



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **The acquisition of verbal morphology in coclear-implanted and specific language impaired children**

Hammer, A.

### **Citation**

Hammer, A. (2010, May 25). *The acquisition of verbal morphology in coclear-implanted and specific language impaired children*. LOT dissertation series. Utrecht. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/15550>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/15550>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

The acquisition of verbal morphology in  
cochlear-implanted and specific language  
impaired children

Published by  
LOT  
Janskerkhof 13  
3512 BL Utrecht  
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6006  
fax: +31 30 253 6000  
e-mail: [lot@let.uu.nl](mailto:lot@let.uu.nl)  
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: Carina de Beukelaer (The Eargroup)

ISBN 978-94-6093-032-4  
NUR 616

Copyright © 2010: Annemiek Hammer. All rights reserved.

The acquisition of verbal morphology in  
cochlear-implanted and specific language  
impaired children

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. P.F. van der Heijden,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op dinsdag 25 mei 2010  
klokke 15.00 uur

door

Annemiek Hammer

geboren te Hengelo  
in 1981

Promotiecommissie

Promotores: Prof. dr. J.E.C.V. Rooryck (Universiteit Leiden)  
Prof. dr. S. Gillis (Universiteit Antwerpen)  
Prof. dr. M.M.R. Coene (Vrije Universiteit/Universiteit Leiden)

Overige leden: Prof. dr. P. Govaerts (Universiteit Antwerpen/ De Oorgroep)  
Prof. dr. N.O. Schiller (Universiteit Leiden)  
Dr. K. Schauwers (Universiteit Antwerpen/ De Oorgroep)

The research reported here was conducted in the context of the Dutch Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) funded VIDI-project: *morphosyntactic development of children with cochlear implants. A comparison with children using hearing aids, normally hearing children and children with SLI* awarded to prof. dr. Martine Coene, principal investigator.

## Acknowledgements

Writing a dissertation is a solo project; doing research is not. As the topic of this dissertation involves the acquisition of language, doing research was only possible thanks to the many children willing to talk about themselves and their families in front of the camera. I gratefully acknowledge all children, parents, teachers, language pathologists and school boards of *KIDS* in Hasselt, *Jonghelinckshof* in Antwerp, *Bertha Mullerschool* in Utrecht, *Triangel* in Hengelo, *Sint Jozefschool* in Antwerp and *Sint Laurensinstituut* in Wachtebeke.

I had the privilege to spend the first three months of my research at the CNTS (University of Antwerp) and The Eargroup in Antwerp-Deurne. I would like to thank my fellow (and former fellow) researchers at the CNTS. Also thanks to the staff of The Eargroup for teaching me about audiology and cochlear implants and for their hospitality. Special thanks to Paul Govaerts, head of The Eargroup, for giving me valuable comments on my data analysis. My stay in Antwerp gave me the opportunity to join a group of colleagues who were all doing research on language acquisition and were working with cochlear-implanted children. Working in a team inspires and makes hard work easier.

For this dissertation almost 100 spontaneous speech samples have been recorded. Anyone who has worked with this kind of data knows that it is a time consuming business to get these recordings ready for analysis. I had the luck of working together with Agnita, Annemie, Coby, Eva, Ineke, Karen, Martine & Øydis. Thanks to all! I also want to thank Eva and Charlotte for helping me preparing the experiment and testing the children.

Even the loneliest writing days become bearable when you have nice people to have lunch with, to drink beer with, to do sports with and to learn from. I thank all my colleagues at the LUCL, Leiden University, who have become really close to me.

I would also like to thank my friends for being interested in my research and above all in me. During the past three years, I sometimes lost myself in this research and dissertation. I am very grateful to have friends who showed me that, and I quote Maarten, *'there is more to life than a dissertation'*. That is true and I hope that within a couple of years we will go on holiday without books and articles!

I warmly thank those individuals whose names I am not supposed to mention according to the Leiden tradition. They have encouraged me to do more than I thought I could - which is more than I had ever expected.

Geloven in wat je doet is mij ingegeven door mijn ouders.

Leiden, March 2010



# CONTENTS

|                  |  |    |
|------------------|--|----|
| <b>CHAPTER 1</b> | <b>General introduction</b>                                    | 1  |
| <b>CHAPTER 2</b> | <b>Introducing hearing- and language-impaired children</b>     |    |
| 1.               | Introduction   | 9  |
| 2.               | Hearing impairment and intervention                            |    |
| 2.1              | Anatomy of the ear   | 10 |
| 2.2              | Auditory perception  | 11 |
| 2.3              | Sensorineural hearing loss                                     | 14 |
| 2.4              | Hearing rehabilitation   |    |
| 2.4.1            | The classical hearing aid                                      | 15 |
| 2.4.2            | The cochlear implant   | 17 |
| 3.               | Language development in CI children                            |    |
| 3.1              | Effectiveness of CI in language development                    | 19 |
| 3.2              | Variability in language outcomes                               | 20 |
| 3.3              | Sensitive period and age at implantation                       | 22 |
| 3.4              | Variability across language domains:<br>grammatical morphology | 24 |
| 4.               | Specific language impairment                                   |    |
| 4.1              | Definition   | 25 |
| 4.2              | Delayed verbal morphological development                       | 25 |
| 4.3              | SLI accounts   | 26 |
| 4.3.1            | A genetic language-specific disorder                           | 26 |
| 4.3.2            | A general cognitive disorder                                   | 27 |
| <b>CHAPTER 3</b> | <b>Background: The acquisition of agreement and tense</b>      |    |
| 1.               | Introduction   | 29 |
| 2.               | From infinite to finite  |    |
| 2.1              | The Optional Infinitive stage                                  | 30 |
| 2.2              | Full <i>vs</i> Reduced Competence Hypothesis                   | 31 |
| 2.2.1            | The Reduced Competence Hypothesis                              | 33 |
| 2.2.2            | The Full Competence Hypotheses                                 | 33 |
| 2.3              | An input bias?   | 38 |
| 3.               | The acquisition of tense                                       |    |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 3.1 Temporal reference of RIs             | 39 |
| 3.2 The aspect-tense interface            | 41 |
| 3.3 Regular and irregular past tense      | 43 |
| 3.3.1 The dual-route model                | 43 |
| 3.3.2 The single-route model              | 44 |
| 4. Tense and cognitive maturation         |    |
| 4.1 Deontic and epistemic modality in RIs | 44 |
| 4.2 Theory of Mind and complementation    | 45 |
| 4.3 Sequence of Tense and Theory of Mind  | 46 |
| 5. Summary                                | 48 |

#### **CHAPTER 4 Language assessment and research method**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction  | 49 |
| 2. Language assessment                                   |    |
| 2.1 Objectives in language assessment                    | 50 |
| 2.2 Methodological concepts: reliability and validity    |    |
| 2.2.1 Defining reliability                               | 51 |
| 2.2.2 Defining validity                                  | 54 |
| 2.3 Methodological concepts in language assessment tools | 55 |
| 3. The STAP-test   |    |
| 3.1 The STAP-method                                      | 57 |
| 3.2 Psychometric review                                  | 58 |
| 3.3 Implications and considerations                      | 60 |
| 4. Reliability and validity testing                      |    |
| 4.1 Participants   | 61 |
| 4.2 Data collection                                      | 61 |
| 4.3 Results  | 61 |
| 4.4 Conclusion   | 66 |

#### **CHAPTER 5 The acquisition of agreement**

##### **SECTION 5.1 CI children in comparison to HA children**

|                      |    |
|----------------------|----|
| 1. Abstract          | 69 |
| 2. Introduction      | 70 |
| 3. Research purposes | 72 |
| 4. Research method   | 74 |
| 5. Results           | 79 |
| 6. Discussion        | 87 |
| 7. Conclusion        | 91 |

## **SECTION 5.2 CI children in comparison to SLI children**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1. Introduction  | 93  |
| 2. Language processing                                   |     |
| 2.1 Low-level auditory processing                        | 94  |
| 2.2 High-level cognitive processing                      | 95  |
| 3. Perceptual salience                                   | 96  |
| 3.1 The Surface Account                                  | 96  |
| 3.2 Perceptual salience and hearing impairment           | 97  |
| 4. Hypotheses  | 98  |
| 5. Research method                                       | 99  |
| 6. Results   |     |
| 6.1 General language and verbal morphological production | 104 |
| 6.2 Combining scores on MLU and verbal morphology        | 111 |
| 6.3 Analysis of agreement errors                         | 114 |
| 7. Discussion  | 118 |
| 8. Conclusion  | 121 |

## **CHAPTER 6 The acquisition of past tense**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1. Introduction                                  | 123 |
| 2. The acquisition of past tense in TD children  |     |
| 2.1 First past tense forms                       | 124 |
| 2.2 Overgeneralizations                          | 125 |
| 2.3 U-shaped development of irregular past tense | 126 |
| 2.4 Past tense marking of nonce verbs            | 127 |
| 3. The acquisition of past tense in SLI children |     |
| 3.1 Regular past tense                           | 129 |
| 3.2 Overgeneralizations                          | 130 |
| 3.3 Irregular past tense                         | 130 |
| 3.4 Cross-linguistic differences                 | 131 |
| 4. Frequency effects in past tense acquisition   | 132 |
| 5. Hypotheses                                    | 134 |
| 6. Past tense production in spontaneous speech   |     |
| 6.1 Research method                              | 135 |
| 6.2 Results                                      | 138 |
| 6.3 Summary                                      | 141 |
| 7. Past tense elicitation task                   |     |
| 7.1 Research method                              | 141 |
| 7.2 Results elicitation task                     |     |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 7.2.1 Regular past tense   | 149 |
| 7.2.2 Irregular past tense   | 152 |
| 7.2.3 Past tense of nonce verbs                                    | 157 |
| 7.2.4 Frequency effects  | 160 |
| 7.2.5 Correlation analysis of target-like past tense<br>production | 162 |
| 7.3 Summary  | 165 |
| 8. Discussion  | 166 |
| 8.1 The effect of perceptual salience on past tense marking        | 168 |
| 8.2 Morpheme-in-Noise Perception Deficit Hypothesis                | 169 |
| 8.3 Frequency effects  | 171 |
| 8.4 L2 past tense acquisition                                      | 172 |
| 8.5 Morphological generalizations                                  | 174 |
| 8.6 Study limitations  | 175 |
| 9. Conclusion  | 176 |

## **CHAPTER 7 General conclusion**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1. Introduction                        | 179 |
| 2. The acquisition of agreement        | 181 |
| 3. The acquisition of past tense       | 182 |
| 4. Age at implantation and hearing age | 184 |
| 5. Perceptual salience                 | 188 |
| 6. Future research                     | 190 |
| 7. Clinical implications               | 191 |

## **APPENDICES**

|                       |     |
|-----------------------|-----|
| Appendix to CHAPTER 4 | 195 |
| Appendix to CHAPTER 5 | 197 |
| Appendix to CHAPTER 6 | 203 |

## **REFERENCES**

215

## **SAMENVATTING IN HET NEDERLANDS**

227

## **CURRICULUM VITAE**

235