



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Tapping into semantic recovery : an event-related potential study on the processing of gapping and stripping**

Ruijgrok, B.J.

### **Citation**

Ruijgrok, B. J. (2018, May 31). *Tapping into semantic recovery : an event-related potential study on the processing of gapping and stripping*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Netherlands Graduate School of Linguistics, Utrecht. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/62457>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/62457>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/62457> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

**Author:** Ruijgrok, Bobby

**Title:** Tapping into semantic recovery : an event-related potential study on the processing of gapping and stripping

**Date:** 2018-05-31

---

## Bibliography

---

- Aelbrecht, L. (2007). *Movement and ellipsis: An analysis of gapping*. Paper presented at the 2nd Student Conference on Formal Linguistics, Poznań, Poland.
- Aelbrecht, L. (2010). *The Syntactic Licensing of Ellipsis* (Vol. 149). Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Arregui, A., Clifton Jr., C., Frazier, L., & Moulton, K. (2006). Processing elided verb phrases with flawed antecedents: The recycling hypothesis. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 55(2), 232–246.
- Baddeley, A. (2007). *Working Memory, Thought, and Action*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Baddeley, A. (2012). Working Memory: Theories, Models, and Controversies. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63(1), 1–29.
- Baggio, G., Lambalgen, M. v., & Hagoort, P. (2012). Language, Linguistics and Cognition. In R. M. Kempson, T. Fernando, & N. Asher (Eds.), *Philosophy of Linguistics* (pp. 325–355). Oxford: North Holland.
- Bakeman, R. (2005). Recommended effect size statistics for repeated measures designs. *Behavior Research Methods*, 37(3), 379–384.
- Baltin, M. (2012). Deletion versus pro-forms: an overly simple dichotomy? *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory*, 30(2), 381–423.
- Bard, E. G., Robertson, D., & Sorace, A. (1996). Magnitude estimation of linguistic acceptability. *Language*, 32–68.
- Bever, T. G. (1970). The cognitive basis for linguistic structures. In R. Hayes (Ed.), *Cognition and Language Development* (pp. 279–362). New York: Wiley and Sons.
- Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (2017). *Praat: doing phonetics by computer [Computer program]*.
- Boone, E. (2014). *The syntax and licensing of Gapping and Fragments*. Doctoral Dissertation, Leiden University.
- Bradley, D. C., & Forster, K. I. (1987). A reader's view of listening. *Cognition*, 25(12), 103–134.

- Brouwer, H., Crocker, M. W., Venhuizen, N. J., & Hoeks, J. C. (2016). A Neurocomputational Model of the N400 and the P600 in Language Processing. *Cognitive Science*.
- Brouwer, H., & Hoeks, J. C. J. (2013). A time and place for language comprehension: mapping the N400 and the P600 to a minimal cortical network. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 7.
- Burkhardt, P. (2007). The P600 reflects cost of new information in discourse memory. *Neuroreport*, 18(17), 1851–1854.
- Callahan, S. M., Shapiro, L., & Love, T. (2010). Parallelism Effects and Verb Activation: The Sustained Reactivation Hypothesis. *Journal of psycholinguistic research*, 39(2), 101–118.
- Cambier-Langeveld, T., Nespors, M., & Heuven, V. J. van. (1997). *The domain of final lengthening in production and perception in Dutch*. Paper presented at Fifth European Conference on Speech Communication and Technology, Rhodes, Greece.
- Cannon, T. D., Glahn, D. C., Kim, J., Van Erp, T. G. M., Karlsgodt, K., Cohen, M. S., et al. (2005). Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex activity during maintenance and manipulation of information in working memory in patients with schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62(10), 1071–1080.
- Caplan, D., & Waters, G. S. (1999). Verbal working memory and sentence comprehension. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22(01), 77–94.
- Carlson, K. (2001). The Effects of Parallelism and Prosody in the Processing of Gapping Structures. *Language and Speech*, 44(1), 1–26.
- Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic Structures*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1993). *A minimalist program for linguistic theory. The view from building 20: Essays in linguistics in honor of Sylvain Bromberger, ed. by Kenneth Hale and Samuel Jay Keyser, 1-52*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N. (2015). Some Core Contested Concepts. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 44(1), 91–104.
- Chung, S., Ladusaw, W. A., & McCloskey, J. (1995). Sluicing and logical form. *Natural Language Semantics*, 3(3), 239–282.
- Clementz, B. A., Barber, S. K., & Dzau, J. R. (2002). Knowledge of Stimulus Repetition Affects the Magnitude and Spatial Distribution of Low-Frequency Event-Related Brain Potentials. *Audiology and Neurotology*, 7(5), 303–314.
- Clifton, Jr., C. E., & Frazier, L. (2010). Imperfect Ellipsis: Antecedents beyond Syntax? *Syntax*, 13(4), 279–297.
- Coppen, P.-A., Borght van der, Dreumel van, Oltmans, & Teunissen. (1993). Een implementatie van gapping. *Grammar/TTT*, 2(1), 31–45.
- Coppock, E. (2001). Gapping: In defense of deletion. In M. Andronis, C. Ball, H. Elston, & S. Neuvel (Eds.), (pp. 133–148). Chicago: Chicago Linguistics Society.
- Coulson, S., King, J. W., & Kutas, M. (1998). Expect the unexpected: Event-

- related brain response to morphosyntactic violations. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 13(1), 21–58.
- Cremers, C. (1983). On the Form and Interpretation of Ellipsis. In A. ter Meulen (Ed.), *Studies in Modeltheoretic Semantics* (pp. 145–160). Dordrecht: Foris.
- Cremers, C. (1993). *On parsing coordination categorically*. Doctoral Dissertation, Leiden University.
- Cremers, C., & Hijzelendoorn, M. (2014). Meaningful grammar is binary, local, anti-symmetric, recursive and incomplete. In J. Caspers, Y. Chen, W. Heeren, J. Pacilly, N. O. Schiller, & E. van Zanten (Eds.), *Above and Beyond the Segments: Experimental linguistics and phonetics* (pp. 60–70). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Crystal, D. (2010). *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Culicover, P., & Jackendoff, R. (2005). *Simpler syntax*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dalrymple, M., Shieber, S. M., & Pereira, F. C. N. (1991). Ellipsis and higher-order unification. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 14(4), 399–452.
- Daneman, M., & Carpenter, P. A. (1980). Individual differences in working memory and reading. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 19(4), 450–466.
- Daneman, M., & Merikle, P. M. (1996). Working memory and language comprehension: A meta-analysis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 3(4), 422–433.
- Dimitrova, D. V. (2012). *Neural correlates of prosody and information structure*. PhD thesis, University of Groningen.
- Dobashi, Y. (2009). Multiple spell-out, assembly problem, and syntax-phonology mapping. In J. Grijzenhout & B. Kabak (Eds.), *Phonological Domains: Universals and Deviations* (pp. 195–220). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Donchin, E. (1981). Surprise! Surprise? *Psychophysiology*, 18(5), 493–513.
- Donchin, E., & Coles, M. G. H. (1988). Is the P300 component a manifestation of context updating? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 11(03), 357–374.
- Downie, G., Fay, J., Langlois, J. P., Baker, R., & Sinclair, R. G. (1996). *Gift Shop* [Recorded by The Tragically Hip]. On *Trouble at the Henhouse* [CD]. Bath, Ontario; New Orleans; San Francisco: MCA.
- Drury, J. E., Baum, S. R., Valeriote, H., & Steinhauer, K. (2016). Punctuation and Implicit Prosody in Silent Reading: An ERP Study Investigating English Garden-Path Sentences. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7.
- Embick, D., & Poeppel, D. (2015). Towards a computational(ist) neurobiology of language: correlational, integrated and explanatory neurolinguistics. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, 30(4), 357–366.
- Fiebach, C., Schlesewsky, M., Lohmann, G., Cramon, D. von, & Friederici, A. (2005). Revisiting the role of Broca's area in sentence processing: Syntactic integration versus syntactic working memory. *Human Brain Map-*

- ping*, 24(2), 79–91.
- Fiebach, C. J., Schlesewsky, M., & Friederici, A. D. (2001). Syntactic Working Memory and the Establishment of Filler-Gap Dependencies: Insights from ERPs and fMRI. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 30(3), 321–338.
- Fiengo, R., & May, R. (1994). *Indices and Identity*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Fodor, J. A. (1983). *The Modularity of Mind*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Fodor, J. D. (2002). Prosodic disambiguation in silent reading. In M. Hirotami (Ed.), *NELS 32* (pp. 113–132). Amherst, MA: GLSA Publications.
- Frazier, L. (1979). *On Comprehending Sentences: Syntactic Parsing Strategies*. Indiana University Linguistics Club.
- Frazier, L. (1987). Syntactic Processing: Evidence from Dutch. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory*, 5(4), 519–559.
- Frazier, L. (2013). A Recycling Approach to Processing Ellipsis. In L. L.-S. Cheng & N. Corver (Eds.), *Diagnosing Syntax* (pp. 485–501). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Frazier, L. (2015). Two Interpretive Systems for Natural Language? *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 44(1), 7–25.
- Frazier, L., & Clifton, C. (2005). The syntax-discourse divide: processing ellipsis. *Syntax*, 8(2), 121–174.
- Frazier, L., & Clifton, C., Jr. (2001). Parsing coordinates and ellipsis: Copy  $\alpha$ . *Syntax*, 4(1), 1–22.
- Frazier, L., & Clifton, J. (2000). On Bound Variable Interpretations: The LF-Only Hypothesis. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 29(2), 125–140.
- Frazier, L., & Fodor, J. D. (1978). The sausage machine: A new two-stage parsing model. *Cognition*, 6(4), 291–325.
- Friederici, A. D. (2002). Towards a neural basis of auditory sentence processing. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 6(2), 78–84.
- Friederici, A. D. (2011). The brain basis of language processing: from structure to function. *Physiological Reviews*, 91(4), 1357–1392.
- Friederici, A. D., Hahne, A., & Saddy, D. (2002). Distinct Neurophysiological Patterns Reflecting Aspects of Syntactic Complexity and Syntactic Repair. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 31(1), 45–63.
- Garnham, A., & Oakhill, J. (1987). Interpreting elliptical verb phrases. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A*, 39(4), 611–627.
- Gibson, E., & Pearlmutter, N. J. (1998). Constraints on sentence comprehension. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 2(7), 262–268.
- Ginzburg, J., & Sag, I. (2000). *Interrogative investigations*. Stanford: CSLI publications.
- Giuliano, R. J., Pfordresher, P. Q., Stanley, E. M., Narayana, S., & Wicha, N. Y. Y. (2011). Native Experience with a Tone Language Enhances Pitch Discrimination and the Timing of Neural Responses to Pitch Change. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2.
- Gouvea, A. C., Phillips, C., Kazanina, N., & Poeppel, D. (2010). The linguistic processes underlying the P600. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 25(2), 149–188.

- Gratton, G., Coles, M. G., & Donchin, E. (1983). A new method for off-line removal of ocular artifact. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 55(4), 468–484.
- Griffiths, J., & Lipták, A. (2014). Contrast and Island Sensitivity in Clausal Ellipsis. *Syntax*, 17(3), 189–234.
- Gussenhoven, C. (1988). Adequacy in intonation analysis: The case of Dutch. In H. Hulst & N. Smith (Eds.), *Autosegmental studies on pitch accent* (pp. 95–121). Dordrecht: Cinnaminson: Foris.
- Gussenhoven, C. (2005). Transcription of Dutch Intonation. In S.-A. Jun (Ed.), *Prosodic Typology: The Phonology of Intonation and Phrasing* (pp. 118–145). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hagoort, P. (2005). On Broca, brain, and binding: a new framework. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(9), 416–423.
- Hale, J. T. (2011). What a Rational Parser Would Do. *Cognitive Science*, 35(3), 399–443.
- Hankamer, J., & Sag, I. (1976). Deep and Surface Anaphora. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 7(3), 391–428.
- Hardt, D. (1993). *Verb Phrase Ellipsis: Form, Meaning, and Processing*. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Pennsylvania.
- Hartmann, K. (2000). *Right node raising and gapping interface conditions on prosodic deletion*. Philadelphia, PA; Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Hickok, G., & Poeppel, D. (2004). Dorsal and ventral streams: a framework for understanding aspects of the functional anatomy of language. *Cognition*, 92(1-2), 67–99.
- Hijzelendoorn, M., & Cremers, C. (2009). An Object-Oriented and Fast Lexicon for Semantic Generation. *Computation and Language*, abs/0905.3318.
- Hillyard, S. A., Vogel, E. K., & Luck, S. J. (1998). Sensory gain control (amplification) as a mechanism of selective attention: electrophysiological and neuroimaging evidence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 353(1373), 1257–1270.
- Hoeksema, J. (2007). Pseudogapping: its syntactic analysis and cumulative effects on its acceptability. *Research on Language and Computation*, 4(4), 335–352.
- Hornstein, N., Nunes, J., & Grohmann, K. K. (2005). *Understanding Minimalism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jackendoff, R. (1997). *The architecture of the language faculty*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Jackendoff, R. (2002). *Foundations of language: Brain, meaning, grammar, evolution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jackendoff, R. (2007). Linguistics in Cognitive Science: The state of the art. *The Linguistic Review*, 24(4), 347–401.
- Jackendoff, R. S. (1971). Gapping and Related Rules. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 2(1), 21–35.
- Jasper, H. H. (1958). The ten twenty electrode system of the international federation. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 10, 371–

- 375.
- Johnson, K. (2009). Gapping Is Not (VP-) Ellipsis. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 40(2), 289–328.
- Kaan, E., Harris, A., Gibson, E., & Holcomb, P. (2000). The P600 as an index of syntactic integration difficulty. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 15(2), 159–201.
- Kaan, E., Overfelt, C., Tromp, D., & Wijnen, F. (2013). Processing Gapped Verbs. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 1–32.
- Kaan, E., & Swaab, T. Y. (2003). Repair, Revision, and Complexity in Syntactic Analysis: An Electrophysiological Differentiation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 15(1), 98–110.
- Kaan, E., Wijnen, F., & Swaab, T. Y. (2004). Gapping: Electrophysiological evidence for immediate processing of missing verbs in sentence comprehension. *Brain and Language*, 89(3), 584–592.
- Kane, M. J., Conway, A. R. A., & Engle, R. W. (1999). What do working-memory tests really measure? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22(1), 101–102.
- Kehler, A. (2000). Coherence and the Resolution of Ellipsis. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 23(6), 533–575.
- Kim, C. S., Kobele, G. M., Runner, J. T., & Hale, J. T. (2011). The Acceptability Cline in VP Ellipsis. *Syntax*, 14(4), 318–354.
- Kobele, G. M. (2015). LF-copying without LF. *Lingua*, 166, Part B, 236–259.
- Kuno, S. (1976). Gapping: A Functional Analysis. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 7(2), 300–318.
- Lappin, S. (1999). An HPSG Account of Antecedent-Contained Ellipsis. In S. Lappin & E. Benmamoun (Eds.), *Fragments: Studies in Ellipsis and Gapping* (pp. 68–97). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lappin, S., & Benmamoun, E. (1999). *Fragments: studies in ellipsis and gapping*. New York [etc.]: Oxford University Press.
- Lau, E., Stroud, C., Plesch, S., & Phillips, C. (2006). The role of structural prediction in rapid syntactic analysis. *Brain and Language*, 98(1), 74–88.
- Lawrence, M. (2011). *ez: Easy analysis and visualization of factorial experiments*. (R package version 4.4-0)
- Legendre, P., & Legendre, L. (1998). *Numerical Ecology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Levelt, W. J. M. (1999). Producing spoken language: A blueprint of the speaker. In C. M. Brown & P. Hagoort (Eds.), *The neurocognition of language* (pp. 94–122). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Levin, N. S., & Prince, E. F. (1986). Gapping and Causal Implicature. *Papers in Linguistics*, 19, 351–364.
- Levy, R. (2008). Expectation-based syntactic comprehension. *Cognition*, 106(3), 1126–1177.
- Lewis, R. L., & Vasishth, S. (2005). An activation-based model of sentence processing as skilled memory retrieval. *Cognitive Science*, 29(3), 375–419.
- Lewis, S., & Phillips, C. (2015). Aligning Grammatical Theories and Language Processing Models. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 44(1), 27–46.



- Lobeck, A. (1995). *Ellipsis: Functional Heads, Licensing, and Identification*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Luck, S. J. (2014). *An Introduction to the Event-Related Potential Technique*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- MacCoun, R., & Perlmutter, S. (2015). Blind analysis: Hide results to seek the truth. *Nature News*, 526(7572), 187.
- Makeig, S., Bell, A. J., Jung, T.-P., & Sejnowski, T. J. (1996). Independent component analysis of electroencephalographic data. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 145–151.
- Marr, D. (1982). *Vision*. San Francisco: Freeman.
- Martin, A. E., & McElree, B. (2008). A content-addressable pointer mechanism underlies comprehension of verb-phrase ellipsis. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 58(3), 879–906.
- Martin, A. E., & McElree, B. (2011). Direct-access retrieval during sentence comprehension: Evidence from sluicing. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 64(4), 327–343.
- Martin, A. E., Nieuwland, M. S., & Carreiras, M. (2012). Event-related brain potentials index cue-based retrieval interference during sentence comprehension. *NeuroImage*, 59(2), 1859–1869.
- McKean, E. (Ed.). (2005). *New Oxford American Dictionary* (Second ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Merchant, J. (2001). *The syntax of silence, islands and the theory of ellipsis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Merchant, J. (2005). Fragments and ellipsis. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 27(6), 661–738.
- Merchant, J. (2007). *Three kinds of ellipsis: syntactic, semantic, pragmatic?* Rutgers University.
- Merchant, J. (2017). Ellipsis: A survey of analytical approaches. In J. van Craenenbroeck & T. Temmerman (Eds.), *A handbook of ellipsis*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Michie, P. T., Karayanidis, F., Smith, G. L., Barrett, N. A., Large, M. M., O'Sullivan, B. T., et al. (1999). An exploration of varieties of visual attention : ERP findings. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 7(4), 419–450.
- Murphy, G. L. (1985a). Processes of understanding anaphora. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 24(3), 290–303.
- Murphy, G. L. (1985b). Psychological explanations of deep and surface anaphora. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 9(6), 785–813.
- Neijt, A. (1979). *Gapping : a contribution to sentence grammar*. Dordrecht: Foris Publications.
- Nickerson, R. S. (1998). Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(2), 175–220.
- Nieuwenhuis, S., Aston-Jones, G., & Cohen, J. D. (2005). Decision making, the P3, and the locus coeruleus–norepinephrine system. *Psychological Bulletin*, 131(4), 510–532.
- Noort, M. van den, Bosch, P., Haverkort, M., & Hugdahl, K. (2008). A standard

- computerized version of the Reading Span Test in different languages. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 24(1), 35–42.
- Osterhout, L., & Hagoort, P. (1999). A Superficial Resemblance Does Not Necessarily Mean You Are Part of the Family: Counterarguments to Coulson, King and Kutas (1998) in the P600/SPS-P300 Debate. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 14(1), 1–14.
- Otten, M., & Van Berkum, J. J. (2009). Does working memory capacity affect the ability to predict upcoming words in discourse? *Brain Research*, 1291, 92–101.
- Peirce, J. W. (2007). PsychoPyPsychophysics software in Python. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 162(1-2), 8–13.
- Peirce, J. W. (2009). Generating Stimuli for Neuroscience Using PsychoPy. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 2.
- Pesetsky, D. (1987). Wh-in-situ: Movement and unselective binding. In E. J. Reuland & A. ter Meulen (Eds.), *The representation of (in) definiteness* (Vol. 98, pp. 98–129). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Peter, V., McArthur, G., & Crain, S. (2014). Using event-related potentials to measure phrase boundary perception in English. *BMC Neuroscience*, 15.
- Petrides, M. (2000). The role of the mid-dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in working memory. In W. X. Schneider, A. M. Owen, & J. Duncan (Eds.), *Executive Control and the Frontal Lobe: Current Issues* (pp. 44–54). Berlin: Springer.
- Petrides, M., Alivisatos, B., Meyer, E., & Evans, A. C. (1993). Functional activation of the human frontal cortex during the performance of verbal working memory tasks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 90(3), 878–882.
- Phillips, C., & Parker, D. (2014). The psycholinguistics of ellipsis. *Lingua*, 151, 78–95.
- Pierrehumbert, J. B. (1980). *The phonology and phonetics of English intonation*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Poepfel, D., & Embick, D. (2005). Defining the relation between linguistics and neuroscience. In A. Cutler (Ed.), *Twenty-first century psycholinguistics: Four cornerstones* (pp. 103–120). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Poirier, J., Wolfinger, K., Spellman, L., & Shapiro, L. (2010). The Real-Time Processing of Sluiced Sentences. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 39(5), 411–427.
- Polich, J. (2007). Updating P300: An Integrative Theory of P3a and P3b. *Clinical neurophysiology*, 118(10), 2128–2148.
- Pollard, C., & Sag, I. (1994). *Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Pollatsek, A., Treiman, R., & Pollatsek, A. (2015). The Role of Sound in Silent Reading. In A. Pollatsek & R. Treiman (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Reading*. New York: Oxford University Press.

- R Development Core Team. (2008). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Reckman, H. (2009). *Flat but not shallow: Towards flatter representations in deep semantic parsing for precise and feasible Inferencing*. Doctoral Dissertation, Leiden University.
- Reckman, H., Cheng, L.-S., Hijzelendoorn, M., & Sybesma, R. (Eds.). (2017). *Crossroads Semantics: Computation, experiment and grammar*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Repp, S. (2009). *Negation in Gapping*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rietveld, T., & Heuven, V. J. v. (2001). *Algemene fonetiek*. Bussum: Couthinho.
- Rooth, M. (1992). A theory of focus interpretation. *Natural Language Semantics*, 1(1), 75–116.
- Ross, J. R. (1967). *Constraints on variables in syntax*. Doctoral Dissertation, MIT.
- Ruijgrok, B., Cremers, C., Cheng, L. L.-S., & Schiller, N. O. (2016). *Recovery of elliptical structures: electrophysiological evidence for retrieval and integration processes*. Poster presented at Ellipsis Across Borders 2016, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- Rumelhart, D., & McClelland, J. (1986). *Parallel Distributed Processing: Foundations*. MIT Press.
- Sag, I. (1976). *Deletion and Logical Form*. Doctoral Dissertation, MIT.
- Sag, I., & Hankamer, J. (1984). Toward a theory of anaphoric processing. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 7(3), 325–345.
- Sag, I., & Wasow, T. (2015). Flexible processing and the design of grammar. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 44(1), 47–63.
- Sassenhagen, J., Schlesewsky, M., & Bornkessel-Schlesewsky, I. (2014). The P600-as-P3 hypothesis revisited: single-trial analyses reveal that the late EEG positivity following linguistically deviant material is reaction time aligned. *Brain and Language*, 137, 29–39.
- Sharbrough, F. W., Chatrian, G. E., Lesser, R., Luders, H., Nuwer, M., & Picton, T. (1991). Guideline thirteen: Guidelines for standard electrode position nomenclature american electroencephalographic. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 200–202.
- Sprouse, J. (2011). A Test of the Cognitive Assumptions of Magnitude Estimation: Commutativity does not Hold for Acceptability Judgments. *Language*, 87(2), 274–288.
- Sprouse, J., & Almeida, D. (2013). The role of experimental syntax in an integrated cognitive science of language. In C. Boeckx & K. K. Grohmann (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Bilingualism*. (pp. 181–202). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Staub, A. (2015). Reading Sentences. In A. Pollatsek & R. Treiman (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Reading* (pp. 202–216). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Steedman, M. (1991). Structure and intonation. *Language*, 260–296.
- Steedman, M. (1996). *Surface structure and interpretation*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

- Steedman, M. (1999). Connectionist sentence processing in perspective. *Cognitive Science*, 23(4), 615–634.
- Steedman, M. J. (1990). Gapping as constituent coordination. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 13(2), 207–263.
- Steinhauer, K. (2003). Electrophysiological correlates of prosody and punctuation. *Brain and Language*, 86(1), 142–164.
- Steinhauer, K., & Drury, J. E. (2012). On the early left-anterior negativity (ELAN) in syntax studies. *Brain and Language*, 120(2), 135–162.
- Steinhauer, K., & Friederici, A. D. (2001). Prosodic Boundaries, Comma Rules, and Brain Responses: The Closure Positive Shift in ERPs as a Universal Marker for Prosodic Phrasing in Listeners and Readers. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 30(3), 267–295.
- Swaab, T. Y., Ledoux, K., Camblin, C., & Boudewyn, M. (2012). Language related ERP components. In S. J. Luck & E. Kappenman (Eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Event-Related Potential Components* (pp. 397–440). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Tanenhaus, M. K., & Carlson, G. N. (1990). Comprehension of Deep and Surface Verb phrase Anaphors. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 5(4), 257–280.
- Tanenhaus, M. K., Carlson, G. N., & Seidenberg, M. S. (1985). Do listeners compute linguistic representations. *Natural Language Parsing: Psychological, Computational, and Theoretical Perspectives*, 359–408.
- Timmer, K., & Schiller, N. O. (2012). The role of orthography and phonology in English: An ERP study on first and second language reading aloud. *Brain Research*, 1483, 39–53.
- Tyler, L. K., & Marslen-Wilson, W. D. (1977). The on-line effects of semantic context on syntactic processing. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 16(6), 683–692.
- Vicente, L. (2008). *Syntactic isomorphism and non-isomorphism under ellipsis* [Unpublished manuscript]. University of California at Santa Clara.
- Wasow, T. (1972). *Anaphoric relations in English*. Doctoral Dissertation, MIT.
- Wheeler, R. (2010). *multresp() lmperm*. (R package version 2.1.0)
- Williams, E. S. (1977). Discourse and Logical Form. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 8(1), 101–139.
- Winkler, S. (2005). *Ellipsis and Focus in Generative Grammar*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Woodman, G. F. (2010). A brief introduction to the use of event-related potentials in studies of perception and attention. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 72(8), 2031–2046.
- Wyngaerd, G. vanden. (2007). *Gapping constituents* [Unpublished manuscript on gapping]. HUB/Crissp/K.U.Leuven.
- Yang, G.-Q. R. (2010). Syntactic boundaries and comma placement during silent reading of Chinese text: evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 33(2), 168–177.