



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **The research-teaching nexus in the humanities : variations among academics**

Visser-Wijnveen, G.J.

### **Citation**

Visser-Wijnveen, G. J. (2009, September 23). *The research-teaching nexus in the humanities : variations among academics*. ICLON PhD Dissertation Series. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14018>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14018>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Table of contents

- 1. Introduction 13**
  - 1.1 Relevance 13
  - 1.2 Theoretical framework 14
    - 1.2.1 Quantitative and qualitative studies into the research-teaching nexus 14
    - 1.2.2 The importance of variety 16
    - 1.2.3 The importance of beliefs 17
  - 1.3 Context and research questions 18
  - 1.4 Outline 19
    - 1.4.1 First study 19
    - 1.4.2 Second study 20
  
- 2. The relationship between academics' conceptions of knowledge, research, and teaching – a metaphor study 23**
  - 2.1 Introduction 24
    - 2.1.1 Research-teaching nexus 24
    - 2.1.2 Conceptions 25
    - 2.1.3 Metaphors 26
    - 2.1.4 Research aim 27
  - 2.2 Method 27
    - 2.2.1 Sample 27
    - 2.2.2 Interview protocol 28
    - 2.2.3 Analysis 30
  - 2.3 Results 31
    - 2.3.1 Conceptions of knowledge 31
    - 2.3.2 Conceptions of research 32
    - 2.3.3 Conceptions of teaching 33
    - 2.3.4 Relationships between conceptions 35
  - 2.4 Conclusion and discussion 36
    - 2.4.1 Conclusion and discussion 36
    - 2.4.2 Methodological considerations and implications 38
  
- 3. The ideal research-teaching nexus in the eyes of academics – building different profiles 41**
  - 3.1 Introduction 42
    - 3.1.1 Categorisations of the research-teaching nexus 42
    - 3.1.2 Research aim 45

3.2 Method	46
3.2.1 Sample	46
3.2.2 Procedure	46
3.2.3 Analysis	47
3.3 Results	49
3.3.1 Code book	49
3.3.2 Classification	51
3.4 Conclusion and discussion	55
3.4.1 Conclusion	55
3.4.2 Methodological considerations	58
3.4.3 Implications	58
<b>4. The role of the discipline in the debate about the research-teaching nexus</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1 Introduction	64
4.1.1 Disciplinary differences	64
4.1.2 Disciplinary differences in research	66
4.1.3 Disciplinary differences in teaching	67
4.1.4 Disputing the importance of disciplinary differences	68
4.2 Context and research questions	69
4.3 Method	71
4.4 Results	72
4.4.1 Disciplinary relations	72
4.4.2 Relations concerning the preferred research-teaching nexus	73
4.5 Conclusion and discussion	74
4.5.1 Conclusion	74
4.5.2 Discussion	76
4.5.3 Implications	77
<b>5. Relating academics' various ways of integrating research and teaching to their students' perceptions</b>	<b>81</b>
5.1 Introduction	82
5.1.1 Teacher perspective	82
5.1.2 Student perspective	84
5.1.3 Research aim	87
5.2 Method	87
5.2.1 Sample	87
5.2.2 Procedure	87
5.2.3 Analysis	90
5.3 Results	91
5.3.1 Characterisation of courses	91

5.3.2 Student learning	94
5.3.3 Relationship between course characteristics and reported learning outcomes	95
5.4 Conclusion and discussion	96
5.4.1 The relation between a research-intensive learning environment and student learning	96
5.4.2 Methodological considerations	97
5.4.3 Discussion	98
<b>6. Change patterns in university teacher beliefs in the context of linking research and teaching</b>	<b>103</b>
6.1 Introduction	104
6.2 Context and research question	106
6.3 Method	108
6.3.1 Sample	108
6.3.2 Procedure	108
6.3.3 Instruments	109
6.3.4 Analysis	111
6.4 Results	112
6.4.1 Item analyses	112
6.4.2 Q-pattern analysis	113
6.4.3 Change patterns	116
6.5 Conclusion and discussion	123
6.5.1 Conclusion	123
6.5.2 Methodological considerations	124
6.5.3 Implications	125
<b>7. Conclusion and discussion</b>	<b>129</b>
7.1 Short overview of the study	129
7.2 Main results and discussion	130
7.2.1 First study	130
7.2.2 Second study	135
7.2.3 Integration of studies	138
7.3 Main conclusions	141
7.4 Strengths and limitations of the study	142
7.4.1 Strengths	142
7.4.2 Limitations	143
7.5 Implications and suggestions for future research	145
7.5.1 Future research	145
7.5.2 Implications for practice	146

<b>References</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>Samenvatting</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>Publications</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>Dankwoord</b>	<b>189</b>
<b>ICLON PhD dissertation series</b>	<b>191</b>