



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Nestorian remains of Inner Mongolia : discovery, reconstruction and appropriation

Halbertsma, T.H.F.

Citation

Halbertsma, T. H. F. (2007, November 20). *Nestorian remains of Inner Mongolia : discovery, reconstruction and appropriation*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12444>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12444>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Nestorian Remains of Inner Mongolia:
Discovery, Reconstruction and Appropriation

Proefschrift
ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. P.F. van der Heijden,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 20 November 2007
klokke 16:15 uur

door

Tjalling Hidde Friso Halbertsma
geboren te Doorn
in 1969

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor: Dhr. prof. dr. B.J. ter Haar

Referent: Mw. prof. dr. V. Hansen (Yale University)

Overige leden: Dhr. prof. dr. R. Malek (University of Bonn)

Mw. dr. H.L. Murre-v.d. Berg

Dhr. prof. dr. I.B. Smits

Mw. prof. dr. C.J.M. Zijlmans

Dit proefschrift kwam mede tot stand met steun van:
De Hulsewé-Wazniewski Stichting ter bevordering van onderwijs en onderzoek aan de
Universiteit Leiden op het gebied van de archeologie, kunst en materiële cultuur van China
en
Stichting Gebr. De Jong's Leen.

**NESTORIAN REMAINS OF INNER MONGOLIA:
DISCOVERY, RECONSTRUCTION AND APPROPRIATION**



Tjalling Halbertsma

Now enter the kingdom of Prester John...
Marco Polo

CONTENTS

List of maps, figure and plates	6
List of appendices	7
Acknowledgements	8
Note on names, spelling and illustrations	9
Introduction	10
Part I. Time and place	
Chapter 1. Medieval envoys connecting Europe and the Mongol empire	14
1.1 Prester John and the Christened East	14
1.2 William of Rubruck	15
1.3 Enters Rabban Sauma	16
1.4 Marco Polo	18
1.5 John of Montecorvino	19
1.6 Conclusions	20
Chapter 2. On the term ‘Nestorianism’ and the early Chinese terms for Christianity	21
2.1 Origin and early use of the term Nestorian	21
2.2 The Tang dynasty terms for Christianity	22
2.3 The Yuan dynasty term for Christians	24
2.4 Conclusions	25
Chapter 3. Nestorian Christians in Central Asia and China in the Mongol era	26
3.1 Nestorian Christians among the Central Asian tribes	26
3.2 Nestorian Christians among the Öngüt	29
3.3 King George of the Öngüt	30
3.4 The Öngüt realm	35
3.5 Nestorian Christians among the Mongols	35
3.6 The Mongol rulers’ approach towards Christianity	37
3.7 Nestorian Christians in China proper	40
3.8 Organization of the Church of the East in Central Asia and China	44
3.9 Decline and disappearance of Nestorian Christianity in Central Asia and China	46
3.10 Conclusions	47
Part II. Discovery and documentation of Nestorian remains in Inner Mongolia	
Chapter 4. Foreign discovery and documentation of Nestorian remains in Inner Mongolia before 1949	52
4.1 Cesar de Brabander (1857-1919) and Charles Pieters (1884-1926)	52
4.2 Henning Haslund-Christensen (1896-1948)	56
4.3 Huang Wenbi (1893-1966)	57
4.4 Owen Lattimore (1900-1989)	58
4.5 Henry Desmond Martin (1908-1973)	60
4.6 Bettina Lum (1911-1983)	63
4.7 Egami Namio (1906-2002)	65
4.7.1 Egami collection	67
4.8 Inoo Tentaro	69
4.9 Carl Barkman (1919-2006)	69
4.10 Other foreign researchers before 1949	70
4.11 Conclusions	70
Chapter 5. Discovery and documentation of Nestorian remains in Inner Mongolia after 1949	72
5.1 Gai Shanlin (1936-)	72
5.2 Further Chinese field research	74
5.3 Accidental Nestorian find by Chinese farmer around 1984	75
5.4. Foreign field research from 1997 onwards	76

5.5 Author's field research	77
5.6 Conclusions	81
Part III. Reconstruction and contextualization of Nestorian remains in Inner Mongolia	
Chapter 6. Nestorian city remains from Inner Mongolia	86
6.1 Olon Sume-in Tor (Olon Sume)	86
6.2 Ulan Baishing (Chengbuzi)	92
6.3 Boro Baishing	92
6.4 Mukhor Soborghan	93
6.5 Derriseng Khutug	93
6.6 Identification of Öngüt cities north of Daqingshan mountains	94
6.7 Conclusions	97
Chapter 7. Nestorian gravesites and grave material from Inner Mongolia	108
7.1 Turkic style rock-graves and Nestorian steles	108
7.1.1 Characteristics of Turkic graves in the region	108
7.1.2 Rock-graves and steles at Biqigetuhaolai	111
7.1.2 Rock-graves and steles outside the city walls of Olon Sume	112
7.2 Graves with Nestorian gravestones	116
7.2.1 Graves with horizontal gravestones and spirit way sculptures at Olon Sume	117
7.2.2 Graves with horizontal gravestones at Mukhor Soborghan	118
7.2.3 Graves with horizontal gravestones and tomb sculptures at Wangmuliang	119
7.2.4 Horizontal gravestones and tomb sculptures at Chengbuzi	121
7.2.5 Other sites with Nestorian gravestones	122
7.3 Nestorian horizontal gravestones	123
7.3.1 General shape and design of horizontal gravestones	123
7.3.2 Inscriptions on horizontal gravestones	124
7.3.3 Decorative depictions on horizontal gravestones	125
7.3.4 Cross depictions on horizontal gravestones	128
7.3.5 Summary of material aspects of graves with horizontal gravestones	128
7.4 Tomb sculptures at Nestorian sites	128
7.5 Other Nestorian stones from Olon Sume	130
7.6 Öngüt coffin and pagoda at Zhaohe and coffin slab at Bailingmiao	131
7.7 Graves with stone columns at Shizhuziliang	132
7.8 Chifeng brick	135
7.9 Conclusions	136
Chapter 8. Characteristics of Nestorian grave material from Inner Mongolia	151
8.1 Nestorian inscriptions	151
8.1.1. Languages and scripts used in tomb inscriptions	151
8.1.2. Standard formulae and invocations used in Syriac inscriptions	153
8.1.3 Dating systems used in grave inscriptions	156
8.2 The cross of the Church of the East	157
8.2.1 Cross depictions from Inner Mongolia	159
8.3 Design and decoration of grave material	161
8.4 Social status of Nestorian Christians in graves	162
8.5 Idiosyncrasy of Nestorian grave material	162
8.6 Conclusions	163
Part IV. Appropriation of Nestorian heritage from Inner Mongolia	
Chapter 9. Physical appropriation of Nestorian heritage by settlers	165
9.1 Early appropriation of Nestorian objects by Buddhist settlers	165
9.2 Appropriation of Nestorian objects by Han Chinese settlers	167
9.3 Appropriation of Nestorian sites by Han Chinese settlers	168
9.4 Grave looting and treasure seeking at Nestorian sites	169
9.5 Appropriation of sites and objects by tourism developers	173

9.6 Conclusions	175
Chapter 10. Mongolian appropriation of Nestorian sites and objects	179
10.1 Mongolian oral history of Nestorian sites	179
10.2 Contemporary Mongolian attitudes regarding Nestorian sites and objects .	182
10.3 Mongolian attitudes regarding Nestorian sites and objects after settling ...	185
10.4 Mongolian anecdotes on the origin of Olon Sume	186
10.5 Loss of Mongolian legends, oral history and anecdotes on Nestorian heritage	188
10.6 Conclusions	189
Chapter 11. Appropriation of Nestorian heritage by missionaries and field researchers .	193
11.1 Early appropriation of Nestorian heritage by missionaries	193
11.2 Twentieth century appropriation of Nestorian heritage by researchers	196
11.3 Conclusions	198
Conclusion	199
Appendices	201
Bibliography	275
Biography	288
Samenvatting (Dutch synopsis)	289

LIST OF MAPS, FIGURE AND PLATES

Map 1. North China and Mongolia	13
Map 2. Nestorian sites in Damaoqi and Siziwangqi	51
Map 3. Nestorian city remains in Damaoqi and Siziwangqi	85
Map 4. Nestorian gravesites in Damaoqi and Siziwangqi	107
Figure 1. Nestorian horizontal gravestone	137
Plate 1. Nestorian gravestones of Quanzhou	48
Plate 2. Ancient graves	49
Plate 3. Fangshan	50
Plate 4. Author's project	82
Plate 5. Author's project	83
Plate 6. Author's project	84
Plate 7. Olon Sume	98
Plate 8. Olon Sume	99
Plate 9. Olon Sume	100
Plate 10. Olon Sume	101
Plate 11. Olon Sume	102
Plate 12. Biqigetuhaolai and Ulan Baishing	103
Plate 13. Boro Baishing and Derriseng Khutug	104
Plate 14. Mukhor Soborghhan	105
Plate 15. Wangmuliang	106
Plate 16. Stele	138
Plate 17. Nestorian gravestones	139
Plate 18. Rubbings	140
Plate 19. Stone tomb objects	141
Plate 20. Pagoda of Zhaohe and pagodas of Quanzhou	142
Plate 21. Tomb at Zhaohe	143
Plate 22. Glazed brick from Chifeng and unknown stone object	144
Plate 23. Bailingmiao	145
Plate 24. Spirit way sculptures and steles of Olon Sume	146
Plate 25. Spirit way sculptures and steles of Olon Sume and Wangmuliang	147
Plate 26. Spirit way sculptures of Wangmuliang and Chengbuzi	148
Plate 27. Spirit way sculptures of Chengbuzi and unknown statue along road at Gegentala resort	149
Plate 28. Spirit way sculptures at parking lot of Gegentala resort	150
Plate 29. Appropriation	176
Plate 30. Appropriation	177
Plate 31. Looting of city remains and grave robbing	178
Plate 32. Patterns and motifs in Mongolia	190
Plate 33. Modern graves	191
Plate 34. Inner Mongolia	192

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Coordinates of Nestorian sites in Inner Mongolia and Fangshan	201
Appendix 2. Images from rare or inaccessible publications	202
2.1 De Brabander (1891): Sketches of seven stones from Shizhuziliang	202
2.2.1 Pieters (1924a): Three stones from Shizhuziliang	203
2.2.2 Pieters (1924a): Two stones from Shizhuziliang	204
2.2.3 One stone from Shizhuziliang and portraits of De Brabander and Pieters	205
2.3.1 Gai (1991): Eight stones from Biqigetuhaolai	206
2.3.2 Gai (1991): One stone from Biqigetuhaolai and four stones from Olon Sume	207
2.4 Hong Kong University Museum and Art Gallery: Eight ‘Ordos bronzes’	208
2.5 Joseph (undated): Four plates on Keikyo	209
Appendix 3. Glossary	210
Appendix 4. Halbertsma (2005a) Appendix, Errata and Additional data	215
4.1 Errata Halbertsma (2005a)	215
4.2 Additional data Halbertsma (2005a)	215
4.3 Halbertsma (2005a) Appendix	216

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A number of people and institutions have contributed to the completion of this study and the research-project that preceded it. Some of them I am permitted to mention here by name, some of them – including the main contributor who guided the project to its completion - not.

I am grateful to the Hulsewé-Wazniewski Foundation for generously supporting my fieldwork in Inner Mongolia and a related exhibition and seminar in Leiden.¹ The Foundation's effective and speedy decision-making made it possible to document and study material that has since almost entirely disappeared from the field. Maghiel van Crevel, whose idea it was to write this dissertation, provided, as secretary of the Hulsewé-Wazniewski Foundation, sound advice and much help on the organizational side of the research-project.

I am also thankful to Stichting Gebr. De Jong's Leen for kindly supporting me with a grant whilst writing the dissertation at Leiden. During my time in Leiden, I received much help from Hanno Lecher, librarian of the Sinological Institute, and other staff working at the library. Menno Fitski, curator and board member of the Hulsewé-Wazniewski Foundation, skilfully carried the project to an exhibition at the National Museum of Ethnology in Leiden, where Anne-Marie Woerlee proved to be the proverbial 'steady rock' in an otherwise somewhat bewildering organization. I have been most fortunate with the attendance of the late Carl Barkman at the opening of this exhibition and the wonderful stories he told me about his early visit to Olon Sume.

In Beijing, Anne Meijdam and Wang Meiou generously aided the project on almost every practical level. William Lindesay was a tremendous source of ideas and suggestions and Iwan Baan kindly agreed to photograph for the project in the summer of 2005.

Research in China was much facilitated through Zheng Ruqing and colleagues at the International Office of Peking University. In Hohhot the project was greatly helped by the Director of the Inner Mongolia Institute of Archaeology and Cultural Relics, Wei Jian, now member of the National Committee for the Compilation of Qing History at Renmin University, Beijing.

Sara Lievens of the F. Verbiest Instituut in Leuven, and librarian of the Scheut Memorial Library in Kessel Lo, both in Belgium, kindly provided - with Ad Dudink - access to a number of rare publications, whilst Dirk van Overmeire provided information on early Scheut missionaries who had documented Nestorian remains at the turn of the nineteenth century. Pier Giorgio Borbone, Christel Braae, Tina Yee-wan Pang and Ken Parry offered information and articles that were difficult to access in Leiden. I would especially like to thank Roman Malek for allowing me to reprint an appendix here which appeared earlier in *Monumenta Serica* and not the least for his straightforward encouragement. My wife Ullii corrected an early draft, designed maps and the general lay out. Thank you.

Whilst travelling in Inner Mongolia, a great number of herders and farmers welcomed me into their homes and patiently spent time to answer questions regarding the Nestorian remains they were so familiar with. I am most grateful for the insights they provided and the stories they told me. I am especially indebted to the interpreter who wishes to be identified here only as Mr. E., for his readiness to introduce me to herders and farmers he grew up amongst and his good company during our days in the field.

¹ The Hulsewé-Wazniewski Foundation for the advancement of teaching and research in the archaeology, art and material culture of China at Leiden University. Incidentally, dr. A.F.P. Hulsewé, during his time as professor of Chinese at Leiden University, contributed to the translation of one of the key Nestorian inscription from China, see: Jansma (1971) 204.

NOTE ON NAMES, SPELLING AND ILLUSTRATIONS

The early researchers of Nestorian remains in Inner Mongolia used a variety of Mongolian and Chinese spellings and transcriptions. In addition, a number of Mongolian place names have now entered literature in Chinese transcriptions. Without restricting myself to one system, I have opted for whichever transcription raises the least confusion. Where possible I have followed Standaert (2001).

I have transcribed the majority of Chinese place names in *pinyin* and attempted to include Chinese characters for Chinese place names (for characters see the glossary in Appendix 3). I have maintained other transcriptions when drawing upon early publications that omit Chinese characters and have generally transcribed Mongolian place names as they are in early source publications, such as Martin (1938) or Gai (1991), unless these transcriptions were problematic.

I have chosen to use Chinese and Mongolian geographical names followed by the English for river, mountain or banner and thus, for instance, used Yinshan mountains instead of Yinshan or Yin Mountains, Shara Muren river instead of Shara Muren or Yellow River, and Damaoqi banner instead of Damaoqi or Damao Banner.

With ‘Inner Mongolia’ I refer to the Chinese province of the Inner Mongolian Autonomous Region (IMAR) and with ‘Mongolia’ the independent country Mongolia, formerly Outer Mongolia. I have used ‘Mongol’ when referring to issues or people related to the Mongol rule of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries or traditional characteristics, and ‘Mongolian’ when referring to the people currently living in Inner Mongolia and Mongolia and to more recent issues and developments in both Inner Mongolia and Mongolia.

Images in plates are credited with the initials of the photographer followed by the year when they were made. The initials ‘IW’ thus stand for Iwan Baan, ‘WJ’ for Wei Jian and ‘TH’ for the present author.

Plates follow chapters, whereas maps are inserted at the start of chapters. For objects included in the appendix of Halbertsma (2005a) I have listed the object’s number in a footnote. The appendix to Halbertsma (2005a) is reproduced here with errata and further data in Appendix 4.

INTRODUCTION

The western world, being a culture rooted in Christianity, has a longstanding interest in Christians in other parts of the world. This interest has undoubtedly shaped western views of the wider world. It has, for one thing, inspired legends relating of a mysterious eastern Christian king named Prester John and an eastern Christian realm. The legends in turn motivated mediaeval European leaders to send envoys to the Far East in an attempt to contact this Christian ally. Europe's searches for Prester John have resulted in a variety of encounters between western envoys and Nestorian Christians in the Far East. Prester John was never found, but Marco Polo, who described numerous Christian communities in the Far East, claimed to have encountered his Christian descendants. Polo's references to Christians in China are in part a product of the western interest in Christianity abroad and have in turn become the object of study for whoever is interested in the Christendom of the East. The popularity of Polo's travels also illustrates a parallel fascination of the western world for China. It is thus not surprising that despite the marginal presence of Christians in China and the limited instances of direct contact between China and mediaeval Europe, western scholars traditionally pay generous attention to the study of Christianity in China. This practice is illustrated by the fact that the majority of the studies dealing with the present topic is written in western languages such as English, French and, to a lesser extend, German and Italian. Indeed, even the small number of Japanese scholars researching the Christian presence in China has chosen to publish in a western language whereas material in Chinese frequently proves to be descriptive rather than interpretive. But what is it that feeds these fascinations in the western world?

It is exactly this question that Roman Malek raises in his introduction to a collection of conference papers on the study of Nestorian Christianity. Malek argues that the scholastic interest is motivated by the historical perspective of the coming and presence of Nestorianism in China and Central Asia:

“Nestorianism” in China and Central Asia is an example *par excellence* of an intercultural encounter because it very clearly raises questions which are of relevance to theology, dialogue, tolerance, inculturation, and the assimilation, the preservation of identity and demarcation: How does a foreign culture and religion fare in China? And which position does Chinese culture take when encountering foreign cultures and religions.²

The ‘intercultural encounter’ revealed by this search is perhaps best illustrated by depictions of crosses rising from lotus flowers and the use of multiple scripts and languages expressing Christianity in Buddhist, Confucian and Daoist vernacular. The two sub-questions raised by Malek have been relevant since the discovery of, what in the western world, has simply become known as the ‘Xi’an Stele’.³ The stele has become so central to the study of China that it is identified by a geographical position and given a name which could be applied to a great number of similar objects in Xi’an. It thus comes to no great surprise that this particular stele deals with the arrival and reception of Christianity in China.

Seventeenth century Jesuits in China may have seen a missionary use for the Xi’an Stele - as evangelical groups both inside and outside China still do so today - but their translation work of the inscription also triggered a renewed search for the early Christians in China, long after the search for Prester John had been abandoned.⁴ The discovery at the start of the twentieth century of further Nestorian documents and paintings in East Turkestan – present western China - renewed the search for Nestorian objects in China. The dubious interpretation of a large number of bronze objects as ‘Nestorian crosses’ shows, perhaps, how frantic the search for Nestorian objects eventually became.⁵ It did not take long, however, before undisputed Nestorian relics were discovered all over China. Despite the discovery of such large numbers of authentic Nestorian relics, scholarly attempts to categorize the field regularly appealed for further study and field research on the Nestorian remains,⁶ in particular regarding those found in Inner Mongolia.⁷

² Malek (2006b) 18.

³ ‘Stele on the Propagation of the Luminous Religion of Daqin in China’, also called the ‘Nestorian Monument’ or ‘Daqin Stele’.

⁴ Examples for contemporary missionary use of the Xi’an Stele are found in: Joseph (undated). For a recent essay on the appropriation of the stele see: Nicolini-Zani (2006b).

⁵ For these so called ‘bronze Ordos crosses’, see among many publications: Yeung (1978); Pelliot (1932); Hambis (1954); and Chapter 11 of the present study.

⁶ See for instance: Saeki (1951) 450; but also Klein (2002) [29].

⁷ See for instance: Enoki (1964) 51; Grönbech (1940) 307; Chen Yuan (1938) 256; and Heissig (1964) 295.

The discovery of Nestorian relics has not only led to new insights but also resulted in radically new interpretation, or rather, appropriation of the material. Indeed, the heritage of the early Nestorian Christians in China has often been stripped of its original intention and purpose and given new meaning. This appropriation is still continuing.⁸ Though this process will eventually lead to the destruction and disappearance of the material, for there are no natural custodians of this material since the disappearance of Nestorian Christians from China, it also means that the Nestorian archaeological sites and objects develop a history of their own.

The study of the Nestorian Christian heritage in China has in recent years received much attention, perhaps more so than in previous years. International conferences and exhibitions, field projects and independent studies have resulted in a large number of publications, both academic and popular.⁹ The study of Nestorian relics from China is thus very much a work in progress. Indeed, Nestorian heritage is still being found in China, especially in Quanzhou¹⁰ and Inner Mongolia,¹¹ and academic publications covering these discoveries continue to appeal for further investigations.¹² Inner Mongolia in particular is singled out for 'a deepened research on the field'.¹³ As pointed out by Igor de Rachewiltz in his recent authoritative annotated translation of *The Secret History*, an 'analysis and evaluation of the archaeological discoveries made in the last decades in Inner Mongolia is now imperative'.¹⁴ Sadly, widespread looting and destruction causes the Nestorian sites and objects in Inner Mongolia to literally disappear under our eyes. The heritage needs to be preserved at least through photos and text before it is destroyed, stripped of all context, or - in case of objects - distributed to private collections where it is no longer possible to study remains or trace their origins.

This project arose from an endeavour to document Nestorian remains in Inner Mongolia before they are lost. The field was thus defined by a presence of Nestorian remains as well as recent developments affecting the heritage. The geographical field with these remains was once inhabited by Öngüt and is bordered on the south by the Daqingshan mountains and on the north by the present border between China and Mongolia. Although a number of related remains have been found south of the Daqingshan mountains no such remains have been encountered in Mongolia. Naturally, the documentation of these Nestorian remains demands some sort of interpretation of the material and a reconstruction of the past.

The first objective of the project is the material documentation, description and interpretation of these sites and objects. Whilst documenting and interpreting the material, a conviction arose that there was more to the story of the search for and discovery of Nestorian remains in Inner Mongolia than a reconstruction of the past. The many ways in which the remains were discovered and how they were reconstructed and appropriated are as interesting, for these approaches throw an important light on twentieth century attitudes towards a distant past – be these attitudes foreign, Mongolian or Han Chinese.

Vice versa it is most useful to be familiar with these attitudes whilst studying the documentation of Nestorian remains that have been lost, for it might be the case that these very attitudes have become an intrinsic part of the documentation and presentation of the heritage. It is thus the project's second objective to record how the Nestorian objects and sites of Inner Mongolia were appropriated and how they obtained new meaning and usage.

The present study is divided into four parts. Part I provides an introduction to the medieval sources on the Nestorian presence in the Far East, the associated terms and the historical framework.

Part II presents a new synthesis of publications and unpublished sources on the Nestorian remains in Inner Mongolia. This synthesis provides in the first place a chronological overview of the discovery of Nestorian relics and remains in Inner Mongolia and, to some extent, in related regions in Central Asia and China. These sources include primarily western contributions but also concern a small number of important Chinese publications. Though indispensable for the study of Nestorian remains in Inner Mongolia, the presentation of Nestorian remains in a number of these Chinese publications is at times problematic or at the very least confusing. The present study's contribution regarding these sources includes a clarification of the sometimes lapidary presentation of early Chinese archaeological reports on Nestorian finds and the presentation of visual

⁸ See for contemporary uses of Nestorian material in Inner Mongolia: Halbertsma (2006a).

⁹ For popularized accounts on early Christianity in China see: Palmer (2000); Moore (2003); Baumer (2005) and the present author's: Halbertsma (2002a). For a most critical appraisal of a number of popularized translations see: Deeg (2006).

¹⁰ Parry (2003); Niu (2004); Gardner, Lieu and Parry (2005); Parry (2006a); Lieu (2006) 295; Franzmann and Lieu (2006); Wu (2005).

¹¹ For recent discoveries see: Gai (1991); Hamilton and Niu (1994) and Halbertsma (2005a).

¹² Malek (2002b) 37.

¹³ See for instance: Paolillo (2006a) 373.

¹⁴ Rachewiltz (2004) 657.

material in the appendixes of this study. Part II further introduces the present author's research project and findings in Inner Mongolia.

Part III provides a historical reconstruction of the Nestorian culture in Inner Mongolia. This reconstruction focuses primarily on remains of Nestorian settlements and Nestorian grave material and cemeteries. In order to contextualize these remains I distinguish in this chapter a number of dimensions expressing religious, ethnic, geographic and political characteristics of the material.

Part IV provides an insight in the contemporary interpretation and appropriation of Nestorian material of Inner Mongolia by herders and farmers of both Han Chinese and Mongolian descent. This part is primarily based on a number of interviews conducted with herders and farmers in the field and intends to provide a contemporary angle to what otherwise would remain a study dealing exclusively with the past. The second objective of this final part is to highlight some problems regarding academic study of appropriated material and documentation of Nestorian heritage from Inner Mongolia.

The four parts are illustrated with plates and concluded with a number of appendixes. Material in these appendixes includes a number of previously unpublished objects from Inner Mongolia identified and documented by the present author.¹⁵ The appendixes also include a number of illustrations and photos which are difficult to find or access.

¹⁵ The majority of these objects have been published in: Halbertsma (2005a) Appendix, which forms an appendix to the present study and is thus frequently referred to.

MAP 1. NORTH CHINA AND MONGOLIA

