



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Boeotian landscapes. A GIS-based study for the reconstruction and interpretation of the archaeological datasets of ancient Boeotia.**

Farinetti, E.

### **Citation**

Farinetti, E. (2009, December 2). *Boeotian landscapes. A GIS-based study for the reconstruction and interpretation of the archaeological datasets of ancient Boeotia.* Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14500>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14500>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## LIST of FIGURES

**Chapter I.2.2.** The archaeological / cultural datasets and the research methodology.

- Fig.1 Ancient Boeotia and the *chorai* (ancient *polis* territories).
- Fig.2 Model of deconstruction and reassessing of the archaeological record.
- Fig.3 The structure of the database with the logical relationships that link the main tables within it.
- Fig.4 Modern settlement (*choria*) and roads in Boeotia.
- Fig.5 Physical routes of ancient Boeotia.

**Chapter II.1.** The Boeotian landscape: topography and environment.

- Fig.1 Boeotia general map, with main topographical features and physical routes.
- Fig.2 Map showing the distribution of different physiographical classes in Boeotia.
- Fig.3 Map showing the distribution of land capability classes in Boeotia.

**Chapter II.2.** The Boeotian landscape: state of archaeological research.

- Fig.1 Areas of Boeotia intensively and systematically surveyed.
- Fig.2 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered in the whole ancient Boeotian region within different research frameworks.

**Chapter II.3.1.** Central Helicon: Koroneiake.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora* of Koroneia.
- Fig.2 Longitudinal section of the *chora* of Koroneia, with the *polis* spur at the end of the Butsurati ridge visible, in front of the flat area at the entrance to the valley.
- Fig.3 Archaeological map of Koroneiake *chora*.
- Fig.4 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.5 Relationship between components and the modern road network.
- Fig.6 Neolithic map of W Boeotia.
- Fig.7 EH map of W Boeotia.
- Fig.8 MH map of W Boeotia.
- Fig.9 LH map of W Boeotia.
- Fig.10 A digital model of the water fluctuations of Copais lake.
- Fig.11 Map of the main recognised features related to the various attempts at drainage

of the basin over time (after Knauss et al. Kopais 3).

- Fig.12 Greco-Roman sites along the reconstructed lake edge (see also appendix III).
- Fig.13 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.14 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.2.** Northern Helicon: Levadeia.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora* of Levadeia.
- Fig.2 A division of the land based on the results of a cost-distance analysis from the *poleis* surrounding the lake.
- Fig.3 Archaeological map of the Levadeia area.
- Fig.4 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.5 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.6 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.7 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.3.** Chaironeia valley: Chaironeiake.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora* of Chaironeia
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of the Chaironeiake *chora*.
- Fig.3 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.4 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.5 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.6 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability

information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.4.** The Copais area: Orchomenos.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora* of Orchomenos
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of the Orchomenos *chora*.
- Fig.3 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered in different research frameworks.
- Fig.4 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.5 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.6 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.5.** The Northern mountains of the Copais: Hyettia.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora* of Hyettos.
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of the Hyettos *chora*. The box indicates the area intensively and systematically surveyed.
- Fig.3 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.4 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks, including Intensive and Systematic Artefact Surface Survey.
- Fig.5 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.6 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.7 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.6.** The Copais area: Copai and the North-East bay.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora*.
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of the North-Copais area.
- Fig.3 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.4 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.5 Classified surface representing the cost-

weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.

- Fig.6 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.7.** The Copais area: Akraiphiai.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora* of Akraiphia.
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of Akraiphia *chora*.
- Fig.3 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.4 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.5 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.6 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.8.** The Copais area: Haliartia.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora* of Haliartos.
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of Haliartos *chora*.
- Fig.3 Same as fig.2, but limited to the area intensively and systematically surveyed, with discovered sites marked.
- Fig.4 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.5 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks, including intensive and systematic artefact surface survey.
- Fig.6 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.7 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.8 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.9.** Eastern Helicon: Thespie.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora* of Thespie
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of Thespie *chora*.
- Fig.3 Same as fig.2, but limited to the area

intensively surveyed, with discovered sites marked.

- Fig.4 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.5 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks, including intensive and systematic artefact surface survey.
- Fig.6 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.7 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.8 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.10.** Three small *chorai* to the Gulf of Corinth: Siphai, Thisbe, Chorsei.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the area to the Gulf of Corinth.
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of Gulf of Corinth area.
- Fig.3 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.4 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.5 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.6 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.11.** The upper Asopos basin: Parasopia and Plataea.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the Parasopia and Plataea *chora*.
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of Parasopia and Plataea area.
- Fig.3 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.4 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.5 Neolithic map of E Boeotia.
- Fig.6 EH map of E Boeotia.
- Fig.7 MH map of E Boeotia.
- Fig.8 LH map of E Boeotia.
- Fig.9 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.

- Fig.10 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.12.** The Theban plain and the area of the lakes: Thebais.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the *chora* of Thebes
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of Thebais *chora*.
- Fig.3 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.4 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.5 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.6 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.13.** The area of Anthedon and the Skroponeri bay: Anthedonia.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of Anthedon *chora*.
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of Anthedon *chora*.
- Fig.3 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks.
- Fig.4 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.5 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.
- Fig.6 Map showing the Greco-Roman settlement network, the polygons resulting from the cost-distance analysis and dots representing the known archaeological components, with land capability information underlain.

**Chapter II.3.14.** The Tanagra plain and Eastern Boeotia.

- Fig.1 Topographical setting of the region.
- Fig.2 Archaeological map of the Tanagrike *chora* (N part).
- Fig.3 Archaeological map of the Tanagrike *chora* (S part).
- Fig.4 Same as fig.3, but limited to the area intensively and systematically surveyed, with discovered sites marked.
- Fig.5 Graph illustrating the proportion of components discovered within different research frameworks including intensive and systematic artefact surface survey

- (Tanagra survey project).
- Fig.6 Relationship between components and modern road network.
- Fig.7 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from recognised 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank ancient settlements.

**Chapter II.4.** Socio-political and cultural landscapes of ancient Boeotia.

- Fig.1 *Koinotites* (administrative divisions) and *choria* (villages) of modern Boeotia.
- Fig.2 Thiessen/Voronoi tessellation (based on straight-line distance) compared with the results of the tessellation of space based on cost-weighted distance from major *poleis*.
- Fig.3 Histogram chart which compares *chorai* and *poleis* extensions.
- Fig.4 Map showing the geometric centres of the *chorai* polygons compared to the location of the major Boeotian *poleis*.
- Fig.5 Classified surface representing the cost-weighted distance from 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank nucleated centres. Areas without dots indicate potential settlement chambers.
- Fig.6 5km radius area topographically corrected from *poleis* and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank settlements compared with the tessellation of space based on cost-weighted distance from the same points.
- Fig.7 2.5km radius area topographically corrected from *poleis* and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank settlements compared with the tessellation of space based on cost-weighted distance from the same points.
- Fig.8 *Graph 1*: occurrence of F-MF (fertile – mid fertile) , LF (low-fertile) and U (unsuitable for agriculture) land in the immediate territory (1/2h walking time) of the 1st and 2nd rank settlements. The interested areas (numbered on the x axis of the graph) are marked in the map in fig.10.
- Graph 2*: occurrence of 1st and 2nd rank settlement territories with different percentages of F-MF land.
- Fig.9 Ancient 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rank settlement network with ½ hour walking time radius around them.
- Fig.10a Percentage of settlement chambers associated with strong, medium, or low/absent topographical constraints in W and E Boeotia.
- Fig.10b Number of settlement chambers in each *chora* of W and E Boeotia.
- Fig.12 Recurrent occurrences of settlement foci of the main periods considered (Prehistoric, Greco-Roman, Frankish,

- Ottoman, Modern) within recognised Boeotian settlement chambers.
- Fig.13 Occurrence of settlement foci of the main periods considered (Prehistoric, Greco-Roman, Frankish, Ottoman, Modern) within recognised settlement chambers. Comparison between W and E Boeotia.
- Fig.14 Recurrence of settlement foci in the same location in the main periods considered.
- Fig.15 Allocation based on cost-surface from the modern settlements (*choria*).
- Fig.16 Rural sites recognised by the Boeotia Survey Project as in use for the Classical – Early Hellenistic period in the area of Haliartos and Thespieae.
- Fig.17 PG and G map of W Boeotia.
- Fig.18 PG and G map of E Boeotia.
- Fig.19 A map of W Boeotia.
- Fig.20 A map of E Boeotia.
- Fig.21 C-H map of W Boeotia.
- Fig.22 C-H map of E Boeotia.
- Fig.23 C-H map of W Boeotia (with the addition of the components generally dated to the Greco-Roman period).
- Fig.24 C-H map of E Boeotia (with the addition of the components generally dated to the Greco-Roman period).
- Fig.25 R map of W Boeotia.
- Fig.26 R map of E Boeotia.
- Fig.27 LR map of W Boeotia.
- Fig.28 LR map of E Boeotia.
- Fig.29 Greco-Roman forts.
- Fig.30 Forts most probably belonging to the mid-late 4<sup>th</sup> C fortification system.
- Fig.31 Forts precisely located and with most probability belonging to the mid-late 4<sup>th</sup> C fortification system and viewshed analysis.
- Fig.32 Map showing Greco-Roman temples and sanctuaries and physical routes.