



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Echoing Hylas : metapoetics in Hellenistic and Roman poetry
Heerink, M.A.J.

Citation

Heerink, M. A. J. (2010, December 2). *Echoing Hylas : metapoetics in Hellenistic and Roman poetry*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/16194>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/16194>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CHAPTER 2

BUCOLIC HYLAS: *IDYLL 13* OF THEOCRITUS

From where do genres come? Why, quite simply, from other genres. A new genre is always the transformation of one or several old genres: by inversion, by displacement, by combination.

Todorov 1976/7, 161

1. Introduction: heroic Heracles vs. tender Hylas

In *Idyll 13*, the heroic qualities of Heracles are downplayed in such a way that the archetypal hero is even made ridiculous.¹⁹⁴ Like Polyphemus in *Idyll 11*, a poem which is closely linked to *Idyll 13*, Heracles is not at home in the world of love. This point is immediately made clear at the beginning of the narrative on Hylas and Heracles proper, after the introductory address to Nicias:

ἀλλὰ καὶ Ἀμφιτρυῶνος ὁ χαλκεοκάρδιος υἱός,
ὃς τὸν λῖν ὑπέμεινε τὸν ἄγριον, ἦρατο παιδός,
τοῦ χαρίεντος Ὑλα, τοῦ τὰν πλοκαμίδα φορεῦντος *Id.* 13.5-7

No, even Amphitryon's son, whose heart was bronze, and who withstood the savage lion, loves a boy, beautiful Hylas, whose hair was still unshorn.
(tr. Verity)

Heracles is introduced with the epic epithet χαλκεοκάρδιος ("bronze-hearted"),¹⁹⁵

¹⁹⁴ Cf. van Erp Taalman Kip 1994, 161: "[Theocritus] adopts a slightly mocking view of the superhero Heracles."

¹⁹⁵ The epithet occurs only here, but cf. e.g. *Il.* 2.490: χάλκεον δέ μοι ἦτορ ἐνεΐη ("and though the heart within me were of bronze"). Kirstein 1997 and Castro de Castro 2001 argue for an allusion to an erotic, non-epic context in Pindar (fr. 123.3-5 S-M). These contrasting associations make the epithet very apt in the Theocritean context, where an epic hero enters the world of love. In this respect, it is also interesting that the epic ring of the first part of line 6, dealing with Heracles and the Nemean lion, is reinforced by an allusion to *Iliad* 11.480. This epic context is also alluded to in *Id.* 13.58, where Heracles' cry recalls that of Odysseus, wounded on the battlefield (*Il.* 11.462), but there, on the

and in line 6 his heroic labour of the Nemean lion is mentioned. In this same line, however, it is said that Heracles “loved a boy” (ἤρατο παιδός). The position of these words in the line already suggest that they are contrasted with the heroic feat mentioned before the bucolic diaeresis, but in line 7 this is made even more clear, for the object of Heracles’ love is the boy Hylas, who is described in very un-heroic terms, with χαρίεντος (7) suggesting youth and beauty,¹⁹⁶ and πλοκαμίδα (7) emphasizing the boy’s “almost feminine prettiness”.¹⁹⁷ At the end of the poem, the abducted Hylas is said to have been deified (72); this sets up a further contrast with Heracles, who is scorned as a ship-deserter (λιπوناύταν) in the next line. The passage can thus be seen as the climax of the poem’s play with heroics.¹⁹⁸

This contrast between the heroic Heracles and the tender Hylas has always been interpreted in the light of the anti-heroic dimension of the poem, which has received considerable scholarly attention.¹⁹⁹ Although I find these readings attractive, I consider that the anti-heroic element points to a further, hitherto unnoticed dimension of the poem.²⁰⁰ In this chapter, I will argue that *Idyll* 13 can be read on a metapoetic level as an allegory decribing the type of poetry that Theocritus is credited with inventing: bucolic.²⁰¹ I will argue that Theocritus treats Hylas as a symbol of his Callimachean, bucolic poetry, which is “defined” by its relationship to the heroic-epic tradition as symbolized by the archetypal hero Heracles.

contrary, the allusion illustrates how far the hero is away from the heroic world in which he is at home (see below).

¹⁹⁶ See Gutzwiller 1981, 20, with n. 4.

¹⁹⁷ Mastronarde 1968, 276. See his n. 3 for the connotations of the word πλοκαμίς.

¹⁹⁸ Cf. Gutzwiller 1981, 29: “The poem concludes with a reversal of the heroic ethos. Hylas’ tenderness and beauty, which render him helpless and vulnerable in the epic world of the Argonauts, provide the key for his transition to a fantastic realm, which is more appropriate for his delicate nature. Heracles, the prototypic hero, finds his customary use of force ineffectual in preserving a love relationship, and his loss of emotional control is held up to scorn by his companions, as well by the poet.”

¹⁹⁹ See in particular Mastronarde 1968; Effe 1978, 60-64; Gutzwiller 1981, 19-29; van Erp Taalman Kip 1994 for the way Heracles’ heroics are downplayed in *Idyll* 13.

²⁰⁰ I am indebted to the rich commentary of Hunter 1999 for many cues.

²⁰¹ On the bucolic elements already documented, see Tränkle 1963*b*, 505; Mastronarde 1968; Hunter 1999, 263; 284 (on *Id.* 13.64-71); Pretagostini 2007, 51-3, 55-60.

2. Theocritus' bucolic poetry

Theocritus is traditionally regarded as the inventor of the genre of bucolic poetry,²⁰² which deals in hexameters with herdsmen, their songs and (unrequited) love in a rustic setting. As Gutzwiller remarks on the term bucolic, however, "it remains unknown just how and when Theocritean poetry came to be so called, and scholars have not been able to explain how the label *bucolic* defines this set of poetry as a separable and identifiable genre. Collectively, these uncertainties may be said to constitute the 'bucolic problem'."²⁰³ This problem, which has received an enormous amount of scholarly attention, is centred around the meaning of Theocritus' so-called "bucolic terminology", the adjective βουκολικός ("related to herdsmen) and the verb βουκολιάζεσθαι ("play/ behave like a herdsmen"),²⁰⁴ which occur in some of the *Idylls* and refer there to songs sung by the herdsmen in the poems.²⁰⁵ Some scholars have argued that this terminology denotes Theocritus' newly invented bucolic

²⁰² As I am concerned with ancient bucolic poetry, I will avoid using the term pastoral, although it is often used as a synonym, to avoid any confusion with the modern concept of pastoral that evolved from bucolic and is fundamentally different. See also Halperin 1983*a*, 1-23;118-37 on this issue, for instance on p. 9: "(...) scholars and literary critics employ the two words interchangeably, never doubting the appropriateness of applying what is in fact a modern usage to the realities of poetic practice in the ancient world. But the two words are not ancient equivalents. *Boukolikos* is not a synonym of *pastoralis*, nor does *pastoralis* mean precisely what *pastoral* does in English." Cf. also the remark of Berg 1974, 25: "Theocritus had never heard of 'pastoral poetry'."

²⁰³ Gutzwiller 2006*a*, 380.

²⁰⁴ The verb βουκολέω and its cognates in first instance, according to their etymology, refer to cattle, but they can also denote other kinds of herding and herding in general, already in Homer. See e.g. *Il.* 6.21-5 (on the shepherd Boukolion) and *Il.* 20.221 (where horses are grazing: ἵπποι ... βουκολέοντο). See also Gutzwiller 2006*a*, 382-90 on the meaning(s) of βουκολέω.

²⁰⁵ The bucolic terminology occurs in the refrain of Thyrsis' song in *Id.* 1 (e.g. 64: ἄρχετε βουκολικᾶς, Μοῖσαι φίλαι, ἄρχετ' ἀοιδᾶς. "Begin, my Muses, begin the herdsman's song."), *Id.* 1.20 (τᾶς βουκολικᾶς ἐπὶ τὸ πλεόν ἵκεο μοίσας, "you [*Thyrsis*] outstrip all others in herdsman's song"), *Id.* 5.44 (ἀλλὰ γὰρ ἔρφ', ὧδ' ἔρπε, καὶ ὕστατα βουκολιαξῆ. "Still, come here and start your song – your last."), *Id.* 5.60 (αὐτόθε μοι ποτέρισε καὶ αὐτόθε βουκολιάσδευ. "Very well – stay there and sing, challenge me from there."), *Id.* 7.35-6 (ἀλλ' ἄγε δῆ, ξυνὰ γὰρ ὁδὸς ξυνὰ δὲ καὶ ἀώς, ἢ βουκολιασδώμεσθα τάχ' ὠτερος ἄλλον ὄνασεῖ. "But look: we share the road and the day, so let us two sing country songs by turns, and each may profit the other."), *Id.* 7.49 (ἀλλ' ἄγε βουκολικᾶς ταχέως ἀρξώμεθ' ἀοιδᾶς. "But now, let's begin our country songs."). (The translations are by Verity.) See also e.g. Hunter 1999, 5-8 on Theocritus' bucolic terminology and its manifestations.

genre.²⁰⁶ More recently, however, scholars have argued that the term bucolic was used later to denote Theocritus' poetry as a genre, and that Theocritus himself only referred to the songs of the herdsmen in the poems and the Sicilian tradition of herding songs that lies behind them.²⁰⁷

Theocritus' poems that are set in the countryside and deal with herdsmen (*Idylls* 1, 3-7), however, clearly form a separate class of poetry,²⁰⁸ which is reflected by the early separate circulation of these poems, from the late third or early second century BC.²⁰⁹ Although Theocritus may not have used bucolic terminology in a strictly generic sense²¹⁰ and in all the poems mentioned, I will argue in the next section that

²⁰⁶ Van Sickle 1975, 57-8; 1976, 22-5 (Theocritus' bucolic refers to "a new subspecies of the Hesiodic species of the epic genus" (1976: 24); Halperin 1983*a*, 78-9, 249-55 (Theocritus' bucolic refers to all his hexameter poetry as "a kind of *epos* that distinguished itself from the heroic and mythological narratives of Homer and Hesiod on the one hand as well as from the discontinuous and didactic epics of Hesiod and the Alexandrians on the other" [p. 254] in theme, form and language); Schmidt 1987, 187 (Theocritus' bucolic refers to his herding poetry). See also Gutzwiller 1991, 3-9 for the various definitions of Theocritus' hypothesized genre of bucolic poetry that have been proposed.

²⁰⁷ Nauta 1990, 128-9; Gutzwiller 1996, 121-3. Cf. Hunter 1999, 9, who suggests that the terminology results "from a creative reworking of traditions of Sicilian song-making, which may themselves have been to some extent scholarly constructions."

²⁰⁸ Cf. Halperin 1983*a*, x: "Regardless of his specific (and by now unfathomable) intentions, Theocritus somehow endowed a portion of his work with a sufficiently distinctive literary profile to impress its unique qualities on later generations of readers"; Hunter 1999, 5: "(...) the 'bucolic terminology' and the poems in which it appeared (particularly *Idyll* 1, which headed all ancient collections) were presumably felt to represent something distinctive in T.'s work. Moreover, the similarities between all the poems set in the countryside will have been as clear to ancient scholars as they are to us." Cf. Hunter 2002, xviii: "*Idylls* 1 and 3-7 are distinguished rhythmically in their hexameters from Theocritus' other poems, and it is not unreasonable to think that he saw them as a distinct sub-group within his oeuvre. They are also characterized by symmetries of language, structure, and thought which suggest, rather than conceal, the artificiality of the 'natural' world which they depict". I cannot believe Halperin's thesis (on which see also n. 206 above), however, that Theocritus denoted "the great majority of the hexameter *Idylls*" (p. 254) as bucolic, so including his mythological poems, as the term, in my opinion, still evokes herdsmen. Cf. Gutzwiller 1991, 7: "It is hard to see (...) how Callimachus' narrative *Hymns* (...) differ significantly in these respects from *Idylls* 22, 24, and 26, or how the *Hecale* can be separated in genre from Theocritus' mythical narratives. It argues against Halperin's view that a contemporary and acquaintance of Theocritus was writing similar poetry to which the label bucolic was never applied".

²⁰⁹ See Gutzwiller 1996, who also argues convincingly that an older third-century edition of Theocritus' poems (under the collective title εἰδύλλια, "short poems of different types") can be detected, "which may have been comprehensive and so included the surviving hexameter poems, the lost *Berenice*, the Aeolic poems, perhaps the epigrams, and perhaps as well some of the other titles listed by the *Suda* as attributed to Theocritus." (p. 138).

²¹⁰ Gutzwiller 1991, 103: "to take 'bucolic' as a generic label for some or all of Theocritus' *Idylls* remains an act of analogical reconstruction, and so inherently uncertain, unauthorized."

the poet *does* self-consciously use this terminology to denote a specific kind of literature, his “bucolic” poetry, in a well-known passage of *Idyll 7*.

2.1. *Idyll 7: a meta-bucolic poem*²¹¹

In *Idyll 7*, the narrator Simichidas and the mysterious, godlike goatherd Lycidas meet on the island of Cos and exchange songs. As Simichidas’ address to Lycidas shows, Lycidas embodies “the essence of the bucolic”:²¹²

(...) Λυκίδα φίλε, φαντί τυ πάντες
ἤμεν συρικτὰν μέγ’ ὑπείροχον ἔν τε νομεῦσιν
ἔν τ’ ἀματήρεσσι (...) Id. 7.27-9

Lycidas, my friend, all men assert that among herdsmen and reapers you are by far the best of pipers. (tr. Verity)

The young city poet Simichidas thinks of himself as a bucolic poet and clearly evokes the poet Theocritus himself.²¹³ After their exchange of songs, Lycidas smiles and

²¹¹ The programmatic aspects of *Idyll 7* have received enormous scholarly attention: see e.g. Cataudella 1955 (with references to earlier bibliography); Kühn 1958; van Groningen 1959; Puelma 1960; Cameron 1963; Lohse 1966; Luck 1966; 186-89; Lawall 1967, 74-117; Giangrande 1968; Ott 1969, 138-73; Serrao 1971, 13-68; Williams 1971; Seeck 1975; Van Sickle 1975; 1976, 23-4; Segal 1981, 110-66; Halperin 1983a, e.g. 120-5; Berger 1984; Bowie 1985; Walsh 1985; Williams 1987; Effe 1988; Goldhill 1991, 225-40; Seiler 1997, 111-51; Hubbard 1998, 22-8; Hunter 1999, 146-51; Payne 2007, 114-45; Klooster 2009, 205-17.

²¹² Hunter 1999, 148. Cf. Fantuzzi & Hunter 2004, 138, who speak of the “bucolic ‘master’ Lycidas”. See also e.g. Hubbard 1998, 24 for the identifications of Lycidas that have been proposed. Associations with Apollo, because of his cult title Λύκιος (Williams 1971) and Philitas’ poetry (Bowie 1985, Hubbard 1998, 24-6) are undeniable, but if Lycidas, as most scholars seem to think, is a kind of personification of bucolic poetry, it is not surprising that (in accordance with Theocritus’ various sources) the poetic associations that Lycidas evokes are manifold.

²¹³ See e.g. Bowie 1985, 68: “(...) ἐγών in line 1 is to be taken as referring to Theocritus. But this impression is undermined at line 21, where Lycidas addresses the narrator as Simichidas (...). It appears, then, that Simichidas both is and is not Theocritus, and that his name Simichidas has been deliberately held back to allow the presumption to develop that the narrator is Theocritus himself.” Cf. Hunter 1999, 146: “(...) there is nothing which forbids *some kind* of identification between Simichidas and T., and some things positively encourage us to put the two together.” See also Krevans 1983, 219 and Goldhill 1991, 229-30 for the relationship between Theocritus and Simichidas. For a comparison with the identification between Tityrus and Virgil in the *Eclogues*, see Hunter 2006, 129-30.

gives his staff to Simichidas as “a mark of *xenia* arising from the Muses”²¹⁴ (129). As R. Hunter interprets the encounter:²¹⁵

A central irony of *Idyll* 7 is that a “bucolic” poet, who inevitably works within the social networks of the city and for whom ‘being in the countryside’ is usually part of a code (...), is made to confront a ‘real’ creature of the land. The poem is an exploration of what is at stake in and what are the limits of this metaphorical code. Lykidas’ smile is the poet’s recognition of these limits.

After Lycidas’ song, and before beginning his own song, Simichidas addresses the goatherd thus:

(...) Λυκίδα φίλε, πολλὰ μὲν ἄλλα
 Νύμφαι κῆμὲ δίδαξαν ἀν’ ὄρεα βουκολέοντα
 ἐσθλά, τὰ που καὶ Ζηνὸς ἐπὶ θρόνον ἄγαγε φάμα·
 ἀλλὰ τόγ’ ἐκ πάντων μέγ’ ὑπείροχον, ᾧ τυ γεραίρειν
 ἀρξεῦμ’· ἀλλ’ ὑπάκουσον, ἐπεὶ φίλος ἔπλεο Μοίσαις. Id. 7.91-5

Lycidas, my friend, I too have learned much from the Nymphs as I grazed my cows on the hills: excellent songs, whose fame perhaps has reached the throne of Zeus. This is the best of them by far – so listen, please, while I begin to pay you honour, for you are dear to the Muses. (tr. Verity)

In these lines the (partial) identification of Simichidas with Theocritus is activated, since line 93, in which Simichidas says that Zeus may have heard of his songs, clearly refers to the patronage of Ptolemy Philadelphus, “who was born on Cos and whose assimilation to Zeus was a commonplace of contemporary poetry (e.g. [*Idyll*] 17.131-4)”.²¹⁶ In this context, Simichidas’ words in line 92 are closely connected with the poet Theocritus himself, who ironically comments on himself as a bucolic poet. As Hunter says, “Simichidas sees ‘bucolic’ song as essentially a matter of rustic reference. He therefore ‘hyper-bucolicises’ by echoing Hesiod’s investiture as a poet by the Muses, αἶ νυ ποθ’ Ἡσίοδον καλήν ἐδίδαξαν ἀοιδὴν | ἄρνας ποιμαίνονθ’ Ἐλικῶνος ὑπὸ

²¹⁴ Hunter 1999, 190 (ad loc.)

²¹⁵ Hunter 1999, 148.

²¹⁶ Hunter 1999, 179 (on *Id.* 7.93). For the association of Ptolemy Philadelphus with Zeus in *Idyll* 17 as well as in Callimachus’ *Hymn to Zeus*, see also e.g. Heerink 2010, 385-99.

ζαθέοιο (*Theog.* 22-3),²¹⁷ but changing Hesiod's Muses into the more obviously rustic 'Nymphs' (...)."²¹⁸ Theocritus here clearly exploits the so-called bucolic metaphor (the herdsman as bucolic poet)²¹⁹ self-consciously to characterize his bucolic poetry as a distinct type of poetry; we are dealing with learned poetry about herdsmen-poets in which the competitive element in the exchange of song is important, and of which an ancient authority, Hesiod, is claimed as the source.

2.2. Callimachean poetics in *Idyll* 7

In the passage discussed above (*Idyll* 7.91-5), Theocritus' bucolic poetry is also implicitly associated with Callimachean poetics. In line 95, Simichidas says that Lycidas is "dear to the Muses" (φίλος ... Μουσαῖς), an expression which is intertextually connected to the prologue of Callimachus' *Aetia*, where the poet declares that his literary adversaries, the Telchines, are "no friends of the Muse" (Μούσης οὐκ ἐγένοντο φίλοι, 2).²²⁰ By implication, Callimachus is a friend of the Muses. This is reinforced at the end of the prologue, where the poet declares that although he is old, the Muses still favour him:

..... Μοῦσαι γὰρ ὅσους ἴδον ὄθματι παῖδας
μὴ λοξῶ, πολιοὺς οὐκ ἀπέθεντο φίλους. *Aet.* fr. 1.37-8 Pf.

For if the Muses have not looked askance at one in his childhood, they do not cast him from their friendship when he is grey. (tr. Trypanis)

²¹⁷ "One time, they [*the Muses*] taught Hesiod beautiful song while he was pasturing lambs under holy Helicon." (tr. Most)

²¹⁸ Hunter 1999, 178-9 (on *Id.* 13.91-2). The underlinings are mine.

²¹⁹ See Gutzwiller 2006*b* on the history of this metaphor in Greek poetry.

²²⁰ See also Ch. 1, pp. 29-30 for a discussion of the problematic relative chronology of Hellenistic poetry in general, and that between Apollonius and Callimachus in particular. The intertextual contact between Callimachus and Theocritus is, in my opinion, undeniable (*pace* Köhnken 2001). Although I will regard Theocritus as alluding to Callimachus' poetological statements, I would like to stress again that with regard to the poetry of Apollonius, Callimachus and Theocritus I endorse the "work in progress hypothesis". Accordingly, the direction of influence can be reversed – as Callimachus reading Theocritus metapoetically and making his statements explicit – without any implications for the metapoetical dimension of either intertext.

In this context, Lycidas also recalls the patron of Callimachus' poetry, Lycian Apollo, who gave the poet advice on the kind of poetry he should write:

καὶ γὰρ ὅτε πρῶτιστον ἐμοῖς ἐπὶ δέλτον ἔθηκα
 γούνασιν, Ἀπόλλων εἶπεν ὁ μοι Λύκιος·
 “.....]... ἀοιδέ, τὸ μὲν θύος ὅτι πάχιστον
 θρέψαι, τῆ]ν Μοῦσαν δ' ὠγαθὲ λεπταλέην.” *Aet. fr. 1.21-4 Pf.*

For, when I first placed a writing-tablet on my knees, Lycian Apollo said to me:
 “... poet, feed the victim to be as fat as possible, but, my friend, keep the Muse slender.” (tr. Trypanis)

So Lycidas, the personification of bucolic poetry, resembles Callimachus' Apollo, a connection that is reinforced by the etymological connection of their names, as derived from Apollo's epithet Λύκ(ε)ιος,²²¹ and their similar expression of Callimachean poetical ideals, not only in the *Aetia*, but also at the end of the *Hymn to Apollo*. As we have seen in the previous chapter, Apollo there advocates the same poetic principles, but in relation to Homer and neo-“Homeric” poetry.²²²

Similarly, Lycidas supports Callimachean poetics by reference to Homer in *Idyll 7*: one should not slavishly imitate the great poet from Chios:²²³

ὥς μοι καὶ τέκτων μέγ' ἀπέχθεται ὅστις ἐρευνῆ
 ἴσον ὄρευς κορυφᾶ τελέσαι δόμον Ὀρομέδοντος,
 καὶ Μοισᾶν ὄρνιχες ὅσοι ποτὶ Χίον ἀοιδόν
 ἀντία κοκκύζοντες ἐτώσια μοχθίζοντι. *Id. 7.45-8*

I hate the craftsman who strives to build his house as high as the topmost peak of Mount Oromedon, and I hate those Muses' cockerels who crow vainly to no effect against the singer who comes from Chios. (tr. Verity)

²²¹ For the possible meanings of this epithet, see e.g. Harder 2010, II, on *Aet. fr. 1.23*.

²²² The term is borrowed from Hopkinson 1988, 86, who uses it to denote τὸ ποίημα τὸ κυκλικόν (“the ‘cyclic’ poem”), which Callimachus declares himself to hate in *Ep. 28.1*. See Ch. 1, par 2.5 for the text and interpretation, which follows Koster 1970, 119 and Williams 1978, 89.

²²³ As Dr Cuypers suggests to me, the meta-bucolic statement in these lines is underlined by the framing lines 35-6 and 49, which employ “bucolic terminology” (on which see p. 71 above).

And there are more elements in this passage that associate Lycidas with Callimachus. Lycidas' polemic stance, and in particular his expressed hatred of the wrong kind of poetry, brings Callimachus' famous programmatic statement in *Epigram 28 Pf.* to mind: Ἐχθαίρω τὸ ποίημα τὸ κυκλικόν. "I hate the 'cyclic' poem."²²⁴ Lycidas' use of the poetical metaphor of the craftsman (τέκτων) for the poet would recall another Callimachean passage, *Iamb 13*, if we had it intact, for the *Diegesis* states:²²⁵

Ἐν τούτῳ πρὸς τοὺς καταμεμφομένους αὐτὸν ἐπὶ τῇ πολυειδεῖα ὧν γράφει ποιημάτων ἀπαντῶν φησιν ὅτι Ἴωνα μιμεῖται τὸν τραγικόν· ἀλλ' οὐδὲ τὸν τέκτονα τις μέμφεται πολυειδῆ σκευὴ τεκταινόμενον.

Dieg. 9.33-8 (Pfeiffer 1949-53, I, 205)

In this poem Callimachus responds to those who criticize him for the formal variety (*polyeideia*) of his poetry by saying that he is following the example of Ion the tragic poet; he adds that no one faults a craftsman for fashioning various articles. (tr. Nisetich, adapted)

Furthermore, as we have seen in the previous chapter, Erysichthon's plan to build an "epic" banqueting hall in Callimachus' *Hymn to Demeter*, with its metapoetical dimension, is opposed to Callimachus' poetics.²²⁶ Despite its implicit character, the passage thus provides a striking parallel with Lycidas' poetological statement.

A final point of contact concerns the ugly-sounding animals to denote literary opponents, which recalls the prologue to the *Aetia*, where Callimachus rejects the sound of asses:²²⁷

²²⁴ Cf. Call. *H. Dem.* 117: ἐμοὶ κακογείτονες ἐχθροί, "I hate evil neighbours".

²²⁵ Cf. Hunter 1999, 164 (on *Id.* 7.45-6).

²²⁶ See Ch. 1, Section 3.2.1, where the metapoetical interpretations of Callimachus' hymn by Müller 1987 and Murray 2004 are discussed.

²²⁷ Although the example of the long flight of the cranes in the *Aetia* prologue (13-4) is in first instance used by Callimachus to renounce long poetry, the passage may also suggest criticism of the style of long (mythological and/or historical) poems, because of the ugly sound that cranes produce, and thus provide an interesting parallel to Lycidas' words. See Harder 2010, II, on *Aet.* fr. 1.13-6, for this interpretation and for the interesting parallel in Lucr. *DRN* 4.176ff., "where the short songs of swans are contrasted with the ugly shouting of the cranes".

(...) ἐνὶ τοῖς γὰρ ἀείδομεν οἱ λιγὺν ἦχον
 τέττιγος, θ]όρυβον δ' οὐκ ἐφίλησαν ὄνων.
 θηρὶ μὲν οὐατόεντι πανεῖκελον ὀγκήσαιτο
 ἄλλος, ἐγὼ δ' εἶην οὐλ[α]χύς, ὁ πτερόεις.

Aet. fr. 1.29-30 Pf.

For we sing among those who love the shrill voice of the cicada and not the noise of asses. Let others bray just like the long-eared brute, but let me be the small, the winged one. (tr. Trypanis, adapted)

When Lycidas hands over his staff, the suggestion is that he invests Simichidas as a poet. Although Lycidas here again resembles Apollo, whose role in the *Aetia* prologue is somewhat similar, there is a more obvious connection with the *Aetia*. Although our information concerning the text of this poem after the prologue is scanty, the fragments and scholia seem to suggest that Callimachus described how he was invested as a poet on Mount Helicon by the Muses, who communicated the *Aetia* to him. Callimachus is obviously imitating his famous predecessor Hesiod here, who, as we saw, had a similar experience in the *Theogony* (22-3), to which Callimachus explicitly refers:²²⁸

ποιμένι μῆλα νέμοντι παρ' ἵχνιον ὄξεος ἵππου
 Ἡσιόδῳ Μουσέων ἔσμος ὅτ' ἠντίασεν
 μ]έν οἱ Χάεος γενεσ[
] ἐπὶ πτέρων ὕδα[
 τεύχων ὡς ἐτέρῳ τις ἐῶ κακὸν ἦπατι τεύχει

Aet. fr. 2.1-5 Pf./4.1-5 M

When the Muses swarmed up to Hesiod the shepherd, grazing his flock where the swift horse left its print ... [they told him] ... of Chaos born ... [... wa]ter [bursting] at heel ... and that "Evil devised against another eats the heart of its deviser". (tr. Nisetich)

²²⁸ For Hesiod as Callimachus' model, see e.g. Reinsch-Werner 1976; Fantuzzi & Hunter 2004, 51-60. Callimachus' *Aetia* seems to have Hesiod's *Theogony* as a model for an alternative to heroic poetry because of its aetiological interest in the Olympian pantheon, for the *Aetia* can be seen as "a kind of sequel to *Theogony*, which takes the story to the next stage" (Fantuzzi & Hunter 2004, 54), by dealing with the aetiology of the cults and rites of these same gods. Theocritus' bucolic poetry, on the other hand, by modelling itself on Hesiod as a herdsman, seems to achieve the same anti-heroic objective in a different way.

Because of the already established intertextual contact between *Idyll 7* and the beginning of the *Aetia*, the fact that the encounter between Lycidas and Simichidas is based on the same passage from Hesiod is very suggestive. We have already seen that Simichidas portrays himself as a bucolic poet and follower of the shepherd-poet Hesiod (91ff.), and now, when he is given Lycidas' staff, Simichidas recalls Hesiod again:²²⁹

ὁ δέ μοι τὸ λαγωβόλον, ἀδὺ γελάσσας
ὡς πάρος, ἐκ Μοισᾶν ξεινήμιον ὤπασεν ἦμεν. Id. 7.128-9

And he, with a cheerful laugh as before, gave me the stick, pledging friendship in the Muses. (tr. Verity)

ὡς ἔφασαν κοῦραι μεγάλου Διὸς ἀρτιέπειαι,
καί μοι σκῆπτρον ἔδον δάφνης ἐριθιλέος ὄζον
δρέψασαι, θηητόν· ἐνέπνευσαν δέ μοι αὐδὴν
θέσπιν, ἵνα κλείοιμι τὰ τ' ἐσσόμενα πρό τ' ἐόντα,
καί μ' ἐκέλονθ' ὕμνεϊν μακάρων γένος αἰὲν ἐόντων,
σφᾶς δ' αὐτὰς πρῶτον τε καὶ ὕστατον αἰὲν ἀείδειν. Th. 29-34

So spoke great Zeus' ready-speaking daughters, and they plucked a staff, a branch of luxuriant laurel, a marvel, and gave it to me; and they breathed a divine voice into me, so that I might glorify what will be and what was before, and they commanded me to sing of the race of the blessed ones who always are, but always to sing of themselves first and last. (tr. Most)

Simichidas is now, just like Callimachus in the *Aetia* prologue, a friend of the Muse, invested as a bucolic poet by Lycidas, who resembles both Callimachus' Apollo and his (Hesiodic) Muses. The identification between Simichidas and the poet Theocritus himself, which was already adumbrated at the beginning of the poem, is thus reinforced by the link created between Simichidas and the poet Callimachus. At the same time it is made clear that Theocritus' bucolic poetry is Callimachean.

²²⁹ See also Hunter 1999, 149f. on this allusion.

2.3. Heroic vs. bucolic poetry in *Idyll 1: the ivy cup*

In *Idyll 7*, Theocritus' bucolic poetry is characterized as Callimachean. Just like Callimachus, Theocritus (through Simichidas) aligns his poetry with that of the shepherd-poet Hesiod. The other major ancient authority also comes into play when Lycidas warns Simichidas not slavishly to follow Homer: the Homeric diction of the poem²³⁰ and the allusions to Homer²³¹ show that Homer is also an important model. This attitude towards Homer resembles that of Callimachus at the end of his *Hymn to Apollo*, where Homer was regarded as the pure source of all poetry, the quality of which should be emulated, but the nature of which should not be slavishly followed in every respect. The Callimachean alignment with Hesiod and stance with regard to Homer are expressed differently, but no less clearly, by Theocritus in the other important programmatic passage in his oeuvre: the description of the ivy cup in *Idyll 1.27-61*. Since ecphrasis involves the description of a work of art in art, the phenomenon is *a priori* very susceptible to metapoetical reading as a *mise en abyme*, a representation in miniature, of the work which contains it.²³² Moreover, apart from the ecphrasis, *Idyll 1* is already considered a very programmatic, "meta-bucolic" poem by scholars. "In particular, the form of the poem – a dialogue between two herdsmen – has been seen as paradigmatic of Theocritus' representation of shepherds' song".²³³ Furthermore, the greater part of the poem (64-145) consists of a song by the shepherd Thyrsis on the βουκόλος Daphnis, "variously the first 'bucolic' singer and the original subject of 'bucolic song'".²³⁴ The song also clearly defines itself as bucolic through the varied one-line refrain featuring bucolic terminology, for example at the beginning of the poem:²³⁵

²³⁰ Cf. e.g. Hunter 1999, 150, who notes that "the style of *Idyll 7* is more 'Homeric' than almost any other 'bucolic' poem".

²³¹ See e.g. Ott 1972, 134-49; Goldhill 1987, 3-4; Hunter 1999, 150 (with 199, on *Id.* 7.156): "The journey of *Idyll 7* ends with an evocation of the promised end of Odysseus' wanderings."

²³² See also Introduction, p. 9 with n. 30 and Ch. 1, Section 2.4 for this phenomenon.

²³³ Goldhill 1991, 240. Cf. Halperin 1983a, 162.

²³⁴ Hunter 1999, 60. For the programmatic dimension of Thyrsis' song in *Idyll 1*, see also e.g. Halperin 1983a, 161-7; Cairns 1984; Goldhill 1991, 240-6; Hunter 2006, 60-8.

²³⁵ Cf. Goldhill 1991, 240-1.

ἄρχετε βουκολικᾶς, Μοῖσαι φίλαι, ἄρχετ' ἀοιδας.

Id. 1.1

Begin, my Muses, begin the herdsman's song. (tr. Verity)

The ivy cup is the prize for Thyrsis' song, and as Hunter comments: "In the bucolic world of reciprocal exchange rather than financial transaction, cup is to be exchanged for song: both are of an equal value."²³⁶ Because of this parallelism between Thyrsis' meta-bucolic song and the ivy cup, the ecphrasis is very likely to be a *mise en abyme* of Theocritus' bucolic poetry in general, and it has often been interpreted as such.²³⁷ As in *Idyll 7*, bucolic poetry is defined in relation to the epic genre, to which it formally belongs because of the metre, the ancient criterion to define epic, and as in *Idyll 7* this relationship to epic is very Callimachean. First of all, Theocritus again aligns himself with Hesiod, the paradigmatic Callimachean shepherd-poet, for the cup, given to Thyrsis in exchange for a song, brings to mind the tripod Hesiod won in a poetry competition (*WD* 656).²³⁸

The most important model for the cup is the famous description of Achilles' shield in *Iliad* 18. Through his engagement with this ecphrasis, Theocritus again defines his poetry in relation to Homer, the source of (heroic) epic. Theocritus – and the same can be said for Callimachus – focuses on Homeric leftovers, on the non-heroic material that Homer touched upon, but which was not hackneyed in the subsequent epic tradition. As Hunter puts it: "The world of the bucolic poems is, from one

²³⁶ Hunter 1999, 76. See especially Halperin 1983*a*, 163-7 for the relation between the ecphrasis of the cup and Thyrsis' song ("Whether the relation of cup to song is interpreted as one of parallelism, expansion, or contrast, there can be no doubt that Theocritus intended each artefact to be set against the other as complementary illustrations of the bucolic 'genre'.")

²³⁷ See e.g. Goldhill 1987, 2: "Each of the scenes on the cup has been thought indicative both of the nature of the pastoral world described by Theocritus and of the λεπτός style of his Hellenistic poetry – especially in the way that the depiction of the cup (in contrast with the shield of Achilles) offers a series of small-scale, unheroic fragments with no pretensions to a holistic picture of the world". For the programmatic aspects of the ecphrasis, see also e.g. Lawall 1967, 28-30; Segal 1974*a*; Halperin 1983*a*, 167-89; 218-9; Cairns 1984; Goldhill 1991, 243-4; Seiler 1997, 217-29; Hubbard 1998, 21-2; Hunter 1999, 76 (on *Id.* 13.27-61); extensive earlier bibliography at Halperin 1983*a*, 161, n. 50.

²³⁸ Hunter 1999, 76 (on *Id.* 1.27-61).

perspective, the world which epic forgot”.²³⁹ Accordingly, Theocritus’ ecphrasis is on the one hand clearly based on Homer’s *Shield*, as the three scenes depicted on the cup “all have analogues on the Shield of Achilles”.²⁴⁰ On the other hand, however, Theocritus describes a κισσύβιον, an “ivy cup” (27), a rare word which only occurs twice in Homer, in the *Odyssey*, referring to the cups of the herdsmen Polyphemus (*Odyssey* 9.346) and Eumaeus (*Odyssey* 16.52). Thus, “it is clear that the cup is to be seen as a ‘bucolicisation’ of the Shield, where the first ‘bucolic poets’ of literature appear”.²⁴¹ From Theocritus’ point of view, the Shield can be read as creating a contrast between heroic and bucolic epic, for in the description of the city at war (*Iliad* 18.509-40), the besieged inhabitants, who leave the city armed in an attempt to ambush the besiegers, are contrasted with the shepherds encountered by their scouts:

οἳ δ' ὅτε δή ῥ' ἴκανον ὅθι σφίσιν εἶκε λοχῆσαι,	520
ἔν ποταμῶ, ὅθι τ' ἀρδμὸς ἔην πάντεσσι βοτοῖσιν,	
ἔνθ' ἄρα τοί γ' ἴζοντ' εἰλυμένοι αἰθοπι χαλκῶ.	
τοῖσι δ' ἔπειτ' ἀπάνευθε δύω σκοποὶ ἦατο λαῶν,	
δέγμενοι ὀππότε μῆλα ἰδοῖατο καὶ ἔλικας βοῦς.	
οἳ δὲ τάχα προγένοντο, δύω δ' ἅμ' ἔποντο νομῆες	525
τερπόμενοι σύριγξί· δόλον δ' οὐ τι προνόησαν.	
οἳ μὲν τὰ προιδόντες ἐπέδραμον, ὦκα δ' ἔπειτα	
τάμνοντ' ἀμφὶ βοῶν ἀγέλας καὶ πώεα καλὰ	
ἀργεννέων οἴων, κτεῖνον δ' ἐπὶ μηλοβοτῆρας.	<i>Il.</i> 18.520-9

But when they had come to the place where it seemed good to them to set their ambush, in a riverbed where there was a watering place for all herds alike, there they sat down, clothed about with ruddy bronze. Then two scouts were by them set apart from the army, waiting till they should have sight of the sheep and sleek cattle. And these came soon, and two herdsmen followed with them playing on pipes; and of the guile they had no foreknowledge at all. But the ambushers, when they saw them coming on, rushed out against them and speedily cut off the herds of cattle and fair flocks of white-fleeced sheep and slew the herdsmen. (tr. Murray & Wyatt)

²³⁹ Hunter 2002, xvi.

²⁴⁰ Hunter 1999, 76 (on *Id.* 1.27-61).

²⁴¹ Hunter 1999, 76 (on *Id.* 1.27-61).

This passage can be read as an aetiology of Theocritus' poetry, which is on the one hand contrasted with Homer's heroic epic (as the city at war can thematically be seen as a *mise en abyme* of the *Iliad*), but on the other hand licensed as an alternative kind of epic by Homer, who does incorporate the herdsmen in his *Iliad*.²⁴²

The way Theocritus deals with Homer's *Shield* can be described as Callimachean, because it resembles Apollo's programmatic statement concerning his relationship with Homer at the end of the *Hymn to Apollo*, but also because Callimachus alludes to the *Shield* in a similar fashion. After the cities of peace and war, more poetical worlds are depicted on Homer's *Shield*, which can be seen as alternatives to Homer's epic on war. In particular the description of a boy making music amidst people working on a vineyard is susceptible to metapoetical reading:²⁴³

τοῖσιν δ' ἐν μέσσοισι **παῖς** φόρμιγγι λιγυίῃ
ἴμερόεν κιθάριζε, λίνον δ' ὑπὸ καλὸν **ἄειδε**
λεπταλέῃ φώνῃ

Il. 18.569-71

And in their midst a child made pleasant music with a clear-toned lyre, and to it he sang sweetly the Linos song with his delicate voice. (tr. Murray & Wyatt)

Callimachus reads these lines metapoetically. Stephens interprets the clear intertextual contact between this passage and the *Aetia* prologue (marked in bold) as follows:²⁴⁴

We (...) find compressed into these three lines the values most often associated with Callimachean aesthetics as adumbrated in the *Aetia* prologue: a child or youth as bard (fr. 1.6 Pf.: **παῖς ἄττε**);²⁴⁵ delicacy of sound whether of instrument

²⁴² Cf. Stephens 2002/3, 13 (quoted below).

²⁴³ This metapoetical dimension is reinforced by the mention of "woven baskets" (*πλεκτοῖς ἐν ταλάροισι*) a line earlier (*Il.* 18.568), as weaving is a common poetical metaphor (on which see Introduction, n. 31). Cf. Hunter 1999, 82 (on *Id.* 1.45-54).

²⁴⁴ Stephens 2002/3, 13; 16; the bold markings are mine.

²⁴⁵ Cf. Stephens 2002/3, 16: "(...) Homer's singer, the light-voiced *pais*, conforms to Callimachus' formulation of his poetic persona as child-like, or young in the face of his critics, the old-fashioned Telchines (fr. 1.16Pf.). As the prologue unfolds, the importance of the child's voice is underscored by the moment of poetic initiation – childhood (fr. 1.21-22 Pf.) – when Callimachus first 'sings'. The small voice of the child, like the 'slender Muse' and the thin-voiced and disembodied cicada that is the essence of song (fr. 1.29-34 Pf.), is an emblem of Callimachus' poetics."

or voice (fr. 1.24 Pf.: *λεπταλέην*; fr. 1.29 Pf.: *λιγύν*); and the description of the creative action as singing (fr. 1.1: *ᾠοδῆ*; 23: *ᾠοδέ*; 33: *ᾠείδω*). In fact, these three Homeric lines provide not only a distillation but also a validation of Callimachean aesthetics: they set out a poetic agenda that runs counter to epic, while at the same time appearing side-by-side *with* and *in* epic, and it thus seems authorized by Homer himself. (...)

In Callimachus these elements of Homer's vignette have been elaborated and diffused throughout the prologue; and their Homeric context – the Trojan war – is no longer visible. In this way, Callimachus reverses Homer's original gesture as he adapts 'Homer' to fit his own poetic space.

So the boy on Homer's Shield resembles Callimachus' own poetic persona and the Linus song of the boy accords with Callimachus' poetics. In relation to the interpretation of Theocritus' Hylas poem, it is interesting that Callimachus deals with the Linus song himself later in the first book of the *Aetia*, in the context of the adventures of Heracles (fragments 22-25 Pf./24-27 M). A farmer from Lindus reproaches Heracles for killing his ox, but the hero does not listen:

ὦς ὁ μὲν ἔνθ' ἠρᾶτο, σὺ δ' ὡς ἀλὸς ἦχον ἀκούει
 Σ]ελλὸς ἐνὶ Τμαρίοις οὖρεσιν Ἰκαρίης,
 ἠιθέων ὡς μάχλα φιλήτορος ὦτα πενιχροῦ,
 ὡς ἄδικοι πατέρων υἱέες, ὡς σὺ λύρης
 – ἔσσι] γὰρ οὐ μάλ' ἐλαφρός, ἅ καὶ Λίνος οὐ σ' ἔχε λέξαι –²⁴⁶
 λυ]γρῶν ὡς ἐπέων οὐδὲν [ὀπι]ζόμε[εν]ος Aet. fr. 23.2-7 Pf./25.2-7 M

So he [*the farmer*] cursed then, but you [*Heracles*] did not listen, as the Selloi on Mt. Tmarus hear the sound of the Icarian sea, as the wanton ears of youth hear needy lovers, as unjust sons their fathers, as you the lyre – for you were not easy and Linus could not tell you anything – respecting not at all the dire words
 ... (tr. Stephens 2002/3, 20)

Linus occurs here in his role as Heracles' musical instructor, to whom the hero did not listen.²⁴⁷ In lines 5-6, Callimachus seems to refer to the "proverbial example of the lack of musicality – an ass listening to the lyre".²⁴⁸ This reminds us of the prologue of

²⁴⁶ Pfeiffer 1949-53 prints the end of line 6 as *λι ος ουσεχελέξ..-*, but approves of the restoration of Wilamowitz in his apparatus; Massimilla (1996) prints the text with restoration, as it stands here.

²⁴⁷ Stephens 2002/3, 20. See p. 17 of this article for the several identities of Linus.

²⁴⁸ Stephens 2002/3, 20.

the *Aetia*, where Callimachus associates his own poetry with the “clear sound of the cicada” (λιγὺν ἦχον | τέπτιγος, 29-30), which he contrasts with the braying of asses (θόρουβον ... ὄνων, 30). Heracles is thus associated with the un-Callimachean sound of asses, heroic poetry, which is reinforced by his characterization as οὐ μάλ’ ἐλαφρός (6), the opposite of the Callimachean poetical ideal λεπτότης.²⁴⁹ By analogy, Linus is a Callimachean singer, a message reinforced by the intertextual nexus that connects the passage to the *Aetia* prologue (λιγύν, 29) and *Iliad* 18.569, where the Callimachus-like boy was playing a “clear-toned lyre” (φόρμιγγι λιγείη).

Theocritus reacts to the boy on Homer’s *Shield* in similar metapoetical fashion. The third scene on the ivy cup depicts a small boy guarding a vineyard:²⁵⁰

τυτθὸν δ’ ὅσον ἄπωθεν ἀλιτρώτοιο γέροντος
περκναῖσι σταφυλαῖσι καλὸν βέβριθεν ἄλωά,
τὰν ὀλίγος τις κῶρος ἐφ’ αἵμασιαῖσι φυλάσσει
ἦμενος· ἀμφὶ δέ νιν δὺ ἄλώπεκες, ἃ μὲν ἀν’ ὄρχως
φοιτῆ σινομένα τὰν τρώξιμον, ἃ δ’ ἐπὶ πήρα
πάντα δόλον τεύχοισα τὸ παιδίον οὐ πρὶν ἀνησεῖν
φατὶ πρὶν ἢ ἀκράτιστον ἐπὶ ξηροῖσι καθίξει.
αὐτὰρ ὄγ’ ἀνθερέκοισι καλὰν πλέκει ἀκριδοθήραν
σχοίνῳ ἐφαρμόσδων· μέλεται δέ οἱ οὔτε τι πήρας
οὔτε φυτῶν τοσσηνον ὅσον περὶ πλέγματι γαθεῖ.

Id. 1.45-54

Not far from this sea-beaten old man there is a vineyard, heavily laden with dark ripe grape-clusters. A little boy watches over it, perched on a drystone wall. Two foxes lurk nearby; one prowls down the vine rows, stealing the ripe fruit, while the other pits all her cunning against the boy’s satchel. No respite for him, she reckons, till he has nothing left for breakfast but dry bread. But he is twisting a pretty trap for grasshoppers of asphodel, plaiting it with rushes, with never a thought for satchel and vines, absorbed as he is in his weaving task. (tr. Verity, adapted)

Through an “unusually close reworking”,²⁵¹ Theocritus immediately makes clear that Homer’s vineyard scene with the boy making music is his main model:

²⁴⁹ Stephens 2002/3, 20.

²⁵⁰ For the programmatic aspects of this scene in general, see Ott 1969, 99-109; Halperin 1983a, 176-81; Goldhill 1987, 2-3; Hubbard 1998, 22; Hunter 1999, ad loc.

ἐν δὲ τίθει σταφυλῆσι μέγα βροίθουσαν ἄλωήν
καλήν χρυσεῖην ...

Il. 18.561-2

On it he [*Hephaistos*] set also a vineyard heavily laden with clusters, a vineyard fair and golden ... (tr. Murray & Wyatt)

Just as Callimachus did, Theocritus has interpreted Homer's boy in a metapoetical way and has made him a symbol of the bucolic poet/himself. Whereas Callimachus' poetical persona became Homer's παῖς, however, singing the same kind of refined song, Theocritus does something different. By depicting the boy as engaged in "weaving" (πλέκει, 52), Theocritus activates the potential poetical metaphor of weaving in Homer, where the boy's bystanders were carrying fruit "in woven baskets" (πλεκτοῖς ἐν ταλάροισι, *Il.* 18.568).²⁵² Probably, the fact that the boy is making a trap for grasshoppers is in this context metapoetically significant as well, for in *Idyll* 7.41 Simichidas, speaking to Lycidas about his own poetic qualities, associates this insect with good poets:

καὶ γὰρ ἐγὼ Μοισᾶν καπυρὸν στόμα, κῆμὲ λέγοντι
πάντες ἀοιδὸν ἄριστον· ἐγὼ δὲ τις οὐ ταχυπειθής,
οὐ Δᾶν· οὐ γὰρ πῶ κατ' ἐμὸν νόον οὔτε τὸν ἐσθλόν
Σικελίδαν νίκημι τὸν ἐκ Σάμῳ οὔτε Φιλίταν
ἀείδων, βάτραχος δὲ ποτ' ἀκρίδας ὡς τις ἐρίσδω.

Id. 7.37-41

I have a clear voice too, you know, the gift of the Muses. Men call *me* the best of singers, though I'm not one to be quickly persuaded, I assure you. I certainly don't believe I am yet a rival to mighty Sicelidas of Samos in song, not to Philitas. I'm but a frog competing with grasshoppers. (tr. Verity)

Moreover, this poetical association of the small animal also brings to mind the cicada, with which Callimachus explicitly associates himself in the *Aetia* prologue (29-32), and to which Thyrsis is compared later in *Idyll* 1 by the anonymous goatherd because

²⁵¹ Hunter 1999, 82 (on *Id.* 1.46).

²⁵² Segal 1974a, 3 already noticed that the boy constructing the grasshopper trap is an image for a poet. For weaving as a common poetical metaphor, see Introduction, n. 31 above.

of his archetypically bucolic song about Daphnis.²⁵³

The grasshopper-trap that the boy is making can be seen as a “symbol of the poem”²⁵⁴ and, as a further *mise en abyme*, as an emblem of Theocritus’ bucolic poetry. For the basket is made in part of reed (σχοίνωφ, 53),²⁵⁵ the same material of which that other symbol of Theocritus’ poetry, Daphnis’ panpipe is made.²⁵⁶ The programmatic dimension of the boy’s basket is reinforced later by Virgil, who clearly uses weaving a basket as a symbol for the writing of a bucolic poem:²⁵⁷

haec sat erit, divae, vestrum cecinisse poetam,
dum sedet et gracili fiscellam textit hibisco,
Pierides ...

Ecl. 10.70-2

To have sung of these things, goddesses, while he sat and wove | a frail of slim hibiscus, will suffice your poet. (tr. Lee)

To speak through Hunter, “the boy on the cup is an image of the bucolic poet, constructing something beautiful from ‘natural materials’ (52-3)”,²⁵⁸ and Theocritus’ poetical persona is thus, like that of Callimachus, a playing child.²⁵⁹

²⁵³ *Id.* 1.148: τέττιγος ἐπεὶ τύγα φέρτερον ἄδεις, “for you sing more sweetly than the cicada” (tr. Verity).

²⁵⁴ Cairns 1984, 104.

²⁵⁵ See Goldhill 1987, 3-6 for the possible metapoetical associations of the word through the intertextual contact with Callimachus’ *Aetia* prologue, where σχοῖνος occurs in the sense “land-measure” in a poetological context.

²⁵⁶ Cairns 1984, 102.

²⁵⁷ Cairns 1984, 103, who also adduces Servius’ comment (on *gracili*): *allegoricos significant se composuisse hunc libellum tenuissimo stilo*. “He allegorically says that he has composed a poetry booklet in the most refined style.” See also Ch. 3, Section 5.2 for the metapoetical significance of Virgil’s basket.

²⁵⁸ Hunter 1999, 82 (on *Id.* 1.45-54).

²⁵⁹ Cf. Halperin 1983a, 181: “The playful child came to be a fitting figure for the Alexandrian poet dedicated to upholding standards of artistic modesty and avoiding the grand themes of ‘serious’ literature. The most famous instance is Callimachus’ self-characterization in the *Aetia* prologue (...). The import of Theocritus’ miniature was not lost on Virgil, who portrays himself at the end of his *Bucolics* engaged in an occupation resembling that of the boy on the ivy-cup – similarly combining πόνος and παίγνιον, *meditari* and *ludere*, work and play – and almost as irresponsibly absorbed (10.71)”. See also Halperin 1983a, 181 for other aspects of the passage that are programmatic for Theocritus’ poetry, such as the “concentration on a single humorous incident” and the “sense of unencumbered delight”. An interesting question, which I cannot address here, is whether the foxes, who steal away the boy’s food while he is weaving, can also be interpreted metapoetically as rival poets.

So in the ecphrasis of the ivy cup Theocritus describes his bucolic poetry in very Callimachean terms as playful, refined, sophisticated and original with regard to the heroic epic tradition by means of a “technique of inversion”, as Halperin calls it, through which he turns heroic epic inside-out.²⁶⁰

3. Bucolic Hylas, epic Heracles

In *Idylls* 1 and 7, Theocritus defines, or comments on, his own bucolic poetry in very Callimachean terms. But the poems differ in their approach. *Idyll* 7 deals more clearly and explicitly with poetry than the ecphrasis in *Idyll* 1, which is only implicitly about poetry. I will now argue that Theocritus’ *Hylas* also defines bucolic poetry in Callimachean terms, in yet another way, for *Idyll* 13 is not a bucolic poem. Whereas in *Idylls* 1 and 7 the “technique of inversion” is used to define bucolic in relation to heroic poetry from within a bucolic poem, *Idyll* 13 does so from *outside*, for the story that Theocritus tells Nicias is not about a herdsman, but about the epic hero Heracles participating in the epic expedition of the Argo. At first sight, the poem thus seems a mythological, heroic-epic episode. Accordingly, the poem is generally denoted as an epyllion, a “little epic” in Hellenistic fashion.²⁶¹ This is reinforced by the occasional epic language²⁶² and the fact that it summarizes half an epic *Argonautica* in lines 16-24.²⁶³ Apart from the problems with the modern concept “epyllion” itself, which is

²⁶⁰ Halperin 1983a, 219: “a heroic theme is inverted when it is detached from the heroic world and set instead amid the prosaic activities and humble personages of daily life.” Cf. Bing 1988, 47; DeForest 1994, 25: “[Theocritus’] *Idylls* are essentially epic poems turned inside-out.”

²⁶¹ E.g. Crump 1931; Gutzwiller 1981; 1996, 132-3; Hunter 1999, 262, who, however, also notes on *Id.* 13 that “in length and scope (...) it is well short of what are traditionally regarded as Hellenistic ‘epyllia’, poems such as Moschus’ *Europa* and the *Megara* ...”. Cf. Hollis 1990, 23-4, who doubts “whether ‘Hylas’ should be considered an epyllion” (24, n. 4).

²⁶² Cf. Hunter 1999, 262.

²⁶³ In fact, as e.g. Hunter 1999, 271 (on *Id.* 13.16-24) points out, these lines correspond to the first half of Apollonius’ *Argonautica*. See also Section 3.3.1 for this intertextual contact.

not used in antiquity as a technical term and is quite vague,²⁶⁴ it is misleading to call *Idyll 13* an epyllion, because the poem contains elements that can be called bucolic, such as the motif of the echo, to which I will turn first.

3.1. Reading Hylas' echo

As we have seen in Chapter 1, the answer of Theocritus' Hylas to Heracles' cry (59-60) resembles an echo, and it has interpreted as such by Virgil, Propertius and Valerius Flaccus.²⁶⁵ As was also pointed out, and as the underlinings below indicate, line 59, describing Hylas' answer, "echoes" line 58 on a textual and phonic level:

τρὶς μὲν Ὑλαν ἄυσει, ὅσον βαθὺς ἤρυγε λαιμός·
τρὶς δ' ἄρ' ὁ παῖς ὑπάκουσεν, ἀραιὰ δ' ἴκετο φωνά
ἐξ ὕδατος, παρεῶν δὲ μάλα σχεδὸν εἶδετο πόρρω Id. 13.58-60

"Hylas!" he bellowed, as loud as his deep throat could cry, three times. Three times the boy replied, but his voice rose faint from the pool; though close, it sounded far away. (tr. Verity)

²⁶⁴ The use of the term epyllion to denote a short mythological narrative in hexameters was invented in the 19th century (see Reilly 1953 for this origin). Allen 1940 has convincingly argued that ἐπύλλιον was not used to denote a literary category in antiquity, and he also shows that the characteristics commonly ascribed to the modern concept of epyllion (long speeches, dreams, prophecies, digressions, ecphrasis), do not appear in all the epyllia and, furthermore, occur in other genres. Although Gutzwiller 1981 still thinks the term can be useful to denote "short mythological poems", length remains a problematic criterion, particularly in the case of *Id. 13*, because both poems of 1000 or more lines (Callimachus' *Hecale*) and poems of about 100 lines (such as *Id. 13*: 75 lines; *Id. 25*: 84 lines) are usually regarded as epyllia. The modern term thus conceals the fact that in antiquity the word *epos* was used to denote a hexameter poem or hexameter verse, which could vary from a small poem to a full-blown epic. Nevertheless, with respect to those poems labelled "epyllia" by scholars, I agree with Hollis 1990, 25 that "the category is a genuine one. Roman poets who composed such works as Catul. 64 or the pseudo-Virgilian *Ciris* (...) must surely have believed that they were using a recognizable form inherited from the Greeks; and the traces of Callimachus' *Hecale* which may be found in both these works, as well as in several episodes of Ovid's *Metamorphoses*, suggest that our poem [*the Hecale*] was given an honoured place in the evolution of the genre". As with bucolic poetry as a genre, however, for which Virgil was crucial, may it not be the case that epyllion (or whatever one would like to call it) became a strictly demarcated literary category, which comes closer to what we understand as a genre, in Roman times?

²⁶⁵ See Introduction, Section 2 for a survey of the echo motif in these authors.

But the echo phenomenon also features in another way in these lines, through an allusion to three lines from the *Iliad*, which deal with a wounded Odysseus:²⁶⁶

αὐτὰρ ὃ γ' ἐξοπίσω ἀνεχάζετο, αὔε δ' ἑταίρους.
τρὶς μὲν ἔπειτ' ἤϋσεν ὅσον κεφαλὴ χάδε φωτός
τρὶς δ' ἄϊεν ἰάχοντος ἀρηϊφίλος Μενέλαος. Il. 11.461-3
 But he [*Odysseus*] gave ground, and shouted to his comrades; thrice then he
 uttered a shout as great as his head could hold, and thrice did Menelaos, dear to
 Ares, hear his call. (tr. Murray & Wyatt)

As the underlinings show, this allusion is triggered by words which also constitute the textual echo within Theocritus' text. This suggests that Theocritus, like the Latin poets who read and interpreted him, used the phenomenon of echo as a trope to describe the intertextual relationship with his predecessor: Theocritus "echoes" Homer.²⁶⁷ There is yet another intertextual echo involved, as Hylas' reply in line 59 alludes to Menelaus' reaction to Odysseus' cry (as the bold markings in the Theocritean text above show):²⁶⁸

αἶψα δ' ἄρ' Αἴαντα προσεφώνεεν ἐγγὺς ἐόντα·
 "Αἶαν διογενὲς Τελαμώνιε, κοίρανε λαῶν,
 ἀμφὶ μ' Ὀδυσσῆος ταλασίφρονος **ἴκετο φωνή***,
 τῶ ἰκέλη ὡς εἴ ἐ βιώατο μῦνον ἐόντα
 Τρῶες ἀποτμήξαντες ἐνὶ κρατερῇ ὕσμίνῃ." Il. 11.464-8

* φωνή *vulg.*: αὐτὴ *Aristarchus*

And immediately he [*Menelaus*] spoke to Aias who was near at hand: "Aias, sprung from Zeus, Telamon's son, lord of men, in my ears rang the cry of Odysseus of the steadfast heart, as though the Trojans had cut him off in the mighty combat and were overpowering him alone as he is." (tr. Murray & Wyatt)

²⁶⁶ See also Gow 1950, II, 242 (on *Id.* 13.58); Hunter 1999, 282-3 (on *Id.* 13.58-60) for this and the following allusion.

²⁶⁷ See Hinds 1998, 5-8 and Barchiesi 2001, 139-40 for the echo as a "trope of intertextuality". See Introduction, Section 2 for this phenomenon in the Hylas versions of Virgil, Propertius and Valerius Flaccus.

²⁶⁸ Hunter 1999, 283 (on *Id.* 13.58-60).

Theocritus seems to allude to the “vulgate” text of Homer, which reads φωνή in line 466.²⁶⁹ However, Aristarchus’ “emendation” ἀυτή – it “echoes” ἦυσεν in 462²⁷⁰ – is very seductive, as this word is echoed in Theocritus’ poem as well. The origin and status of Aristarchus’ reading (which postdates Theocritus) cannot be determined, and so we do not know if Theocritus was familiar with it. If he was, he could be reflecting a scholarly debate on the correct reading of a line of the *Iliad*. In typically playful Hellenistic fashion, Theocritus would then reject the variant, but at the same time *Idyll* 13 would reproduce the echoing effect (ἄυσεν – ὑπάκουσεν) that the text of the *Iliad* would have with this reading (ἦυσεν – ἀυτή) if it were to be adapted.

I think, however, that there is another, metapoetical explanation for Theocritus’ use of φωνά. Through the allusion to *Iliad* 11, Theocritus clearly associates Heracles with Odysseus, who is involved in a typically epic situation on the battlefield:

τρῖς μὲν Ὑλαν ἄυσεν, ὅσον βαθὺς ἤρυγε λαϊμός *Id.* 13.58

τρῖς μὲν ἔπειτ’ ἦυσεν ὅσον κεφαλὴ χάδε φωτός *Il.* 11.462

We are reminded of the archetypically heroic status of Heracles, but the difference of the situation in *Idyll* 13 is immediately made clear in the next line, for it is not a Homeric hero who replies to Heracles’ epic roar, as Menelaos reacts to Odysseus’ cry, but a boy with a thin voice. The epic associations of Heracles, both in general and in these lines specifically, already suggest that the contrast between him and Hylas has a metapoetical dimension, but this is reinforced by the terminology associated with Hylas. His voice is described as ἀραιά (“thin, faint”), a word which is regularly glossed as λεπτή (“slender, refined”),²⁷¹ one of the keywords of Callimachus’ poetical programme.²⁷² But ἀραιά itself also occurs in a metapoetical context in Callimachus’

²⁶⁹ On which see Nagy 1997, 114-22. I have printed the text of van Thiel 1996.

²⁷⁰ Hainsworth 1993, 274 (on *Il.* 11.466);

²⁷¹ Hunter 1999, 283 (on *Id.* 13.59)

²⁷² See e.g. Call. *Aet.* fr. 1.21-24 Pf. (Μοῦσαν ... λεπταλέην, “slender Muse”). Cf. Call. *Ep.* 27.3-4 Pf. (χαίετε λεπταὶ | ῥήσιες, “hail, subtle words”) and *H. Art.* 242-3: ὑπήεισαν δὲ λίγεια | λεπταλέον σύριγγες. . The programmatic use of the Latin translation of λεπτός, *tenuis*, to express Callimachean

Hymn to Delos. As scholars have argued, the small island celebrated in this poem, the birthplace of Callimachus' patron deity Apollo, can be seen as a symbol of his poetry.²⁷³ Line 191 is one of the elements that constitute the "partial allegory":²⁷⁴

ἔστι διειδομένη τις ἐν ὕδατι νῆσος ἀραιή

H. Delos 191

There is an island on the water, shining, slender. (tr. Nisetich)

As S. Slings has pointed out,²⁷⁵ the application of the word to an island suggests a metapoetical meaning, for the island is not particularly "slender", and ἀραιή is not elsewhere in Greek literature applied to an island. Furthermore, the island is also called διειδομένη, "clear", "shining", an allusion to the etymology of the name of the island (< δῆλος). In this context it is interesting that Callimachus calls Antimachus' *Lyde*, a work which he also seems to attack in his *Aetia* prologue,²⁷⁶ "both fat and not clear" (καὶ παχὺ γράμμα καὶ οὐ τορὸν (fr. 398 Pf.). The two characteristics mentioned here are diametrically opposed to the characterization of Delos, in terms that are each other's antonyms.²⁷⁷ So ἀραιή, which at first sight seems a somewhat strange combination with νῆσος, has a strong metapoetical dimension.²⁷⁸

In *Idyll* 13 the metapoetical dimension of ἀραιά is reinforced through the combination with φωνά (59), which recalls the already discussed Callimachean boy

allegiance is widespread. See e.g. Virg. *Ecl.* 6.8 (in a Callimachean context): *agrestem tenui meditabor harundine Musam* ("I now will meditate the rustic Muse on slender reed"; tr. Lee); cf. *Ecl.* 1.2, also in a programmatic position (cf. also n. 257 above, on Servius' interpretation of *Ecl.* 10.71). Hor. *Epist.* 2.1.224-5 makes the poetological metaphor very explicit and parodies its hackneyed use by Callimachus-followers: *cum lamentamur non apparere labores | nostros et tenui deducta poemata filo*. "When we complain that that men lose sight of our labours, and of our poems so finely spun." (tr. Fairclough) Cf. Feeney 1991, 323, n. 34 on this quotation: "the last phrase is, as it were, in inverted commas." See also e.g. Reitzenstein 1931, 34-7; Clausen 1964; 1987, 3; Schmidt 1972, 21-6; Ross 1975, 26-7; Hubbard 1998, 101 on *tenuis* as translation of λεπτός.

²⁷³ See notably Slings 2004 (what follows takes a cue from his discussion at pp. 283-4). See also notably Bing 1988, 110-28 on the metapoetical aspects of Callimachus' *Hymn to Delos*.

²⁷⁴ The term (on which see also Introduction, Section 3) is borrowed from Slings 2004.

²⁷⁵ Slings 2004, 283.

²⁷⁶ See Harder 2010, II, on *Aet.* fr. 1.9-12.

²⁷⁷ See Slings 2004, 283 for an example from Homer.

²⁷⁸ As with other aspects of Callimachus' poetics, the poetological dimension of ἀραιή may go back to Philitas, who seems to have used the word in a poem (fragment 17 P), in which a man is described on which, as Hubbard 1998, 25-6 suggests, Theocritus could have based his *Lycidas*.

(παῖς) on Homer's *Shield*, singing the Linus song with "delicate voice" (λεπταλέη φώνῃ; see p. 83 above). Callimachus had made Homer's boy his own poetic persona, and in the ecphrasis of the ivy cup, the boy became a symbol for Theocritus' Callimachean bucolic poetry, indeed for Theocritus himself. Hylas, who is of course also a παῖς (and described as such in the same line in which ἀραιά φωνά features), becomes a similar symbol for Callimachean poetry. As in *Idyll 1*, this poetry is characterized in relation to Homer, for Hylas resembles Homer's boy, the symbol of the poetical alternative to heroic epic, licensed by the master himself. The allusions to the *Iliad* in lines 58-60 underline this: whereas Heracles resembles Odysseus, Hylas' Callimachean φωνά (59) reply is unlike Menelaus' epic φωνή (*Il.* 11.466).²⁷⁹

3.2. *The bucolic echo*

But Hylas is not just Callimachean. Apart from its other meanings, Hylas' echo also touches upon an essential feature of Theocritus' bucolic poetry, because of its natural and musical associations.²⁸⁰ Already in the first lines of Theocritus' programmatic first *Idyll* an essential link is created between bucolic song and the sound of nature: both are "sweet" (ἀδύ):²⁸¹

ἀδύ τι τὸ ψιθύρισμα καὶ ἅ πίτυς αἰπόλε τήνα
ἅ ποτὶ ταῖς παραῖσι μελίσδεται. ἀδύ δὲ καὶ τὸ
σύρισδες. (...)

Id. 1.1-3

There is sweet music in that pine tree's whisper, goatherd, there by the spring.
Sweet too is the music of your pipe. (tr. Verity)

This link also lurks behind the metaphors of, for instance, the cicada and the grasshopper for the bucolic poet, which are so prominent in Theocritus' poetry.

²⁷⁹ Perhaps we can see Theocritus metapoetically summing up his relationship to Homer when he describes Hylas' (intertextual) echo as παραεὼν δὲ μάλα σχεδὸν εἶδετο πόρρω, "though close, it sounded far away" (60).

²⁸⁰ Cf. Hunter 1999, 282 (on *Id.* 13.58-60), who points out that "the origins of Echo, the extreme case of a 'natural' sound requiring human agency and thus a mythic model for bucolic poetry, is one of the central bucolic myths".

²⁸¹ For more examples of this link, see Hunter 1999, 68-70.

Hylas' echo can be seen to symbolize this harmony between bucolic song and nature. This conception of echo is further developed by Virgil in his *Eclogues*, "where echo is the sign of nature's sympathy with man",²⁸² and the later pastoral tradition, where the origin of the natural phenomenon becomes one of the central myths.²⁸³ Virgil, for instance, makes it very clear that for successful bucolic poetry, an echo of the woods, *silvae* – with which Hylas is associated because of his name²⁸⁴ – is essential as a kind of "sounding board".²⁸⁵ The beginning of *Eclogue* 1, which reworks the beginning of Theocritus' first *Idyll* quoted above, is a very clear and programmatic example of this bucolic echo, which is emphasized by the textual echo *Amaryllida silvas*:²⁸⁶

(...) tu, Tityre, lentus in umbra
formosam resonare doces Amaryllida silvas. Ecl. 1.4-5

(...) you, Tityrus, cool in shade, | are teaching woods to echo *lovely Amaryllis*.
(tr. Lee)

²⁸² Hardie 1998, 11. On the the importance of "pastoral echo" in the *Eclogues*, see also Desport 1952, 63-9; Damon 1961, 281-90; Boyle 1977; Hardie 2002, 123-4. See also Ch. 3, Section 7.2 for an interpretation of Hylas' echo in *Ecl.* 6.43-4 as an aetiology of bucolic poetry, and Ch. 4, n. 539 for other ways in which nature expresses its sympathy with man in the bucolic world of Virgil's *Eclogues*.

²⁸³ See e.g. Longus, *D&C* 3.23, where the aetiological myth of Echo is told, which closely associates the echo with bucolic poetry. The bucolic patron god Pan, jealous of Echo's music, is responsible for the nymph's death (by letting herdsmen rip her to pieces), and thus for creating imitative music (note the etymological play on μέλη, both "limbs" and "melodies"): καὶ τὰ μέλη Γῆ χαριζομένη Νύμφαις ἔκρυσσε πάντα καὶ ἐτήρεσε τὴν μουσικὴν καὶ γνῶμη Μουσῶν ἀφήσει φωνὴν καὶ μιμεῖται πάντα καθάπερ τότε ἡ κόρη, ἀνθρώπους, ὄργανα, θηρία· μιμεῖται καὶ αὐτὸν συρίττοντα τὸν Πᾶνα. "For love of the nymphs, Earth hid all her limbs, still singing and kept their music, and now, by will of the Muses, she emits a voice and mimics everything, just as the girl once did: gods, men, musical instruments, animals. She even mimics Pan playing his pipes." (3.23.4-5; tr. Morgan) The bucolic association is reinforced by the close parallels between Echo and Syrinx (2.33.3-34), another victim of Pan, who will transform into the bucolic instrument *par excellence* (καὶ ἡ τότε παρθένος καλὴ νῦν ἐστὶ σύριγξ μουσικὴ. "And what was once a beautiful girl is now these tuneful pipes" (tr. Morgan). See also Borgeaud 1988 on the close affinities between the myths of Echo and syrinx. This parallel also suggests that the myth of Echo/echo (as told by Longus) concerns the origin of bucolic poetry. See further e.g. Damon 1961, 291-8 on the "pastoral echo" in the later pastoral tradition.

²⁸⁴ See Introduction, Section 2.

²⁸⁵ Damon 1961, 283, who also discusses examples.

²⁸⁶ Clausen 1994, ad loc. See also Hardie 2002, 204 for the programmatic dimension of the lines: "Amaryllis' name embodies 'love in the woods, love in the pastoral world'; she is almost a personification of satisfied pastoral desire."

Just like the boy on the ivy cup, Hylas, who is transformed into an echo and thus produces a natural sound, becomes a symbol of the bucolic poet, in fact of Theocritus himself. As Hylas' transformation is the aetiology of the echo phenomenon, *Idyll* 13 can also be read as an allegory of the emergence of bucolic poetry, and that of Theocritus in particular. As I will argue in the next chapter, Virgil takes Theocritus' cue in *Eclogue* 6, employing Hylas' echo to describe the origin of his own bucolic world.²⁸⁷

3.3. *Hylas and Daphnis*

The connection between Hylas and Theocritus himself is reinforced by the parallels between Hylas and the Daphnis, “variously the first ‘bucolic’ singer and the original subject of ‘bucolic song’”,²⁸⁸ whose fate is sung by Thyrsis in *Idyll* 1.²⁸⁹ It is hard to see what exactly is happening to Daphnis, which is at least in part due to the impression given by Theocritus that the story about Daphnis is well-known.²⁹⁰ What is clear is that Daphnis in *Idyll* 1 is wasting away with a violent passion for a girl, who is called Xenea by Lycidas in *Idyll* 7.73, where a similar situation is described.²⁹¹ As the words of Aphrodite, who visits Daphnis in his agony, seem to suggest, Daphnis' passion was instilled in him by the goddess as punishment (for his rejection of her?):

²⁸⁷ Ch. 3, Section 7.2.

²⁸⁸ Hunter 1999, 60.

²⁸⁹ See also Section 2.3 above.

²⁹⁰ *Id.* 1.19: ἀλλὰ τὸ γὰρ δῆ, Θύρσι, τὰ Δάφνιδος ἄλγε' αἰείδες. “But look, Thyrsis, you have sung of *The Sufferings of Daphnis*.” (tr. Verity). See Hunter 1999, 61 on these and other ways in which a “sense of tradition is written into the poem”.

²⁹¹ *Id.* 1.66: πᾶ ποικ' ἄρ' ἦσθ', ὅκα Δάφνις ἐτάκετο, πᾶ ποκα, Νύμφαι; “Where were you, Nymphs, when Daphnis wasted away, where were you?” (tr. Verity); *Id.* 1.77-8 (Hermes addressing Daphnis): Δάφνι, τίς τυ κατατρύχει; τίνοσ, ὠγαθέ, τόσσον ἔρασαι; “Daphnis, who is it that torments you? Who do you long for so much?” ~ *Id.* 7.72-7: (...) ὁ δὲ Τίτυρος ἐγγύθεν ἀισεῖ | ὡς ποκα τᾶς Ξενέας ἠράσσατο Δάφνις ὁ βούτας (...) κατετάκετο ... “Nearby Tityrus will sing how once Daphnis the cowherd fell in love with Xenea (...). He was wasting away ...” (tr. Verity).

ἦνθε γε μὰν ἀδεῖα καὶ ἅ Κύπρις γελάοισα,
λάθρη μὲν γελάοισα, βαρὺν δ' ἀνὰ θυμὸν ἔχοισα,
κεῖπε “τύ θην τὸν Ἔρωτα κατεύχεο, Δάφνι, λυγιξεῖν·
ἦ ῥ' οὐκ αὐτὸς Ἔρωτος ὑπ' ἀργαλέω ἐλυγίχθης;” *Id.* 1.95-8

And Cypris too came to see him, laughing with delight, but laughing in secret, feigning a heavy heart. She said: “Did you really boast that you could give Love a fall? Is it not your yourself who are thrown by cruel Love?” (tr. Verity)

Daphnis’ proud answer to the goddess shows that instead of giving in to his love – which would be easy, as Priapus tells Daphnis that the girl he loves is looking for him and wants to be with him²⁹² – Daphnis has decided to resist his passion, and thus Aphrodite, at the expense of his own life:

τὰν δ' ἄρα χῶ Δάφνις ποταμείβετο· “Κύπρι βαρεῖα,
Κύπρι νεμεσσατά, Κύπρι θνατοῖσιν ἀπεχθής,
ἦδη γὰρ φράσδη πάνθ' ἄλιον ἄμμι δεδύκειν;
Δάφνις κῆν Αἶδα κακὸν ἔσσεται ἄλγος Ἔρωτι. *Id.* 1.100-3

Then Daphnis answered: “Hard Cypris, vengeful Cypris, Cypris hated by mortals; so you really believe that my last sun has set? I tell you, even from Hades Daphnis will prove to be a source of painful grief to Love.” (tr. Verity)

So what about the parallels between Hylas and Daphnis? Love, an important theme in Theocritus’ bucolic poetry,²⁹³ of course plays an essential role in the stories about both Hylas and Daphnis, but the latter’s death, as described in *Idyll* 1, specifically recalls that of Hylas:

χῶ μὲν τόσσ' εἰπῶν ἀπεπαύσατο· τὸν δ' Ἀφροδίτα
ἦθελ' ἀνορθῶσαι· τὰ γε μὰν λῖνα πάντα λελοίπει
ἐκ Μοιρῶν, χῶ Δάφνις ἔβα ῥόσον· ἔκλυσε δῖνα
τὸν Μοῖσαις φίλον ἄνδρα, τὸν οὐ Νύνφαισιν ἀπεχθῆ. *Id.* 1.138-41

²⁹² *Id.* 1.81-3; 85: (...) ἦνθ' ὁ Πρίηπος | κῆφα “Δάφνυ τάλαν, τί τὸ τάκειαι; ἅ δέ τυ κῶρα | πάσας ἀνὰ κράνας, πάντ' ἄλσεα ποσοὶ φορεῖται | ... | ζάτεισ' ἅ δύσερωσ τις ἄγαν καὶ ἀμήχανος ἐσσί.” Priapus came and asked: “Poor Daphnis, why are you wasting away? Your girl is scouring everywhere, woodland and spring (...) seeking you. Love is surely cruel to you, helpless man.” (tr. Verity).

²⁹³ See e.g. Halperin 1983*a*, 121-4; 129-31; 178f.; 233-5.

So much he [*Daphnis*] said, and ended; and Aphrodite would have raised him up again, but all the thread the Fates assigned was run, and *Daphnis* went to the stream. The waters closed over him whom the Muses loved, nor did the Nymphs dislike him. (tr. Verity)

These lines are much discussed. Generally speaking, there are two interpretations. According to the first (which is also that of the scholiast), *Daphnis* goes to the river (ῥόον) of the Underworld. The lines would thus metaphorically present *Daphnis* as dying.²⁹⁴ According to the other explanation, *Daphnis* literally drowns.²⁹⁵ I agree with Hunter, however, that the two interpretations of these mysterious lines do not exclude each other: “The emphasis on the watery nature of his end – whether it is understood literally or metaphorically (...) – seems to point to a specific narrative and not simply to be an elaborate way of saying ‘went to the Underworld’, though the words must also evoke such an idea.” So lines 140-1 at least suggest that *Daphnis* drowns, and the combination of love, death and water brings to mind *Hylas’* rape.²⁹⁶ The link with *Hylas* is reinforced by a further suggestion in Apollonius’ version of the story that *Daphnis* drowns in *Hylas’* spring. There *Hylas* is also said to go to a ῥόος: τόφρα δ’ Ὑλας χαλκῆν σὺν κάλπιδι νόσφιν ὀμίλου | δίζητο κρήνης ἱερὸν ῥόον. “In the meantime, *Hylas* went off from the crew with a bronze pitcher in search of a spring’s sacred flow.” (*Arg.* 1.1207-8; tr. Race). A few lines later another parallel with *Daphnis’* account in Theocritus presents itself, for δίνη (“whirlpool”) is the word used by Apollonius to describe the water into which *Hylas* is pulled by the nymph:²⁹⁷ μέση δ’ ἐνικάββαλε δίνη. “And she [*the nymph*] plunged him into the midst of the swirling water.” (*Arg.* 1.1239; tr. Race)

Another parallel between *Hylas* and *Daphnis* is provided by the girl who loves *Daphnis* (*Xenea*), for in her search she resembles Theocritus’ *Heracles*, whose “crazed search and wandering place him in the role (...) of the κώρα in *Priapos’*

²⁹⁴ See e.g. Gow 1950, II, ad loc.; van Erp Taalman Kip 1987 for arguments in favour of this view.

²⁹⁵ See e.g. Prescott 1913; Ogilvie 1962; Williams 1969; White 1977; Segal 1974*b*, 23-4; Halperin 1983*b*, 193 for this interpretation.

²⁹⁶ Segal 1974*b*, 27.

²⁹⁷ Segal 1974*b*, 24.

account of Daphnis' situation at 1.82-85".²⁹⁸ This link and the intertextual connections between *Idylls* 1 and 13 in general are further developed by Bion, whose account of Aphrodite's search for the wounded Adonis drew up on both poems.²⁹⁹

Although the precise extent to which Theocritus' Hylas and Daphnis, the archetypal bucolic poet, resemble each other cannot be determined, it is clear that there is intertextual contact between the two characters, which strengthens the identification of Hylas with the bucolic poet Theocritus and his conception of bucolic poetry – regardless of the priority of the two poems involved.³⁰⁰

3.4. *The bucolic landscape of Idyll 13*

Hylas' echo of Heracles' cry can, then, be read metapoetically as a metaphor describing the Callimachean relationship between Theocritus' bucolic poetry and the heroic, epic tradition, the *Iliad* in particular. In what follows I will argue that the elaborately described landscape in which Hylas disappears contributes to the opposition between the heroic Heracles and the tender, Callimachean Hylas, allowing the poem to be read as a metapoetical allegory of Theocritus' bucolic poetry.

3.4.1. *The landing in Mysia: Theocritus and Apollonius*

Already at the beginning of the mythological episode, it is suggested that Mysia, where the Argonauts land, is a metapoetical landscape, for it is contrasted with the heroic world of the Argonautic expedition, half of which is summarized in only one sentence in lines 16-24.³⁰¹ The sentence also corresponds to the first half of

²⁹⁸ Hunter 1999, 284 (on *Id.* 13.64-71). See n. 292 above for the text and translation of these lines.

²⁹⁹ See e.g. Hunter 1999, 92 (on *Id.* 1.82-3).

³⁰⁰ Cf. Hunter 1999, 263: "T.'s version of Herakles and Hylas is (...) assimilated to the story of Daphnis, as part of the bucolicisation of epic".

³⁰¹ *Id.* 16-24: ἀλλ' ὅτε τὸ χρύσειον ἔπλει μετὰ κῶας Ἰάσων | Αἰσονίδας, οἱ δ' αὐτῶ ἀριστῆες
συνέποντο | πασᾶν ἐκ πολίων προλελεγμένοι ὦν ὄφελος τι, | ἴκετο χῶ ταλαεργὸς ἀνήρ ἐς
ἀφνειὸν Ἰωλκόν, | Ἀλκμήνας υἱὸς Μιδεάτιδος ἠρώνας, | σὺν δ' αὐτῶ κατέβαινεν Ἰγλας εὐεδρον ἐς
Ἀργῶ, | ἅτις κυανεᾶν οὐχ ἄψατο Σνυδρομάδων ναῦς, | ἀλλὰ διεξάιξε βαθὺν δ' εἰσέδραμε Φᾶσιν, |
αιετὸς ὤς, μέγα λαῖτμα, ἀφ' οὗ τότε χοιράδες ἔσταν. "And so, when Jason, son Aeson, sailed in

Apollonius' *Argonautica*, which suggests intertextual contact.³⁰² Already the first line (16) makes an allusion very likely, as it closely resembles the fourth line of Apollonius' epic:³⁰³

ἀλλ' ὅτε τὸ χρύσειον ἔπλει μετὰ κῶας Ἰάσων Id. 13.16

And so, when Jason sailed in search of the Golden Fleece (tr. Verity, adapted)

χρύσειον μετὰ κῶας ἐύζυγον ἤλασαν Ἀργώ. Arg. 1.4

They sailed the well-benched Argo in search of the Golden Fleece.

Furthermore, Apollonius' ἐύζυγον ... Ἀργώ ("well-benched Argo") is paralleled by Theocritus' εὐἔδρον ... Ἀργώ ("well-benched Argo") a few lines later (21). I cannot but read these parallels as an allusion of Theocritus to Apollonius,³⁰⁴ and the implications of this become clear in what follows.

Immediately after Theocritus' epic, Argonautic sentence, the narrative is restarted. The reader is taken back to the beginning of the expedition, but this time the pace and thematic focus are quite different, which suggests that Theocritus will now start a different kind of "epic":

search of the Golden Fleece, and noble heroes from every city went with him, a picked company with skills to offer, there also came to wealthy Iolcus the man of many labours, the son of Alcmena, who was princess of Midea, and with him Hylas went down to the strong-benched Argo, the ship which sped past the gloomy clashing rocks ungrazed, and shot between to the huge expanse of the deep gulf of Phasis, just like an eagle, and from that day till now the rocks have stood unmoved." (tr. Verity, adapted). Cf. Mastronarde 1968, 282, who speaks of an "epic tenor" in the passage. See also van Erp Taalman Kip 1994, 161-2 for the epic language of the passage, created by allusions to Homer. See, however, Gutzwiller 1981, 22-3, who denies the epic tone and argues for a "lyric tint", through allusions to Pindar's *Pythian Ode* 4. The arguments that she mentions, however, do not undercut the clear heroic-epic tone of these lines, ironic as it may be.

³⁰² Hunter 1999, 271 (on *Id.* 13.16-24): "These lines take the Argonautic expedition all the way to the Phasis, i.e. they offer one Theocritean sentence to match the whole of *Arg.* 1-2."

³⁰³ This allusion is strengthened by the significance of Apollonius' line as one of the few iterated formulae in the entire epic (Fantuzzi 1988, 24, n. 35).

³⁰⁴ Cf. Cuypers 1997, 24-5. Di Marco 1995 interestingly suggests that Theocritus' ὡς ἐδοκεῖμεν ("as once we thought"; tr. Verity) in *Id.* 13.1 already triggers the intertextual contact with the *Argonautica* at the start of the poem (cf. the paraphraae of Hunter 1999, 266: "before we read *Argonautica* 1"). See Ch. 1, pp. 29-30 and n. 220 above for the problematic relative chronology of the poetry of Apollonius, Callimachus and Theocritus. Although I generally endorse the "work in progress hypothesis", I find it very hard to see Apollonius as alluding to *Id.* 13 here (contra e.g. Köhnken 2001).

ἄμος δ' ἀντέλλοντι Πελειάδες, ἐσχατιαὶ δέ
 ἄρνα νέον βόσκοντι, τετραμμένου εἵαρος ἤδη,
 τᾶμος ναυτιλίας μιμνάσκετο θεῖος ἄωτος
 ἠρώων, κοίλαν δὲ καθιδρυθέντες ἐς Ἀργῶ
 Ἑλλάσποντον ἵκοντο νότῳ τρίτον ἄμαρ ἀέντι,
 εἴσω δ' ὄρμον ἔθεντο Προποντίδος, ἔνθα Κιανῶν
 αὐλακας εὐρύνοντι βόες τρίβοντες ἄροτρα.

*Id.*13.25-31

It was at the Pleiads' rising, at the time when lambs graze on the margin land and spring has turned into summer, that the godlike band of heroes turned their minds to their voyage. They took their seats in the hollow Argo, and with three days' south wind astern reached the Hellespont, and anchored in Propontis, where the Cianian people's oxen trace broad furrows with the bright ploughshare. (tr. Verity)

As is suggested by the almost immediate arrival of the Argonauts in Mysia after their start suggests, Theocritus' "epic" is about Hylas and Heracles. Furthermore, the seemingly unchanged rural landscape seems an essential part of Theocritus' story. As Gutzwiller has shown, this landscape, and in fact the passage as a whole, describing the time of the year when the Argonauts set sail and arrived in Mysia, clearly recalls Hesiod.³⁰⁵ Not only do the shepherds and farmers recall the *Works and Days*, but the language also points in the direction of the Boeotian poet. The expression ἦμος ... τῆμος, for instance, "is common in Hesiod to express the proper season for a certain task or natural occurrence", line 25 recalls fr. 290 (τῆμος ἀποκρύπτουσι Πελειάδες), and the genitive absolute construction, which Theocritus uses in line 26 (εἵαρος τετραμμένου), points in the direction of Hesiod, where this type frequently occurs (e.g. *Theogony* 58-9: περὶ δ' ἔτραπον ὦραι, μηνῶν φθινόντων), whereas this construction is very rare in Homer.

As we have seen, Hellenistic poets recognized in Hesiod an alternative to Homer's heroic, epic poetry. Accordingly, Theocritus also aligned himself with the herdsman-poet, whom he regarded as archetypal for his bucolic poetry. The allusions to Hesiod

³⁰⁵ See Gutzwiller 1981, 23-4, from whose detailed analysis much of what follows is derived. Cf. van Erp Taalman Kip 1994, 162; Hunter 1999, 273 (on *Id.* 13.25-8), who refers to the "Hesiodic flavour of both form and substance".

in this passage, and in particular the emphasis on the rural scenery, thus immediately give Theocritus' version of the *Argonautica*, the Hylas episode, a bucolic ring, which contrasts it with the traditionally epic narrative in the preceding lines (16-24).

But that is not all. Theocritus' "restart" of the *Argonautica* clearly recalls Apollonius' beginning of the Hylas episode, which also uses the ἤμος ... τῆμος construction, but to describe the time of the day when the Argonauts arrive in Mysia:³⁰⁶

ἤμος δ' ἀγρόθεν εἶσι φυτοσκάφος ἢ τις ἀροτροεὺς
ἀσπασίως εἰς αὐλιν ἐὴν δόρποιο χατίζων,
αὐτοῦ δ' ἐν προμολῇ τετρῦμενα γούνατ' ἔκαμψεν
αὐσταλέος κονίησι, περιτριβέας δέ τε χεῖρας
εἰσορόων κακὰ πολλὰ ἐὴ ἠρήσατο γαστρί·
τῆμος ἄρ' οἳ γ' ἀφίκοντο Κιανίδος ἠθεα γαίης
ἀμφ' Ἀργανθώνειον ὄρος προχοάς τε Κίοιο.

Arg. 1.1172-8

At the hour when a gardener or plowman gladly leaves the field for his hut, longing for dinner, and there on the doorstep, caked with dust, he bends his weary knees and stares at his worn-out hands and heaps curses on his belly, then it was that they reached the homesteads of the Cianian land near the Arganthonian mountain and the mouth of the Cius river. (tr. Race)

The most important difference between the two beginnings is that Apollonius' passage constitutes the beginning of his Hylas episode, whereas that of Theocritus marks the beginning of the Argonautic expedition, and the almost immediate transition to Mysia suggests that Theocritus' "bucolic" *Argonautica* is about Hylas and Heracles.

As I have argued in the previous chapter, Apollonius used the Hylas episode, crucially placed at the end of the first book, to distance himself from the heroic-epic tradition, as symbolized by Heracles whose presence dominated the first book, and to align himself with Callimachean poetics, as symbolized by Hylas, thus revealing

³⁰⁶ Hunter 1999, 273 (on *Id.* 13.25-8).

the way the epic was destined to go. As Theocritus' bucolic poetry, which is also symbolized by Hylas, is Callimachean as well, the contrast between lines 16-24, dealing with Apollonius' *Argonautica*, and Theocritus' own version of the *Argonautica* in what follows does not, in my opinion, reveal an opposition, but rather a difference in focus between the two poets: Theocritus and Apollonius both have a Callimachean attitude towards heroic-epic poetry, but although the paths of both poets are "untrodden", they are nevertheless different, just as Apollonius' *Argonautica* and Callimachus' *Aetia* had different ways of obtaining the same goal.³⁰⁷ This interpretation of the intertextual contact between Apollonius' Hylas episode and *Idyll* 13 also explains why Theocritus would want to write a metapoetical commentary on his bucolic poetry in the form of a mythological "little epic", and not a bucolic poem: Theocritus shows his colleague and poetic rival Apollonius another way of writing Callimachean poetry by rewriting his Hylas episode and revealing its bucolic potential.³⁰⁸

3.4.2. *The bucolic preparations*

Theocritus' focus on landscape persists in the lines following the landing, which describe the preparation of the Argonauts for the night:

ἐκβάντες δ' ἐπὶ θίνα κατὰ ζυγὰ δαῖτα πένοντο
 δειλινοί, πολλοὶ δὲ μίαν στορέσαντο χαμεύναν.
 λειμῶν γὰρ σφιν ἔκειτο μέγα στιβάδεσσιν ὄνειαρ,
 ἔνθεν βούτομον ὀξὺ βαθύν τ' ἐτάμοντο κύπειρον. Id. 13.32-5

They disembarked, and made their evening meal on the beach in pairs; but they prepared one sleeping-place for all, because there was a great store of stuff for their beds: a meadow, where they cut sharp sedge and ample galingale.

(tr. Verity)

³⁰⁷ See Ch. 1, Section 3.5.

³⁰⁸ The intertextual contact between *Id.* 22 and Apollonius' Amycus episode, which opens *Arg.* 2 and immediately follows the Hylas episode, may point to a similar metapoetical dimension, especially because both passages are intertextually connected with both Apollonius' Hylas episode and *Id.* 13 (see Cuypers 1997, 22-8 for a survey). I hope to pursue this metapoetical dimension of *Id.* 22 elsewhere.

As Tränkle has shown, the meadow as a place to rest recalls passages from Theocritus' bucolic poems.³⁰⁹ In *Idyll* 5, Lacon proposes a meadow as the site for a singing competition to Comatas:

(...) ἄδιον ἄσῃ
τειδ' ὑπὸ τὰν κότινον καὶ τάλσεα ταῦτα καθίξας.
ψυχρὸν ὕδωρ τουτεὶ καταλείβεται ᾧδε πεφύκει
ποῖα, χὰ στιβὰς ἄδε, καὶ ἀκρίδες ᾧδε λαεῦντι. Id. 5.31-4

Come and sit here in this grove, under this olive tree, and sing in more comfort.
Here water drips cool, there is grass for our couch, and grasshoppers sing.

The *locus amoenus* where Simichidas and his friends arrive at the end of *Idyll* 7 also features a meadow:³¹⁰

(...) αὐτὰρ ἐγὼν τε καὶ Εὐκρίτος ἐς Φρασιδάμω
στραφθέντες χῶ καλὸς Ἀμύντιχος ἔν τε βαθείαις
ἀδείας σχοῖνοιο χαμευνίσιν ἐκλίνθημες
ἔν τε νεοτμάτοισι γεγαθότες οἶναρέοισι. Id. 7.131-4

Eucritus and I and pretty Amyntas turned aside to the farm of Phrasidamus, where we sank down with pleasure on deep-piled couches of sweet rushes, and vine leaves freshly stripped from the bush.

So the scene is very reminiscent of Theocritus' bucolic poems, and the narrative so far suggests that Theocritus has read the epic *Argonautica* through a bucolic lens. The metapoetical significance of this move will reveal itself in the following scene, where Heracles and Hylas are brought into contact with the meta-bucolic landscape.

³⁰⁹ Tränkle 1963b, 505. Cf. van Erp Taalman Kip 1994, 162, who speaks of a "bucolic style", and Hunter 1999, 275 (on *Id.* 13.32-3), who speaks of "bucolic preparations".

³¹⁰ See also below for the metapoetical landscape at the end of *Idyll* 7, which, incidentally, resembles the passage in *Id.* 5, as they both feature meadows (see above), trees (*Id.* 5.32 ~ *Id.* 7.135-6), water from a spring (*Id.* 5.33 ~ *Id.* 7.136-7) and singing insects (ἀκρίδες ... λαεῦντι, *Id.* 5.34 ~ τέττιγες λαλαγεῦντες, *Id.* 7.139).

3.4.3. *Hylas, Heracles and the bucolic landscape*

When Hylas dips his pitcher in the spring, the nymphs grab him by the hand. The expression used to describe this event is noteworthy: ταὶ δ' ἐν χερσὶ πᾶσαι ἔφυσαν, “they grew upon his hand” (47). Hunter remarks that although the phrase is a common epicism, Theocritus, triggering an etymological play between ὕλας and ὕλη (“wood”),³¹¹ “gives a literal weight to the verb”, evoking “rationalising interpretations” of the myth, according to which Hylas is not really abducted by nymphs, but, for instance, lies “concealed in the vegetation”.³¹² Theocritus’ phrase thus suggests that Hylas lives up to his etymology and becomes part of a world in which he is very much at home. This is underlined at the end of the poem, where it is stated that Hylas’ drowning has made him divine (72). So is Hylas again contrasted with Heracles, who is not at all at home in the world of *Idyll* 13. This becomes painfully clear when, after Hylas has been abducted, transformed into a bucolic echo, the crazed Heracles wanders through the countryside in search of him:

νεβροῦ φθελγξαμένας τις ἐν οὐρεσιν ὠμοφάγος λίσ
 ἐξ εὐνάς ἔσπευσεν ἔτοιμοτάταν ἐπὶ δαίτα·
 Ἡρακλῆς τοιοῦτος ἐν ἀτρίπτοισιν ἀκάνθαις
 παῖδα ποθῶν δεδόνητο, πολὺν δ' ἐπελάμβανε χῶρον.
 σχέτλιοι οἱ φιλέοντες, ἀλώμενος ὅσσ' ἐμόγησεν
 οὐρεα καὶ δρυμούς, τὰ δ' Ἰάσονος ὕστερα πάντ' ἦς. Id. 13.62-7

The flesh-eating lion hears a fawn calling in the hills and bounds from its lair to seek out a ready feast; so did Heracles rampage through untrodden thorn-brakes, covering vast tracts of land, in longing for the boy. How reckless lovers are! How he suffered, as he roamed over hills and through forests, and Jason’s expedition went clean from his mind. (tr. Verity)

In the light of the Callimachean context of these lines, the wording of this passage suggests that the landscape inhospitable to Heracles is also a metapoetical landscape.

³¹¹ See also Introduction, Section 2 for this etymological play on ὕλας and ὕλη.

³¹² Hunter 1999, 279 (on *Id.* 13.47), who mentions as a parallel for this kind of rationalisation of the myth the version of the story by a certain Onasos (*FGrHist* 41) which triggered the common metonymy of νύμφη for “water” by making Hylas literally drown.

The untrodden thorns, for instance, recall the prologue to the *Aetia*, where Apollo concludes his advice to the young poet Callimachus with an appeal for originality and the hard work which it requires:

δίφρον ἐλ[ᾶν] μῆδ' οἶμον ἀνὰ πλατύν, ἀλλὰ κελεύθους
ἀτροίπτο]υς, εἰ καὶ στενωτέρην ἐλάσεις. *Aet. fr. 1.27-8 Pf.*

Do not drive your chariot upon the common tracks of others, nor along a wide road, but on untrodden paths, though your course be more narrow.
(tr. Nisetich)

Apollo here combines the metaphor of the easy versus the difficult road with that of the paths of (original) poetry to characterize Callimachus' poetic aesthetics.³¹³ This combination of metaphors can also be found in *Idyll 13*. Although Heracles there takes the untrodden path of original, Callimachean poetry, he is also having a hard time, albeit not in the Callimachean sense: Heracles' path consists of thorns, which cause him pain and only emphasize his incongruity with Theocritus' poetical world.³¹⁴ This metapoetical dimension is underlined at the end of the description of Heracles' suffering, where it is stated that he should be somewhere else, helping Jason on his epic quest (67). A similar contrast was created a few lines earlier:

Ἀμφιτροωνιάδας δὲ ταρασσόμενος περὶ παιδί
ᾧχετο, Μαιωτιστὶ λαβὼν εὐκαμπέα τόξα
καὶ ῥόπαλον, τό οἱ αἰὲν ἐχάνδανε δεξιτερὰ χεῖρ. *Id. 13.55-7*

³¹³ See Harder 2010, II, on *Aet. fr. 1.25-8*, who also discusses Callimachus' model, Pindar's *Paeon 7b 11ff.*, where Pindar may use the metaphor to claim originality by reference to Homer (see also Rutherford 2001, 247-9), which would imply that Callimachus, in accordance with the end of the *Hymn to Apollo*, is doing the same.

³¹⁴ Cf. Mastronarde 1968, 279. See Seiler 1997, 19-20 for the Callimachean ideal of poetic πόνος in general, and 146 for an example in Theocritus (*Id. 7*). This metapoetical interpretation of Heracles' (ironically Callimachean) suffering is reinforced by the fact that the hero is the prototypical example of someone making the choice between the easy and the difficult road. See e.g. Harder 2010, II, on *Aet. fr. 1.25-8*, discussing Xen. *Mem. 2.1.21ff.*, the story of Heracles at the cross-roads. Heracles seems to make a similarly painful, metapoetical journey at the beginning of *Aetia 3*, by seemingly passing through "a wilderness of thorns" (*SH 257.13: σκῶλος μοι ...*; tr. Nisetich) to reach Molorcus' farm, in an episode that can be seen as very "Callimachean", as it constitutes the climax of Heracles' gradual transformation into a Callimachean hero (see Ambühl 2004, quoted on p. 67 above). This interesting parallel was brought to my attention by Professor Harder.

But Amphytrion's son, disturbed at the boy's delay, set off holding his bow with the Scythian curve and the club he always grasped in his right hand.
(tr. Verity)

Immediately after the abduction of Hylas, Heracles, introduced with the epic-sounding epithet/patronymic Ἀμφιτρωνιάδας, arms himself for his usual kind of epic fight, but the weapons will be of no avail in this world.³¹⁵

As with Hylas himself, the landscape in which Heracles is suffering is not only Callimachean, but also more specifically bucolic. The thorns (ἀκάνθαις, 64), as well as the spring and the nymphs, recall the description of the *locus amoenus* at the end of *Idyll 7*, which can be read as an allegory of Theocritus' bucolic poetry:³¹⁶

πολλὰ δ' ἄμμιν ὑπερθε κατὰ κρατὸς δονέοντο
αἴγριοι πετέλαι τε· τὸ δ' ἐγγύθεν ἱερὸν ὕδωρ
Νυμφᾶν ἐξ ἄντροιο κατειβόμενον κελάρυζε.
τοὶ δὲ ποτὶ σκιαραῖς ὀροδαμνίσιν αἰθαλίωνες
τέττιγες λαλαγεῦντες ἔχον πόνον· ἃ δ' ὀλολυγῶν
τηλόθεν ἐν πυκιναῖσι βάτων τρύζεσκεν ἀκάνθαις·
ἄειδον κόρυδοι καὶ ἀκανθίδες, ἔστενε τρυγῶν,
πτῶντο ξουθαὶ περὶ πίδακας ἀμφὶ μέλισσαι.

Id. 7.135-42

Above us was the constant quiet movement of elm and poplar, and from the cave of the Nymphs nearby the sacred water ran with a bubbling sound as it fell. Soot-black cicadas chattered relentlessly on shady branches, and the muttering of tree-frogs rose far off from the impenetrable thorn bush. Lark and finches were singing, the turtle-dove moaned, and bees hummed and darted about the springs. (tr. Verity)

This landscape features animals, trees, a spring and nymphs with clear Callimachean and bucolic associations through allusion to programmatic passages elsewhere in Theocritus and Callimachus.³¹⁷ Because of their presence in this metapoetical

³¹⁵ As Dr. Cuypers suggests to me, the patronymic here emphasizes Heracles' mortality by reference to his mortal father. Thus, although the epic word associates him with the heroic world in which he is at home, in this context it also ironically reveals that Heracles is not his heroic self in this poem, but has become an ordinary human being.

³¹⁶ See e.g. Pearce 1988; Hunter 1999, 192-3.

³¹⁷ *Animals*: For the cicada (139) as an emblem of the Callimachean poet, see n. 245 above. The standard interpretation of ἃ ὀλολυγῶν (139) is that it concerns a frog (see Gow 1950, II, 165, ad loc.). For frogs

landscape, the thorns (ἀκάνθαις, 140) also acquire a metapoetical meaning, which is underlined by the etymological play with the ἀκανθίδες (“finches” or “linnets”) in the next line, which evoke Callimachean poetics.³¹⁸ The meta-bucolic ring of the thorns in *Idyll* 13 is further reinforced by their presence – along with the mountains (οὐρεα, 67) and thickets (δρυμούςς, 67) through which Heracles is wandering – in another landscape with similar metapoetical associations, that of the dying Daphnis in *Idyll* 1:

ὦ λύκοι, ὦ θῶες, ὦ ἀν' ὄρεα φωλάδες ἄρκτοι,
χαίρεθ'· ὁ βουκόλος ὑμῖν ἐγὼ Δάφνις οὐκέτ' ἀν' ὕλαν,
οὐκέτ' ἀνὰ δρυμῶς, οὐκ ἄλσεα, χαῖρ', Ἀρέθοισα,
καὶ ποταμοὶ τοὶ χειῖτε καλὸν κατὰ Θύβριδος ὕδωρ.
(...)
νῦν ἴα μὲν φορέοιτε βᾶτοι, φορέοιτε δ' ἀκάνθαι
ἀ δὲ καλὰ νάρκισσος ἐπ' ἀρκεύθοισι κομάσαι

Id. 1.115-8; 132-3

in a programmatic context, see also *Id.* 7.41 (discussed on p. 86 above). See, however, White 1979, 9-16 and Hunter 1999, 194 (on *Id.* 7.139), who argue that by ὀλολυγών a nightingale is meant, a bird which is associated with beautiful sound and is thus probably a better candidate in this Callimachean context. The song of the κόρυδος, “(crested) lark”, is usually not commented on in a positive way, but Marcellus Empiricus (quoted by Gow 1950, II, ad loc.) says that the *corydalus avis* “pleases people’s minds with the sweetness of its voice” (*animos hominum dulcedine vocis oblectat*). For the ἀκανθίς (“finch”/“linnet”), see the next note. *Trees*: The poplar is a symbol of Callimachean poetics in Call. *H. Dem.* (Müller 1987; Murray 2004, discussed in Ch. 1, Section 3.2.1). *Springs and bees*: The ἱερὸν ὕδωρ (136), πίδακας (142) and μέλισσαι (142) acquire metapoetical meaning through the intertextual contact with Callimachus’ poetic manifesto at the end of the *Hymn to Apollo* (μέλισσαι, 110), πίδακος ἐξ ἱερῆς, 112). For the nymphs who are invoked a few lines later (148) and who act as Theocritus’ “bucolic Muses”, see Section 3.4.4 below. See also e.g. Lawall 1967, 102-6, Kyriakou 1995, 216-31 and Seiler 1997, 145-51 for the metapoetical dimension of the scene.

³¹⁸ The voice of the ἀκανθίς, “finch”/“linnet” is λιγυρά according to Aristotle (*HA* 616^b32), a word which also has Callimachean associations (cf. pp. 83-5 above). The metapoetical dimension of the thorns may be reinforced by a poem (*AP* 11.321) of Philip of Thessalonica (1st or 2nd cent. AD), in which he attacks learned *grammatikoi*, “picking up Callimachus’ literary terms and images and hammering them into weapons” (DeForest 1994, 33). The poem, for instance, parodies the *Aetia* prologue, by denoting the “Callimachean” *grammatikoi* as Telchines and describing them as “grumbling” at the works of others (κατατρύζοντες, 7 ~ ἐπιτρύζουσιν, *Aetia*, fr. 1.1 Pf.), but also associates them with thorns: γραμματικοὶ Μώμου, στυγίου τέκνα, σῆτες ἀκανθῶν, | τελχίνες βιβλοῶν ... (“*Grammatikoi*, children of Stygian Momus, worms feeding on thorns, Telchines of books.”; tr. DeForest 1994). As DeForest 1994, 33 explains: “Callimachus likens his poetry to the the song of the cricket (*Ait.* 1.29), which was proverbially sweet. His contemporary, Leonidas of Tarentum, describes the cricket as ‘treading on the thorn’ (*AP* 7.198). Philip changes the insect from cricket to book-worm and gives it the diet of thorns because scholars busy themselves with ‘thorny’ problems.”

Farewell you wolves and jackals, farewell you bears that lurk in the mountains.
No more will Daphnis the cowherd haunt your thickets, woods and groves.
Farewell, Arethusa, and you streams whose bright waters pour down Thybris'
side. (...) Now, you thorns and brambles, bring forth violets, and let the lovely
narcissus flower on the juniper. (tr. Verity)

These similarities in landscape and the parallels between Hylas and Daphnis already discussed reinforce the intertextual contact between *Idylls* 1 and 13, through which the mention of ὕλαν (1.116) becomes very suggestive. Has what was only hinted at in *Idyll* 13 here reality? Is Hylas actually a piece of wood and thus part of Theocritus' bucolic landscape? This interpretation is supported by the mention of the narcissus in line 133. The mythological figure with the same name is very similar to Daphnis in his self-absorption, and his myth is a subtext in Thyrsis' song in *Idyll* 1.³¹⁹ The flower mentioned thus clearly evokes its mythological counterpart. This context suggests that ὕλαν a few lines earlier evokes Hylas, a figure similar to both Daphnis and Narcissus.³²⁰

3.4.4. *Bucolic nymphs and Callimachean springs*

The nymphs in *Idyll* 13 can also be read in a metapoetical way, although there are no allusions to any programmatic passage to support this. In Theocritus' bucolic *Idyll* 7, however, as we have already seen, Theocritus' poetical *alter ego* Simichidas replaces Hesiod's Muses with "rustic" nymphs (92). A few lines later in the same poem, in the metapoetical *locus amoenus*, Simichidas again regards the nymphs as his (and Theocritus') "bucolic Muses", by invoking the nymphs of the Castalian spring in Delphi because of their association with Apollo (148).³²¹ Given the status of the Nymphs in this meta-bucolic poem as well as in *Idyll* 1,³²² and given the bucolic

³¹⁹ See Zimmerman 1994 for the way in which *Idyll* 1 evokes the Narcissus myth.

³²⁰ See Ch. 3, Section 6.2 for Propertius' Narcissus-like Hylas, and Ch. 4, Section 5.1 for the similarities between Valerius' Hylas and Ovid's Narcissus.

³²¹ Hunter 1999, 197 (on *Id.* 7.148). See also Fantuzzi 2000 for the way in which Theocritus associates his mythological poetry with the Muses and his bucolic poetry with the nymphs.

³²² See Hunter 1999, 87-8 on *Id.* 1.66-9. See also p. 106 with n. 317 above.

character of the landscape of *Idyll* 13 already established by the intertextual contact with these poems, it is reasonable to extend the metapoetical dimension of the landscape of *Idyll* 13 to the nymphs. That these goddesses also function as Muses of Theocritus' poetry in the Hylas poem is reinforced by their rural designation as δειναὶ θεαὶ ἀγροιώταις ("feared by countryfolk", 44).³²³

As in the case of the nymphs, there are no obvious allusions to suggest a metapoetical reading of the abode of the nymphs, i.e. the spring. Nevertheless, in the context of the anti-heroic, Callimachean character of the landscape already established in lines 25-35 (see above), no further hint is needed to associate the water of the secluded spring, inhabited by bucolic nymphs, with Callimachean poetics, for the association of water with poetry is very common in antiquity, and Callimachus had of course famously symbolized his poetics in terms of the pure water from a secluded spring in his *Hymn to Apollo* and *Epigram* 28.³²⁴ A hint is to be found, however; for in the *locus amoenus* of *Idyll* 7, with which the meta-bucolic landscape of *Idyll* 13 is intertextually connected, Theocritus clearly associates the water (and the nymphs) with Callimachean poetics.³²⁵ The ἱερὸν ὕδωρ ("holy water") that flows from the cave of the nymphs (136-7, quoted above),³²⁶ as well as the bees flying round the springs a few lines later (πρωτῶντο ξουθαὶ περὶ πίδακας ἀμφὶ μέλισσαι, 142) recall the already discussed programmatic ending of Callimachus' *Hymn to Apollo*:³²⁷

Δηοῖ δ' οὐκ ἀπὸ παντὸς ὕδωρ φορέουσι μέλισσαι,
ἀλλ' ἦτις καθαρή τε καὶ ἀχράαντος ἀνέρπει
πίδακος ἐξ ἱερῆς ὀλίγη λιβάς ἄκρον ἄωτον.

H. Ap. 110-12

³²³ The name of only one of the three nymph, Μαλίς ("apple-tree") also fits the bucolic landscape. As Hunter 1999,278 (on *Id.* 13.45) notes, "It is not unlikely that T. had some source for these three names". Could this be Callimachus' *On Nymphs*?

³²⁴ See Ch. 1, Section 2.5 for text, translation and interpretation of Callimachus' *H. Ap.* and *Ep.* 28.

³²⁵ See also pp. 106-7 with n. 317 above.

³²⁶ Cf. *Idyll* 1.66-9, where ἱερὸν ὕδωρ (69) is also associated with nymphs, as a place where they are used to dwell.

³²⁷ Cf. also Hunter 1999, 195 (on *Id.* 7.142): "this unparalleled use of the double preposition both evokes the apparently random darting of the bees *around* the spring, and again calls attention to its own artifice".

The bees bring water to Deo not from every source but where it bubbles up pure and undefiled from a holy spring, its very essence. (tr. Nisetich)

The suggestion of remoteness of the spring in *Idyll* 13 (ἡμένω ἐν χώρῳ, “in a low-lying place”, 40) in this context also recalls the holy spring from the *Hymn to Apollo*, but in particular Callimachus’ rejection of the public fountain in *Epigram* 28.3-4: οὐδ’ ἀπὸ κοίτης | πίνω (“and I do not drink from the public fountain”). This implicit metapoetical significance seen in the spring in *Idyll* 13 is supported by at least one ancient reading, which will be discussed in detail in the next chapter.³²⁸ In his elegiac Hylas poem, which is modelled on *Idyll* 13, Propertius clearly alludes to Callimachus’ “pure” and “undefiled” water/poetry in the *Hymn to Apollo* (compare the underlinings), as well as to the secluded spring preferred by Callimachus, particularly in *Epigram* 28.3-4 (cf. *sepositi*, in bold below),³²⁹ interpreting Theocritus’ spring as symbolic of Callimachean poetics:

at comes invicti iuvenis processerat ultra
raram sepositi quaerere fontis aquam. Prop. 1.20.23-4

The squire of the invincible hero had gone further afield, to seek the choice water of a secluded spring. (tr. Heyworth)

The Callimachean nature of Theocritus’ spring is reinforced by the way Hylas’ fall in the spring is described:

(...) κατήριπε δ’ ἐς μέλαν ὕδωρ
 ἀθρόος, ὡς ὅτε πυρσὸς ἀπ’ οὐρανοῦ ἤριπεν ἀστηρ
 ἀθρόος, ἐν πόντῳ (...) Id. 13.49-51

Down he fell with a rush into the dark pool, just as a shooting star falls with a rush into the sea. (tr. Verity)

³²⁸ Ch. 3, Section 7.1. Cf. Ch. 1, Section 3.3.

³²⁹ Petrain 2000, 413-4.

This passage seems to allude to a Homeric simile that occurs twice in the *Iliad*, comparing the deaths on the battlefield of Asius and Sarpedon respectively:³³⁰

ἤριπε δ', ὡς ὅτε τις δοῦς ἤριπεν ἢ ἀχερωΐς
ἠὲ πίτυς βλωθρή, τήν τ' οὔρεσι τέκτονες ἄνδρες
ἐξέταμον πελέκεσσι νεήκεσι νηϊον εἶναι. Il. 13.389-91 = 16.482-4

And he fell, as an oak falls or a poplar or a tall pine, that among the mountains shipwrights fell with wetted axes to be a ship's timber. (tr. Murray & Wyatt)

As Hylas' abduction can be regarded as a kind of death, the allusion at first sight seems very apt. As with the allusions to the *Iliad* a few lines later (58-9; see Section 3.1 above), however, which associate Heracles with Odysseus, wounded on the battlefield, the allusion only highlights the difference between the Homeric and the Theocritean situations. Contrary to the dying epic warriors, the unheroic, bucolic Hylas, who is united with the Callimachean spring, is deified.

3.5. *Heracles and Polyphemus*

The meta-bucolic reading of *Idyll* 13 proposed here is reinforced by the clear intertextual contact between this poem and the other love poem addressed to Nicias, *Idyll* 11.³³¹ On the one hand, the love song of the shepherd-singer Polyphemus has clear affinities with Theocritus' bucolic poems.³³² On the other hand, the poem, which did not feature in the early ancient collections of Theocritus' bucolic poems,³³³ does not use bucolic terminology and deals with an epic mythological character.³³⁴ Theocritus' focusing on the "bucolic" aspects of Homer and taking a Homeric element out of the heroic-epic context and placing it in the bucolic world of love is

³³⁰ See also Campbell 1990, 115-6; van Erp Taalman Kip 1994, 164-5 for this allusion.

³³¹ See e.g. Gutzwiller 1991, 107- 8 on this contact.

³³² Cf. Hunter 1999, 218: "(...) many aspects of the poem (e.g. the claim to skill on the syrinx in 38, the remarkable mixture of animals in 40-1) gain added point if viewed in the light of 'bucolic conventions', and Damoitas and Daphnis in *Idyll* 6 treat Polyphemus and Galateia as a mythical story with parallels to their own situation."

³³³ See Gutzwiller 1996 for a thorough analysis of the evidence for ancient collections of Theocritus.

³³⁴ See e.g. Gutzwiller 1991, 105-15 and Hunter 1999, 217-8 on the bucolic status of *Idyll* 11.

characteristic of his Callimachean bucolic poetry. In Theocritus' bucolic poems, however, this "heroic inversion" is handled in an allusive way from *within* the bucolic world, which is sealed off from the heroic-epic world of Homer. The elaborately described ivy cup in *Idyll 1*, for instance, clearly alludes to the *Iliad*, but the object belongs to the bucolic world in which it features. The Cyclops in *Idyll 11*, however, is clearly not part of the bucolic world. As in *Idyll 13*, the poem's protagonist is torn out his usual epic context and placed in an unfamiliar, bucolic context of love. It is therefore appropriate enough that the displaced heroic characters of Heracles and Polyphemus are intertextually related, and that the points of contact highlight the contrast with their poetic context. When Heracles, for instance, is said to love a boy (ἤρατο παιδός, 6), the contrast with his usual, heroic activities is immediately made clear, because the first part of the line mentions the hero defeating the Nemean lion (ὄς τὸν λῖν ὑπέμεινε τὸν ἄγριον). At the beginning of *Idyll 11*, something similar occurs. Polyphemus is said to love a girl (ἤρατο τᾶς Γαλατείας, 8), which is at odds with the behaviour of Polyphemus "of old times", as is stated in the first part of the line (ὠρχαῖος Πολύφαμος).³³⁵ Later in *Idyll 13*, the presence of Heracles in an unfamiliar poetical world is emphasized by the mention of his neglected epic duties (ὑστερα πάντ' ἦς, 67). Similarly, the Cyclops is also not fulfilling his usual duties in this new poetic context:³³⁶ ἀγείτο δὲ πάντα πάροργα ("he regarded everything as secondary", 11).³³⁷

³³⁵ Cf. Mastronarde 1968, 289; Gutzwiller 1991, 107.

³³⁶ It seems somewhat ironic that by the very neglect of his activities as a shepherd, Polyphemus resembles a bucolic poet. This only serves to underline the difference between Theocritus' Callimachean bucolic poetry and Homer's bucolic elements, however, which are just "leftovers" of his heroic poetry. Cf. Ch. 1, Section 3.2.3, where Heracles is also associated with Polyphemus to reveal that he does not fit Apollonius' Callimachean epic.

³³⁷ Heracles is also associated with the Polyphemus of the *Odyssey* through allusion. In line 58, for instance, ἤρσυγε, recalls Polyphemus, who after his final meal "vomited in his drunken sleep" (ὁ δ' ἐρσέυγετο οἰνοβαρείων, *Od.* 9.374). As Hunter 1999, 283 (on *Id.* 13.58) argues: "Lexica distinguish two senses of ἐρσέυεσθαι, 'belch', 'disgorge' and 'bellow', 'roar, but here both are relevant: Herakles' gluttonous throat was notoriously deep (...), and although the verb is not necessarily coarse in Hellenistic Greek, here it may suggest a likeness between Herakles and the Cyclops (...)." See also Hunter 1999, 276 (on *Id.* 13.36), 282 (on *Id.* 13.56-7), 283 (on *Id.* 13.58) for parallels. It is interesting, incidentally, that Simichidas mentions both Heracles and Polyphemus when addressing the nymphs

So, both poems seem to comment metapoetically on Theocritus' bucolic poetry. The fact that both poems are framed by addresses by Theocritus' poetic persona to his fellow poet Nicias also suggests that two poets are discussing poetry in these two poems. That this metapoetical similarity between these poems has escaped the attention of scholars, is probably due to the different narratological situations of the poems, and the different effects these create.³³⁸ Because Polyphemus' song, the main part of *Idyll 11*, is presented in direct speech, the effect that the poem creates is dramatic irony. The mythological narrative of Heracles and Hylas, however, is told entirely by Theocritus' poetical persona. Although Heracles is also made ridiculous in this poem because of his unfamiliarity with love, the humour has a different, less prominent, but more mordent in tone.

3.6. *Hylas, Polyphemus and Theocritus*

Through the direct speech in *Idyll 11*, the personae of the bucolic poets Theocritus and Polyphemus merge; Polyphemus' song, with its ironical allusions to the *Odyssey*, is also Theocritus' song, and this identification can also be read metapoetically:

(...) [T]he Cyclops is trapped in the language, not just of Homer, but of Odysseus. T.'s creation is forced to express himself with words and phrases which prove already loaded against him, even where they do not refer specifically to *Odyssey 9* (...). He is a pathetic victim of poetic tradition, who functions as a (comic) paradigm for the position of the dactylic poet in a post-Homeric world; T. too is 'trapped' by the weight of tradition which accompanies his verse, and he too is bound to 'lose' to Homer, as Polyphemus does to Odysseus.³³⁹

in the highly meta-bucolic context at the end of *Id. 7* (150, 152). After analysing *Id. 11* and *Id. 13*, I have the impression that these epic characters are mentioned to illustrate the power of bucolic poetry, which can even subdue them. This seems most clear in the case of Polyphemus: see *Id. 7.151-2*: ἄρα γέ πα τήνον τὸν ποιμένα τὸν ποτ' Ἀνάπῳ, | τὸν κρατερόν Πολύφαμον, ὃς ὄρεσι νᾶας ἔβαλλε, with the translation/interpretation of Gow 1950, I: "Was it such nectar that set that shepherd by the Anapus dancing among his sheepfolds, *even the mighty Polyphemus, who pelted ships with mountains?*" (the italics are mine).

³³⁸ See Payne 2007, 82-91 for an analysis of the different narratological strategies in these poems.

³³⁹ Hunter 1999, 219.

Although the metapoetical messages of *Idylls* 11 and 13 are similar in many respects, the different narratological situation of the Hylas poem creates a different identification of poet and character. Through the allusions to the *Iliad*, Heracles is associated with Homer, or rather his heroic-epic poetry, and the unfamiliar, bucolic situation in which the hero ends up symbolizes the distance between Theocritus' bucolic poetry and Homer's heroic epic. But whereas *Idyll* 11 suggests a comical identification between Theocritus and the Cyclops, Theocritus associates himself with the Callimachean, bucolic παῖς Hylas in *Idyll* 13. As in *Idyll* 11, however, the contrast set up between Homer and Theocritus does not imply opposition. Theocritus' bucolic poetry has its origin in Homer, which seems to be acknowledged early in the poem:

καί νιν πάντ' ἐδιδασκε, πατήρ ὡσεὶ φίλον υἷόν,
 ὅσσα μαθῶν ἀγαθὸς καὶ ἀοίδιμος αὐτὸς ἔγεντο
 χωρὶς δ' οὐδέποκ' ἦς, οὔτ' εἰ μέσον ἄμαρ ὄροίτο,
 οὔθ' ὀπόκ' ἄλεύκιππος ἀνατρέχοι ἐς Διὸς Ἀώς,
 οὔθ' ὀπόκ' ὀρτάλιχοι μινυροὶ ποτὶ κοῖτον ὄρῳεν,
 σεισαμένας πτερὰ ματρὸς ἐπ' αἰθαλόεντι πετεύρω,
 ὡς αὐτῷ κατὰ θυμὸν ὁ παῖς πεποναμένος εἶη,
 αὐτῷ δ' εὖ ἔλκων ἐς ἀλαθινὸν ἄνδρ' ἀποβαίη.

Id. 13.8-15

Just as father to son, Heracles taught him the lessons which had brought him nobility and renown in song. They were never apart, neither at noonday nor when Dawn's white horses flew up into the sky, or when clucking chickens looked to their rest while their mother shook her wings on her soot-black perch. Thus he hoped they boy would be trained after his own mind, and by his efforts reach the state of true manhood. (tr. Verity)

The relationship between Heracles and Hylas is like that between a father and a son, a teacher and a pupil. A metapoetical reading of these lines is not just made possible after a complete reading of the poem, for, as we have seen, the preceding lines 5-7 (quoted in Section 1) already set up a contrast between Heracles and Hylas, which opens the meta-bucolic dimension of the poem. But the passage itself also suggests a

metaliterary interpretation of the relationship.³⁴⁰ As Hunter comments, ἀοίδιμος (9) “suggests that Herakles’ intention was to make Hylas the ‘subject of song’, as he himself was; (...) T. showed that, in this at least, Herakles was successful, though not in the way he planned”.³⁴¹ Heracles wants Hylas to become an epic hero, like himself, but in fact, by virtue of being deified, he will become an at least not inferior, bucolic hero.

The possibility of reading these lines in terms of the relationship between Theocritus and Homer is strengthened by an allusion to *Iliad* 6.358, the only occurrence of ἀοίδιμος in Homer, where Helen speaks of Paris and herself as ἀοίδιμοι, “subjects of song”. As the scholia note, Homer here “subtly glorifies his poem”.³⁴² Theocritus aptly uses the same word (*Id.* 13.9) to express the wish of Heracles (≈ Homer) for Hylas (≈ Theocritus), but although heavily influenced by the great epic poet, the bucolic poet will in fact go his own way.³⁴³

3.7. From heroic to bucolic: the separation of Heracles and Hylas

Theocritus’ statement that Heracles and Hylas were never apart (*Id.* 13.10), is elaborated in a tricolon that, from a metapoetical point of view, undercuts the statement itself. Whereas the lengthy division of the day into three parts, as well as the wording of the first two parts, sounds very epic, and Homeric in particular,³⁴⁴ the un-Homeric longest third part, describing a rustic scene with the hen and her chickens, comes as a surprise and constitutes a separation from Homer’s heroic

³⁴⁰ Cf. Ch. 4, Sections 6 and 7, where I argue that Valerius Flaccus and Statius also use the relationship of Hylas and Hercules metapoetically to describe their own poetry in relation to a great epic predecessor, in this case the Roman Homer: Virgil.

³⁴¹ Hunter 1999, 269 (on *Id.* 13.9).

³⁴² *Ibidem*.

³⁴³ This interpretation is strengthened by the pervasive importance of the theme of “poetic succession” in Theocritus’ bucolic poetry, on which see Hubbard 1998, 19-44 (Ch. 1: “Poetic succession and the genesis of Alexandrian bucolic”).

³⁴⁴ Cf. e.g. *Il.* 21.111f.: ἔσσεται ἢ ἠὼς ἢ δείλη ἢ μέσον ἡμαρ, ἢ ὀππότε ... (“There shall come a dawn or eve or midday, when...”). See also Hunter 1999, 269-70 (on *Id.* 13.10b-13).

world.³⁴⁵ Ironically, the words *χωρὶς δ' οὐδέποκ' ἦς* ("and he was never separated from him", 10) thus already suggest that Heracles and Hylas will not be together much longer.

Hylas/Theocritus' separation from the heroic world continues in the rest of the poem. After describing half of Apollonius' *Argonautica* in lines 16-24, Theocritus continues to write his own Callimachean, bucolic "epic" in what follows; it culminates in Hylas' abduction by the nymphs, which ends his relationship with Heracles. Although this relationship is described as one between father and son, and teacher and pupil, the poem also clearly suggests that Heracles loves Hylas as an *erastes*, which, of course, also includes the roles of parent and teacher. This love can also be interpreted metapoetically. In his meta-bucolic *Idylls* 1 and 7, Theocritus, following Callimachus, states that he wants to write un-heroic poetry that distances itself from Homer, but is nevertheless sanctioned by the poet (see Sections 2.2 and 2.3 above). Homer can thus be said to love his poetic "offspring". But the difference between the two kinds of poetry is great. It would only become greater as it developed, and there comes a moment when a pederastic relationship has to end. As we have seen in the previous chapter, Apollonius associated the pederastic relationship between Heracles and Hylas with Achilles and Patroclus, and thus with the Homeric world, which he regarded as outdated. Theocritus seems to take over this idea from his Callimachean colleague. When Hylas is metamorphosed into an echo, is deified and has left his heroic *erastes* Heracles behind for good in exchange for bucolic nymphs, and when the heroic Heracles is mocked as a "ship deserter",³⁴⁶ the separation between Heracles and Hylas is complete. So the poem, which can be

³⁴⁵ Cf. Gow 1950, II, 234 (on *Id.* 13.13): "The homely picture of the hen settling for the night and the chickens about to follow her to roost has charm, but is consorts somewhat oddly both with its heroic setting and with the chariot of Dawn in the preceding line."

³⁴⁶ Cf. Hunter 1999, 288 (on *Id.* 13.74): "The word-play *Ἡρακλέην ... ἦρωες ... ἠρώησε* seems to 'mock' Herakles, just as the Argonauts did". According to my interpretation, this play would also mock the incongruity of the heroic poetry that Heracles stands for within Theocritus' Callimachean bucolic world.

read as Hylas' initiation into manhood,³⁴⁷ can also be seen as an allegory of Theocritus' origin and development as a bucolic poet, finding his own poetic, Callimachean niche in relation to Homer's heroic-epic poetry.

³⁴⁷ See Introduction, 2, with n. 5.

