

The Social Lives of Paintings in Sixteenth-Century Venice

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,

op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. P.F. van der Heijden,

volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties

te verdedigen op donderdag 1 december 2011

klokke 16.15 uur

door

Elisabeth Johanna Maria van Kessel

geboren te Nijmegen

in 1984

Promotiecommissie:

Prof.dr. Caroline van Eck (promotor)

Dr. Lex Hermans (co-promotor)

Prof.dr. Bernard Aikema (Università degli Studi di Verona)

Prof.dr. Gert Jan van der Sman (Universiteit Leiden / NIKI, Florence)

Prof.dr. Joanna Woodall (Courtauld Institute, Londen)

Dr. Edward Grasman (Universiteit Leiden)

Dr. Arno Witte (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

De totstandkoming van dit proefschrift is financieel ondersteund door de Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO).

Voor mijn ouders & voor J.

Contents

Abbreviations and Spelling	vii
Acknowledgements	ix
Colour Plates	xiii
Introduction	1
1. A Modern Miracle	23
<i>Genesis and Early History – The Painting as a Trigger of Response – Frame and Other Sacred Objects – Adaptations – The Scuola di San Rocco and the Initiators of the Cult – The Faithful – The Changing Role of the Artist – Conclusion: The Pious Painter</i>	
2. A Portrait Defaced	77
<i>The Cappella dell'Annunziata – Titian's Annunciation – What's New? – Innovation as a Problem – Donor Portraits – Frontality – In Search of a Culprit – Image Destruction and Pictorial Mockery – Image Destruction and Ritual Violence – Iconoclasm and Christianity – Conclusion: Malchiostro's End</i>	
Excursus: Titian and Poetic Iconoclasm	122

3. A Martyr of Painting	127
<i>Irene di Spilimbergo, Her Life and Death – The Washington Portraits of Emilia and Irene – A Curious Genesis – Titian’s Authorship – Agency in the Art of Painting – Paintings as Relics? – The Poem Collection – The Volume as Portrait – ‘La mia vera effigie’ – A Fragmented Image - A Debate of Vital Importance – Nature Jealous of Art – Conclusion: A Fruitful Afterlife?</i>	
4. Politics, Portraits, and Love	191
<i>‘A figure so notorious for evil’ – A Daughter of Venice – Bianca’s Patronage in Venice – The Portrait – Francesco Bembo, the Poet – Bembo’s Frame – A Civic Ritual – Francesco Bembo, a Man in Politics – Conclusion: The Politics of Portraiture</i>	
Conclusion	243
Bibliography	251
Samenvatting	275
Curriculum Vitae	289
Illustrations	291

Abbreviations and Spelling

Abbreviations

A.S.F.	Archivio di Stato di Firenze
A.S.V.	Archivio di Stato di Venezia
B.N.M.	Biblioteca Nazionale Marciana
D.B.I.	<i>Dizionario biografico degli italiani</i> , Rome: Istituto della Enciclopedia Italiana 1960-
Thieme-Becker	<i>Allgemeines Lexikon der bildenden Künstler von der Antike bis zur Gegenwart</i> , eds. Ulrich Thieme and Felix Becker, 37 vols., Leipzig 1907-1950.

A Note on Spelling

When quoting early modern Italian sources, I remain as close to the original language as possible. However, for the sake of readability I have changed the 'v' into the 'u' and vice versa, where necessary.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to recall the names of all those people who have helped me writing this thesis. The first person I should like to thank here is Jan de Jong, one of my professors at the University of Groningen, who was the first to interest me for Italian art. His encouragement and support, those of a real mentor, led me to apply for the Ph.D. position that ultimately resulted in this thesis, and for that I am very thankful.

This thesis was written in the context of the research programme *Art, Agency, and Living Presence in Early Modern Italy*, based at Leiden University and funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). I want to thank NWO for its generous financial support. My fellow project members and colleagues I would like to thank for their share in the stimulating atmosphere of the project and for the sheer fun of working with them: Minou Schraven, who out of her immense collegiality has taught me many things; and Stijn Bussels, critical and incredibly kind. In Lex Hermans, also member of the programme, I enjoyed a second supervisor with an always scrupulous eye and with the pleasant ability to see things in perspective.

But most of all I wish to thank the programme's director Caroline van Eck: for the confidence she had in me early on, even if I was (not only in academic terms) merely a baby; for her enthusiasm and ambition; and espe-

cially for her commitment, which went so far beyond what one would normally expect from a supervisor.

There are other people at Leiden who helped me. The Institute for Cultural Disciplines, formerly Pallas, financially supported one of my research trips to Venice. The early modern visual arts seminar was the occasion for many a fruitful discussion. Maarten Delbeke gave me useful suggestions and always showed his interest in my project. It was a real pleasure to share an office with Sigrid de Jong. Edward Grasman, too, was a wonderful colleague: interested, willing to help, and always approaching me as his equal.

In the course of the *Art & Agency* programme, three workshops have taken place at the Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study; I would like to thank all participants for their fruitful comments, and am thinking in particular of Frank Fehrenbach, Arthur Di Furia, Jason Gaiger, Christine Göttler, Jeanette Kohl, Katlijne Van Der Stighelen, Arno Witte, and Joanna Woodall.

In Amsterdam the Huizinga Instituut, Research Institute and Graduate School for Cultural History, has greatly broadened my scholarly horizon and brought me into contact with many young colleagues; a particular word of thanks here goes to Anne Hilde van Baal.

During my research trips in Italy, I have made grateful use of the facilities of the Dutch University Institute for Art History in Florence. A word of thanks to its former director, Bert Meijer, to Tjarda Vermeijden, and to Gert Jan van der Sman, for his great interest in my work and his many useful remarks and ideas. My research in Venice has profited greatly from the expertise and good advice of Bernard Aikema, for which I thank him. Thanks also to Wolfgang Wolters and the Deutsches Studienzentrum in Venedig.

At the Warburg-Haus in Hamburg, participation in the Warburg-Kolleg 2010 has been an extremely satisfying experience. I wish to thank the organisers Uwe Fleckner, Hendrik Ziegler, and Iris Wenderholm, as well as my fellow participants for making this possible. At the National Gallery of Art in Washington, D.C., I should like to thank Joanna Dunn, Sarah Fischer, Anne Halpern, and Gretchen Hirschauer. Further people with whom I recall fruitful exchanges are Anton Boschloo, Carlo Corsato, Sara van Dijk, Miguel Falomir, Nina Geerdink, Marieke Hendriksen, Joost Keizer, Christopher Nygren, and Arvi Wattel.

Never could I have finished my thesis without my friends and family. It has been wonderful to share my love of art and history with Femke Diercks, Elisa Goudriaan, Eelco Nagelsmit, Marije Osnabrugge, and Inge Schriemer. And it has been great to share some other things, too – with Lieke Gosen and Annika Kruithof in Leiden and Josephine Stoffels in Groningen. My brother Rik and sister Marlies van Kessel have been very important to me. That Marlies, furthermore, agreed to read and correct the entire manuscript means more than she is probably aware of.

Having almost arrived at the end of these acknowledgements, I cannot really express how much my parents have given me: their never failing interest, trust, support, or in one word: their love. It is to them, then, that I dedicate this book. They will share it with Joris van Gastel, who has been there for me from the book's very beginnings. Writing it, I may have questioned everything – but on him I could always count.

Paris, October 2011

