



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Ecological compensation of highway impacts; negotiated trade-off or no-net-loss?

Cuperus, R.

Citation

Cuperus, R. (2005, January 13). *Ecological compensation of highway impacts; negotiated trade-off or no-net-loss?*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/581>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/581>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Ecological compensation of highway impacts:

Negotiated trade-off or no-net-loss?

Ruud Cuperus

‘... In the end we will conserve only what we love,
we will love only what we understand ...’

(freely adapted from: Bada Diom, Senegal)

Ecological compensation of highway impacts:

Negotiated trade-off or no-net-loss?

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus Dr. D.D. Breimer,
hoogleraar in de faculteit der Wiskunde en
Natuurwetenschappen en die der Geneeskunde,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 13 januari 2005
klokke 14.15 uur
door

Ruurd Cuperus

geboren te 's-Gravenhage
in 1958

Promotiecommissie

Promotor:	Prof. dr. H.A. Udo de Haes
Co-promotor:	Dr. K.J. CanTERS
Referent:	Prof. dr. R.T.T. Forman, Harvard University, Cambridge (USA)
Overige leden:	Prof. dr. P. Baas Prof. dr. P. Glasbergen, Universiteit Utrecht Prof. dr. E. van der Meijden Prof. dr. P.F.M. Opdam, Wageningen Universiteit & Research Centrum Prof. dr. G.R. de Snoo

This research was conducted in the Netherlands over two periods at:

- the Institute of Environmental Sciences of Leiden University (*Centrum voor Milieuwetenschappen Leiden*, formerly: *Centrum voor Milieukunde Leiden*) (1995-1996), and
- the Dutch Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management (*Rijkswaterstaat*), Road and Hydraulic Engineering Division (*Dienst Weg- en Waterbouwkunde*) (1997-2004), Delft.

Cuperus, R. (2004). Ecological compensation of highway impacts: Negotiated trade-off or no-net-loss?

ISBN 90-369-5571-8

DWW-2004-077

Design front page: Strapatz, Delft, The Netherlands

Printed by Strapatz, Delft, The Netherlands

Contents

Summary	9
Samenvatting	33
Part 1: Introduction to the ecological compensation principle and to the questions and issues addressed in this dissertation	43
1. Introduction	45
1.1 History of the ecological compensation principle in the Netherlands	47
1.2 Development and significance of the ecological compensation principle	50
1.3 Ecological compensation: the international context	53
1.4 Practical issues arising during implementation in the Netherlands	56
1.5 The questions and issues addresses in this dissertation	58
1.6 The issues not addressed in this dissertation	59
1.7 Readers' guide	60
Part 2: Articles and summary of results	65
2. Ecological compensation of the impacts of a road; preliminary method for the A50 road link (Eindhoven-Oss, the Netherlands) <i>Ruud Cuperus, Kees J. Canters & Annette A.G. Piepers (1996), Ecological Engineering 9: 327-349</i>	67
3. Guidelines for ecological compensation associated with highways <i>Ruud Cuperus, Kees J. Canters, Helias A. Udo de Haes & Debra S. Friedman (1999) Biological Conservation 90: 41-51</i>	101
4. Ecological compensation in Dutch highway planning <i>Ruud Cuperus, Marco M.G.J. Bakermans, Helias A. Udo de Haes & Kees J. Canters (2001), Environmental Management 27(1): 75-89</i>	129

5. Preparation and implementation of seven ecological compensation plans for Dutch highways	163
<i>Ruud Cuperus, Marleen Kalsbeek, Helias A. Udo de Haes & Kees J. Canters (2002), Environmental Management 29(6): 736-749</i>	
6. Compensating for ecological impacts of road development: seven years' experience with Highway A50 (Eindhoven-Oss, Netherlands)	193
<i>Ruud Cuperus, Simone Thoolen, Henk van de Wolfshaar & Anne-Marie Graat (2002), Milieu 17(3): 97-109 (Dutch Journal, translated)</i>	
7. Improving ecological input in Dutch road planning	215
<i>Ruud Cuperus (2003), KenMERken 10(3): 8-12 (Dutch Journal, translated)</i>	
8. Summary of results	233
8.1 Results on planning and decision-making	236
8.2 Results on implementation	238
8.3 Results on compensation costs	240
8.4 Results on evaluation and monitoring	241
8.5 Results on legal aspects	241
8.6 Results on public support	242
8.7 Results on gaps in knowledge	243
Part 3: Discussion, conclusions, recommendations and perspectives	245
9. Discussion: answering the questions	247
9.1 The role of ecological compensation in planning and decision-making on national road projects	249
9.2 Implementation aspects of compensation measures	251
9.3 Broader applicability of ecological compensation	256
9.4 Advantages and drawbacks of the main options for science-based compensation measures	258
9.5 Problems encountered in practice	261

10. General conclusions, recommendations, perspectives and summarising conclusions	263
10.1 General conclusions	265
10.2 Recommendations	269
10.3 Perspectives	272
10.4 Summarising conclusions	276
 List of references	 283
 Curriculum vitae	 299
 Nawoord	 301
