



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Origins and consequences of public trust : towards an understanding of public acceptance of carbon dioxide capture and storage

Terwel, B.W.

Citation

Terwel, B. W. (2009, May 19). *Origins and consequences of public trust : towards an understanding of public acceptance of carbon dioxide capture and storage*. Kurt Lewin Institute Dissertation Series. Kurt Lewin Instituut Dissertatiereeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13805>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13805>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

References

- Ajzen, I. (2001). Nature and operation of attitudes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 27–58.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173–1182.
- Batson, C. D. (1994). Why act for the public good? Four answers. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 20, 603–610.
- Batson, C. D. (1996). Do prosocial motives have any business in business? *Social Justice Research*, 9, 7–25.
- Bies, R. J., & Shapiro, D. L. (1988). Voice and justification: Their influence on procedural fairness judgments. *Academy of Management Journal*, 31, 676–685.
- Brockner, J., Heuer, L., Siegel, P. A., Wiesenfeld, B., Martin, C., Grover, S., et al. (1998). The moderating effect of self-esteem in reaction to voice: Converging evidence from five studies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 75, 394–407.
- Brockner, J., Siegel, P. A., Daly, J., Tyler, T. R., & Martin, C. (1997). When trust matters: The moderating effect of outcome favorability. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42, 558–583.
- De Best-Waldhober, M., Daamen, D. D. L., & Faaij, A. P. C. (2006). *Public perceptions and preferences regarding large-scale implementation of six CO₂ capture and storage technologies: Well-informed and well-considered opinions versus uninformed pseudo-opinions of the Dutch public*. Leiden University Institute for Psychological Research. Research report.
- De Best-Waldhober, M., Daamen, D. D. L., & Faaij, A. P. C. (in press). Informed and uninformed public opinions on CO₂-capture and storage technologies in the Netherlands. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*.
- De Cremer, D., & Van Hiel, A. (2006). Effects of other person's fair treatment on one's own emotions and behaviors: The moderating role of how much the other cares for you. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 100, 231–249.

- De Ruyter, K., Wetzels, M., & Kleijnen, M. (2001). Customer adoption of e-service: An experimental study. *International Journal of Service Industry Management, 12*, 184–207.
- De Young, R. (1993). Changing behavior and making it stick. *Environment and Behavior, 25*, 485–505.
- Dirks, K. T., & Ferrin, D. L. (2001). The role of trust in organizational settings. *Organization Science, 12*, 450–467.
- Earle, T. C., & Cvetkovich, G. T. (1995). *Social trust: Toward a cosmopolitan society*. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Earle, T. C., & Siegrist, M. (2006). Morality information, performance information, and the distinction between trust and confidence. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 36*, 383–416.
- Eiser, R. J., Miles, S., & Frewer, L. J. (2002). Trust, perceived risk and attitudes toward food technologies. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 32*, 2423–2433.
- Ellen, P. S., Mohr, L. A., & Webb, D. J. (2000). Charitable programs and the retailer: Do they mix? *Journal of Retailing, 76*, 393–406.
- Ellen, P. S., Webb, D. J., & Mohr, L. A. (2006). Building corporate associations: Consumer attributions for corporate socially responsible programs. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 34*, 147–157.
- Folger, R. (1977). Distributive and procedural justice: Combined impact of voice and improvement on experienced inequity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 35*, 108–119.
- Forehand, M., & Grier, S., (2003). When is honesty the best policy? The effect of stated company intent on consumer skepticism. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 13*, 349–356.
- Frewer, L. J., Howard, C., Hedderley, D., & Shepherd, R. (1996). What determines trust in information about food-related risks? Underlying psychological constructs. *Risk Analysis, 16*, 473–485.
- Fukuyama, F. (1995). *Trust: The social virtues and the creation of prosperity*. New York: Free Press.
- Funk, C. L. (2000). The dual influence of self-interest and societal interest in public opinion. *Political Research Quarterly, 53*, 37–62.
- Huijts, N. M. A., Midden, C. J. H., & Meijnders, A. L. (2007). Social acceptance of carbon dioxide storage. *Energy Policy, 35*, 2780–2789.

- IPCC (2007). Summary for policymakers. In M. L. Parry, O. F. Canziani, J. P. Palutikof, P. J. Van der Linden, & C. E. Hansen (Eds.), *Climate change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 7–22). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Jarvenpaa, S. L., Tractinsky, N., & Vitale, M. (2000). Consumer trust in an internet store. *Information Technology and Management, 1*, 45–71.
- Jungermann, H., Pfister, H.-R., & Fischer, K. (1996). Credibility, information preferences, and information interests. *Risk Analysis, 16*, 251–261.
- Kahneman, D., Slovic, P., & Tversky A. (1982.). *Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kasperson, R. E., Golding, D., & Tuler, S. (1992). Social distrust as a factor in siting hazardous facilities and communicating risks. *Journal of Social Issues, 48*, 161–187.
- Kim, P. H., Dirks K. T., Cooper C. D., & Ferrin, D. L. (2006). When more blame is better than less: The implications of internal vs. external attributions for the repair of trust after a competence- vs. integrity-based trust violation. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 99*, 49–65
- Kim, P. H., Ferrin, D. L., Cooper, C. D., & Dirks, K. T. (2004). Removing the shadow of suspicion: The effects of apology versus denial for repairing competence- versus integrity-based trust violations. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 89*, 104–118.
- Konovsky, M., & Pugh, S. (1994). Citizenship behavior and social exchange. *Academy of Management Journal, 37*, 656–669
- Kray, L., & Lind, E. A. (2002). The injustices of others: Social reports and the integration of others' experiences in organizational justice judgments. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 89*, 906–924.
- LaTour, S. (1978). Determinants of participant and observer satisfaction with adversary and inquisitorial modes of adjudication. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 36*, 1531–1545.
- Leung, K., Tong, K. K., & Lind, E. A. (2007). Realpolitik versus fair process: Moderating effects of group identification on acceptance of political decisions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 92*, 476–489.

- Lind, E. A., Kanfer, R., & Early, P. C. (1990). Voice, control, and procedural justice: Instrumental and noninstrumental concerns in fairness judgments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 59*, 952–959.
- Lind, E. A., Kray, L., & Thompson, L. (1998). The social construction of injustice: Fairness judgments in response to own and others' unfair treatment by authorities. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 75*, 1–22.
- Lind, E. A., & Tyler, T. (1988). *The social psychology of procedural justice*. New York: Plenum.
- Löfstedt, R. E., & Renn, O. (1997). The Brent Spar controversy: An example of risk communication gone wrong. *Risk Analysis, 17*, 131–136.
- MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., Hoffman, J. M., West, S. G., & Sheets, V. (2002). A comparison of methods to test mediation and other intervening variable effects. *Psychological Methods, 7*, 83–104.
- Maeda, Y., & Miyahara, M. (2003). Determinants of trust in industry, government, and citizen's groups in Japan. *Risk Analysis, 23*, 303–310.
- Meijnders, A. L., Midden, C. J. H., & Wilke, H. A. M. (2001). Role of negative emotions in communication about CO2 risks. *Risk Analysis, 21*, 955–966.
- Metlay, D. (1999). Institutional trust and confidence: A journey into a conceptual quagmire. In G. T. Cvetkovich & R. E. Löfstedt (Eds.), *Social trust and the management of risk* (pp. 100–116). London, UK: Earthscan.
- Miles, S., & Frewer, L. J. (2003). Public perception of scientific uncertainty in relation to food hazards. *Journal of Risk Research, 6*, 267–283.
- Nilsson, A., Von Borgstede, C., & Biel, A. (2004). Willingness to accept climate change strategies: The effect of values and norms. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 24*, 267–277.
- Oldham, G. (1975). The impact of supervisory characteristics on goal acceptance. *Academy of Management Journal, 18*, 461–475.
- Peters, R. G., Covello, V. T., & McCallum, D. B. (1997). The determinants of trust and credibility in environmental risk communication: An empirical study. *Risk Analysis, 17*, 43–54.
- Peterson, R. S. (1999). Can you have too much of a good thing? The limits of voice for improving satisfaction with leaders. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 25*, 313–324.
- Poortinga, W., & Pidgeon, N. F. (2003). Exploring the dimensionality of trust in risk regulation. *Risk Analysis, 23*, 961–972.

- Poortinga, W., & Pidgeon, N. F. (2005). Trust in risk regulation: Cause or consequence of the acceptability of GM food? *Risk Analysis*, *25*, 197–207.
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, *36*, 717–731.
- Preacher, K. J., Rucker, D. D., & Hayes, A. F. (2007). Addressing moderated mediation hypotheses: Theory, methods, and prescriptions. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *42*, 185–227.
- Reeder, G. D., & Brewer, M. B. (1979). A schematic model of dispositional attribution in interpersonal perception. *Psychological Review*, *86*, 61–79.
- Reeder, G. D., Hesson-McInnis, M., Krohse, J. O., & Scialabba, E. A. (2001). Inferences about effort and ability. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *27*, 1225–1235.
- Renn, O., & Levine, D. (1991). Credibility and trust in risk communication. In R. E. Kasperson & P. J. M. Stallen (Eds.), *Communicating risks to the public* (pp. 175–218). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Rousseau, D. M., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R. S., & Camerer, C. (1998). Not so different after all: A cross-discipline view of trust. *Academy of Management Review*, *23*, 393–404.
- Selnes, F. (1998). Antecedents and consequences of trust and satisfaction in buyer-seller relationship. *European Journal of Marketing*, *32*, 305–322.
- Siegrist, M. (1999). A causal model explaining the perception and acceptance of gene technology. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *29*, 2093–2106.
- Siegrist, M. (2000). The influence of trust and perceptions of risks and benefits on the acceptance of gene technology. *Risk Analysis*, *20*, 195–203.
- Siegrist, M., & Cvetkovich, G. (2000). Perception of hazards: The role of social trust and knowledge. *Risk Analysis*, *20*, 713–719.
- Siegrist, M., Earle, T. C., & Gutscher, H. (2003). Test of a trust and confidence model in the applied context of electromagnetic field (EMF) risks. *Risk Analysis*, *23*, 705–716.
- Skowronski, J. J., & Carlston, D. E. (1989). Negativity and extremity biases in impression formation: A review of explanations. *Psychological Bulletin*, *105*, 131–142.

- Smith, H. J., Tyler, T. R., Huo, Y. J., Ortiz, D. J., & Lind, E. A. (1998). The self-relevant implications of the group-value model: Group membership, self-worth, and treatment quality. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 34*, 470–493.
- Sobel, M. E. (1982). Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equations models. In S. Leinhardt (Ed.), *Sociological methodology 1982* (pp. 290–312). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Ståhl, T., Van Prooijen, J.-W., & Vermunt, R. (2004). On the psychology of procedural justice: Reactions to procedures of ingroup vs. outgroup authorities. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 34*, 173–189.
- Sundblad, E.-L., & Biel, A., & Gärling, T. (2007). Cognitive and affective risk judgments related to climate change. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 27*, 97–106.
- Tanaka, Y. (2004). Major psychological factors affecting acceptance of gene-recombination technology. *Risk Analysis, 24*, 1575–1583.
- Ter Mors, E. (2008). Dealing with information about complex issues: The role of source perceptions. Doctoral dissertation, Leiden University.
- Terwel, B. W., Harinck, F., Ellemers, N., & Daamen, D. D. L. (in press). How organizational motives and communications affect public trust in organizations: The case of carbon dioxide capture and storage. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. Doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.11.004.
- Terwel, B. W., Harinck, F., Ellemers, N., & Daamen, D. D. L. (2009a). *Competence-based and integrity-based trust as predictors of acceptance of carbon dioxide capture and storage technology*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Terwel, B. W., Harinck, F., Ellemers, N., & Daamen, D. D. L. (2009b). *Voice in political decision making: The effect of group voice on trust in decision makers and subsequent acceptance of decisions*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Tokushige, K., Akimoto, K., & Tomoda, T. (2007). Public perceptions on the acceptance of geological storage of carbon dioxide and information influencing the acceptance. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies, 1*, 101–112.
- Trafimow, D., Bromgard, I. K., Finlay, K. A., & Ketelaar, T. (2005). The role of affect in determining the attributional weight of immoral behaviors. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 31*, 935–948.

- Tyler, T. R. (1990). *Why people obey the law: Procedural justice, legitimacy, and compliance*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Tyler, T. R., & DeGoey, P. (1996). Trust in organizational authorities: The influence of motive attributions and willingness to accept decisions. In R. M. Kramer & T. R. Tyler (Eds.), *Trust in organizations: Frontiers of theory and research* (pp. 331–356). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Tyler, T. R., DeGoey, P., & Smith, H. (1996). Understanding why the justice of group procedures matters: A test of the psychological dynamics of the group-value model. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 70*, 913–930.
- Tyler, T. R., & Folger, R. (1980). Distributional and procedural aspects of satisfaction with citizen–police encounters. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 1*, 281–292.
- Tyler, T. R., & Lind, E. A. (1992). A relational model of authority in groups. In M. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (pp. 115–191). New York: Academic Press.
- Van den Bos, K., & Lind, E. A. (2001). The psychology of own versus others' treatment: Self-oriented and other-oriented effects on perceptions of procedural fairness. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 27*, 1324–1333.
- Van den Bos, K., Vermunt, R., & Wilke, H. A. M. (1996). The consistency rule and the voice effect: The influence of expectations on procedural fairness judgments and performance. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 26*, 411–428.
- Van den Bos, K., Wilke, H. A. M., & Lind, E. A. (1998). When do we need procedural fairness? The role of trust in authority. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 75*, 1449–1458.
- Walker, L., LaTour, S., Lind, E. A., & Thibaut, J. (1974). Reactions of participants and observers to modes of adjudication. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 4*, 295–310.
- Wanous, J. P., Reichers, A. E., & Hudy, M. J. (1997). Overall job satisfaction measures: How good are single-item measures? *Journal of Applied Psychology, 82*, 247–252.
- Yoon, Y., Gürhan-Canli, Z., & Schwarz, N. (2006). The effect of corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities on companies with bad reputations. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 16*, 377–390.

