

Ischemia/reperfusion injury : a metabolic meltdown

Wijermars, L.G.M.

Citation

Wijermars, L. G. M. (2018, March 21). *Ischemia/reperfusion injury : a metabolic meltdown*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/61202

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page

Universiteit Leiden

The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/61202> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Wijermars, L.G.M. **Title**: Ischemia/reperfusion injury : a metabolic meltdown **Issue Date**: 2018-03-21

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Leonie G.M. Wijermars

I

PROBLEM DEFINITION

Kidney transplantation is currently the only curative option in end-stage renal disease, with some 80,000 people globally receiving a kidney transplant each year. Thus the success rate of transplantation surgery is critical, but graft failure is often a major problem. The exact mechanisms that lead to graft failure for both the short- and longer-term are unknown, however the damage resulting from the period of graft ischemia and reperfusion (I/R) is a major contributor. I/R injury following kidney transplantation leads to delayed graft function $(DGF)^{1}$ in the short term. DGF is defined as the situation in which the recipient of the kidney transplant is dialysis dependent for the first week(s) after transplantation.² Besides the negative short-term effects of DGF (increased morbidity for the graft recipient and higher costs of prolonged hospitalization), DGF also impairs the long-term transplantation outcome. For example, incident DGF increases the risk of chronic allograft nephropathy and impairs long-term graft survival.^{1,3,4} Donor organ shortages force the use of so-called 'expanded criteria donor' organs in kidney transplantation. These organs are either donated after a cardiovascular accident or donated by an older donor $(660 \text{ yrs. or } 50-59 \text{ yrs. with comorbidities}).$ ⁵ Use of these grafts leads to an higher incidence of DGF and the associated negative consequences.1,6 Over the past decades millions of dollars have been spent on research focused on finding interventions to limit I/R injury. However, promising results from preclinical studies could not be translated to the clinical setting - this translational gap is yet to be bridged.

OBJECTIVE OF THIS THESIS

This thesis aims to increase the knowledge concerning (human) pathophysiological mechanisms of I/R injury. Unravelling the underlying mechanisms of I/R Injury is the first step to identifying targets for limiting or preventing injury and thereby improving transplantation success rates. To achieve this, this thesis describes an unbiased, genome-broad, metabolome-broad and epidemiological approach to the mechanisms of I/R injury in the clinical setting of kidney transplantation.

BACKGROUND

For decades, transplantations have been an area of major interest and research efforts have resulted in significant developments and new discoveries. Since the first successful living-related donor kidney transplantation in 1954, significant improvements have been achieved in both patient and graft survival. One of the first major breakthroughs was the discovery of the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) system - a gene complex encoding the major histocompatibility complex proteins. HLA typing instigated international HLA matching programs for donor organs.⁷

Later, the induction and maintenance immunosuppression regiments were introduced to reduce the risk of acute rejection. 8,9 Another important advance was the development and optimization of graft preservation fluid (i.e. UW, HTK).^{10,11} However despite all these successes, incident DGF following kidney transplantation remains a major problem.

I/R INJURY IN KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION

Several promising preclinical studies have been published on limiting I/R injury in kidney transplantation. The main objective has been suppressing the inflammatory response or the adaptation of the immune system of the recipient.⁶ Much attention has been given to ischemic pre- and post-conditioning. It is hypothesized that pre-/post-conditioning activates anti-inflammatory, neuronal and humoral signalling pathways – potentially protecting the reperfused graft.¹² Other examples of widely studied anti-inflammatory interventions are the administration of vitamins $^{\circ}$ / antioxidants $^{\text{\tiny{13}}}$ (i.e. n-acetylcysteine $^{\text{\tiny{14}}})$ and volatile anaesthetics¹⁵.

Generally these interventions showed positive results in preclinical models of kidney transplantation, but evidence of clinical effectiveness is still lacking.^{16,17} (i.e. ischemic pre- and post-conditioning¹⁸⁻²¹; anaesthetic preconditioning²²; vitamins/antioxidants²³ (N-acetylcysteine²⁴) and EPO administration²⁵). It appears that the translation of interventions reducing I/R injury from bench to bedside is limited.²⁶⁻²⁹

In addition to interventions that are administrated after reperfusion, many studies focus on the preservation phase.^{30,31} Currently, static cold storage and machine perfusion are the two main options for donor kidney preservation. Static cold storage has proven to be a consistent, reliable method; however as the composition of preservation fluids has not altered over the last decennium further innovations are not expected. 32 Machine perfusion has rapidly developed over the past years and recently a meta-analysis concluded that short-term outcomes of hypothermic machine perfusion are superior to those of static cold storage. However long-term outcomes are less clear³³ and results of new machine (varying the perfusion fluid, hypo- versus normothermic and oxygenated protocols) must be awaited.³³⁻³⁶

GENERAL MECHANISMS OF I/R INJURY

The mechanism of I/R injury is multifactorial and complex, comprising a metabolic imbalance and microvascular dysfunction during ischemia which leads to activation of innate and adaptive immune responses and cell death programs after reperfusion.37 Several pathways have been suggested as culprit mechanisms including: vascular leakage (endothelial activation), no reflow phenomenon (endothelial/thrombogenic), complement activation, cell death programs

(apoptosis, necrosis, autophagy) and innate and adaptive immune activation. 37 With regards to the translational challenges, the NIH Consortium for "preclinicAl AssESsment of CARdioprotective therapies" (CAESAR) wrote:

'For 40 years, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) has invested enormous resources (at least several hundred million dollars) in preclinical studies aimed at developing infarct-sparing therapies, and several hundred (if not thousands) therapies have been claimed to limit infarct size in preclinical models. Unfortunately, due largely to methodological problems, this enormous investment has not produced any notable clinical application, and no cardioprotective therapy is currently available for clinical use.^{'17}

Consistent with the above, almost all therapies aiming to minimize I/R injury deducted from animal models lack proof in (sufficiently powered) randomized clinical trials $(RCTs)^{16,38,39}$ highlighting the lack of understanding of the pathophysiologic mechanism of I/R injury in humans.

Previous research by de Vries et al. on I/R injury in human kidney transplantation describes a systematic re-evaluation of the presumed mechanisms. De Vries' thesis concludes that mechanisms commonly implicated in experimental I/R injury do not play a key role in clinical kidney transplantation.^{26-28,40} For example complement, thrombocyte and endothelial activation were all absent in I/R injury following kidney transplantation.

Cytokine release (IL-6, IL-9) was associated with I/R injury, but inhibition of these cytokines in an experimental model resulted in increased renal damage²⁸ implying a protective role for inflammatory processes in I/R injury. Remarkably the release of ROS (radical oxygen species)-mediated damage biomarkers after reperfusion could also not be demonstrated. This finding was rather controversial as in the field of I/R injury ROS generation is considered to be the major driver of I/R injury. The common concept of ROS being the major driver has led to extensive studies on antioxidants aiming to limit I/R injury. Despite finding antioxidants protection in experimental models, no antioxidant has proved itself to be effective in treating or preventing clinical I/R injury.^{23,41} This supports our theory that the role of ROS is less important than commonly thought.

CONTENT OF THIS THESIS

This thesis contributes to bridging the translational gap from bench to bedside, laying a foundation for effective new treatment measures for I/R injury. Key questions addressed include:

> Why do promising preclinical findings not translate to clinical settings? Why did almost all clinical trials aiming to limit DGF (thus I/R injury) result in negative results?

 Which pathophysiological mechanisms could underlie DGF after human kidney transplantation? Which interventions could hypothetically decrease the chance of DGF after human kidney transplantation? Which variables (donor / transplant procedure) affect the long-term outcome of kidney transplantation and does this have implications for the future donorpool?

In order to answer the questions above kidney transplantation was used to examine human I/R injury, as the I/R injured organ is accessible and the setting potentially offers consistency for the replication of experiments.

MODEL

During kidney transplantation, both initiation of ischemia and reperfusion are planned. The duration of ischemia is monitored and can be used as a variable. This unique situation allows kidney transplantation to be seen as "an experiment by nature". The studies summarized in this thesis are more difficult to perform in 'spontaneous' I/R like myocardial infarction or stroke. This is illustrated by the arteriovenous sampling method that was performed: the artery and renal vein were cannulated during the transplantation procedure and after initiation of reperfusion samples were taken on fixed moments.(Fig.1) Another benefit of transplantation compared to myocardial infarction and stroke is that transplantation always assures whole organ ischemia while infarct size differs between patients. Finally the transplantation setting advantageously provides a clearly quantifiable clinical readout of I/R injury: DGF.¹

Figure 1A. Using kidney transplantation as a model of human ischemia/ reperfusion injury offers several benefits. During transplantation the kidney is accessible and biopsies can be taken during both ischemia and reperfusion.

$$
^{11}\begin{array}{c}\stackrel{\frown}{\text{min}}\\[-10pt]\hline\\[-
$$

Figure 1B Kidney transplantation comprises a setting of whole organ ischemia, which enables arteriovenous measurements over the reperfused graft as a reproducible model.

OUTLINE OF THE THESIS

Following Chapter 1's general introduction to ischemia/reperfusion injury, the next 2 Chapters describe processes inconsistent with common I/R injury theories. Chapter 2 refutes the role of the hypoxanthine-XO (xanthine oxidase) axis in the development of DGF and discusses the role of ROS-mediated damage in I/R injury. Chapter 3 then examines whether succinate accumulation-induced ROS production drives I/R injury in human kidney transplantation. The Chapter pursues on interspecies differences in mitochondrial function and vulnerability against I/R, assessing the value of different animal models. Chapters 4 and $\overline{5}$ share new insights in human pathophysiology of I/R injury and identify targets that could limit DGF. Unbiased transcriptomic and metabolomic approaches describe processes and pathways underlying I/R injury. In both studies, grafts with a functional response upon ischemia and reperfusion (reference group of adequately recovering grafts) were compared with grafts that developed DGF after transplantation (i.e. I/R injury). Chapter 6 evaluates donor and transplantation procedure factors via a more epidemiological approach. Using the NOTR registry, long-term graft survival of grafts donated after brain death (DBD) and grafts donated after cardiac death (DCD) was compared. Analyses of the Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) cohort were performed to evaluate functional graft recovery. Chapter 7 describes the role of mitochondrial pool recovery in advance of functional recovery after DGF. Chapter 8 elaborates on the role of mitochondrial (detoxifying) aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) enzymes in I/R injury. Next the opportunity of simvastatine as protective pretreatment before I/R is described in

the exploratory trial in Chapter 9. Chapter 10 summarizes all the experimental and clinical studies performed on donor pretreatment in the context of kidney transplantation. To conclude, Chapter 11 summarizes the findings of this thesis and explains why unraveling the primordial triggers of I/R injury will provide focus in developing new strategies to mitigate clinical I/R injury.

REFERENCES

1. Mallon DH, Summers DM, Bradley JA, Pettigrew GJ: Defining delayed graft function after renal transplantation: simplest is best. Transplantation 2013; 96: 885-9 2. Schroppel B, Legendre C: Delayed kidney graft function: from mechanism to translation. Kidney Int 2014; 86: 251-8 3. Yarlagadda SG, Coca SG, Formica RN, Jr., Poggio ED, Parikh CR: Association between delayed graft function and allograft and patient survival: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2009; 24: 1039-47 4. Legendre C, Canaud G, Martinez F: Factors influencing long-term outcome after kidney transplantation. Transpl Int 2014; 27: 19-27 5. van Ittersum FJ, Hemke AC, Dekker FW, Hilbrands LB, Christiaans MH, Roodnat JI, Hoitsma AJ, van Diepen M: Increased risk of graft failure and mortality in Dutch recipients receiving an expanded criteria donor kidney transplant. Transpl Int 2017; 30: 14-28 6. Perico N, Cattaneo D, Sayegh MH, Remuzzi G: Delayed graft function in kidney transplantation. Lancet 2004; 364: 1814-27 7. van Rood JJ, Claas FH, Brand A, Tilanus MG, van Kooten C: Half a century of Dutch transplant immunology. Immunol Lett 2014; 162: 145-9

8. Webster AC, Playford EG, Higgins G, Chapman JR, Craig JC: Interleukin 2 receptor antagonists for renal transplant recipients: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Transplantation 2004; 77: 166-76 9. Watson CJ, Dark JH: Organ transplantation: historical perspective and current practice. Br J Anaesth 2012; 108 Suppl 1: i29-42 10. Maathuis MH, Leuvenink HG, Ploeg RJ: Perspectives in organ preservation. Transplantation 2007; 83: 1289-98

11. Cameron AM, Barandiaran Cornejo JF: Organ preservation review: history of organ preservation. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2015; 20: 146-51

12. Gassanov N, Nia AM, Caglayan E, Er F: Remote ischemic preconditioning and renoprotection: from myth to a novel therapeutic option? J Am Soc Nephrol 2014; 25: 216-24

13. Okazaki T, Otani H, Shimazu T, Yoshioka K, Fujita M, Iwasaka T: Ascorbic acid and N-acetyl cysteine prevent uncoupling of nitric oxide synthase and increase tolerance to ischemia/reperfusion injury in diabetic rat heart. Free Radic Res 2011; 45: 1173-83 14. Cusumano G, Romagnoli J, Liuzzo G, Ciavarella LP, Severino A, Copponi G, Manchi M, Giubilato S, Zannoni GF, Stigliano E, Caristo ME, Crea F, Citterio F: N-Acetylcysteine and High-Dose Atorvastatin Reduce Oxidative Stress in an Ischemia-Reperfusion Model in the Rat Kidney. Transplant Proc 2015; 47: 2757-62 15. Lee HT, Ota-Setlik A, Fu Y, Nasr SH, Emala CW: Differential protective effects of volatile anesthetics against renal ischemia-reperfusion injury in vivo. Anesthesiology 2004; 101: 1313- 24

16. Cavaille-Coll M, Bala S, Velidedeoglu E, Hernandez A, Archdeacon P, Gonzalez G, Neuland C, Meyer J, Albrecht R: Summary of FDA workshop on ischemia reperfusion injury in kidney transplantation. Am J Transplant 2013; 13: 1134-48

17. Lefer DJ, Bolli R: Development of an NIH consortium for preclinicAl AssESsment of CARdioprotective therapies (CAESAR): a paradigm shift in studies of infarct size limitation. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther 2011; 16: 332-9

18. Hausenloy DJ, Candilio L, Evans R, Ariti C, Jenkins DP, Kolvekar S, Knight R, Kunst G, Laing C, Nicholas J, Pepper J, Robertson S, Xenou M, Clayton T, Yellon DM: Remote Ischemic Preconditioning and Outcomes of Cardiac Surgery. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 1408- 17

19. Zhang L, Diao Y, Chen G, Tanaka A, Eastwood GM, Bellomo R: Remote ischemic conditioning for kidney protection: A metaanalysis. J Crit Care 2016; 33: 224-32 20. Jonker SJ, Menting TP, Warle MC, Ritskes-Hoitinga M, Wever KE: Preclinical Evidence for the Efficacy of Ischemic Postconditioning against Renal Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury, a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0150863

21. MacAllister R, Clayton T, Knight R, Robertson S, Nicholas J, Motwani M, Veighey K: Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation, REmote preconditioning for Protection Against Ischaemia-Reperfusion in renal transplantation (REPAIR): a multicentre, multinational, doubleblind, factorial designed randomised controlled trial. Southampton (UK), NIHR Journals Library Copyright (c) Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2015.

22. Xia Z, Li H, Irwin MG: Myocardial ischaemia reperfusion injury: the challenge of translating ischaemic and anaesthetic protection from animal models to humans. Br J Anaesth 2016; 117 Suppl 2: ii44-ii62

23. Suzuki K: Anti-oxidants for therapeutic use: why are only a few drugs in clinical use? Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2009; 61: 287-9

24. Firuzi O, Miri R, Tavakkoli M, Saso L: Antioxidant therapy: current status and future prospects. Curr Med Chem 2011; 18: 3871-88

25. Aydin Z, Mallat MJ, Schaapherder AF, van Zonneveld AJ, van Kooten C, Rabelink TJ, de Fijter JW: Randomized trial of short-course high-dose erythropoietin in donation after cardiac death kidney transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2012; 12: 1793-800 26. de Vries DK, van der Pol P, van Anken GE, van Gijlswijk DJ, Damman J, Lindeman JH, Reinders ME, Schaapherder AF, Kooten C: Acute but transient release of terminal complement complex after reperfusion in clinical kidney transplantation. Transplantation 2013; 95: 816-20

27. Kortekaas KA, de Vries DK, Reinders ME, Lievers E, Ringers J, Lindeman JH, Schaapherder AF: Interleukin-9 release from human kidney grafts and its potential protective role in renal ischemia/reperfusion injury. Inflamm Res 2013; 62: 53-9

28. de Vries DK, Lindeman JH, Tsikas D, de Heer E, Roos A, de Fijter JW, Baranski AG, van Pelt J, Schaapherder AF: Early renal ischemiareperfusion injury in humans is dominated by IL-6 release from the allograft. Am J Transplant 2009; 9: 1574-84

29. van Hout GP, Jansen of Lorkeers SJ, Wever KE, Sena ES, Kouwenberg LH, van Solinge WW, Macleod MR, Doevendans PA, Pasterkamp G, Chamuleau SA, Hoefer IE: Translational failure of anti-inflammatory compounds for myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis of large animal models. Cardiovasc Res 2016; 109: 240-8 30. O'Callaghan JM, Knight SR, Morgan RD, Morris PJ: A National Registry Analysis of Kidney Allografts Preserved With Marshall's Solution in the United Kingdom. Transplantation 2016; 100: 2447-2452

31. Dikdan GS, Mora-Esteves C, Koneru B: Review of randomized clinical trials of donor management and organ preservation in deceased donors: opportunities and issues. Transplantation 2012; 94: 425-41 32. Ploeg RJ, van Bockel JH, Langendijk PT, Groenewegen M, van der Woude FJ, Persijn GG, Thorogood J, Hermans J: Effect of preservation solution on results of cadaveric kidney transplantation. The European Multicentre Study Group. Lancet 1992; 340: 129-37 33. Hameed AM, Pleass HC, Wong G, Hawthorne WJ: Maximizing kidneys for transplantation using machine perfusion: from the past to the future: A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016; 95: e5083 34. O'Callaghan JM, Morgan RD, Knight SR, Morris PJ: Systematic review and meta-analysis of hypothermic machine perfusion versus static cold storage of kidney allografts on transplant outcomes. Br J Surg 2013; 100: 991-1001 35. O'Neill S, Gallagher K, Hughes J, Wigmore SJ, Ross JA, Harrison EM: Challenges in early clinical drug development for ischemiareperfusion injury in kidney transplantation. Expert Opin Drug Discov 2015; 10: 753-62 36. O'Callaghan JM, Pall KT, Pengel L: Supplemental oxygen during hypothermic kidney preservation: A systematic review. Transplant Rev (Orlando) 2017 37. Eltzschig HK, Eckle T: Ischemia and reperfusion--from mechanism to translation. Nat Med 2011; 17: 1391-401 38. Ortiz A, Sanchez-Nino MD, Izquierdo MC, Martin-Cleary C, Garcia-Bermejo L, Moreno JA, Ruiz-Ortega M, Draibe J, Cruzado JM, Garcia-Gonzalez MA, Lopez-Novoa JM, Soler MJ, Sanz AB: Translational value of animal models of kidney failure. Eur J Pharmacol 2015; 759: 205- 20

39. Heusch G. Critical Issues for the Translation of Cardioprotection. Circ res 2017;120: 1477- 1486.

40. de Vries DK, Kortekaas KA, Tsikas D, et al. Oxidative damage in clinical ischemia/ reperfusion injury: a reappraisal. Antioxid Redox Signal 2013; 19: 535-45 41. Eguchi H, Sakiyama H, Yoshihara D, Fujiwara N, Suzuki K. Antioxidant Use in Humans–Successes and Failures. Systems Biology of Free Radicals and Antioxidants: Springer; 2014:3967-3985.