

Banking on team ethics: a team climate perspective on root causes of misconduct in financial services
Scholten, W.W.

Citation

Scholten, W. W. (2018, March 29). Banking on team ethics: a team climate perspective on root causes of misconduct in financial services. Dissertatiereeks, Kurt Lewin Institute. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/61392

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/61392

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/61392 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Scholten, Wieke

Title: Banking on team ethics: a team climate perspective on root causes of misconduct

in financial services **Date:** 2018-03-29

Banking on Team Ethics

A team climate perspective on root causes of misconduct in financial services

Wieke W. Scholten

I gratefully acknowledge the support and sponsorship provided by DNB, which enabled me to carry out this research.

Layout Bianca Pijl, www.pijlldesign.nl,

Groningen, The Netherlands

Cover design Bianca Pijl

Printed by Ipskamp Printing

Enschede, The Netherlands

ISBN 978-90-828254-0-4 (print)

978-90-828254-1-1 (digital)

Available for purchase at www.wiekescholten.nl

© Copyright 2018 W.W. Scholten

All rights reserved. No part of this thesis may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, without prior written permission of the author.

Banking on Team Ethics


A team climate perspective on root causes of misconduct in financial services

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op donderdag 29 maart 2018 klokke 15.00 uur

door

Wieke Willemijn Scholten geboren te Alkmaar in 1979



Promotor

Prof. dr. Naomi Ellemers

Promotiecommissie

Prof. dr. Floor Rink (*Groningen University*) Prof. dr. Femke de Vries (*Groningen University*)

Prof. dr. Eric van Dijk Prof. dr. Wilco van Dijk

Table of contents

| Acknowledgements | 11 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Introduction | 13 |
| Part I. Misconduct | 19 |
| 1. Misconduct within banking: a current, continuous and serious problem | 21 |
| 1. A current and continuous problem | 21 |
| 2. Detrimental consequences | 23 |
| 2. Investigating misconduct: conceptualization and context | 27 |
| 1. Conceptualising misconduct | 29 |
| 2. Clarifying the context: supervision of behaviour and culture | 31 |
| 3. Clarifying the context: trading | 34 |
| 3. Preventing misconduct: introducing a social psychological perspective | 41 |
| 1. Causes of misconduct: bad apples versus corrupting barrels | 44 |
| 2. Responding to misconduct: reactive versus preventive | 48 |
| Part II. Banking and financial supervisory practices | 59 |
| 4. Introduction Studies 1 and 2: research questions and data sources | 61 |
| 1. Team climates add a valuable perspective | 61 |
| 2. Research questions Studies 1 and 2 | 62 |
| 3. Data sources Studies 1 and 2 | 63 |
| 5. Study 1: Current banking practices - teams are the blind spot | 65 |
| 1. A bank's litigation report | 67 |
| 2. A bank's internal investigation | 73 |
| 6. Study 2: Initial financial supervisory requests - little effect | 79 |
| 1. Requesting behavioural data | 81 |
| 2. Requesting a root cause analysis | 83 |
| | |

| Part III. Identifying corrupting barrels: social psychological root causes of misconduct | 87 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 7. Introduction Study 3: research question and data sources | 89 |
| 1. Assessing team climates | 89 |
| 2. Research questions Study 3 | 89 |
| 3. Data sources Study 3 | 91 |
| 8. Theory on team climate facilitating misconduct | 93 |
| 1. Ineffective error approaches: denial, empathy and blame & punish | 95 |
| 2. Outcome inequality: unequal relationships leading to perceived | |
| injustice and envy | 103 |
| 3. Dysfunctional moral climates: neglect, inaction and justification | 109 |
| 9. Study 3: Identifying team climate: assessing root causes of misconduct | 115 |
| 1. Deep dive assessment of two trading teams | 117 |
| 2. Corrupting Barrels survey | 128 |
| | |
| Part IV. Conclusions and practical implications for banks and financial supervisors | 139 |
| 10. Conclusions and practical implications | 141 |
| 1. Defining team climate: identify root causes of misconduct | 142 |
| 2. Improving team climate: mitigate misconduct risk | 144 |
| 2.1. Leadership is key | 144 |
| 2.2. Improve the 'Corrupting Barrel' team climate characteristics | 147 |
| 2.3. Conflicts to resolve | 150 |
| 11. Limitations and future research | 153 |
| 1. Strengths of this analysis | 155 |
| 2. Limitations of this analysis | 156 |
| 3. Opportunities for future research | 158 |

| References | 161 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Appendices | 167 |
| Appendix A: Two-pager on the assessment framework as used in this analysis | 167 |
| Appendix B: Requested documents for desk research | 169 |
| Appendix C: Interview format | 170 |
| Appendix D: Observation format | 172 |
| Appendix E: Survey | 173 |
| Appendix F: Separate survey items | 176 |
| Nederlandse samenvatting | 181 |
| Curriculum vitae | 187 |

Acknowledgements

There are many people who I would like to thank. However, two of them were of essential influence and value: Naomi Ellemers, my supervisor and Frans-Jan van Laer, my husband. They therefore provide the head and tail of my acknowledgements.

It is a great honour to have worked with Naomi. Her clear guidance, paired with her creative thinking, was essential for applying insights to my work practice and innovative usage of data. Her enthusiasm and genuine care for my wellbeing kept me going. I have been very lucky to receive such high quality supervision.

I am grateful to Wijnand Nuijts at DNB for allowing me to do this research. I thank Suzanne Verheij for her help in using supervisory data, along with my DNB colleagues Jildau Piena, Melanie de Waal, Moritz Römer and Sophie Cohen Tervaert, who I hold dear.

Mirea Raaijmakers knows all I am discussing in this thesis without having to read it. The Corrupting Barrels model was born out of one of our great discussions. I cherish her friendship.

I thank Elianne van Steenbergen and Armin Cuyvers for their friendship and advice. I hold Elianne's positive attitude and balanced discipline as all-guiding examples.

Emma Sluman, Mirjam van den Anker and Wieke Leonhard were always there. I am grateful for their love and support. I thank Debbie Bent and Suzanne Vlek for sharing precious Leidenmemories; Yvonne Span for her interest; Anne and Frans Komen and Paulien and Thijs ten Bokkel Huinink for providing welcome distractions.

A working mother is in true need of others to get anything done. I am grateful to Inez van Laer and Aly Dijk for creating the necessary time and space for me to write.

The love and encouragement I received from my parents Arend Scholten and Netteke Schwartz are the pillars on which my life rests. Their interest in and commitment to my personal and professional development have given me fuel; their trust in me has given me courage. They have taught me the joy of learning. I hope I give the same to my marvelous daughters Hedi and Flo.

Finally, I am extremely grateful to Frans-Jan who is at my side in all I do. When I was at a dead end, he thought of new solutions. When I was frustrated, he comforted me. His intelligent suggestions and heartening actions have been of crucial importance to this work and to me.

Wieke January 2018

