

# Hawks and doves. Democratic peace theory revisited Bakker, F.E.

#### Citation

Bakker, F. E. (2018, May 15). *Hawks and doves. Democratic peace theory revisited*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/62051

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/1887/62051">https://hdl.handle.net/1887/62051</a>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## Cover Page



# Universiteit Leiden



The handle <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/1887/62051">http://hdl.handle.net/1887/62051</a> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Bakker, F.E.

Title: Hawks and doves. Democratic peace theory revisited

**Issue Date:** 2018-05-15

# **Hawks and Doves**

**Democratic Peace Theory Revisited** 

Photo cover: Paul Reeves Photography

Photo back: Femke E. Bakker Cover design: Print Service Ede

Print: Print Service Ede

© 2018 Femke E. Bakker. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission from the proprietor

© 2018 Femke E. Bakker. Alle rechten voorbehouden. Niets uit deze uitgave mag worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enigerlei wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen, of op enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de rechthebbende.

# Hawks and Doves Democratic Peace Theory Revisited

#### Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op dinsdag 15 mei 2018 klokke 15:00 uur

door

Femke Elisabeth Bakker geboren te Bergen op Zoom in 1972

#### Promotor:

Prof. dr. Petr Kopecký

#### Co-Promotoren:

Dr. Niels van Willigen Dr. Michael Meffert

#### Promotiecommissie:

Prof. dr. Rudy Andeweg

Prof. dr. Dirk DeBièvre
Prof. dr. Margaret Hermann
Prof. dr. Juliet Kaarbo
Prof. dr. Wolfgang Wagner
University of Antwerpen
Syracuse University
University of Edinburgh
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

#### Paranimfen:

Maudy Lohaus Senna Maatoug

This research has been sponsored by *Leiden University Fund/van Steeden*, by the *International Peace Research Association Foundation (IPRAF)*, and by the *Laboratory for Comparative Social Research (LCSR)*.

#### **Acknowledgements**

Never have I worked on a project with such eagerness and fun as on my dissertation. I have learned so much about doing research, about writing, and, in particular, about myself. As a scholar and as a human being. I have been blessed with the help and focus of others on my way, and each of them has contributed in their own unique way to my thinking, my writing and my understanding during this process.

I enjoyed working with an inspiring team of three great supervisors who have supported me unanimous. They respected my autonomy as a scholar, and always provided me with the feeling of trust that my project would work out as I wanted it to. Moreover, each brought their own expertise: Petr Kopecký's theoretical clarity, and focus on the bigger picture, Niels van Willigen's vast knowledge and understanding of IR theories and foreign policy making, and Michael Meffert's methodological rigor and expertise in experiments have been of priceless support during our always fruitful (and cheerful) feedback sessions. Thank you so much.

To organize and conduct the experiments, I have had tremendous help of many people. I thank Honorata Mazepus, Aleksandrina Skvortsova, Rebekah Tromble, Ting Luo, and Zheng Li for the translations of my scenario's into Russian, English and Mandarin Chinese (respectively) of native quality, and Agata Mazepus for graphically mapping out my experimental world. I thank Eduard Ponarin, Tatiana Karabchuk, Ronald Inglehart, and Christian Welzel of HSE St Petersburg and the Laboratory of Comparative Social Research for their help to conduct the experiments in Russia, and Olesya Volchenko, Nina Iltschenko, Violetta Korsunova, Pavel Savchenko for their lingering research assistance on the Russian site. I thank Robin Best, Gregory Robinson, and Jonathan Krasno of Binghamton University for their help to execute the experiments in the US. And I thank Chunlong Lu of the Chinese University for Political Science and Law in Beijing, Fei Gao and Dani Stockman for their help to conduct the experiments in China, and Hua Zhang, Hong Hdadan, and Qi Ding for their devoted work as research assistants. I thank Ingrid van Heeringen for her administrative support and advice during the funding process.

I love to think out loud, preferably together with others. I have been so lucky to have met a lot of people on my way that were also willing to brainstorm with me about my research, and I am so appreciative of them. I hereby would like to thank Dan Thomas, Dirk DeBièvre, Honorata Mazepus, Paul van Hooft, Michael Doyle, Margaret Hermann, Arjen Boin, Fransje Molenaar, Senna Maatoug, Jos Meester, Sybren Hardiek, Rozemarijn Lubbe, Frank de Zwart, Wouter Veenendaal, Laurenz Ennser-Jedenastik, Danie Stockmann, Maudy Lohaus, Donald Blondin, Huib Pellikaan, Maria Spirova, Kavita Heystek-Ziemann, Patrick Overeem, Ingrid van Biezen, Tom Louwerse, Tim Mickler, Joshua Kertzer, and participants of several conferences for the valuable discussions we have held.

And last but not least, I am so appreciative of some wonderful people in my life. Best daughters in the world: Nili & Levia. My dear friends Eytan, Wim, Nienke, Melinda, Maudy, Jeannette, Roos, Lejla, Patty, Abraham, Esther, and my beloved Leonard: thank each and all of you! Each of you has contributed in your own unique and special way to me being able to write this dissertation (and you know what I mean!).

## **Contents**

Acknowledgements	v
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Not another research of the democratic peace?!	1
1.2 War or no war? That is the question	2
1.3 How is this research conducted?	3
1.4 Scientific and societal relevance	4
1.5 The chapters that follow	6
Chapter 2 Individuals under Threat	9
2.1 At the roots of democratic peace theory	9
2.2 What you believe is what you see	
2.2.1 Liberal and Constructivist explanations	11
2.2.2. Realist and other system-level explanations	27
2.3 Individuals matter	
2.3.1 Individual level explanations	32
2.4 Individuals under threat	33
2.5 Conclusion	35
Chapter 3 Theoretical Framework, Concepts & Methods	<b>3</b> 7
3.1 Threat perception	37
3.2 The interstate conflict	
3.3 Possible moderating factors	42
3.4 Concept of military action	52
3.5 Methodology	54
3.6 Conclusion	59
Chapter 4 Liberal Norms in the US, Russia & China	61
4.1 Liberal norms for democratic peace studies	61
4.2 Conceptualization of liberal norms	
4.3 Operationalization of liberal norms	
4.4 Results	
4.5 Conclusion	78

Chapter 5 Experiments in the US, Russia & China	81
5.1 Research question and hypotheses	81
5.2 The instrument	83
5.3 Procedure and Data Collection	88
5.4 Results	93
5.5 Conclusion	101
Chapter 6 Beyond the Dichotomy of War & Peace	107
6.1 What lies between war and peace?	107
6.2 Operationalization of policy options	109
6.3 Results	111
6.4 Conclusion	123
Chapter 7 A Case Study: Thatcher the Hawk	127
7.1 An interpretative case study	127
7.2 Tracing the mechanisms	128
7.3 Conceptualization	129
7.4 Data	130
7.5 Operationalization & background information	131
7.6 Results.	139
7.7 Possible challenges	150
7.8 Conclusion	153
Chapter 8 Conclusion	155
8.1 Another research into the democratic peace	155
8.2 Findings of this research	156
8.3 Understanding the findings	158
8.4 What about the democratic peace?	166
8.5 Conclusion	169
Bibliography	171
Appendices	185
Dutch Summary	_
Curriculum Vitae	
Stellingen	

## **List of Tables**

Table 2.1 Wars between 1800-2010*	9
Table 2.2 Explanations related to institutions	17
Table 2.3 Explanations related to liberal democratic norms	21
Table 2.4 Explanations related to system-level factors	29
Table 2.5 Explanations related to decision-makers/political leaders	32
Table 4.1 Indicators of liberal norms	69
Table 4.2 Overview means liberal norms*	73
Table 5.1 Liberal norms	88
Table 5.2 Items used for the Hawkishness scale	91
Table 5.3 Explanatory factors for the willingness to attack	94
Table 6.1 Explanatory factors for different foreign policy options	110

## **List of Figures**

Figure 3.1 Threat of an interstate conflict as perceived by decision-maker	39
Figure 4.1 Concept of liberal norms	65
Figure 4.2 WVS: Liberal norms (mean) by country	74
Figure 4.3 Students: Liberal norms (mean) by country	76
Figure 4.4 Levels of liberal norms in the US, Russia, and China	77
Figure 5.1 Map of the experimental setting	85
Figure 5.2 Levels of hawkishness in China, Russia, and the US	92
Figure 5.3 Attack by regime and socialized	96
Figure 5.4 Attack by use of power	98
Figure 5.5 Relationship between hawkishness and attack	99
Figure 5.6 Relationship between hawkishness, socialization, and attack	100
Figure 6.1 Relationship between liberal norms and negotiate	112
Figure 6.2 Relationship between hawkishness and negotiate	113
Figure 6.3 Freeze all relations by use of power and socialized	114
Figure 6.4 Freeze all relations by use of power, invasion, and regime	
Figure 6.5 Relationship between hawkishness and freeze all relations	116
Figure 6.6 Relationship between liberal norms, regime, socialization and freeze all	relations
	117
Figure 6.7 Relationship between hawkishness and start NMP	118
Figure 6.8 Blockade by use of power, invasion, and regime	120
Figure 6.9 Blockade by use of power, invasion, and socialization	
Figure 6.10 Relationship between liberal norms, socialization and blockade	122
Figure 6.11 Relationship between hawkishness and blockade	123