



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Design and evaluation of video portfolios : reliability, generalizability, and validity of an authentic performance assessment for teachers

Bakker, M.E.J.

Citation

Bakker, M. E. J. (2008, December 2). *Design and evaluation of video portfolios : reliability, generalizability, and validity of an authentic performance assessment for teachers. ICLON PhD Dissertation Series.* Leiden University Graduate School of Teaching (ICLON). Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13353>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

[Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

License: [https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13353](#)

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Apendices

Appendix 1 Aspects of learning activities

	Aspects of learning activities	Coaching interventions
	<p>Orientation towards the complex task</p> <p>The orientation towards the complex task involves determining what goal should be achieved and what domain-specific task requirements and task conditions are involved. Students need to take this domain-specific context into account while engaging in realistic planning and choosing an appropriate approach to fulfilling the task. It all comes down to learning to consider different approaches to realizing a product that complies with the requirements. Students have to learn to choose the best approach in the specific context. The orientation towards the task also includes determining what is needed to fulfill the task in terms of domain-specific knowledge, skills, materials, etc.</p>	<p>Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What are important task requirements that you should take into account? - Why did you choose this approach? - What are the advantages of this approach in this situation? <p>Feedback</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Alerting students to important task requirements that they should take into account. - Alerting students to knowledge that they need to fulfill the complex task. <p>Proposing alternative approaches.</p>
	<p>Searching for and organizing relevant information</p> <p>Searching for and organizing relevant information involves determining what information is needed to complete the complex task. Students need to know where relevant information can be found, and they should be able to judge the quality of the information obtained. Students should also be capable of selecting and organizing information.</p>	<p>Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How did you determine what information you needed to complete the complex task? - Where did you search for relevant information? - Are there any other sources where you can find information about x? - Is this information relevant in this case? - Do you think this information is up to date? <p>Feedback</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Giving clues as to where to find relevant information. - Giving examples of how to find relevant information.

Cognitive learning activities

Appendix 1 Aspects of learning activities (Continued)

Aspects of learning activities	Comprehending and using relevant subject matter This learning activity involves comprehending and using technical facts, constructs, formulas, rules, routines, norms, and techniques. Students working on complex tasks run into problems like misconceptions of constructs or principles, or discover they are not acquainted with relevant facts, rules, or norms that should be applied. Students should be supported in this.	Planning Students must be able to make a complete plan. They should allocate a realistic amount of time to different subtasks and make a realistic estimation of necessities (in terms of information and materials). A plan should also contain information on the approach chosen to accomplish the task.	Evaluating This learning activity involves being able to indicate what went well and what went wrong while carrying out the complex task. Among other things, students should pay attention to the extent to which they have reached personal goals: what have I learned and how will I do this next time?
	Cognitive learning activity	Meta-cognitive learning activities	

Appendix 1 Aspects of learning activities (Continued)

Aspects of learning activities	Coaching interventions
<p>Monitoring and adjusting Students should check whether the proceedings are in line with the plan made, and whether the chosen approach will lead to the desired outcomes. Based on the outcomes of the check, students have to decide whether adjustments to the approach are needed.</p> <p>Meta-cognitive learning activity</p>	<p>Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Which (sub)tasks are finished and which (sub)tasks are not yet finished? - How can I see in the plan which (sub)tasks should be finished? - You haven't finished task x yet; what are the consequences for meeting the rest of the plan? - To what extent does the product meet the requirements? - How can you make sure to finish the (sub)task in time? - If you don't adjust your approach, what are the consequences? - How can you make sure that you meet the product requirements? <p>Feedback</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Alerting students to the importance of checking the plan with regard to finishing the (sub)tasks in time. - Giving examples of how to check whether you meet the planning or not. - Alerting students to the requirements that the product should meet. - Giving examples of how to adjust the planning so that the task can be completed in time. - Giving clues as to how to adjust the approach to make sure that the product meets the requirements. <p>Communication Students have to work together on complex tasks, so it is important that they possess some communication skills: introducing new ideas to other students, presenting their opinions to other students, explaining things to other students, listening to other students, giving other students the opportunity to explicate their ideas, etc.</p> <p>Learning activity concerning collaborative learning</p>

Appendix 1 Aspects of learning activities (Continued)

	Aspects of learning activities	Coaching interventions
	<p>Contribution to the group process and product Students are supposed to work collaboratively on a complex task, which means that every student has to contribute to the process and to the product. This involves agreeing on what to do and keeping appointments. They also should establish an allocation of tasks that is equal for all participants, and be able to make decisions as a group. In addition, they should consult each other on work that is done, and be able to take responsibility for it.</p>	<p>Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Did you make agreements with regard to x? - Did you include the agreements in the minutes? - Dave, do you know what Pete's task is? - Susan, do you know when Dave should have finished his task? - Richard, did you call Jessica to account for not finishing her task in time? - Did you all have an equal share in completing the task? <p>Feedback</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Giving clues as to how to call a person to account for something. - Giving clues as to how to tune individual tasks to the group task.
	<p>Contribution to group climate This learning activity involves contributing to a positive climate within the group. This requires that students respect each other, listen to each other, and independently resolve conflicts.</p> <p>Learning activity concerning collaborative learning</p>	

Appendix 1 Aspects of learning activities (Continued)

Aspects of learning activities	Coaching interventions
<p>Maintaining self-confidence, positive expectations, motivation, dedication & persistence, and concentration</p> <p>While working on complex tasks, students experience emotions that lead to specific attitudes towards working on complex tasks (positive, neutral, negative). These emotions can influence the learning process dramatically. To develop a positive learning attitude, students need to maintain self-confidence, positive expectations, motivation, dedication & persistence, and concentration. These learning activities are an important condition for carrying out other relevant learning activities.</p>	<p>Questions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How do you motivate yourself to finish tasks that you don't like? - What do you like about this task? <p>Feedback</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Complimenting students on their efforts and the results.

Affective learning activities

Appendix 2 Score form for assigning a score to a video episode

Name: Video episode:
 Teacher judged: Learning activity judged:

Video episode		Interviews	
Step 1: Collecting evidence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Which coaching interventions do or do not provide opportunities to improve students' performance of learning activities? - Which coaching interventions do or do not provide opportunities for students to improve in constructing realistic perceptions of professional thinking and acting in practice? 	Score (1-4)	Teacher	Student(s)
Consider all the available evidence for constructive as well as for practice-oriented coaching: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What evidence is important, and what is less important? - How can positive and negative evidence be counterbalanced? - Does all evidence direct to a specific level of competence, or are contradictions perceived in the evidence? - After you have assigned a score, check whether it represents all the available evidence. 			
Step 2: Assigning scores to teachers' coaching performance Why should the assigned score be a 1, 2, 3, or 4? Write a brief summary in which you substantiate the scores assigned. In the summary, refer to or cite important arguments and evidence.			

Appendix 3 Score form for assigning an overall score

Name: Teacher to be judged:

Step 3 Assigning an overall score to teacher performance across video episodes		
Assign an overall score for constructive and practice-oriented coaching based on the performance levels, for all video episodes concerning coaching aimed at (a) domain knowledge and skills (b) regulation, (c) learning attitude, or (d) collaborative learning.		
The assigned overall score does not have to be equal to the average of all scores assigned to the individual video episodes, since you can weigh scores in order to correct for differences in video episodes with regard to complexity, or for differences in (extremely) high or low contributions to improvement in learning activities and perceptions of professional thinking and acting.		
Light (L)	Average (A)	Heavy (H)
Teachers' coaching performance had a small impact on students' growth or perception of practice. And/or The coach situation was extremely simple or complex, so that the teacher did not get the opportunity to show how well he/she can coach students.	Teachers' coaching performance had some impact on students' growth or perception of practice.	Teachers' coaching performance had a crucial impact on students' growth or perception of practice.

Overview scores assigned to separate video episodes

Video episode	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Overall score
Learning activity coached											
Score and weigh	<input type="checkbox"/>										

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In what way can the performance in the individual video episodes be counterbalanced? - Does the entire performance direct to a specific level of competence, or are contradictions perceived? - After you have assigned a score, check whether the score represents all the available evidence.
Why should the assigned overall score be a 1, 2, 3, or 4? Write a brief summary in which you comment on the scores assigned. In the summary, refer to or cite important arguments and evidence concerning individual video episodes.

Step 4 Consulting a fellow-assessor
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - After judging the video portfolios individually, discuss the assigned scores and written rationales with a fellow-assessor. - Compare assigned scores and explicitly discuss differences in assigned scores and cited evidence and arguments. - After the consultation, determine whether to stand by the original judgment(s) or to make adjustments.

Appendix 4 Codebook for coding evidence and arguments

	Step 1 Type of state- ments	Step 2 Valence	Step 3 Aspect of competent coaching			Step 4 Fostered learning activity
Consistent with the conceptual framework	Citation	Positive	Evidence with regard to coach situation	Evidence with regard to teachers' behavior or appearance	Evidence with regard to students	Coaching of orientation of the complex task
	Inference	Negative	Students' problem	Asking questions	Students' reaction to the interventions of the teacher	Coaching of searching and organizing relevant information
	Judgment	Neutral	Groups' problem	Providing feedback	Question to the teacher	Coaching of comprehending and using relevant subject matter
			Content of the coach session	Asking questions and providing feedback (coaching interventions)	Reaction to another student	Coaching of planning
			Aim of the teacher	Other teacher behavior	Students' learning	Coaching of monitoring
			Context factors that influence coaching	Teachers' interventions are (not) appropriate with regard to students' needs or the context	Students' thinking	Coaching of adjusting
				Missed opportunities in coaching	Students' understanding	Coaching of evaluating
					Students' growth	Coaching of motivation and dedication
					Students' awareness	Coaching of communication
						Coaching of contribution to the group process and product
						Coaching of group climate

Appendix 4 Codebook for coding evidence and arguments (Continued)

	Step 1 Type of state- ments	Step 2 Valence	Step 3 Aspect of competent coaching			Step 4 Fostered learning activity
Not consistent with the conceptual framework			Only a judgment is made (good/bad) and no arguments are reported	Only a judgment is made (good/bad) and no arguments are reported	Only a judgment is made (good/bad) and no arguments are reported	
			Learning climate	Interventions to direct the discussion		Group dynamics
				Teachers' style		Positive learning climate

Appendix 5 An example of coding evidence

Score form assessor 1		Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4
Evidence:	Judg- ment 2	Citation (questions)	Negative Teachers' behavior (questions)		Comprehending and using relevant subject matter
- Teacher: in the overview, sand to fill up; do we need sand? - Belongs the sand to the category 'groundwork' or 'street work'? - So, sand belongs to groundwork?	3	Citation (questions)	Positive Teachers' behavior (questions)	Neutral Teachers' behavior (questions)	Comprehending and using relevant subject matter
- We agreed that we would cluster the activities according to categories - When do we work with sand?	2/3	Citation (questions)	Neutral Teachers' behavior (feedback)	Positive Teachers' behavior (questions)	Comprehending and using relevant subject matter
- Teacher explains the differences between groundwork and street work - The apron is almost complete, what do I miss here? (teacher asks Pete, but John is answering, the teacher asks Pete another question)	2/3	Inference (questions)	Neutral Teachers' behavior (questions)	Neutral Teachers' behavior (interventions to direct the discussion)	Comprehending and using relevant subject matter
- How do we attach the boards? - How do we attach the sole? - What are spring bolts? - What do spring bolts look like? - Is it important to know what spring bolts look like?	3 3 3 3 3	Citation (questions)	Positive Teachers' behavior (questions)	Positive Teachers' behavior (questions)	Positive Teachers' behavior (questions)
					Comprehending and using relevant subject matter
					Comprehending and using relevant subject matter
					Comprehending and using relevant subject matter
					Comprehending and using relevant subject matter
					Comprehending and using relevant subject matter
					Comprehending and using relevant subject matter

Appendix 6 An example of coding arguments

Score form assessor 1					
<p>Summary:</p> <p>This coach session can be clearly divided in three parts: (1) ground and street activities, (2) attaching the apron, and (3) attaching the purlin.</p> <p>The teacher asks the right questions.</p> <p>And after a sequence of questions, he provides the students with a short explanation.</p> <p>He relates the domain specific knowledge to relevant situations in practice.</p> <p>I think that the students certainly learn from these interventions. The judgment will be a 3 or 4.</p> <p>The reason for assigning a 3 instead of a 4 is that the teacher provides a lot of theory to the student. I don't think that students, who do not take notes, will remember what the teacher tries to learn them.</p>	<p>Inference</p> <p>Judgment</p> <p>Inference</p> <p>Inference</p> <p>Judgment</p> <p>Judgment</p>	<p>Neutral</p> <p>Positive</p> <p>Neutral</p> <p>Neutral</p> <p>Positive</p> <p>Negative</p>	<p>Coach situation (content)</p> <p>Teachers' behavior (questions)</p> <p>Teachers' behavior (questions & feedback)</p> <p>Teachers' behavior (other teacher behavior)</p> <p>Consequences for students (students learn)</p> <p>Teachers' behavior (teachers' interventions are not appropriate)</p>	<p>Comprehending and using relevant subject matter</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p>	

