



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

**Erratum: Dust and Gas in the Magellanic Clouds from the HERITAGE Herschel Key Project. I. Dust Properties and Insights into the Origin of the Submm Excess Emission (2014, ApJ, 797, 85)**

Gordon, K.D.; Roman-Duval, J.; Bot, C.; Meixner, M.; Babler, B.; Bernard, J.-P.; ... ; Skibba, R.

**Citation**

Gordon, K. D., Roman-Duval, J., Bot, C., Meixner, M., Babler, B., Bernard, J. -P., ... Skibba, R. (2017). Erratum: Dust and Gas in the Magellanic Clouds from the HERITAGE Herschel Key Project. I. Dust Properties and Insights into the Origin of the Submm Excess Emission (2014, ApJ, 797, 85). *Astrophysical Journal (Issn 0004-637X)*, 837(1), 98.  
doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa6042

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)  
License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)  
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/59249>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



# Erratum: “Dust and Gas in the Magellanic Clouds from the HERITAGE *Herschel* Key Project. I. Dust Properties and Insights into the Origin of the Submm Excess Emission” (2014, *ApJ*, 797, 85)

Karl D. Gordon<sup>1,2</sup>, Julia Roman-Duval<sup>1</sup>, Caroline Bot<sup>3</sup>, Margaret Meixner<sup>1</sup>, Brian Babler<sup>4</sup>, Jean-Philippe Bernard<sup>5,6</sup>, Alberto Bolatto<sup>7</sup>, Martha L. Boyer<sup>8,9</sup>, Geoffrey C. Clayton<sup>10</sup>, Charles Engelbracht<sup>11,12</sup>, Yasuo Fukui<sup>13</sup>, Maud Galametz<sup>14</sup>, Frederic Galliano<sup>15</sup>, Sacha Hony<sup>15</sup>, Annie Hughes<sup>16</sup>, Remy Indebetouw<sup>17</sup>, Frank P. Israel<sup>18</sup>, Katie Jameson<sup>7</sup>, Akiko Kawamura<sup>19</sup>, Vianney Lebouteiller<sup>15</sup>, Aigen Li<sup>20</sup>, Suzanne C. Madden<sup>15</sup>, Mikako Matsuura<sup>21</sup>, Karl Misselt<sup>11</sup>, Edward Montiel<sup>10,11</sup>, K. Okumura<sup>15</sup>, Toshikazu Onishi<sup>22</sup>, Pasquale Panuzzo<sup>15,23</sup>, Deborah Paradis<sup>5,6</sup>, Monica Rubio<sup>24</sup>, Karin Sandstrom<sup>11</sup>, Marc Sauvage<sup>15</sup>, Jonathan Seale<sup>1,25</sup>, Marta Sewilo<sup>25</sup>, Kirill Tchernyshyov<sup>25</sup>, and Ramin Skibba<sup>11,26</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>2</sup>Sterrenkundig Observatorium, Universiteit Gent, Gent, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>Observatoire astronomique de Strasbourg, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, UMR 7550, 11 rue de l'Université, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

<sup>4</sup>Department of Astronomy, 475 North Charter Street, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

<sup>5</sup>CESR, Université de Toulouse, UPS, 9 Avenue du Colonel Roche, F-31028 Toulouse, Cedex 4, France

<sup>6</sup>Université de Toulouse, UPS-OMP, IRAP, 31028 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

<sup>7</sup>Department of Astronomy, Lab for Millimeter-wave Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

<sup>8</sup>Observational Cosmology Lab, Code 665, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

<sup>9</sup>Oak Ridge Associated Universities (ORAU), Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

<sup>10</sup>Louisiana State University, Department of Physics & Astronomy, 233-A Nicholson Hall, Tower Dr., Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

<sup>11</sup>Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

<sup>12</sup>Raytheon Company, 1151 East Hermans Road, Tucson, AZ 85756, USA

<sup>13</sup>Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

<sup>14</sup>European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, D-85748 Garching-bei-Mnchen, Germany

<sup>15</sup>CEA, Laboratoire AIM, Irfu/SAP, Orme des Merisiers, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>16</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>17</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, and National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Road, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA

<sup>18</sup>Sterrewacht Leiden, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9513, NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>19</sup>National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo, 181-8588, Japan

<sup>20</sup>314 Physics Building, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

<sup>21</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

<sup>22</sup>Department of Astrophysics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

<sup>23</sup>CNRS, Observatoire de Paris—Lab. GEPI, Bat. 11, 5, place Jules Janssen, 92195 Meudon CEDEX, France

<sup>24</sup>Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile

<sup>25</sup>The Johns Hopkins University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, 366 Bloomberg Center, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>26</sup>Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, Department of Physics, University of California, 9500 Gilman Dr, La Jolla, San Diego, CA 92093, USA

Received 2017 February 10; published 2017 March 7

The values of  $\kappa_{\text{eff},160}$  given in the original paper are missing a factor of  $\pi$ . This is because the routine used to compute  $B_\lambda(T)$  was the IDL Astronomer User's Library<sup>27</sup> `planck.pro` and it returns  $\pi B_\lambda(T)$ . While this is explicitly documented in the `planck.pro` function, it was not realized by the first author until after the paper was published. This does not impact the results or conclusions of the paper as the values of  $\kappa_{\text{eff},160}$  used were determined based on fitting Milky Way observations using the same code that was used to fit the Magellanic Cloud observations. Thus, the correct values of  $\kappa_{\text{eff},160}$  are a factor of  $\pi$  larger than the ones quoted in the paper. Using Equation (5) from the paper with the values of  $\kappa_{\text{eff},160}$  given in the corrected Table 2 will reproduce the dust surface density result from the paper. The detailed fit parameter maps used in the paper are available online.<sup>28</sup>

The correct values of  $\kappa_{\text{eff},160}$  are approximately a factor of two larger than the values calculated from full dust grain models and discussed in Section 5.3 of the paper. This difference may be due to the simple models in our paper, which did not include the full physical treatment (e.g., multiple grain sizes/compositions with different temperatures) or, less likely, some issues with the assumptions in the dust grain models. We are carrying out work to investigate such issues for the dust in the Magellanic Clouds (and Milky Way) using more complicated dust grain models and additional observations. This work will be discussed in future papers.

There was a typo in Equation (4) with a factor of  $\pi$  missing from the denominator. This does not affect Equation (5) as it was derived correctly including this factor of  $\pi$ . The correct equation is

$$S_\lambda = \frac{\Sigma_d}{\frac{4}{3}\pi a^3 \rho} \pi a^2 Q_\lambda B_\lambda(T_d). \quad (4)$$

Last, there was a typo in Equation (15). The correct equation is

$$S_{\text{band}} = \frac{\int S_\nu R_E(\nu) d\nu}{\int (\nu_o/\nu) R_E(\nu) d\nu}. \quad (15)$$

<sup>27</sup> <https://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/>

<sup>28</sup> [http://www.stsci.edu/~kgordon/magclouds\\_results/](http://www.stsci.edu/~kgordon/magclouds_results/)

**Table 2**  
MW Diffuse Fit Results

Model	$\kappa_{\text{eff},160}^{\text{a}}$ [cm <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ]	Other Parameters	Expectation Values
SMBB	$30.2 \pm 1.3 \pm 2.5$	$(T_{\text{eff},d}, \beta_{\text{eff}})$	$(17.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ K}, 1.96 \pm 0.10)$
BEMBB	$36.4 \pm 4.7 \pm 2.5$	$(T_{\text{eff},d}, \beta_{\text{eff},1}, \lambda_b, e_{500})$	$(16.8 \pm 0.6 \text{ K}, 2.27 \pm 0.15, 294 \pm 29 \mu\text{m}, 0.48 \pm 0.11)$
TTMBB	$1620 \pm 672 \pm 2.5$	$(T_{\text{eff},d1}, T_{\text{eff},d2}, \beta_{\text{eff}}, e_{500})$	$(15.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ K}, 6.0 \pm 0.8 \text{ K}, 2.9 \pm 0.1, 0.91 \pm 0.25)$
TTMBB	$30.2 \pm 1.3 \pm 2.5$	adopted	

**Note.**

<sup>a</sup> The results are given as value  $\pm$  fitting uncertainty  $\pm$  systematic uncertainty.