinant of group commitment versus individual mobility. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 72, 617-626.
- Ellemers, N. Van Knippenberg, A., & Wilke, H. (1993). The influence of permeability of group boundaries and stability of group status on strategies of individual mobility and social change. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 29, 133 – 246.
- Ellemers, N. & Van Laar, C. (2010). Individual mobility. In: J. Dovidio, M. Hewstone, P. Glick, & V. Esses (Eds.). *Handbook of prejudice and discrimination* (pp. 561-576). London, UK: Sage.
- Ellemers, N., Wilke, H., & Van Knippenberg, A. (1993). Effects of the legitimacy of low group or individual status on individual and collective status-enhancement strategies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64, 766-778.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Festinger, L., & Carlsmith, J. M. (1959). Cognitive consequences of forced compliance. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 58, 203-210.
- Flood, P. (1993). An expectancy value analysis of the willingness to attend union meetings. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 66, 213.
- Fox-Cardamone, L., Hinkle, S., & Hogue, M. (2000). The correlates of antinuclear activism: Attitudes, subjective norms, and efficacy. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 30, 484-498.
- Fox, D., & Schofield, J. W. (1989). Issue salience, perceived efficacy and perceived risk: A study of the origins of anti-nuclear war activity. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 19, 805-827.

-
- Green, B. F. (1956). A method of scalogram analysis using summary statistics. *Psychometrika*, *21*, 79-88.
- Gurr, T. R. (1993). Why minorities rebel: A global analysis of communal mobilization of and conflict since 1945. *International Political Science Review*, *14*, 161 – 201.
- Guttman, L. (1947). On Festinger's evaluation of scale analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, *44*, 451-465.
- Guttman, L. (1954). A new approach to factor analysis: the Radex. In P. F. Lazarsfeld (Ed). *Mathematical thinking in the social sciences*. (pp. 258-348). New York: Free Press.
- Hedges, L. V., & Olkin, I. (1985). *Statistical methods for meta-analysis*. New York: Academic Press.
- Higgins, E. T. (1987). Self-discrepancy: A theory relating self and affect. *Psychological Review*, *94*, 319-340.
- Higgins, E. T. (1997). Beyond pleasure and pain. *American Psychologist*, *52*, 1280-1300.
- Higgins, E. T. (1998) Regulatory focus as a motivational principle. In M. E Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (pp. 1-46). New York: Academic Press.
- Higgins, E., Bond, R. N., Klein, R., & Strauman, T. (1986). Self-discrepancies and emotional vulnerability: How magnitude, accessibility, and type of discrepancy influence affect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *51*, 5-15.
- Higgins, E., Friedman, R. S., Harlow, R. E., Idson, L. C., Ayduk, O. N., & Taylor, A. (2001). Achievement orientations from subjective histories of success: Promotion pride versus prevention pride. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, *31*, 3-23.
- Higgins, E. T., Roney, C., Crowe, E., & Hymes, C. (1994). Ideal versus ought predilections for approach and avoidance: Distinct self-regulatory systems. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *66*, 276-286.
- Hornsey, M. J., Blackwood, L., Louis, W., Fielding, K., Mavor, K., Morton, T., et al. (2006). Why do people engage in collective action? Revisiting the role of perceived effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *36*, 1701-1722.

-
- Idson, L.C., Liberman, N., & Higgins, E.T. (2000). Distinguishing gains from nonlosses and losses from nongains: A regulatory focus perspective on hedonic intensity. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *36*, 252-274.
- Janis, I. L., & King, B. T. (1954). The influence of role-playing on opinion change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, *49*, 211-218.
- Janoff-Bulman, R. Sheikh, S., & Hepp, S. (2009). Proscriptive vs. prescriptive morality: Two faces of moral regulation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *96*, 521-537.
- Keller, J. (2008). On the development of regulatory focus: The role of parenting styles. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, *38*, 354-364.
- Keller, J., & Bless, H. (2006). Regulatory fit and cognitive performance: The interactive effect of chronic and situationally induced self-regulatory mechanisms on test performance. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, *36*, 393-405.
- Kelloway, E., & Barling, J. (1993). Members' participation in local union activities: Measurement, prediction, and replication. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *78*, 262-279.
- Kelly, C. (1993). Group identification, intergroup perceptions and collective action. *European Review of Social Psychology*, *4*, 59-83.
- King, B. T., & Janis, I. L. (1956). Comparison of the effectiveness of improvised versus nonimprovised role-playing in producing opinion changes. *Human Relations*, *9*, 177-186.
- Klandermans, B. (1984a). Mobilization and participation in trade union action: An expectancy-value approach. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, *57*, 107-120.
- Klandermans, B. (1984b). Mobilization and participation: Social-psychological expansions of resource mobilization theory. *American Sociological Review*, *49*, 583-600.
- Klandermans, B. (1986). Perceived costs and benefits of participation in union action. *Personnel Psychology*, *39*, 379.
- Klandermans, B., & Oegema, D. (1987). Potentials, networks, motivations, and barriers: Steps towards participation in social movements. *American Sociological Review*, *52*, 519-531.

-
- Kruglanski, A. W., & Fishman, S. (2006). The psychology of terrorism: “Syndrome” vs. “tool” perspectives. *Terrorism and political violence*, 18, 193-215.
- Lalonde, R. N., & Cameron, J. E. (1994). Behavioral responses to discrimination: A focus on action. In J. M. Olson (Ed.), *The psychology of prejudice: The Ontario symposium* (Vol. 7, pp. 257-288). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Lalonde, R. N., & Silverman, R. A. (1994). Behavioral preferences in response to social injustice: The effects of group permeability and social identity salience. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 66, 78.
- Lalonde, R. N., Stroink, M. L., & Aleem, M. R. (2002). Representations and preferences of responses to housing and employment discrimination. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 5, 83-102.
- Landler, M. (2005, November 13). A very French message from the disaffected. *New York Times*. Retrieved July 23, 2010, from <http://www.nytimes.com>
- Lockwood, P., Jordan, C., & Kunda, Z. (2002). Motivation by positive or negative role models: regulatory focus determines who will best inspire us. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 83, 854–864.
- Louis, W. R., Paasonen, K., Hornsey, M., Mavor, K., White, K. M., Smith, J., et al. (2011). *If all else fails? Predicting support for political violence*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Louis, W. R., Taylor, D. M., & Douglas, R. L. (2005). Normative influence and rational conflict decisions: Group norms and cost-benefit analyses for intergroup behaviour. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 8, 355–374.
- Mokken, R. J., & Lewis, C. (1982). A nonparametric approach to the analysis of dichotomous item responses. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 6, 417-430.
- Morisson, A.M., White, R. P., & Van Velsor, E. (1987). *Breaking the glass ceiling: Can women reach the top of America's largest corporations?* Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Mullen, E., & Skitka, L. J. (2006). Exploring the psychological underpinnings of the moral mandate effect: Motivated reasoning, group differentiation, or anger? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 90, 629-643.

-
- Mummendey, A., Kessler, T., Klink, A., & Mielke, R. (1999). Strategies to cope with negative social identity: Predictions by social identity theory and relative deprivation theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 76*, 229-245.
- Oyserman, D., Uskul, A., Yoder, N., Nesse, R., & Williams, D. (2007). Unfair treatment and self-regulatory focus. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 43*, 505-512.
- Reicher, S. D., & Levine, M. (1994). Deindividuation, power relations between groups and the expression of social identity: The effects of visibility to the out-group. *British Journal of Social Psychology, 33*, 145-163.
- Reynolds, K. J., Oakes, P. J., Haslam, S. A., Nolan, N. A., & Dolnik, L. (2000). Responses to powerlessness: Stereotyping as an instrument of social conflict. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice, 4*, 275 – 290.
- Richard, N. T., & Wright, S. C. (2010). Advantaged group members' reactions to tokenism. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations, 13*, 559 – 569.
- Sassenberg, K. & Hansen, N. (2007). The impact of regulatory focus on affective responses to social discrimination. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 37*, 421-444.
- Sassenberg, K., Jonas, K. J., Shah, J. Y., & Brazy, P. C. (2007). Regulatory fit of the ingroup: The impact of group power and regulatory focus on implicit intergroup bias. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 92*, 249-267.
- Scholer, A. A., Stroessner, S. J., & Higgins, E. T. (2008). Responding to negativity: How a risky tactic can serve a vigilant strategy. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 44*, 767-774.
- Scholer, A. A., Zou, X., Fujita, K., Stroessner, S. J., & Higgins, E. T. (2010). When risk seeking becomes a motivational necessity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 99*, 215-231.
- Scheepers, D., Spears, R., Doosje, B., & Manstead, A. S. R. (2006). Diversity in in-group bias: Structural factors, situational features, and social functions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 90*, 944–960.
- Schofield, J. W., & Pavelchak, M. A. (1989). Fallout from The Day After: The impact of a TV film on attitudes related to nuclear war. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 19*, 433-448.

-
- Schwartz, E. G. (1971). *The sex barrier in business*. Atlanta: Georgia State University Press.
- Seibt, B., & Forster, J. (2004). Stereotype threat and performance: How self-stereotypes influence processing by inducing regulatory foci. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 87*, 38-56.
- Shah, J., & Higgins, E. (1997). Expectancy X value effects: Regulatory focus as determinant of magnitude and direction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 73*, 447-458.
- Shah, J., & Higgins, E. T. (2001). Regulatory concerns and appraisal efficiency: The general impact of promotion and prevention. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 80*, 693-705.
- Simon, B., Loewy, M., Stürmer, S., Weber, U., Freytag, P., Habig, C., et al. (1998). Collective identification and social movement participation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 74*, 646-658.
- Skitka, L. J. (2002). Do the means always justify the ends, or do the ends sometimes justify the means? A value model of justice reasoning. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 28*, 588-597.
- Skitka, L. J. (2003). Of different minds: An accessible identity model of justice reasoning. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 7*, 286-297.
- Skitka, L. J., & Bauman, C. W. (2008). Moral conviction and political engagement. *Political Psychology, 29*, 29-54.
- Skitka, L. J., Bauman, C. W., & Sargis, E. G. (2005). Moral conviction: Another contributor to attitude strength or something more? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 88*, 895-917.
- Skitka, L. J., & Mullen, E. (2002). The dark side of moral conviction. *Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy, 2*, 35-41.
- Skowronski, J. J., & Carlston, D. E. (1987). Social judgment and social memory: The role of cue diagnosticity in negativity, positivity, and extremity biases. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 52*, 689-699.
- Skowronski, J. J., & Carlston, D. E. (1989). Negativity and extremity biases in impression formation: A review of explanations. *Psychological Bulletin, 105*, 131-142.

-
- Smith, H. J., & Ortiz, D. J. (2002). Is it just me? The different consequences of personal and group relative deprivation. In I. Walker; H. J. Smith (Eds.), *Relative deprivation: Specification, development, and integration* (pp. 91-115). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Snyder, M. & Ickes, W. (1985) Personality and social behavior (3rd ed.). In: G. Lindzey and E. Aronson, Editors, *Handbook of Social Psychology.*, Random House, New York .
- Spears, R., Ellemers, N., & Doosje, B. (2009). Strength in numbers or less is more? A matter of opinion or a question of taste. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 35, 1099–1111.
- Spears, R., Scheepers, D., & Van Zomeren, M. (2011). Nothing to lose: Desperate circumstances require desperate measures. Manuscript in preparation.
- Stahl, T., & Zaal, M. P. (2011). *Two good reasons to reason well: How holding a goal to exploit or to avoid being exploited facilitates deductive reasoning*. Manuscript in preparation.
- Stürmer, S., & Simon, B. (2004). The role of collective identification in social movement participations: A panel study in the context of the German gay movement. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 30, 263-277.
- Stürmer, S., & Simon, B. (2005). Collective action: Towards a dual-pathway model. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 15, 59-99.
- Stürmer, S., Simon, B., Loewy, M., & Jorger, H. (2003). The Dual-Pathway Model of social movement participation: The case of the Fat Acceptance Movement. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 66, 71-82.
- Summerville, A., & Roese, N. J. (2008). Self-report measures of individual differences in regulatory focus: A cautionary note. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 42, 247-254.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). The social identity theory of intergroup behavior. In S. Worchel & W. G. Austin (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33-47). Monterey; CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Tausch, N., Becker, J., Spears, R., Christ, O., Saab, R., Singh, P., & Siddiqui, R. N. (*in press*). Explaining radical group behaviour: Developing emotion and efficacy

- routes to normative and non-normative collective action. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*.
- Van Schuur, W. H. (2003). Mokken scale analysis: Between the Guttman scale and parametric item response theory. *Political Analysis, 11*, 139–163.
- Van Stekelenburg, J. (2006). *Promoting or preventing social change: Instrumentality, identity, and group-based anger as motives of protest participation*. Doctoral dissertation, Free University, Netherlands.
- Van Stekelenburg, J., Klandermans, B., & van Dijk, W. W. (2009). Context matters: Explaining how and why mobilizing context influences motivational dynamics. *Journal of Social Issues, 65*, 815 – 838.
- Van Zomeren, M., Postmes, T., & Spears, R. (2008). Toward an integrative social identity model of collective action: A quantitative research synthesis of three socio-psychological perspectives. *Psychological Bulletin, 134*, 504-535.
- Van Zomeren, M., Postmes, T., & Spears, R. (*in press*). On conviction's collective consequences: Integrating moral conviction with the social identity model of collective action. *British Journal of Social Psychology*.
- Van Zomeren, M., Spears, R., Fischer, A. H., & Leach, C. W. (2004). Put your money where your mouth is! Explaining collective action tendencies through group-based anger and group efficacy. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 87*, 649-664.
- Wolfsfeld, G., Opp, K. D., Dietz, H. A., & Green, J. D. (1994). Dimensions of political action: A cross-cultural analysis. *Social Science Quarterly, 75*, 98-114.
- Wright, S. C. (1997). Ambiguity, social influence, and collective action: Generating collective protest in response to tokenism. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 23*, 1277 – 1290.
- Wright, S. C. (2001a). Restricted intergroup boundaries: Tokenism, ambiguity, and the tolerance of injustice. In J. T. Jost & B. Major (Eds.), *The psychology of legitimacy*. NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Wright, S. C. (2001b). Strategic collective action: Social psychology and social change. In R. Brown & S. Gaertner (Eds.), *Blackwell Handbook of Social Psychology* (Vol. 4): Intergroup Processes (pp. 409-430). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.

-
- Wright, S. C. (2009). The Next Generation of Collective Action Research. *Journal of Social Issues, 65*, 859 – 879.
- Wright, S. C., & Taylor, D. M. (1998). Responding to Tokenism: Individual action in the face of collective injustice. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 28*, 647.
- Wright, S. C., & Taylor, D. M. (1999). Success under tokenism: Co-option of the newcomer and the prevention of collective protest. *British Journal of Social Psychology, 38*, 369-396.
- Wright, S. C., Taylor, D. M., & Moghaddam, F. M. (1990). Responding to membership in a disadvantaged group: From acceptance to collective protest. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 58*, 994-1003.
- Wright, S. C., Taylor, D. M., & Moghaddam, F. M. (1990). The relationship of perceptions and emotions to behavior in the face of collective inequality. *Social Justice Research, 4*, 229-250.
- Yzerbyt, V. Y., Muller, D., & Judd, C. M. (2004). Adjusting researchers' approach to adjustment: On the use of covariates when testing interactions. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 40*, 424-431.
- Zaal, M. P., Saab, R., O'Brien, K., & Barnett, C. (2011). *You're either with us or against us!: On the perils of politicized identity*. Manuscript in preparation.
- Zaal, M. P., Van Laar, C., Ståhl, T., Ellemers, N., & Derks, B. (in press, a). Social change as an important goal or likely outcome: How regulatory focus affects commitment to collective action. *British Journal of Social Psychology*.
- Zaal, M. P., Van Laar, C., Ståhl, T., Ellemers, N., & Derks, B. (in press, b). By any means necessary: The effects of regulatory focus and moral conviction on hostile and benevolent collective action. *British Journal of Social Psychology*.
- Zaal, M. P., Van Laar, C., Ståhl, T., Ellemers, N., & Derks, B. (2011a). *Responding to Tokenism: How Promotion and Prevention Focus Affect Commitment to Individual and Group Status Improvement*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Zaal, M. P., Van Laar, C., Ståhl, T., Ellemers, N., & Derks, B. (2011b). *When the ends justify the means: The effect of regulatory focus on engagement in hostile collective action*. Manuscript in preparation.