

## Advances in computational methods for Quantum Field Theory calculations

Ruijl, B.J.G.

### Citation

Ruijl, B. J. G. (2017, November 2). *Advances in computational methods for Quantum Field Theory calculations*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/59455

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/1887/59455">https://hdl.handle.net/1887/59455</a>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

### Cover Page



### Universiteit Leiden



The following handle holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation: <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/1887/59455">http://hdl.handle.net/1887/59455</a>

Author: Ruijl, B.J.G.

Title: Advances in computational methods for Quantum Field Theory calculations

Issue Date: 2017-11-02

## Advances in computational methods for Quantum Field Theory calculations

Ben Ruijl

ISBN: 978-94-6233-746-6

Printed by: Gildeprint, Enschede

Cover: thesisexpert.nl





SIKS Dissertation Series No. 2017-31. The research reported in this thesis has been carried out under the auspices of SIKS, the Dutch Research School for Information and Knowledge Systems.

This work is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 320651, "HEPGAME".

# Advances in computational methods for Quantum Field Theory calculations

### **Proefschrift**

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op donderdag 2 november 2017 klokke 15.00 uur

door

Ben Jacobus Gertruda Ruijl

geboren te Geleen in 1989 Promotores: Prof. dr. H.J. van den Herik

Prof. dr. A. Plaat

Co-promotor: dr. J.A.M. Vermaseren (Nikhef)

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. J.N. Kok

Prof. dr. A. Achúcarro Prof. dr. H.H. Hoos Prof. dr. J.W. van Holten

Prof. dr. C. Anastasiou (ETH Zürich)

Prof. dr. E.L.P.M. Laenen (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Prof. dr. A. Vogt (University of Liverpool)

dr. G. Heinrich (MPP München)

Isn't it a noble, an enlightened way of spending our brief time in the sun, to work at understanding the universe and how we have come to wake up in it?

Richard Dawkins

This PhD thesis is the result of work I performed as part of the HEPGAME (High Energy Physics and Games) project. The HEPGAME project is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 320651 and its primary goal is to apply methods from artificial intelligence to solve problems in high energy physics. The symbolic manipulation toolkit Form plays a key role in the investigation.

During the PhD I worked in three different locations. The first half year I mostly worked at Tilburg University. The next few years I have worked at Leiden University and Nikhef. At Leiden University, I taught a course, supervised a student, and worked on the computer science aspect of this thesis with my close colleagues Jaap van den Herik, Aske Plaat, and Ali Mirsoleimani. This mainly involved expression simplification, which turned into chapter 2.

At Nikhef, I focused on physics, and worked on designing computer programs with my close colleagues Jos Vermaseren and Takahiro Ueda. This resulted in the FORCER program, described in chapter 3. Later, Andreas Vogt joined to compute new physical quantities with FORCER. The results formed the basis of chapter 4.

Together with Franz Herzog we decided to aim for something we had not thought possible: computing the five-loop beta function. After a year of puzzling, we understood the  $R^*$ -method which could help us achieve our goal. The method is explained in chapter 5.

Finally, after combining the FORCER program and the computer code for the  $R^*$ -method, we were able to compute the five-loop beta function for Yang-Mills theory with fermions. This formed the basis for chapter 6.

I have had the pleasure to work with experts in the fields of Artificial Intelligence, Computer Science, and Theoretical Physics. This enriching experience has helped shape this thesis, for which I am grateful.

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CSEE	Common Subexpression Elimination 11–15, 17, 35, 38
HEP	High Energy Physics 15, 16, 18–21, 24, 26–36
IBP	Integration by Parts 8, 39, 40, 42, 43, 45, 46, 48, 49, 52–55, 59, 60, 103, 128, 147, 161, 190
IR IRR	Infrared 85, 103–110, 113, 118–127, 129–135, 181, 187, 188 Infrared Rearrangement 104, 118
LHC LTVG	Large Hadron Collider 4–6, 9, 39 Logarithmic Tensor Vacuum Graph 105, 120, 123, 125, 127, 128, 133, 135
MCTS	Monte Carlo Tree Search 11, 12, 16–22, 35–37, 61, 161, 189, 190
QCD	Quantum Chromodynamics 3, 7–9, 39, 41, 80–82, 85–87, 90, 95, 97, 101, 104, 105, 114, 115, 129, 130, 135, 137, 138, 146, 150, 151, 153–157, 159, 160, 162, 190, 191, 195
QED QFT	Quantum Electrodynamics 3, 7, 87, 137, 150–152, 159, 191, 195 Quantum Field Theory 3, 7–9, 39, 95, 103, 104, 129, 162, 189, 192
SA SA-UCT SDD	Simulated Annealing 22, 25–27, 32–34 Simulated Annealing - UCT 11, 12, 16, 18, 21–24, 36 Superficial Degree of Divergence 106, 116, 118, 120–123, 126, 129, 133 Stochastic Hill Climbing 22, 25–28, 30–37, 190
UCT UV	Upper Confidence bounds applied to Trees 11, 16, 17, 20–24, 36, 189 Ultraviolet 103–109, 111, 113, 115, 118–135, 139, 185, 187, 188

### CONTENTS

PREFACE				
LIS	ST OI	ABBR	EVIATIONS	ix
1	INTRODUCTION			
	1.1	The St	andard Model	3
	1.2	Precise	e predictions	5
	1.3	Comp	uter methods	5
	1.4	Feynm	nan diagrams	6
	1.5	Proble	m statement	7
	1.6	Three	research questions	8
	1.7	Resear	ch methodology and four contributions	9
	1.8	Structi	ure of the thesis	10
2	EXPI		N SIMPLIFICATION	11
	2.1	Horne	r schemes and common subexpression elimination	12
		2.1.1	Horner Schemes	12
		2.1.2	Common subexpression elimination	13
		2.1.3	The evaluation function	13
		2.1.4	Interplay	14
	2.2	-	mental setup	15
	2.3	Tree se	earch methods	16
		2.3.1	Monte Carlo Tree Search	16
		2.3.2	Nested Monte Carlo Search	19
		2.3.3	SA-UCT	20
	2.4	Stocha	stic Local Search	22
		2.4.1	SHC versus SA	22
		2.4.2	Neighbourhood structure	27
		2.4.3	Two state space properties	31
	2.5	Perfor	mance of SHC vs. MCTS	35
	2.6	Chapte	er conclusion	36
		2.6.1	Findings and conclusions	37
		2.6.2	Future research	37
3	FOU	R LOOI	P FEYNMAN DIAGRAM CALCULATIONS	39
	3.1	Genera	alised Feynman diagrams	40
	3.2	Integra	ation of one-loop two-point functions	42
	3.3	Carpe	t rule	43
	3.4	Integra	ation by parts	45
		3.4.1	The rule of the triangle	46
		3.4.2	The rule of the diamond	47
		3.4.3	Custom solutions	52

	3.5	Solvin	g parametric IBP identities by heuristics 53
		3.5.1	Heuristics and equation generation 54
		3.5.2	Reduction rules beyond $S_0$
		3.5.3	Identities for topologies with insertions 59
		3.5.4	Solving strategy 60
	3.6	The 21	topologies that need custom reductions 61
	3.7	The Fo	ORCER framework 66
		3.7.1	Reduction graph generation 66
		3.7.2	Reduction graph execution 69
		3.7.3	Example
	3.8	Usage	
	3.9	Expan	sions
	3.10	From 1	physical diagrams to Forcer
		3.10.1	Self-energy filtering
			Colour split-off
			Diagram database
			Momentum substitutions
	3.11	Examp	ples and performance
			er conclusion
			Findings and main conclusion 83
			Future research 83
4	RESU		OR FOUR-LOOP COMPUTATIONS 85
	4.1	_	notations
	4.2	Propag	gators and vertices
		4.2.1	Self energies
		4.2.2	Triple-gluon vertex
		4.2.3	Ghost-gluon vertex 89
		4.2.4	Quark-gluon vertex
		4.2.5	Renormalisation
		4.2.6	Anomalous dimensions
		4.2.7	Computations and checks
	4.3	Splitti	ng functions and coefficient functions
		4.3.1	Optical theorem method
		4.3.2	Operator method
		4.3.3	Axial vector current
	4.4	Chapte	er conclusion
		4.4.1	Findings and main conclusion 101
		4.4.2	Future research
5	INFI	RARED	REARRANGEMENT 103
	5.1	Diverg	gences in Euclidean non-exceptional Feynman graphs 105
		5.1.1	UV divergences in Feynman graphs
		5.1.2	IR divergences in Feynman graphs 107
		-	

	5.2	The R	-operation in the MS-scheme	110	
		5.2.1	Definition of the <i>R</i> -operation in the MS-scheme	111	
		5.2.2	Examples of <i>R</i> -operations	113	
	5.3	The R	-operation for generic Feynman graphs in MS	114	
		5.3.1	Contraction anomalies and tensor reduction	116	
		5.3.2	Contraction anomalies and counterterm factorisation	117	
	5.4	The R	*-operation	118	
		5.4.1	Definition of the $R^*$ -operation	119	
		5.4.2	The infrared counterterm operation	122	
		5.4.3	Examples of $R^*$ for generic Feynman graphs	123	
		5.4.4	Properties of logarithmic vacuum graphs	127	
	5.5		cations of R*	128	
	5.6		ssion of the literature	132	
	5.7		er conclusion	134	
		5.7.1	Findings and main conclusion	135	
		5.7.2	Future research	135	
6	THE	FIVE-	LOOP BETA FUNCTION	137	
	6.1	Optim	nisations	138	
		6.1.1	Treatment of propagator insertions	138	
		6.1.2	Delayed Feynman rule substitution	140	
		6.1.3	Rules to make Feynman diagrams logarithmic	140	
		6.1.4	Canonical forms for Feynman diagrams	142	
		6.1.5	Efficient tensor reduction	143	
	6.2		ackground field	145	
	6.3				
	6.4		ts and discussion	149	
		6.4.1	Analysis of $n_f$ -dependence in QCD	153	
		6.4.2	Analysis of N-dependence in $SU(N)$	154	
		6.4.3	Cumulative effects of the QCD beta function corrections	154	
	6.5		beta function in the MiniMOM scheme	154	
	6.6	_	rer conclusions	159	
		6.6.1	Findings and main conclusion	160	
		6.6.2	Future work	160	
7	CON	CLUSI		161	
	7.1		ers to the research questions	161	
	7.2		er to the problem statement	162	
	7.3	Future	e research	162	
RE	FERE	NCES		165	
ΑP	PENI	DICES		181	
A	CUT	VERTE:	X RULE FOR SCALAR DIAGRAMS	183	

В	CUTVERTEX RULE FOR TENSOR DIAGRAMS	185
C	IR SUBGRAPH SEARCH	187
su	MMARY	189
SA	MENVATTING	193
CU	RRICULUM VITAE	197
AC	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	199
SIE	S DISSERTATION SERIES	201

The material presented in this thesis is based on the following works.

- 1. B. Ruijl, T. Ueda and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Forcer, a FORM program for the parametric reduction of four-loop massless propagator diagrams, arXiv:1704.06650
- 2. B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, Four-loop QCD propagators and vertices with one vanishing external momentum, JHEP 2017 (2017) 1, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2017)040
- 3. F. Herzog and B. Ruijl, *The R\*-operation for Feynman graphs with generic numerat-ors*, *JHEP* **2017** (2017) 37, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05 (2017) 037
- 4. F. Herzog, B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *The five-loop beta function of Yang-Mills theory with fermions*, *JHEP* **02** (2017) 090 [arXiv:1701.01404]
- 5. J. Davies, A. Vogt, B. Ruijl, T. Ueda and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Large- $n_f$  contributions to the four-loop splitting functions in QCD, Nucl. Phys. **B915** (2017) 335
- 6. F. Herzog, B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, FORM, Diagrams and Topologies, PoS LL2016 (2016) 073 [arXiv:1608.01834]
- 7. T. Ueda, B. Ruijl and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Forcer: a FORM program for 4-loop massless propagators, PoS LL2016 (2016) 070 [arXiv:1607.07318]
- 8. B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J.A.M. Vermaseren, J. Davies and A. Vogt, First Forcer results on deep-inelastic scattering and related quantities, PoS **LL2016** (2016) 071, https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.08408
- 9. T. Ueda, B. Ruijl and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *Calculating four-loop massless propagators with Forcer*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **762** (2016) 012060 [arXiv:1604.08767]
- 10. B. Ruijl, T. Ueda and J. Vermaseren, *The diamond rule for multi-loop Feynman diagrams*, *Phys. Lett.* **B746** (2015) 347 [arXiv:1504.08258]
- 11. B. Ruijl, J. Vermaseren, A. Plaat and H.J. van den Herik, Why Local Search Excels in Expression Simplification, http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.5223, 2014, arXiv:1409.5223
- 12. B. Ruijl, J. Vermaseren, A. Plaat and J. van den Herik, *Problems and New Solutions in the Boolean Domain*, ch. Simplification of Extremely Large Expressions, p. 76. Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2016
- 13. B. Ruijl, J. Vermaseren, A. Plaat and H.J. van den Herik, HEPGAME and the Simplification of Expressions, Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop on Boolean Problems (2014), http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.6369
- 14. B. Ruijl, J. Vermaseren, A. Plaat and H.J. van den Herik, Combining Simulated Annealing and Monte Carlo Tree Search for Expression Simplification, Proceedings of ICAART Conference 2014 1 (2014) 724 [arXiv:1312.0841], http://arxiv.org/ abs/1312.0841

Understanding how Nature works on a fundamental level is one of the key goals of physics. Consequently, physicists try to identify what the smallest building blocks of our universe are and how they interact. The ideas about these fundamental building blocks have undergone many revolutions, each radically changing the way we describe Nature.

The ancients reasoned that all objects were composed of the elements fire, earth, water, and air. As technology progressed, scientists discovered cells, molecules, and atoms. Atoms only have a radius of about 30 trillionths of a meter. For a while it was believed that the atom was the smallest component (the Greek name means *indivisible*). This idea lasted until the early 20th century with the discoveries of the electron and proton. Six decades later, it turned out that even protons and neutrons were not fundamental, but consisted of quarks [15, 16].

From the invention of quantum mechanics in the early 1920s, it became clear that these small particles behave differently from everyday experience: particles could be in two places at once, act like waves, or spontaneously emerge from the vacuum, and quickly disappear again [17]. The fact that the fundamental building blocks had both particle-like and wave-like features was later unified by the framework of Quantum Field Theory (QFT) [18]. The new quantum field theoretic description of the electromagnetic interaction, Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), was hugely successful and is still used to this day.

Below we provide a brief introduction to the world of QFT. We describe the Standard Model in section 1.1, the aim for precise predictions in section 1.2, computer methods in section 1.3, and Feynman diagrams in section 1.4. Then we formulate our Problem Statement (PS) in section 1.5, and the Research Questions (RQs) in section 1.6. In section 1.7 we list our contributions and in section 1.8 we outline the structure of the thesis.

#### 1.1 THE STANDARD MODEL

In the early 1960s, the first version of the Standard Model was constructed [19]. The goal was to capture all fundamental particles and interactions in this model. The first version contained several particles, such as quarks and electrons, and the electromagnetic and weak forces. The electromagnetic force governs the interactions of photons (light) with charged particles. The weak forces govern nuclear decay and are mediated by the W and Z bosons. The Higgs boson, which is responsible for giving elementary particles mass, was added to the model shortly after, in 1964 [20–22]. Finally, the strong force, mediated by gluons, was added in 1973 [23, 24]. The theory for the strong interaction, Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), explained why quarks of opposite charge can stay in a stable configuration in the nucleus of an