Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The typology and formal semantic of adnominal possession

Karvovskaya, E.

Citation

Karvovskaya, E. (2018, May 2). *The typology and formal semantic of adnominal possession*. *LOT dissertation series*. LOT, Netherlands Gruaduate School of Linguistics, Utrecht. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/62062

Version:	Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License:	Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/62062

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page

Universiteit Leiden

The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/62062 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Karvovskaya, Lena
Title: The typology and formal semantic of adnominal possession
Date: 2018-05-02

The typology and formal semantics of adnominal possession

Published by

LOT
Trans 10
3512 JK Utrecht
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6111
e-mail: lot@uu.nl
http://www.lotschool.nl

Cover illustration: Ishkashim district, Tajikistan; photo by Lena Karvovskaya

ISBN: 978-94-6093-283-0
NUR: 616

Copyright © 2018 Lena Karvovskaya. All rights reserved.

The typology and formal semantics of adnominal possession

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 2 mei 2018
klokke 13.45 uur

door

Lena Karvovskaya

geboren 21 oktober 1985
Moskou, Rusland

Promotores:	Prof. Dr. J.E.C.V. Rooryck Prof. Dr. M. Zimmermann
Co-promotor:	Dr. E. Schoorlemmer
Promotiecomissie:	Prof. Dr. Regine Eckardt (Universität Konstanz) Prof. Dr. Marjo van Koppen (Universiteit Utrecht, Meertens Instituut) Prof. Dr. Jenny Doetjes

This thesis is dedicated to my parents,
Nadežda and Aleksandr.

Contents

List of Tables xi

List of Figures xiii

List of abbreviations xv

Acknowledgements xxi

1 Introduction **1**
- 1.1 The research question 1
- 1.2 Methodology and assumptions 2
  - 1.2.1 Possessive constructions: the components 3
  - 1.2.2 Adnominal possession: semantics and typology 4
  - 1.2.3 Adnominal possession in this thesis 14
  - 1.2.4 Data sources and methodological problems 15
- 1.3 The sample 16
- 1.4 Preview 19

2 Idiosyncratic strategies **21**
- 2.1 Introduction 21
  - 2.1.1 Two patterns of distribution 22
  - 2.1.2 An idiosyncratic strategy: three main factors 23
  - 2.1.3 Possessive marking: meaning and distribution 28
- 2.2 Idiosyncratically marked relations 31
  - 2.2.1 The meaning effect 31
  - 2.2.2 Deriving the relation from the possessed noun 35
  - 2.2.3 Methodological problems 49
- 2.3 Idiosyncratic strategies: an analysis 54
  - 2.3.1 Competition between two lexical items 54
  - 2.3.2 The components of the analysis 59
  - 2.3.3 Case studies 67
- 2.4 Conclusion 74

3 Extending the proposal: possessive modifiers 77
3.1 Introduction . . . 77
3.1.1 The proposal: a brief summary . . . 78
3.1.2 Possessive classifiers; a general overview . . . 79
3.1.3 Possessive modifiers: a special case of possessive classifiers 83
3.1.4 Possessive modifiers and possible systems of possessive marking . . . 86
3.2 Uniform marking strategies with possessive modifiers . . . 90
3.2.1 Specific relations . . . 91
3.2.2 Underspecified relations . . . 96
3.2.3 Possessive modifier as a variable over relations . . . 100
3.2.4 Conclusion . . . 109
3.3 Combining (non)-idiosyncratic marking and modifiers . . . 110
3.3.1 Possessive modifiers as part of idiosyncratic marking . . 111
3.3.2 Some residual cases . . . 123
3.3.3 Conclusion . . . 128
3.4 Conclusion . . . 129

4 Extending the proposal: multiple marking strategies 131
4.1 Introduction . . . 131
4.2 Multiple marking strategies and allomorphy . . . 136
4.2.1 Multiple exponents of the idiosyncratic strategy: Yaitepec Chatino . . . 136
4.2.2 Multiple exponents of the non-idiosyncratic strategy: Blackfoot . . . 141
4.2.3 Multiple exponents of both strategies: Yine . . . 144
4.2.4 Conclusion . . . 148
4.3 Multiple marking strategies and relational nouns . . . 149
4.3.1 Relational nouns and possessive marking . . . 150
4.3.2 Distinct marking for relational nouns and (non)-idiosyncratic strategies: Daakaka . . . 154
4.3.3 (Non)-idiosyncratic strategy homophonous with syntactically unconditioned marking: Movima . . . 164
4.3.4 Lexically determined (non)-idiosyncratic strategies: Slave and Tanacross . . . 177
4.3.5 No opposition between idiosyncratic and non-idiosyncratic strategies: Koyukon . . . 189
4.3.6 Conclusion . . . 201
4.4 Conclusion . . . 202

5 Conclusion 203
5.1 Problems and prospects . . . 203
5.2 Conclusion . . . 212

A Database description **217**
A.1 General considerations behind the database design 217
A.2 The structure of the database 222

Bibliography 231

English summary 243

Samenvatting in het Nederlands 247

Curriculum Vitae 251

List of Tables

1.1 Possessive interpretations of sortal nouns, inspired by the table in Vikner and Jensen (2002) 6
1.2 (In)alienability as a blanket term; uses of the term (in)alienability vary from author to author 13

2.1 Two patterns of distribution. 23
2.2 Morphological markedness 25
2.3 Lexical class: gradation of flexibility 27
2.4 Fixed and flexible strategies; an overview 29
2.5 Beyond binary systems; languages with multiple morphological means to express possession 31
2.6 Fixed and flexible strategies; an overview with obligatorily possessed nouns 37
2.7 Idiosyncratic class in Tawala, based on Ezard (1997) 44
2.8 Idiosyncratic class in Hidatsa, based on Park (2012) 46
2.9 The complexity of the morphological marking 55

3.1 Possessive classifiers in Daakaka: lexically determined allomorphy. 81
3.2 Lexically predetermined elements vs possessive modifiers 85
3.3 Possessive modifiers in Panare 92
3.4 Marking strategies in Saliba 101
3.5 Marking strategies in Tolai 109
3.6 Marking strategies in Yucatec 115
3.7 Marking strategies in Chontal 119
3.8 Marking strategies in Hidatsa 120
3.9 Marking strategies in Nêlêmwa 122
3.10 A hypothetical possessive modifier language 124
3.11 Hypothetical possessive modifier language with three types of marking 127

4.1 Two patterns of distribution 133
4.2 A hypothetical language with both PD1 and PD2 134
4.3 Marking strategies in Yaitepec Chatino 138
4.4 Opposition between idiosyncratic and non-idiosyncratic strategies in Yaitepec Chatino 140
4.5 Marking strategies in Blackfoot 142
4.6 Opposition between idiosyncratic and non-idiosyncratic strategies in Yaitepec Chatino 143
4.7 Allomorphs of the possessor prefixes in Yine 145
4.8 Possessive suffixes in Yine (Piro) 145
4.9 Summary: possessor prefixes and possessive suffixes in Yine (Piro) 146
4.10 Semantic opposition between strategies in Yine (Piro) 148
4.11 Marking strategies in Daakaka 156
4.12 Daakaka; asymmetries between transitiviser and linker marking strategies 158
4.13 Daakaka: relational nouns and (non)-idiosyncratic marking . . 162
4.14 Marking strategies in Movima 168
4.15 Movima: relational nouns and (non)-idiosyncratic marking . . . 174
4.16 Marking strategies in Slave 180
4.17 Slave: configurations to account for 181
4.18 Slave: relational nouns and (non)-idiosyncratic marking 189
4.19 Marking strategies in Koyukon 192
4.20 Slave: configurations to account for 194
4.21 Koyukon: relational and sortal nouns 201

A.1 General information: Toqabaqita 223

List of Figures

2.1 Idiosyncratic and non-idiosyncratic marking: asymmetry in relations 24
2.2 The values of *Rp* and *Rfree* 56
2.3 Relations encoded by *MaxSpec* and *MinSpec* 64

3.1 Possessive modifiers and uniform markers 94

A.1 Decision tree: defining a strategy 220
A.2 The structure of the Possession field for one language. 223

List of abbreviations

The structure of this list follows the list of languages used in the dissertation.[1] For every language, I use the glosses provided in the respective grammar. I chose to use the original glosses instead of a unified list because the authors usually have their reasons to deviate from the the Leipzig Glossing Rules. By adopting the originally proposed glosses, I want to keep intact the information they wanted to include in their examples.

In several cases (Limbu, Nêlêmwa, Q'eqchi), I have used my own glosses, as the authors do not provide glosses for all their examples; similarly, I have used my glosses for the modified or additionally elicited examples (Hebrew, Mandarin Chinese).

Adyghe
glosses from Gorbunova (2009)
ABS - absolutive
ERG - ergative
LOC - locative
PL - plural
POSS - alienable possession
PST - past tense
REC - reciprocal
SG - singular

Aguaruna
glosses from Overall (2007)
POSS - possessive
SG - singular

Amele
glosses from Roberts (1987)
SG - singular
PL - plural

Baure
glosses from Danielsen (2007)
ART - article
DEM2 - demonstrative, type 2
M - masculine
SG - singular

Blackfoot
glosses from Bliss (2013)
1 - 1 person
AI - Animate Intransitive
CN - conjunct nominal
DEM - demonstrative

[1] The list is based only on those examples that appear in the text of the dissertation. The database contains more examples than discussed in the thesis.

DIR - direct
FUT - future
INAN - inanimate
IMPF - imperfective
LOC - locative
NEG - negative
PROX - proximate
POSS - possessive
TA - Transitive Animate

Bororo
glosses from Nonato (2008)
P - plural
S - singular

Chontal Mayan
glosses from Knowles (1984)
1 - 1 person
3 - 3 person
A - set A dependent pronoun
MG - masculine gender
REL - relation possessed

Daaakaka
glosses from von Prince (2016)
CL1/2/3 - possessive classifier
DEM - demonstrative
LINK - possessive linker
MED - medial distance
NOM - nominalizer
POSS - possessive
REAL - realis
SG - singular
TRANS - transitivizer

Ewe
glosses from Ameka (1991)
DEM - demonstrative
NEG - negative
SG - singular

Hebrew
my glosses
1 - 1 person
3 - 3 person
CS - construct state
DEF - definite
PL - plural

Hidatsa
glosses from Park (2012)
1 - 1 person
3 - 3 person
POS - possessive

Hungarian
glosses from Gerland and Ortmann (2014)
3 - 3 person
P'OR - possessor
SG - singular

Kayardild
glosses from Evans (1995)
1 - 1 person
ACT - actual
DU - dualis
GEN - genitive
LOC - locative
NOM - nominative

Koyukon
glosses from Thompson (1996)
1 - 1 person
3 - 3 person
pos - possessive
s - singular
K'E - morpheme *k'e*

Lele
glosses from Frajzyngier (2001)
3 - 3 person
gen - genitive
m - masculine

Limbu
my glosses
LN linking nasal

Maltese
glosses from Stolz et al. (2008: 86)
DET - determiner
PL - plural

glosses from Fabri (1993)
DF - definite

Mandarin
glosses from Chappell (1996)
3 - 3 person
BA - morpheme ba
GEN - genitive
LE - morpheme le (my gloss)
SG - singular

Maricopa
glosses from Gordon (1986)
1 - 1 person
2 - 2 person
poss - possessive

Mongsen Ao
glosses from Coupe (2007)
ANOM - agentive nominalization
M - masculine semantic gender

Movima
glosses from Haude (2006)
1 - first person
2 - second person
a - absential
ABS - absolute state
APPL - applicative
ART - article
BE - bound element
BR - bound root
CO - co-participant DET - determiner
DM - demonstrative
DR - bivalent direct
f - feminine
IMM - immediate past
INAL - inalienable possession
LN - linking nasal
LV - linking vowel
m - masculine
MST - mental state
n - neuter
nst - non-standing
obl - oblique
p - past
pl - plural
PRC - process verbalization
PRO - free pronoun
REL - relativizer

Mussau
glosses from Brownie and Brownie (2007)
1 - first person
3 - third person
I - class I
P - possessive
PCL possessive classifier
s - singular
glosses from Ross (2002)
1 - first person
II - class II
sg - singular

Nêlêmwa
glosses from Bril (2013)
1 - 1st person
const - construct state (my gloss)
link - linker
sg - singular

Paamese
glosses from Crowley (1982)
1 - 1 person
dom - domestic
ed - edible
leg - legal
man - manipulate
pot - potable
part - particularising
sg - singular

Panare
glosses from Payne and Payne (2013)
1 - 1 person
CL - classifier
sg - singular
POSS - possessed

Q'eqchi
my glosses
1 - 1 person
sg - singular

Rapa Nui
glosses from Kieviet (2017)
1 - first person
A - a class possessives
NTR - neutral aspect
O - o class possessives
pl - plural
poss - possessive
sg - singular

Saliba
glosses from Mosel (1994)
1 - first person
3 - third person
det - determiner
poss - possessive classifier
sg - singular

Samoan
glosses from Mosel and Hovdhaugen (1992)
3 - third person
art - articles
poss - possessive (a and o)
pres - presentative
sg - singular

Slave
glosses from Rice (1989)
1 - first person
3 - third person
poss - possessive (my modification of the original "possessive")

Standard Fijian
glosses from Palmer (2008)
GENPOSS - general indirect possession

Tanacross
my glosses
1 - 1 person
IND - indefinite
SG - singular

Tariana
glosses from Aikhenvald (2003)
l - 1 person
CL - classifier
POSS - possessive
sg - singular

Tawala
glosses from Ezard (1997)
1 - 1 person
2 - 2 person
3 - 3 person
sg - singular

Toba
glosses from Mesineo (2003)
1 - 1 person
al - alienable
Clg - clasificador genitivo
D - raíz deíctica
fem - femenino
pos - marcador de poseedor
sg - singular

Tolai
glosses from Mosel (1984)
ART - article
POSS.CLFR - possessive classifier
POSS.M - possessive marker
TA - tense, aspect, mood marker

Toqabaqita
glosses from Lichtenberk (2008)
1 - 1 person
DVN - deverbal noun
PERS - personal
PERSMKR - person marker
SG - singular

Tzutujil
my gloss
abs - absolutive

Udmurt
my gloss
1 - 1 person
3 - 3 person
sg - singular

Wandala
glosses from Frajzyngier (2012)
1 - 1 person
3 - 3 person
gen - genitive

Yaitepec Chatino
glosses from Rasch (2002)
1 - 1 person
3 - 3 person
sg - singular

Yine (Piro)
glosses from Hanson (2010)
1 - 1 person
3 - 3 person
FEM - feminine
SG - singular
MSC - masculine
PSSD - possessed noun

Yucatec Mayan
glosses from Lehmann (2002)
0 - meaningless element
1 - 1 person
3 - 3 person
CL - classifier
DEF - definite
D2 - distal deictic
PL - plural
POSS - possessive
textscrel - relationalizer
SG - singular

Acknowledgements

When I started university, I didn't know much about linguistics or research. Back then, I had no idea that I would end up writing a dissertation in linguistics. Many people have helped me on this journey in various ways, and I want to thank at least some of them.

I was first introduced to linguistics at Russian State University for the Humanities. I thank Elena Muravenko and Leonid Iomdin for supervising my first study projects. During my studies, I was lucky to participate in linguistic fieldtrips to the Caucasus and Siberia. I thank Yakov Testelets, Nina Sumbatova and Olga Kazakevich for these unique experiences.

Since I was learning German at the time, I received a scholarship to spend a semester at Humboldt University. There I did an internship at a project documenting Saami languages spoken in Russia. Michael Rießler, Kristina Kotcheva, and Joshua Wilbur made me feel welcome and introduced me to the lively Berlin linguistic community.

I enjoyed my semester abroad and I decided to continue my study of linguistics in Germany. I chose the University of Potsdam, and again I was very lucky. Thanks to the large-scale collaborative research project between three universities in Potsdam and Berlin, I ended up in the most stimulating linguistic environment imaginable. I am very grateful to the wonderful group of Potsdam researchers for numerous discussions and friendly atmosphere: special thanks to Mira Grubic, Anne Mucha, Agata Renans, Marta Wierzba, Joseph De Veaugh-Geiss and Radek Šimík. Apart from my studies, I learned a lot about linguistics by working as a student assistant. I thank Malte Zimmermann for giving me a position in his project on focus from cross-linguistic perspective. Later, the project provided financial support for my own fieldwork trips to the Pamir. I was also employed at ZAS Berlin in Manfred Krifka's project documenting the languages of West Ambrym. I thank my colleagues, Kilu von Prince and Soraya Hosni, for introducing me to Austronesian languages and for being great friends and mentors. During my Berlin-Potsdam time, I realized that linguistics made me happy and that I wanted to continue doing it. In 2013, I moved to Leiden to

start my PhD position on the NWO project, “Lend me your ears: the grammar of (un)transferable possession”.

This dissertation would not have been the way it is without my supervisors: Erik Schoorlemmer, Johan Rooryck and Malte Zimmermann. Erik was my “daily” supervisor at the beginning; he generously helped me with various scientific and non-scientific issues. Johan was the driving force during the second half of my PhD studies. Whenever I wrote something, he was always there, ready to read it and help me improve it. Malte stepped in to supervise the semantic part of the dissertation. I thank him for the inspiring meetings on Skype and in various cafes in Berlin. I thank the members of my dissertation committee: Regine Eckardt, Marjo van Koppen, Jenny Doetjes, Lisa Bylinina, Wataru Uegaki, and Martine Bruil.

I wouldn't have enjoyed my times in the Netherlands as much without my wonderful colleagues. Thank you, Matthias Pache, Alex Reuneker, Gulnaz Sibgatullina, Kate Bellamy, Aliza Glasbergen-Plas, Yang Yang, George Saad, Martin Kohlberger, Amanda Delgado Galvan, Marieke Meelen, Sima Zolfaghari, Anikó Lipták, Stella Gryllia, Leticia Pablos Robles, Güliz Günes, James Griffiths, Crit Cremers, Dominique Block, Stavroula Alexandropoulou, Anja Goldschmidt, Lotte Dros-Hendriks, Myrthe Coret-Bergstra, Jolien Scholten, Heidi Klockmann, Hanna de Vries and Bert Le Bruyn. Special thanks go to my lovely officemates: Enrico Boone, Carmen Ebner, Nastia Ionova.

While I was writing this dissertation, I received help from Pavel Ozerov, Morag Segal, Evgeniya Korovina, Swintha Danielsen, Heather Bliss, Vera Hohaus, Elisabeth Verhoeven, and Stavros Skopeteas. Ciprian Gerstenberger and especially my brother, Dmitriy Karvovskiy, provided invaluable help with the database. I thank Allison Kirk for proofreading this thesis, and Marlijn Meijer for translating the summary into Dutch. Finally, I thank Katja Lubina, for being my writng coach. During my PhD studies, I spent a semester visiting MIT. David Pesetsky was my supervisor there, and I want to thank him for his constant encouragement and for our almost weekly meetings.

As a transition between a somewhat ‘linguistic’ and a purely personal part of these acknowledgements, I want to thank the people linguistics brought into my life. They were with me at various times and places; they taught me a lot about life and about myself. Beste Kamali, Milena Sisovics, İsa Kerem Bayırlı, Sonia Lahuti, Aleksandra Çelik, Alexandra Kolomeychuk, Natlia Aralova, Polina Berezovskay, Thamar Eilam and Beate Reinhold – thank you for being there.

I thank my parents and my brother for their love and for doing everything in their power to guarantee me a good education. I thank Kai for being with me *in voor- en tegenspoed*, and our son Victor for giving me the motivation to finish writing. Finally, I thank the entire Salden family for making me feel at home in the Netherlands.