



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Hawks and doves. Democratic peace theory revisited**

Bakker, F.E.

### **Citation**

Bakker, F. E. (2018, May 15). *Hawks and doves. Democratic peace theory revisited*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/62051>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/62051>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/62051> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Bakker, F.E.

**Title:** Hawks and doves. Democratic peace theory revisited

**Issue Date:** 2018-05-15

# **Hawks and Doves**

## **Democratic Peace Theory Revisited**

Photo cover: Paul Reeves Photography

Photo back: Femke E. Bakker

Cover design: Print Service Ede

Print: Print Service Ede

© 2018 Femke E. Bakker. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission from the proprietor

© 2018 Femke E. Bakker. Alle rechten voorbehouden. Niets uit deze uitgave mag worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enigerlei wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen, of op enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de rechthebbende.

# **Hawks and Doves**

## **Democratic Peace Theory Revisited**

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op dinsdag 15 mei 2018  
klokke 15:00 uur

door

Femke Elisabeth Bakker  
geboren te Bergen op Zoom in 1972

*Promotor:*

Prof. dr. Petr Kopecký

*Co-Promotoren:*

Dr. Niels van Willigen

Dr. Michael Meffert

*Promotiecommissie:*

Prof. dr. Rudy Andeweg

Prof. dr. Dirk DeBièvre

Prof. dr. Margaret Hermann

Prof. dr. Juliet Kaarbo

Prof. dr. Wolfgang Wagner

University of Antwerpen

Syracuse University

University of Edinburgh

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

*Paranimfen:*

Maudy Lohaus

Senna Maatoug

This research has been sponsored by *Leiden University Fund/van Steeden*, by the *International Peace Research Association Foundation (IPRAF)*, and by the *Laboratory for Comparative Social Research (LCSR)*.

## Acknowledgements

Never have I worked on a project with such eagerness and fun as on my dissertation. I have learned so much about doing research, about writing, and, in particular, about myself. As a scholar and as a human being. I have been blessed with the help and focus of others on my way, and each of them has contributed in their own unique way to my thinking, my writing and my understanding during this process.

I enjoyed working with an inspiring team of three great supervisors who have supported me unanimously. They respected my autonomy as a scholar, and always provided me with the feeling of trust that my project would work out as I wanted it to. Moreover, each brought their own expertise: Petr Kopecký's theoretical clarity, and focus on the bigger picture, Niels van Willigen's vast knowledge and understanding of IR theories and foreign policy making, and Michael Meffert's methodological rigor and expertise in experiments have been of priceless support during our always fruitful (and cheerful) feedback sessions. Thank you so much.

To organize and conduct the experiments, I have had tremendous help of many people. I thank Honorata Mazepus, Aleksandrina Skvortsova, Rebekah Tromble, Ting Luo, and Zheng Li for the translations of my scenario's into Russian, English and Mandarin Chinese (respectively) of native quality, and Agata Mazepus for graphically mapping out my experimental world. I thank Eduard Ponarin, Tatiana Karabchuk, Ronald Inglehart, and Christian Welzel of HSE St Petersburg and the Laboratory of Comparative Social Research for their help to conduct the experiments in Russia, and Olesya Volchenko, Nina Iltschenko, Violetta Korsunova, Pavel Savchenko for their lingering research assistance on the Russian site. I thank Robin Best, Gregory Robinson, and Jonathan Krasno of Binghamton University for their help to execute the experiments in the US. And I thank Chunlong Lu of the Chinese University for Political Science and Law in Beijing, Fei Gao and Dani Stockman for their help to conduct the experiments in China, and Hua Zhang, Hong Hdadan, and Qi Ding for their devoted work as research assistants. I thank Ingrid van Heeringen for her administrative support and advice during the funding process.

I love to think out loud, preferably together with others. I have been so lucky to have met a lot of people on my way that were also willing to brainstorm with me about my research, and I am so appreciative of them. I hereby would like to thank Dan Thomas, Dirk DeBièvre, Honorata Mazepus, Paul van Hooft, Michael Doyle, Margaret Hermann, Arjen Boin, Fransje Molenaar, Senna Maatoug, Jos Meester, Sybren Hardiek, Rozemarijn Lubbe, Frank de Zwart, Wouter Veenendaal, Laurenz Ennsner-Jedenastik, Danie Stockmann, Maudy Lohaus, Donald Blondin, Huib Pellikaan, Maria Spirova, Kavita Heystek-Ziemann, Patrick Overeem, Ingrid van Biezen, Tom Louwerse, Tim Mickler, Joshua Kertzer, and participants of several conferences for the valuable discussions we have held.

And last but not least, I am so appreciative of some wonderful people in my life. Best daughters in the world: Nili & Levia. My dear friends Eytan, Wim, Nienke, Melinda, Maudy, Jeannette, Roos, Lejla, Patty, Abraham, Esther, and my beloved Leonard: thank each and all of you! Each of you has contributed in your own unique and special way to me being able to write this dissertation (and you know what I mean!).



# Contents

Acknowledgements.....	v
List of Tables.....	ix
List of Figures .....	x
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Not another research of the democratic peace?!.....	1
1.2 War or no war? That is the question.....	2
1.3 How is this research conducted? .....	3
1.4 Scientific and societal relevance .....	4
1.5 The chapters that follow.....	6
<b>Chapter 2 Individuals under Threat .....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 At the roots of democratic peace theory .....	9
2.2 What you believe is what you see .....	10
2.2.1 Liberal and Constructivist explanations .....	11
2.2.2. Realist and other system-level explanations .....	27
2.3 Individuals matter .....	30
2.3.1 Individual level explanations .....	32
2.4 Individuals under threat.....	33
2.5 Conclusion .....	35
<b>Chapter 3 Theoretical Framework, Concepts &amp; Methods .....</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1 Threat perception.....	37
3.2 The interstate conflict.....	39
3.3 Possible moderating factors .....	42
3.4 Concept of military action .....	52
3.5 Methodology.....	54
3.6 Conclusion .....	59
<b>Chapter 4 Liberal Norms in the US, Russia &amp; China.....</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1 Liberal norms for democratic peace studies.....	61
4.2 Conceptualization of liberal norms .....	61
4.3 Operationalization of liberal norms .....	68
4.4 Results .....	72
4.5 Conclusion .....	78

<b>Chapter 5 Experiments in the US, Russia &amp; China .....</b>	<b>81</b>
5.1 Research question and hypotheses .....	81
5.2 The instrument .....	83
5.3 Procedure and Data Collection .....	88
5.4 Results .....	93
5.5 Conclusion .....	101
<b>Chapter 6 Beyond the Dichotomy of War &amp; Peace .....</b>	<b>107</b>
6.1 What lies between war and peace? .....	107
6.2 Operationalization of policy options .....	109
6.3 Results .....	111
6.4 Conclusion .....	123
<b>Chapter 7 A Case Study: Thatcher the Hawk .....</b>	<b>127</b>
7.1 An interpretative case study .....	127
7.2 Tracing the mechanisms .....	128
7.3 Conceptualization .....	129
7.4 Data .....	130
7.5 Operationalization & background information .....	131
7.6 Results .....	139
7.7 Possible challenges .....	150
7.8 Conclusion .....	153
<b>Chapter 8 Conclusion .....</b>	<b>155</b>
8.1 Another research into the democratic peace .....	155
8.2 Findings of this research .....	156
8.3 Understanding the findings .....	158
8.4 What about the democratic peace? .....	166
8.5 Conclusion .....	169
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>Dutch Summary .....</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae .....</b>	<b>199</b>
<b>Stellingen .....</b>	<b>200</b>

## List of Tables

Table 2.1 Wars between 1800-2010* .....	9
Table 2.2 Explanations related to institutions .....	17
Table 2.3 Explanations related to liberal democratic norms .....	21
Table 2.4 Explanations related to system-level factors.....	29
Table 2.5 Explanations related to decision-makers/political leaders.....	32
Table 4.1 Indicators of liberal norms .....	69
Table 4.2 Overview means liberal norms* .....	73
Table 5.1 Liberal norms.....	88
Table 5.2 Items used for the Hawkishness scale.....	91
Table 5.3 Explanatory factors for the willingness to attack.....	94
Table 6.1 Explanatory factors for different foreign policy options .....	110

## List of Figures

Figure 3.1 Threat of an interstate conflict as perceived by decision-maker .....	39
Figure 4.1 Concept of liberal norms .....	65
Figure 4.2 WVS: Liberal norms (mean) by country.....	74
Figure 4.3 Students: Liberal norms (mean) by country.....	76
Figure 4.4 Levels of liberal norms in the US, Russia, and China.....	77
Figure 5.1 Map of the experimental setting.....	85
Figure 5.2 Levels of hawkishness in China, Russia, and the US.....	92
Figure 5.3 Attack by regime and socialized.....	96
Figure 5.4 Attack by use of power .....	98
Figure 5.5 Relationship between hawkishness and attack.....	99
Figure 5.6 Relationship between hawkishness, socialization, and attack .....	100
Figure 6.1 Relationship between liberal norms and negotiate.....	112
Figure 6.2 Relationship between hawkishness and negotiate .....	113
Figure 6.3 Freeze all relations by use of power and socialized .....	114
Figure 6.4 Freeze all relations by use of power, invasion, and regime .....	115
Figure 6.5 Relationship between hawkishness and freeze all relations.....	116
Figure 6.6 Relationship between liberal norms, regime, socialization and freeze all relations .....	117
Figure 6.7 Relationship between hawkishness and start NMP.....	118
Figure 6.8 Blockade by use of power, invasion, and regime.....	120
Figure 6.9 Blockade by use of power, invasion, and socialization.....	121
Figure 6.10 Relationship between liberal norms, socialization and blockade.....	122
Figure 6.11 Relationship between hawkishness and blockade.....	123