



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Living with dialysis : patients' perceptions and outcomes

Thong, M.S.Y.

Citation

Thong, M. S. Y. (2009, February 26). *Living with dialysis : patients' perceptions and outcomes*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13526>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13526>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



Illness perceptions in dialysis patients and their association with quality of life

Lucie Timmers
Melissa S.Y. Thong
Friedo W. Dekker
Elisabeth W. Boeschoten
Monique Heijmans
Mieke Rijken
John Weinman
Adrian A. Kaptein

Psychology & Health 23: 679-690, 2008

ABSTRACT

Background The present study explored illness perceptions of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients on both haemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD) treatment, and their associations with quality of life.

Methods Leventhal's self-regulation model (SRM) was used as a theoretical framework. Illness perceptions and quality of life were assessed with the IPQ-R and the SF-36 in 91 HD and 42 PD patients participating in the NECOSAD-study.

Results Compared to HD patients, PD patients experienced more personal control and had a better understanding of the illness. Illness perceptions explained from 17% to 51% of the variance in quality of life scores. Perception of more symptoms, more consequences and lower personal control were associated with lower well-being.

Conclusions The concept of illness perceptions is useful in understanding the impact of ESRD and of dialysis treatment on quality of life. Interventions aimed at providing more knowledge about ESRD and dialysis, and provision of skills to coping with the illness and its consequences may improve quality of life in dialysis patients.

INTRODUCTION

Dialysis is an artificial way of filtering the blood. It is a necessary treatment for patients diagnosed with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) unless kidney transplantation is available.¹ In ESRD, kidney function has decreased to 10 - 15% of its original functioning which is life-threatening without treatment. There are two dialysis modalities. In haemodialysis (HD) the blood is purified by an external artificial kidney. In peritoneal dialysis (PD) the peritoneal membrane functions as an artificial kidney.² Dialysis patients may be faced with serious stressors related to the illness and its treatment, arising from the chronic nature of ESRD and the intrusiveness of the medical treatment. Patients are often confronted with limitations in food and fluid intake; with physical symptoms such as itching and lack of energy; with psychological stressors such as loss of self-concept and self-esteem, feelings of uncertainty about the future, and feelings of guilt towards family members; and with problems in the social domain.²⁻⁵

When confronted with an illness, people create their own models and representations of the illness in order to make sense of and respond to the problems they are faced with. Leventhal's Self-Regulation Model (SRM) provides a theoretical framework for the concept of illness cognitions (also called illness perceptions or illness representations) in relation to coping behaviours and outcome such as (adaptive) functioning and well-being.⁶⁻¹¹

Leventhal's model has been tested in many studies involving a range of chronic physical illnesses including chronic fatigue syndrome, multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, psoriasis, Huntington's disease, breast cancer, myocardial infarction and venous thrombosis.¹² These studies indicate, that when patients have more positive beliefs in the controllability and curability of the illness, this positively influences well-being.⁹ Despite the demonstrated support for the SRM, questions have arisen about the mediating role of coping.¹³⁻¹⁵ The findings suggest that by identifying patients' beliefs about an illness and its treatment, it might be possible to obtain more insight into the (mal-)adaptive responses to the illness. Subsequently, this can provide a basis for developing interventions aimed at altering patients' perceptions to improve adaptive functioning. Petrie *et al*¹⁶ observed that patients with myocardial infarction (MI) demonstrated better functional outcomes after MI following an individualized in-hospital intervention designed to change patients' illness perceptions.

The enormous impact of dialysis on quality of life has been emphasized in many studies.^{3-5;8;17-20} From these studies it is known that quality of life in dialysis patients is dramatically lower than that of the general population. HD and PD patients differ in age (HD patients are older) but also in perceived well-being: the physical quality of life over time is better in HD patients compared to PD patients. Less is known about the illness perceptions of dialysis patients or about the relationship between illness perceptions and health-related quality of life in this patient group. A detailed electronic search strategy on this subject (in PubMed, PsychInfo, EMBASE, Cochrane and Web of Science, publications up till May 2006) demonstrated that the available research is concentrated mainly on HD patients. The results suggest that beliefs in controllability and consequences are key factors in these patients. More control and perception of fewer consequences is associated with a better outcome.^{4;5;17;21} Christensen *et al*³ outline how perceived control in these patients affects levels of depression, and morbidity and mortality.

The aims of the present study were to investigate the illness perceptions of HD and PD patients, and to examine the relationship between these perceptions and quality of life. The SRM served as the theoretical model. The following research questions were formulated: 1) What are the differences in illness perceptions between HD and PD patients?, and 2) What is the effect of illness perceptions on health-related quality of life after controlling for demographic and clinical variables? We hypothesized that there would not be a significant difference in illness perceptions between HD and PD patients. Further, based on the literature on illness perceptions in other chronic illnesses, we expected to find a negative association between a strong illness identity, serious consequences, a chronic timeline and physical functioning. High perception of control was expected to have a positive association with physical and mental functioning.

METHOD

PARTICIPANTS AND PROCEDURE

The Netherlands Cooperative Study on the Adequacy of Dialysis (NECOSAD-2) is a large multi-centre longitudinal research (38 dialysis centres and hospitals in the Netherlands) analysing the factors that contribute to the effect of dialysis treatment (both HD and PD) (see Merkus *et al*⁹ for details). The NECOSAD-2 study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committees of the participating centres and hospitals.

The inclusion criteria were: being over 18 years old, and having no previous history of renal replacement therapy. Patients gave their informed consent before being included. Between 1997 and 2004, 2000 patients were recruited. Clinical and quality of life data were collected every six months. Quality of life data were collected with questionnaires that patients filled in at home and returned by mail. Since October 2004, the Illness Perception Questionnaire revised (IPQ-R) has been added to the quality of life assessment. For the present study those patients were included for whom demographic, clinical, quality of life and baseline IPQ-R scores were available in the period from October, 2004 up to and including March, 2005. This consisted of 133 patients. This sample represents a selection from the patients that survived (n=438) since the inception of the cohort. The mailing wave that included the IPQ-R encompassed 167 patients. Response rate, therefore, is 79.6 % (133:167). All questionnaires were in Dutch.

MEASURES

The IPQ-R is a frequently applied instrument developed to assess the dimensions as proposed by the SRM (originally developed as the IPQ by Weinman *et al.*²² in 2002 revised by Moss-Morris *et al.*²³). One of the advantages of the IPQ-R is that it can be adapted to various illness groups by adding to or adjusting the scales.^{22;23} It is a widely used measure in patients with chronic illnesses, including psychiatric illnesses,²⁴ and has also been used with non-patient groups.²⁵ The psychometric properties of the IPQ-R have been proven to be sound.²³ For details on the scoring of the IPQ-R we refer to Moss-Morris *et al.*²³

Health-related quality of life was measured with the 36-item Short Form Health Survey Questionnaire (SF-36TM).²⁶ This self report instrument is a reliable and valid instrument. It has been extensively used in many (patient) groups, including ESRD and dialysis patients.^{18;20;27;28} The relevance of the SF-36 is demonstrated in studies in which it was used as a screening tool for dialysis patients to predict the risk of mortality and morbidity.^{19;28}

Demographic and clinical data that were collected included age, gender, marital status, educational level, dialysis duration (in years), remaining renal function (residual glomerular filtration rate (rGFR)) and underlying kidney disease (Table 1). Research has demonstrated the influence of rGFR on both survival and quality of life.²⁹ Primary kidney disease is taken into account to correct for possible influences of the underlying disease on illness perceptions.

Statistical analyses

Differences between demographic and clinical characteristics, IPQ-R scores and SF-36 scores between the two groups (HD and PD patients) were compared by use of (non-) parametric tests (Student's *t*-test and Chi-square) . A factor analysis was performed on the IPQ-R causal items in order to gain insight into possible underlying dimensions for the two patient groups. To examine how much of the variance of each SF-36 scales could be explained by the illness perceptions, hierarchical multiple regression analyses were performed. In all analyses the first two steps included the entering of demographic and clinical variables in the equation to correct for possible confounding by these variables, and illness perception dimensions were entered at the third step. All analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 12.0 for Windows).

RESULTS

SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

From October, 2004 to March, 2005, the data of 133 patients were collected. Table 1 outlines the baseline characteristics of this patient group, divided into HD and PD.

ILLNESS PERCEPTIONS

Table 2 shows the scores on the IPQ-R subscales for both patients groups. PD patients experienced a higher level of personal control and had significantly higher scores on illness coherence compared with HD patients. The internal reliability of the different scales could be considered to be good with the exception of the treatment control scale ($\alpha=0.30$). Therefore, treatment control was not included in further analyses.

With regard to the causal items, HD patients were significantly more inclined to attribute their illness to their emotional state, to their own behaviour, their own mental attitude and to smoking in comparison with PD patients ($t(116) = 3.16, p < 0.01$; $t(121) = 2.15, p < 0.05$; $t(123) = 2.59, p < 0.05$; $t(100) = 2.37, p < 0.05$, respectively). PD patients had stronger beliefs in hereditary causes for the illness ($t(125) = -2.54, p < 0.05$). It was not possible to identify clear causal factors for this sample by means of a principal component analysis.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics

	HD ^a	PD ^a
Number of patients (%)	91 (68.4)	42 (31.6)
Mean age in years (SD) *	68.46 (11.36)	63.02 (11.77)
Gender (% Male) **	51 (56.04)	30 (71.43)
Mean years on dialysis (SD) **	4.28 (1.73)	3.33 (1.59)
Married/Living together (%) **	60 (61.93)	37 (88.09)
Education ^b (%)***		
Primary	46 (50.48)	16 (38.08)
Secondary	33 (36.27)	18 (42.87)
Tertiary	8 (8.80)	7 (16.63)
Unknown	4 (4.45)	1 (2.42)
rGFR (SD) ^c	3.37 (3.81)	3.81 (2.74)
Primary Kidney Disease (%)***		
Diabetes Mellitus	9 (9.90)	3 (7.15)
Glomerulonephritis	6 (6.60)	6 (14.28)
Vascular cause	15 (16.48)	5 (11.90)
Other/unknown	61 (67.02)	28 (66.67)

Note. ^aHD: Haemodialysis; PD: Peritoneal Dialysis.

^b Primary: primary school and lower vocational education; Secondary: secondary school for lower; intermediate vocational education, general education, general secondary education and pre-university education; Tertiary: higher professional education and university.

^c rGFR: residual glomerular filtration rate (Individuals with an rGFR below 60 are classified as having chronic kidney disease, normal values range between 118 and 127 for young, healthy people.)

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$

Table 2. Differences in scores on the IPQ-R scales between HD and PD patients

Dimension	Number of items	Min/Max score	HD (M, SD)	PD (M, SD)	t-value (df)
Illness identity ($\alpha=.77$)	14	0-14	5.11 (3.06)	4.59 (3.25)	0.88 (131)
Timeline acute/chronic ($\alpha=.82$)	6	6-30	25.16 (4.31)	26.10 (4.69)	-1.11 (126)
Timeline cyclical ($\alpha=.84$)	4	4-20	11.91 (3.82)	11.51 (4.15)	0.51 (113)
Consequences ($\alpha=.65$)	6	6-30	20.90 (4.07)	20.33 (4.87)	0.69 (127)
Personal control ($\alpha=.67$)	6	6-30	16.34 (3.71)	18.95 (4.22)	-3.58 (127)***
Treatment control ($\alpha=.30$)	5	5-25	14.36 (2.63)	14.74 (2.55)	- 0.78 (127)
Illness coherence ($\alpha=.78$)	5	5-25	17.87 (3.85)	19.86 (3.68)	2.78 (127)**
Emotional representations ($\alpha=.92$)	6	6-30	15.94 (5.76)	14.31 (5.81)	1.40 (113)

Note: N varies in HD-group from 87 to 91; N varies in PD-group from 37 to 42. ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$.

QUALITY OF LIFE

Figure 1 presents mean scores on the SF-36 scales of the HD and PD patients compared to those of a non-clinical sample group in the same age-range (general population) as described by

Ware & Sherbourne.²⁶ The dialysis patients in this study generally reported a lower quality of life compared to the general population. Exceptions were found on mental health, bodily pain and the mental component scores, where the scores of PD patients were comparable to those of the general population. PD patients reported a better quality of life compared to HD patients. Significant differences between the two groups were found on the physical and social functioning scales, role functioning due to both physical and emotional problems, mental health, vitality, and on the mental component score (MCS).




Figure 1. Differences in scores on the SF-36 scales between HD patients (N=91), PD patients (N=42) and the general population (N=140; Ware, & Sherbourne, 1992). The asterisks (*) demonstrate the significance of the performed t-tests between HD and PD patients.

Note. N varies in HD group from 87 to 91; in PD group from 41 to 42.

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$

ILLNESS PERCEPTIONS AND QUALITY OF LIFE

To obtain a larger sample size on the basis of which more convincing conclusions could be drawn, the hierarchical regression analyses were performed on the total group of 133 patients.

Table 3. Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analysis: percentages of the SF-36 scales explained by illness perceptions (total patient group)

	PF	SF	RP	RE	MH	VT	BP	GH	PCS	MCS
	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β
<i>Step 1: demographic variables^a</i>										
Age	-.32***		-.35***	-.27**					-.31***	
Gender (male)	.25**	-.19**					.19*		.22**	
Education (secondary)				-.38**						
<i>Step 2: clinical variables^b</i>										
rGFR									-.16*	
<i>Step 3: illness perceptions^c</i>										
Illness identity	-.29**		-.28**		-.16*	-.22*	-.24*	-.19*	-.35***	
Timeline cyclical				-.18*						
Consequences		-.31***	-.41***			-.28**		-.33***	-.28**	
Personal control	.22**	.23**					.17*	.19*	.17*	
Illness coherence		.20*			.24**					.15*
Emotional representation					-.54***				.23*	-.42***
☆R ² Step 1: demographic variables ^a	.20***	.11**	.09*	.23***	.12**	.07	.09*	.05	.12*	.19***
☆R ² Step 2: clinical variables ^b	.03	.03	.02	.02	.02	.02	.03	.03	.05	.02
☆R ² Step 3: Illness perceptions ^c	.21***	.35***	.34***	.17***	.51***	.39**	.17***	.41***	.30***	.42***
Overall R ²	.44***	.49***	.45***	.42***	.65***	.48***	.29***	.49***	.47***	.63***
F of change step 3	6.22***	11.39***	10.23***	4.85***	24.24***	12.37***	3.87***	13.30***	9.28***	18.76***

Note: PF = physical functioning; SF = social functioning; RP = role functioning, physical; RE = role functioning, emotional; MH = mental health; VT = vitality; BP = bodily pain; GH = general health perception; PCS = physical component score; MCS = mental component score.

^a Step 1: age, gender, education, dialysis duration (weights only mentioned when significant); ^b Step 2: rGFR and primary kidney disease;

^c Step 3: "treatment control" was excluded from the analyses due to low internal reliability; "causes" was excluded since each item represented a distinct causal belief.

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

From Table 3 it can be concluded that demographic variables accounted for between 9 and 23% of the variance in physical functioning, social functioning, role functioning physical, role functioning emotional, mental health, bodily pain, and the physical component score. The clinical variables that were entered in step 2 did not account for any variance in the outcome variables. The variable rGFR only demonstrated a small beta weight significance on the physical component score. After controlling for both demographic and clinical variables, illness perceptions significantly explained variance in all of the outcome areas with a minimum of 17% for both role functioning emotional and bodily pain, and up to 51% of the mental health subscale. Each of the outcomes was explained by a different set of the illness perception subscales.

Illness identity showed significant negative contributions to most outcome areas. Consequences and emotional representations, however, were more strongly associated with some of the outcome measures. Timeline was the only illness perception subscale that did not contribute to any of the outcome variables. Limited role functioning due to emotional problems was the only scale that was negatively associated with cyclical timeline beliefs. Personal control was significantly and positively related to physical and social functioning, bodily pain, general health perception, and the physical component score. Illness coherence explained some of the variability in social functioning, mental health, and the mental component score.

DISCUSSION

Leventhal's (SRM) was used in this study to examine the cognitive and emotional representations that a group of dialysis patients held about their illness and how these representations may be related to quality of life.⁶ The results of the present study support the strength and usefulness of the SRM and are in line with findings of other studies about illness representations in patients with chronic illness, as described in a meta-analysis by Hagger *et al.*⁹ Contrary to our hypothesis, we found differences in illness perceptions between HD and PD patients in terms of reported personal control, illness coherence and possible causes for their ESRD. In the context of the self-regulation theoretical model, this is an important finding: our study is the first in an SRM-context that allows comparison of HD with PD patients on cognitive representations of their renal disease. PD patients are responsible for carrying out the dialysis treatment themselves, which not only requires knowledge about the actions (health behaviour) to be performed but also a set of cognitive representations that is instrumental in performing that health behaviour and maintaining psychological equilibrium. In this context, our study has produced highly relevant knowledge that supports the theoretical basis of the interventions developed by Christensen & Ehlers³ and Tsay *et al.*³⁰ that are aimed at improving illness coherence and control in psychological intervention studies.^{31;32}

From the regression analyses it can be concluded that illness perceptions contribute significantly to aspects of quality of life in this patient group, after controlling for the influence of confounding variables. A strong illness identity, many negative consequences, and low personal control were found to be associated with a lower well-being. This finding is consistent with the data in the meta-analysis on associations between illness cognitions and outcome measures, such as quality of life.⁹ Symptoms did not influence the cognitive representations of the patients, which is

consistent with earlier research.^{15;33} The composite mental health score had the highest amount of variance explained by more illness symptoms, a lower level of understanding and a stronger emotional response to the illness. This also can be conceptualized as support for SRM theory, in that stronger emotional representations tend to be associated with worse outcomes.^{7;17;25}

In the context of the four earlier studies carried out specifically on illness representations in ESRD-patients, our study not only reports on the largest sample but it also supports their findings pertaining to higher identity and higher control being associated with a better outcome, and more perceived consequences, higher emotional representations and less control being associated with worse outcomes.^{4;5;17;21} The research by Christensen *et al* supports these notions.^{31;32;34}

The results of the present study support the use of the SRM for identifying illness perceptions of patients with chronic illness and the relationship between these perceptions and outcome - in this study: quality of life. A few limitations of the study should be discussed. Due to the cross-sectional character of the study it is not possible to make statements about causalities between illness perceptions and quality of life. One of the most commonly mentioned physical complaints of ESRD patients is itching. This symptom was not included in the IPQ-R version used in this study; therefore, inclusion of this item in the symptom list of the IPQ-R for this patient group is recommended in future research. Longitudinal designs with questionnaires that are better tailored to the illness category and its symptoms under study are called for.

Compared with the general population, the quality of life of dialysis patients in this study is dramatically low, especially for the physical aspects of functioning. This is sufficient reason to improve the care for this patient group, not only medically but also psychologically. Tsay *et al*²⁰ showed that it is possible to improve adaptive functioning in HD patients by means of group interventions such as patient education and techniques to increase the patients' feelings of mastery and competence. The present study also demonstrated the influence of illness perceptions on the perceived quality of life of dialysis patients. This suggests potential for investigating whether individually based or group based interventions that are aimed at specific, inaccurate, individual illness perceptions can improve perceived quality of life in dialysis patients. Christensen *et al*²⁴ reported on the effects of a behavioural self-regulation intervention for adherence in patients on HD. In small groups patients discussed a self-regulation protocol with (psychologists) trainers. Adherence with fluid restrictions, a major self-regulatory task in these patients, was the target behaviour. The results are encouraging and form a further basis for

developing and examining the effects of self-regulatory based interventions in patients with HD and PD.

REFERENCES

1. Gokal R, Nolph KD. *The textbook of peritoneal dialysis*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1994
2. Cameron S. *Kidney failure*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996
3. Christensen AJ, Ehlers SL. Psychological factors in end-stage renal disease: an emerging context for behavioral medicine research. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2002; 70: 712-724
4. Covic A, Seica A, Gusbeth-Tatomir P, Gavrilovici O, Goldsmith DJ. Illness representations and quality of life scores in haemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2004; 19: 2078-2083
5. Krespi R, Bone M, Ahmad R, Worthington B, Salmon P. Haemodialysis patients' beliefs about renal failure and its treatment. *Patient Educ Couns* 2004; 53: 189-196
6. Cameron LD, Leventhal H. *The self-regulation of health and illness behaviour*. London: Routledge, 2003
7. Cameron LD, Moss-Morris R. Illness-related cognition and behavior. In: A Kaptein, J Weinman (eds). *Health Psychology*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing and British Psychological Society, 2004, pp. 84-110
8. Caress AL, Luker KA, Owens RG. A descriptive study of meaning of illness in chronic renal disease. *J Adv Nurs* 2001; 33: 716-727
9. Hagger MS, Orbell S. A meta-analytic review of the common-sense model of illness representations. *Psychol Health* 2003; 18: 141-184
10. Heijmans M, de Ridder D. Assessing illness representations of chronic illness: explorations of their disease-specific nature. *J Behav Med* 1998; 21: 485-503
11. Rees G, Fry A, Cull A, Sutton S. Illness perceptions and distress in women at increased risk of breast cancer. *Psychol Health* 2004; 19: 749-765
12. Kaptein AA, Scharloo M, Helder DI, Kleijn WC, van Korlaar IM, Woertman M. Representations of chronic illnesses. In: LD Cameron, H Leventhal (eds). *The self-regulation of health and illness behaviour*. London: Routledge, 2003, pp. 97-118
13. Kaptein AA, Helder DI, Scharloo M, van Kempen GMJ, Weinman J, van Houwelingen HJC, Roos RAC. Illness perceptions and coping explain well-being in patients with Huntington's disease. *Psychol Health* 2006; 21: 431-446
14. Moss-Morris R, Petrie KJ, Weinman J. Functioning in chronic fatigue syndrome: do illness perceptions play a regulatory role? *Br J Health Psychol* 1996; 1: 15-25
15. Scharloo M, Baatenburg de Jong RJ, Langeveld TP, van Velzen-Verkaik E, Doorn-op den Akker MM, Kaptein AA. Quality of life and illness perceptions in patients with recently diagnosed head and neck cancer. *Head Neck* 2005; 27: 857-863
16. Petrie KJ, Cameron LD, Ellis CJ, Buick D, Weinman J. Changing illness perceptions after myocardial infarction: an early intervention randomized controlled trial. *Psychosom Med* 2002; 64: 580-586
17. Fowler C, Baas LS. Illness representations in patients with chronic kidney disease on maintenance hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2006; 33: 173-186
18. Korevaar JC, Merkus MP, Jansen MA, Dekker FW, Boeschoten EW, Krediet RT: NECOSAD Study Group. Validation of the KDQOL-SF: a dialysis-targeted health measure. *Qual Life Res* 2002; 11: 437-447
19. Merkus MP, Jager KJ, Dekker FW, de Haan RJ, Boeschoten EW, Krediet RT. Quality of life over time in dialysis: the Netherlands Cooperative Study on the Adequacy of Dialysis. NECOSAD Study Group. *Kidney Int* 1999; 56: 720-728
20. Vázquez I, Valderrábano F, Fort J, Jofré R, López-Gómez M, Moreno F, Sanz-Guarjardo D: Spanish Cooperative Renal Patients Quality of Life Study Group. Psychosocial factors and health-related quality of life in hemodialysis patients. *Qual Life Res* 2005; 14: 179-190
21. Pucheu S, Consoli SM, D'Auzac C, François P, Issad B. Do health causal attributions and coping strategies act as moderators of quality of life in peritoneal dialysis patients? *J Psychosom Res* 2004; 56: 317-322
22. Weinman J, Petrie KJ, Moss-Morris R, Horne R. The Illness Perception Questionnaire: a new method for assessing the cognitive representation of illness. *Psychol Health* 1996; 11: 431-445
23. Moss-Morris R, Weinman J, Petrie K, Horne R, Cameron L, Buick D. The revised Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ-R). *Psychol Health* 2002; 17: 1-16
24. Lobban F, Barrowclough C. Common sense representations of schizophrenia in patients and their relatives. *Clin Psychol Psychother* 2005; 12: 134-141
25. Weinman J, Petrie KJ, Sharpe N, Walker S. Causal attributions in patients and spouses following first-time myocardial infarction and subsequent lifestyle changes. *Br J Health Psychol* 2000; 5: 263-273
26. Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I: conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care* 1992; 30: 473-483
27. Knight EL, Ofsthun N, Teng M, Lazarus JM, Curhan GC. The association between mental health, physical function, and hemodialysis mortality. *Kidney Int* 2003; 63: 1843-1851
28. Lowrie E, Curtin R, LePain N, Schatell D. Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36: a consistent and powerful predictor of morbidity and mortality in dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003; 41: 1286-1292

29. Termorshuizen F, Korevaar JC, Dekker FW, van Manen JG, Boeschoten EW, Krediet RT: NECOSAD Study Group. The relative importance of residual renal function compared with peritoneal clearance for patient survival and quality of life: an analysis of the Netherlands Cooperative Study on the Adequacy of Dialysis (NECOSAD)-2. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003; 41: 1293-1302
30. Tsay SL, Lee YC, Lee YC. Effects of an adaptation training programme for patients with end-stage renal disease. *J Adv Nurs* 2005; 50: 39-46
31. Cvengros JA, Christensen AJ, Lawton WJ. The role of perceived control and preference for control in adherence to a chronic medical regimen. *Ann Behav Med* 2004; 27: 155-161
32. Cvengros JA, Christensen AJ, Lawton WJ. Health locus of control and depression in chronic kidney disease: a dynamic perspective. *J Health Psychol* 2005; 10: 677-686
33. Steed L, Newman SP, Hardman SMC. An examination of the self-regulation model in atrial fibrillation. *Br J Health Psychol* 1999; 4: 337-347
34. Christensen AJ, Moran PJ, Wiebe JS, Ehlers SL, Lawton WJ. Effect of a behavioral self-regulation intervention on patient adherence in hemodialysis. *Health Psychol* 2002; 21: 393-397