

A Further Look Into Quenching: Tearing Apart The Main Sequence Into Its Bulge, Disk And Gas Content

Pannella, M.; Schreiber, C.; Elbaz, D.; Ciesla, L.

Citation

Pannella, M., Schreiber, C., Elbaz, D., & Ciesla, L. (2017). A Further Look Into Quenching: Tearing Apart The Main Sequence Into Its Bulge, Disk And Gas Content. doi:10.5281/zenodo.808235

Version:	Not Applicable (or Unknown)
License:	Leiden University Non-exclusive license
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/59434

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).





A FURTHER LOOK INTO QUENCHING: TEARING APART THE MAIN SEQUENCE INTO ITS BULGE, DISK AND GAS CONTENT

Maurilio Pannella, Corentin Schreiber, David Elbaz, Laure Ciesla and the CANDELS+GOODS+Herschel folks

THE MAIN SEQUENCE PROPAGANDA



 Scatter is ~0.3 dex at all stellar masses and all redshifts up to z~4

 Galaxies on the MS produce more than 70% of present day stars

The Main Sequence is the dominant mode of star formation at least up to z ~4

(Schreiber, MP et al., 2015)

THE MAIN SEQUENCE PROPAGANDA



 Scatter is ~0.3 dex at all stellar masses and all redshifts up to z~4

 Galaxies on the MS produce more than 70% of present day stars

The Main Sequence is the dominant mode of star formation at least up to z ~4

Starbursts fraction is constant with z

Account for ~15% of the CSFR

(Schreiber, MP et al., 2015)



• A varying slope with redshift/mass





- A varying slope with redshift/mass
- Is there a growing component that increases the stellar mass but not the SFR? Bulges?

- A varying slope with redshift/mass
 - Is there a growing component that increases the stellar mass but not the SFR? Bulges?





- A varying slope with redshift/mass
- Is there a growing component that increases the stellar mass but not the SFR? Bulges?
 - Is the SFR lower because of gas depletion? (Gavazzi et al., 2015)
 - Or a decreasing efficiency in converting gas to stars?



Sample:

CANDELS fields

0.7 < z < 1.3H < 22.5 (Log M_{*} > 10.2)

Spitzer/Herschel detections (SFR = SFR_{IR} + SFR_{UV})



(Schreiber et al., 2016)

GIM2D bulge+disk model





(Schreiber et al., 2016)

E MAIN SEQUENCE

- GIM2D bulge+disk model
- Tested with simulations
- Corrected for ≠ mass-to-light ratios of bulge and disk

B/T = (M_★ - M_{disk})/M_★ B/T < 0.2 ↔ pure disk B/T > 0.8 ↔ pure bulge



E MAIN SEQUENCE

- GIM2D bulge+disk model
- Tested with simulations
- Corrected for ≠ mass-to-light ratios of bulge and disk

B/T = (M_★ - M_{disk})/M_★ B/T < 0.2 ↔ pure disk B/T > 0.8 ↔ pure bulge





- → "bending" still present with disks only
- → bulges are not the answer

















Maurilio Pannella



- flattens at high stellar mass and low redshift
- not linked to bulge growth or gas deficit
- due to a downfall of star formation efficiency