

# **Three Super-Earths Transiting the Nearby Star GJ 9827**

Niraula, P.; Redfield, S.; Dai, F.; Barragán, O.; Gandolfi, D.; Cauley, P.W.; ... ; Van Eylen, V.

# **Citation**

Niraula, P., Redfield, S., Dai, F., Barragán, O., Gandolfi, D., Cauley, P. W., … Van Eylen, V. (2017). Three Super-Earths Transiting the Nearby Star GJ 9827. *Astronomical Journal (0004-6256)*, *154*, 266. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aa957c

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown) License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](https://hdl.handle.net/1887/license:3) Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/58649>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



# Three Super-Earths Transiting the Nearby Star GJ 9827

Prajwal Niraula<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9207-0564)</sup> **(0**[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9207-0564) Seth Redfield<sup>1</sup> **(0**, Fei Dai<sup>2,[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8958-0683)</sup> **(0**, Oscar Barragán<sup>[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8627-9628)</sup> **0**, Davide Gandolfi<sup>4</sup> **0**, P. Wilson Cauley<sup>1</sup> **0**, Teruyuki Hirano<sup>5</sup> (D., Judith Korth<sup>6</sup>, Alexis M. S. Smith<sup>[7](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2386-4341)</sup> (D., Jorge Prieto-Arranz<sup>8,[9](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4609-6269)</sup> (D.[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3370-4058) Sascha Grziwa<sup>[6](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3370-4058)</sup> (D., Malcolm Fridlund<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2180-9936)0,11</sup> (D., Carina M. Persson<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1257-5146)1</sup>  $\Phi$ , Anders Bo Justesen<sup>1[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4265-047X)</sup>  $\Phi$ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9662-3496) Joshua N. Winn<sup>2</sup>  $\Phi$ , Simon Albrecht<sup>12</sup>  $\Phi$ , William D. Cochran<sup>13</sup>  $\Phi$ Szilard Csizmadia<sup>7</sup>, Girish M. Duvvuri<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7119-2543)</sup> , Michael Endl<sup>1[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7714-6310)</sup> , Artie P. Hatzes<sup>14</sup>, John H. Livingston<sup>15</sup>, Norio Narita<sup>1[5,16,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9662-3496)1[7](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8511-2981)</sup> , David Nespral<sup>8,[9](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0987-1593)</sup>, Grzegorz Nowak<sup>8,9</sup> (**D**, Martin Pätzold<sup>6</sup>, Enric Palle<sup>8,9</sup> (**D**), and Vincent Van Eylen<sup>10</sup> <sup>2</sup> Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA<br>
<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics and Kavil Institute for Astrophysical Sciences, Poirwest and Save Research, Massachustets Ins

- 
- 

Received 2017 September 1; revised 2017 October 20; accepted 2017 October 20; published 2017 December 1

#### Abstract

We report on the discovery of three transiting planets around GJ 9827. The planets have radii of  $1.75 \pm 0.18$ ,  $1.36 \pm 0.14$ , and  $2.11_{-0.21}^{+0.22} R_{\oplus}$ , and periods of 1.20896, 3.6480, and 6.2014 days, respectively. The detection was made in Campaign 12 observations as part of our K2 survey of nearby stars. GJ 9827 is a  $V = 10.39$  mag K6V star at a distance of 30.3  $\pm$  1.6 parsecs and the nearest star to be found hosting planets by *Kepler* and *K*2. The radial velocity follow-up, high-resolution imaging, and detection of multiple transiting objects near commensurability drastically reduce the false positive probability. The orbital periods of GJ 9827 b, c, and d planets are very close to the 1:3:5 mean motion resonance. Our preliminary analysis shows that GJ 9827 planets are excellent candidates for atmospheric observations. Besides, the planetary radii span both sides of the rocky and gaseous divide, hence the system will be an asset in expanding our understanding of the threshold.

Key words: planets and satellites: detection – stars: individual (GJ 9827, K2-135)

Supporting material: data behind figure

## 1. Introduction

Temporal monitoring of neighboring stars (e.g., within 100 parsecs and therefore relatively bright) provides an opportunity to search for nearby planetary systems that are optimal for follow-up studies. This includes favorable conditions to characterize the system as a whole, particularly properties that can be directly linked to the planetary atmosphere and habitability, such as the stellar UV emission (Linsky et al. [2014](#page-8-0)), stellar wind strength (Wood et al. [2005](#page-8-0)), and stellar magnetic field structure (Alvarado-Gómez et al. [2016](#page-7-0)). As the Kepler mission and ground-based radial velocity (RV) searches have shown, terrestrial planets are ubiquitous (Howard et al. [2012;](#page-8-0) Fressin et al. [2013](#page-7-0)). The sample of terrestrial exoplanets will continue to grow with dedicated ground and space-based surveys (e.g., K2, and in the future with the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite; Ricker et al. [2015](#page-8-0)). A major scientific endeavor related to this population of planets will be the evaluation of habitability and a search for biosignatures. It is precisely in these bright, nearby systems where the atmospheric measurements will be the most

sensitive, and the question of habitability will be examined in the greatest detail in the decades to come.

K2, the repurposed Kepler mission, has continued the legacy of planet discovery by its predecessor (Howell et al. [2014](#page-8-0)). While the K2 fields can only be monitored for about 80 days, and thereby limiting discoveries to relatively short period transiting objects, its ability to observe different parts of the ecliptic plane and choice of more diverse targets has led to some intriguing discoveries. Many planetary candidates have been reported (e.g., Crossfield et al. [2016](#page-7-0)) along with the first detection of transiting bodies orbiting the white dwarf WD 1145+017 (Vanderburg et al. [2015](#page-8-0)). K2 also continues to find multiplanetary systems, which are of interest for the study of planetary architecture and formation. Sinukoff et al. ([2016](#page-8-0)) reported the detection of 11 multiplanetary systems from K2 Campaigns 1 and 2. However, there are few such systems around nearby stars (Armstrong et al. [2015;](#page-7-0) Crossfield et al. [2015;](#page-7-0) Gandolfi et al. [2017](#page-7-0)), and only a handful around brighter stars that are suitable for spectroscopic characterization.

We have detected a new planetary system hosted by a K6V star, GJ 9827 (EPIC 246389858 (K2-135)). At  $30.3 \pm 1.6$  parsecs, it is the nearest planetary system detected by Kepler or K2. Our analysis of the *Kepler* light curve demonstrates the presence of three super-Earths of radii around GJ 9827 (K2-135b, K2-135c, and K2-135d). We will use the designation of super-Earth for planets with radii from 1.25 to 2  $R_{\oplus}$  (e.g., Batalha et al. [2013](#page-7-0)), although note that the precise limits of this range are largely arbitrary and GJ 9827 d lies just above the upper bound of this designation. The planets orbit at a distance of  $0.020 \pm 0.002$ ,  $0.041 \pm 0.003$  and  $0.059_{-0.005}^{+0.004}$  au corresponding to orbital periods of  $1.208957^{+0.000012}_{-0.000013}$ ,  $3.64802 \pm 0.00011$ , and  $6.20141^{+0.00012}_{-0.00010}$  days respectively. The planetary system is tightly packed, and the periods are close to 1:3:5 commensurability. In addition to the fact that GJ 9827 is a relatively bright star, the planets occur on both sides of the rocky and gaseous threshold of  $\sim$ 1.5  $R_{\oplus}$  (Weiss & Marcy [2014;](#page-8-0) Rogers [2015](#page-8-0)). Hence the system is likely to be a great asset in understanding the nature of this threshold, and could potentially exhibit a range of densities like the Kepler-36 planets (Carter et al. [2012](#page-7-0)).

GJ 9827 planets are great candidates for atmospheric studies. In the past, ground-based telescopes, along with the Hubble Space Telescope and Spitzer, have been successfully used to characterize the atmospheres of hot Jupiters (Charbonneau et al. [2002](#page-7-0); Knutson et al. [2008;](#page-8-0) Redfield et al. [2008;](#page-8-0) Sing et al. [2015](#page-8-0)). With the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), this territory will be extended into the super-Earth regime (Deming et al. [2009](#page-7-0)). Bright, nearby planetary systems, like GJ 9827, will provide excellent opportunities to probe the conditions of super-Earth atmosphere.

#### 2. Observations and Data Analysis

GJ 9827 (EPIC 246389858) was proposed by our team (PI Redfield) as part of a Campaign 12 survey of nearby stars (GO-12039), and in three other programs: GO-12071, PI Charbonneau; GO-12049 PI Quintana; and GO-12123 PI Stello. The star was observed for a total of 78.89 days from 2016 December 15 to 2017 March 4 at the boundary of constellation Aquarius and Pisces at R.A. of 23:27:04.835 and decl. −01:17:10.58 in long cadence mode.

## 2.1. K2 Observations

We implement a data reduction pipeline to detrend the systematic K2 noise. We follow the protocol to decorrelate the data against its arclength (1D) using one of the three standard stars from the Campaign (e.g., Vanderburg & Johnson [2014](#page-8-0); Vanderburg et al. [2016](#page-8-0)). These standard pointing stars are chosen such that their centroid can be found with better precision than an average star in the field. Among these three standards, the light curve is decorrelated with the star whose centroid variation over time is best fit with a fifth-degree polynomial, in this case, EPIC 246292491. Besides, we use a modified version of Van Eylen et al.'s ([2016](#page-8-0)) publicly available  $code<sub>18</sub>$  which detrends the light curve by a simultaneous second-order fit for both the centroid coordinates and time, also allowing for a cross-term between two centroids. The k2photometry pipeline yields a flattened light curve. In our implementation, the final transit removed light curve from k2photometry has a standard deviation of 77 ppm compared to 106 ppm from Vanderburg's method. Thus in Figure [1](#page-3-0), we show the detrended flux obtained from

Vanderburg's method and the normalized light curve from k2photometry. These values are higher by a factor of  $\sim$ 2 than the expected calculated rms values of 39.2 for 10.5 V magnitude star, $19$  which is expected due to pointing induced errors for K2.

As for some of the unique aspects of our pipeline, we take the median value in each frame as the background. In order to avoid the effect of the outliers, we perform an iterative spline fitting, rejecting  $3\sigma$  outliers until convergence. Finally, the background is subtracted from the photometric flux. We reject the data with bad quality flags, which resulted in excluding around 15% of the data flagged for thruster firing, Agrabrightening, cosmic-ray detection, and pipeline outlier detection. This has led to two instances where the transits are completely missing (refer to Figure [1](#page-3-0)). We did a follow-up test with different aperture sizes from which a circular aperture of  $\sim$ 20″ radius is chosen. Initially, we define our aperture as the largest contiguous region above twice the median. From this we calculate the centroid of the star. However, the calculated centroid of the star does not coincide with the FITS coordinates probably because GJ 9827 is a high proper motion star (Stephenson [1986](#page-8-0)).

Clear stellar modulation, presumably associated with stellar rotation, is evident in the detrended light curve of Figure [1](#page-3-0). After we remove the first five days of data, which show anomalies probably related to thermal settling, the autocorrelation function (McQuillan et al. [2013](#page-8-0)) of the detrended light curve exhibits a peak at  $16.9^{+2.14}_{-1.51}$  days, which is consistent with our reported *v* sin *i* value of  $2 \pm 1$  km s<sup>-1</sup> assuming a stellar inclination of 90° . However, we also observed an almost comparable secondary peak at 29 days, which is congruous with the value of  $1.3^{+1.5}_{-1.3}$  km s<sup>-1</sup> reported in Houdebine et al. ([2016](#page-8-0)). A longer baseline of observations would help to determine the true stellar rotation period.

We perform a Box Least-Squared (BLS; Kovács et al. [2002](#page-8-0)) search on the flattened light curve to detect the presence of any planetary signals. Once a transit signal is identified, it is fitted and removed from the light curve. In this fashion, we iteratively run the BLS algorithm on the light curve for further detection of additional transit signals. In GJ 9827, this showed a presence of three transiting planets. A simultaneous fit for all of the three identified transits is then performed with the batman model supersampled by a factor of 15, and adjusted for  $K2$ 's long cadence (Kreidberg  $2015$ ). We use the affine invariant MCMC method implemented in emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. [2013](#page-7-0)) with 100 walkers for 30,000 steps; of this, the first 22,500 steps were removed as burn-in. The rest of the data is used to build the posterior distributions and estimate the uncertainties in our transit parameters. The fits are shown in Figure [2.](#page-5-0)

We use uniform priors for the period, time of conjunction, scaled planet radius, and impact parameter for all three planets. For limb darkening parameters, we use triangular sampling suggested by Kipping ([2013](#page-8-0)). We additionally use Sing ([2010](#page-8-0)) to introduce Gaussian priors on limb darkening based on the stellar parameters. We use a mean value of 0.5782 for  $u_1$ , and 0.1428 for  $u_2$ , both with 0.1 standard deviation. Since this is a short period multiplanetary system, we assume tidal circularization of the orbits and adopt a fixed eccentricity of  $e = 0$  for all three planets (Van Eylen & Albrecht [2015](#page-8-0)). As for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> https://github.com/[vincentvaneylen](https://github.com/vincentvaneylen/k2photometry)/k2photometry <sup>19</sup> https://[keplergo.arc.nasa.gov](https://keplergo.arc.nasa.gov/CalibrationSN.shtml)/CalibrationSN.shtml

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 1. Detrended and normalized K2 light curve of EPIC 246389858. Transits of each planet are marked, and the combined fit (brown line) at a finer sampling rate for all transits based on MCMC fits, presented in Table 1, is shown. The bottom left and bottom right figures zoom into two different sections of the data. The data used to create this figure are available.

Table 1 Planetary Parameters of GJ 9827 b, c, and d

Parameter	Unit	GJ 9827 b	GJ 9827 c	GJ 9827 d
Transit epoch BJD-2450000 $(T_0)$	day	7738.82671+0.00043	7738.5519 <sup>+0.0014</sup>	7740.96100+0.00083
Period $(P_{\text{orb}})$	day	$1.208957_{-0.000013}^{+0.000012}$	$3.64802^{+0.00011}_{-0.00011}$	$6.20141_{-0.00010}^{+0.00012}$
Scaled planet radius $(R_n/R_*)$	$\cdots$	$0.0246_{-0.0005}^{+0.0003}$	$0.0192_{-0.0005}^{+0.0004}$	$0.0297_{-0.0008}^{+0.0010}$
Scaled semimajor axis $(a/R_*)$	$\cdots$	$6.55_{-0.32}^{+0.30}$	$13.67^{+0.66}_{-0.63}$	$19.5^{+0.95}_{-0.90}$
Impact parameter $(b)$	$\cdots$	$0.595_{-0.070}^{+0.056}$	$0.558_{-0.096}^{+0.068}$	$0.910_{-0.013}^{+0.011}$
<b>Derived Parameters</b>				
Planet radius $(R_n)$	$R_{\oplus}$	$1.75_{-0.18}^{+0.18}$	$1.36_{-0.14}^{+0.14}$	$2.11^{+0.22}_{-0.21}$
Semimajor axis $(a)$	au	$0.020_{-0.002}^{+0.002}$	$0.041_{-0.003}^{+0.003}$	$0.059_{-0.005}^{+0.004}$
Transit duration $(T_{14})$	hr	$1.12^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	$1.69_{+0.10}^{-0.11}$	$1.01^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$
Orbital inclination $(i)$	deg	$84.86^{+0.54}_{-0.54}$	$87.66^{+0.30}_{-0.31}$	$87.32_{-0.13}^{+0.12}$
Equilibrium temperature $(T_{eq})$	K	$1075^{+38a}_{-37}$	$744^{+26a}_{-26}$	$623^{-22a}_{-22}$
Limb Darkening Coefficients				
$u_1$	$\cdots$	$0.35_{-0.07}^{+0.07}$ b		
$u_2$	$\cdots$	$0.00_{-0.13}^{+0.23b}$		

Notes. The values of eccentricity for all three planets is fixed at zero.

<sup>a</sup> We calculate equilibrium temperature as  $T_{eq} = T_{*}\sqrt{R_{*}/2a}(1 - \alpha)^{1/4}$ , where Bond Albedo ( $\alpha$ ) is adopted at 0.3.<br><sup>b</sup> A single set of limb darkening parameters is fitted for three different transit light curves.

scaled semimajor axis of GJ 9827 c and d, we assume they are constrained by Kepler's Third Law. As a result, we fit 15 independent variables (Table 1), and the resulting fit is shown in Figure [2](#page-5-0). We additionally introduce a Gaussian prior based on the spectroscopically derived stellar density of  $3.37 \pm 0.51$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. MCMC runs without Gaussian priors on sometimes converged to unrealistic semimajor axis values,

hence the choice. From the posterior distribution, most of the variables are well constrained except for limb darkening parameters. Due to short transit duration and long integration time for K2, limb darkening parameters are not expected to be well constrained (Kipping [2010](#page-8-0)). The introduction of Gaussian prior for limb darkening parameters does not noticeably affect the other fit parameters.

Table 2 FIES RV Measurements

BJD <sub>TDB</sub> $-2,450,000$	<b>RV</b> $(km s^{-1})$	Error $(km s^{-1})$
7954.617085	31.7746	0.0033
7955.612895	31.7724	0.0032
7956.627456	31.7751	0.0025
7964.582846	31.7796	0.0028
7965.593839	31.7739	0.0032
7966.573354	31.7728	0.0033
7966.707233	31.7735	0.0035

It is interesting to note that the transit duration is longest for GJ 9827 c, and shortest for GJ 9827 d. This is consistent with the fit's prediction that GJ 9827 d has a higher impact parameter than either GJ 9827 b or c. Additional independent MCMC runs were performed by our team using pyaneti (Barragán et al.  $2017a$ ), with flattened light curves from independent pipelines developed in our group, and the results are within  $1\sigma$  errors. Note that the high impact parameter of GJ 9827 d suggests additional planets, if present, are likely to be nontransiting. This possibility will be explored in the follow-up RV campaign.

# 2.2. Spectroscopic Observations

We collected seven high-resolution ( $R \approx 67,000$ ) spectra of GJ 9827 using the FIbre-fed Échelle Spectrograph (FIES; Frandsen & Lindberg [1999;](#page-7-0) Telting et al. [2014](#page-8-0)) mounted at the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) of the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory (La Palma, Spain). The follow-up was performed between 2017 July 20 and August 1 UT as part of the OPTICON observing program 2017A/064, under clear and stable weather conditions, with seeing ranging between  $0.5$ and  $0\rlap{.}''8$ . For each observation epoch, we took three consecutive subexposures of 900 s that were average combined using a sigma-clipping algorithm to remove cosmic-ray hits. Following the observing strategy described in Buchhave et al.  $(2010)$  $(2010)$  $(2010)$  and Gandolfi et al.  $(2013)$  $(2013)$  $(2013)$ , we traced the RV drift of the instrument by acquiring ThAr spectra with long exposure  $(T_{exp} = 65 s)$  taken immediately before and after each observation. We reduced the FIES data using standard IRAF and IDL routines, which include bias subtraction, flat fielding, order tracing and extraction, and wavelength calibration. RV measurements were extracted using the multi-order crosscorrelation technique with the RV standard star HD 190007 observed with the same instrument set-up as the target object for which we adopted a heliocentric RV of  $-30.40$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, as measured by Udry et al. ([1999](#page-8-0)). We report the RVs and their uncertainties in Table 2. Our measurements show no significant RV variation: the rms is 2.4 m s<sup>-1</sup>, which is comparable to the mean nominal uncertainty of  $3.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ .

We used the coadded FIES spectrum, which has an SNR ratio of ∼150 per pixel at 5500 Å, to derive the fundamental parameters of GJ 9827. The analysis was performed following the procedures already adopted for other  $K2$  host stars (Johnson et al. [2016](#page-8-0); Barragán et al. [2017b;](#page-7-0) Fridlund et al. [2017](#page-7-0); Gandolfi et al. [2017](#page-7-0); Guenther et al. [2017](#page-8-0)). We took advantage of four different spectral analysis packages applied independently by different subgroups within our team. The four analyses provide consistent results well within the error bars. While we have no strong reason to prefer one method over the

Table 3 Stellar Parameters of GJ 9827 (EPIC 246389858 (K2-135))

Parameter	Units	Value
$V$ mag	.	$10.39^{\rm a}$
$J$ mag	.	$7.984^{b}$
<b>Distance</b>	pc	$30.3 \pm 1.6^{\circ}$
Spectral type	.	K6V <sup>d</sup>
Effective temperature $(T_{\rm eff})$	K	$4255 \pm 110^{\circ}$
Surface gravity $(\log g)$	cgs	$4.70 \pm 0.15^{\rm d}$
Iron abundance $(Fe/H)$	dex	$-0.28 \pm 0.12^d$
Radius $(R_*)$	$R_{\odot}$	$0.651 \pm 0.065^{\rm d}$
Mass $(M_*)$	$M_{\odot}$	$0.659 \pm 0.060^{\circ}$
$\nu$ sin $i$	$km s^{-1}$	$2 \pm 1^d$
Rotational period $(P_{\text{rot}})$	day	$16.9^{+2.14d}_{-1.51}$

Notes.

<sup>a</sup> Adopted from Zacharias et al. ([2013](#page-8-0)).<br><sup>b</sup> Adopted from Cutri et al. ([2003](#page-7-0)).<br><sup>c</sup> Hipparcos (van Leeuwen [2007](#page-8-0)).<br><sup>d</sup> This work.

other, we adopted the results obtained using SpecMatch-Emp (Yee et al. [2017](#page-8-0)). This technique relies on the use of highresolution template spectra of stars whose effective temperature  $(T_{\text{eff}})$ , radius  $(R_{\star})$ , and iron abundance ([Fe/H]), have been accurately measured by interferometry, spectrophotometry, and spectral synthesis. We use Mann et al.'s ([2015](#page-8-0)) empirical relations to derive the stellar mass. Our stellar parameters are presented in Table 3. The values are consistent with those reported by Houdebine et al. ([2016](#page-8-0)).

## 2.3. Limits on a Stellar Companion

We investigate the probability that the transit signals are of a nonplanetary origin coming from a background source or a companion. Lissauer et al.  $(2012)$  $(2012)$  $(2012)$  estimate the false positive probability for systems with three transiting planet candidates at <0.4% with the extremely conservative assumption of a 50% false positive rate for single planet candidates. The probabilities for detecting 1 planet+2 false positives or 2 planets+1 false positive are even lower than the 3 planet case. The short orbital periods also argue against a massive triple system, which would be dynamically unstable and produce very large transit timing variations (TTV; Lissauer et al. [2011](#page-8-0)), which are not observed (see Section [3.1](#page-5-0)).

Using high-resolution Lucky Imaging I-band observations, Jódar et al. ([2013](#page-8-0)) find no evidence of a stellar companion to GJ 9827. They rule out all companions with  $T_{\text{eff}} \gtrsim 3200 \text{ K}$ , or earlier than spectral type M4, at angular separations  $\geq 0$ . The constraints are even tighter for angular separations  $\geq 1$ ."O, ruling out all companions with  $T_{\text{eff}} \gtrsim 2800 \text{ K}$ , or earlier than spectral type ∼M6.5.

We can also rule out companions with  $T_{\text{eff}} \gtrsim 3200$  at any separation by assuming normal main-sequence dwarf parameters (Pecaut & Mamajek [2013](#page-8-0)): if a bound, unresolved companion is present, anything with  $T_{\text{eff}} \gtrsim 3000 \text{ K}$  would result in  $J \le 7.95$ . This is incompatible with the measured 2MASS J-magnitude of  $J = 7.984 \pm 0.020$  (Skrutskie et al. [2006](#page-8-0)). Thus, any undetected bound stellar companions to GJ 9827 must have a spectral type later than ∼M5. Using optical and infrared photometry, including the Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer 3.4, 4.6, 12.0, and  $22.0 \,\mu m$ magnitudes (Wright et al. [2010](#page-8-0)), we also find no evidence of

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 2. Model Fit of MCMC obtained parameters for GJ 9827 b (K2-135b), GJ 9827 c K2-135c, and GJ 9827 d K2-135d. The parameters are available in Table [1.](#page-3-0) Note that the normalized flux scale is kept constant for comparison. 1 $\sigma$  error bars computed from the respective residuals are shown in the right-hand bottom corner for reference.



Figure 3. Archival image in r band of GJ 9827 from the POSS-I and -II from years 1953 and 1991. The third image is from more recent Pan-STARRS in g band from year 2012. No background objects concurrent with current position of GJ 9827 are seen in the archival image. The green circle in each image shows the 20" aperture size used for K2 photometry; meanwhile, the reference position of GJ 9827 at the J2000 epoch is indicated with a red reticle.

any infrared excess. Although we cannot rule out the existence of a faint late-type companion, we currently favor GJ 9827 as the host star. We note that the planetary radii necessary to produce the observed transit depths are still  $\sim$ 3–5  $R_{\oplus}$  if the candidates orbit an undetected late-type companion, placing them in the mini-Neptune regime. Follow-up RV observations and high-contrast adaptive optics imaging will help confirm the nature of the planets' parent star.

Given its large proper motion ( $\approx$ 400 mas yr<sup>-1</sup>), we are able to rule out the possibility of an unbound background contamination using the archival data. Using the STScI Digitized Sky Survey, $2^0$  we identify GJ 9827 images as early as 1953 (see Figure 3). By comparing the image to the latest epoch (2012), we determine that there is no background object coincident with its current position visible in the 1953 plate. In order to estimate the limiting magnitude of the 1953 image, we considered an object near to our target that is faint, but clearly above the detection threshold of the image. By reference to the SDSS catalog, we determined that this object has  $r = 19.0$  (see

# 3. Discussion

# 3.1. A Closely Packed Super-Earth System

Multi-transiting planetary systems offer more than conventional ways for characterizing the systems. Through TTV and transit duration variation (TDV), planetary masses and orbital elements in these systems can be constrained to higher precision than single transiting systems (Agol et al. [2005](#page-7-0); Ragozzine & Holman [2010](#page-8-0)). In addition, they provide an opportunity to test in situ versus ex situ planetary formation, which continues to be a topic of debate in the regime of super-Earths (Chiang & Laughlin [2013](#page-7-0); Schlichting [2014;](#page-8-0) D'Angelo & Bodenheimer [2016](#page-7-0)).

 $R = 10.1$  for GJ 9827). We, therefore, conclude that the 1953 plate is sensitive to objects about 9 mag fainter than GJ 9827, and we can rule out the presence of unbound contaminants brighter than this. An equal mass eclipsing binary system with a combined magnitude of  $r = 19.0$  would produce at most a 125 ppm deep signal in the light curve of GJ 9827, which is shallower than the observed transits.

<sup>20</sup> http://[stdatu.stsci.edu](http://stdatu.stsci.edu/cgi-bin/dss_form)/cgi-bin/dss\_form



Figure 4. O-C Diagram for GJ 9827 b, c, and d. The O-C signal and errors are estimated using the MCMC fit using a model created with transit parameters. No significant TTVs greater than three minutes is detected.

No TTVs greater than 3 minutes were found for the planets GJ 9827 b, c, and d as shown in Figure 4. An order of magnitude calculation of the expected TTV amplitude, based on work by Agol et al. ([2005](#page-7-0)), indicates that the expected amplitude of TTVs is smaller than 3 minutes. Occurring near commensurability of 1:3:5, GJ 9827 c and b period ratio deviate from 3:1 ratio by  $+0.5\%$ , whereas the period of GJ 9827 d and c deviates 5:3 by  $+2.0\%$ . Such small positive deviation from the exact resonance has been reported in other Kepler multiple planet systems (Fabrycky et al. [2014](#page-7-0)). In fact, the period ratio of GJ 9827 c and d is  $1.69994 \pm 0.00003$ (∼1.7), where Steffen & Hwang ([2015](#page-8-0)) reported the presence of a modest peak in their sample of Kepler multiple planet systems. Examples of second-order resonances in our own solar system, as well as in exoplanetary architectures have motivated a dynamical explanation regarding their origin (Mustill & Wyatt  $2011$ ; Xu & Lai  $2017$ ), and a dynamical study of GJ 9827 could be useful in answering questions pertaining to such architecture.

We also phase folded and binned the transit removed data at the period of the first planet to investigate the presence of a phase curve or of a secondary eclipse. None were evident, as the overall noise in the light curve is too dominant to make any statistically significant claim. The GJ 9827 planets may be excellent candidates for searching for such signals in the infrared.

Detected phase curves and secondary eclipse, combined with TTV observations, could help to determine the orbital and planetary parameters with greater precision. The estimated mass of the GJ 9827 super-Earths based on the mass–radius relation proposed by Weiss & Marcy ([2014](#page-8-0)):  $M_p/M_\oplus = 2.69 (R_p/R_\oplus)^{0.93}$ are 4.5, 3.5, and 5.4  $M_{\oplus}$ . Based on these mass estimates and orbital parameters, the semi-amplitude of RV signals of the three planets are 3.5, 1.9, and 2.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>. The threshold of 1.5  $R_{\oplus}$ , as proposed by Weiss & Marcy ([2014](#page-8-0)), suggests GJ 9827 c to be a rocky, and GJ 9827 d to be a gaseous planet. As for GJ 9827 b, its radius lies close to the boundary itself, and in the light that the exact value of the threshold is not well known (Lopez & Fortney [2014;](#page-8-0) Weiss & Marcy [2014](#page-8-0); Rogers [2015](#page-8-0)), we expect RV follow-up to shed more light on its density. Details of a concentrated RV campaign will be discussed in a future paper.



Figure 5. Relative  $S/N$  of an atmospheric signal for all exoplanet candidates with  $R < 3R_{\oplus}$ . The GJ 9827 planets are the filled colored symbols with GJ 9827 b used as the S/N reference. Using this metric, GJ 9827 b is ranked as the sixth most favorable super-Earth for atmospheric characterization.

#### 3.2. Prospects for Atmospheric Characterization

Atmospheric characterization provides an opportunity to not only measure the current conditions in the planetary atmosphere, but also put constraints on formation history and interior structure (Owen et al. [1999](#page-8-0)), interactions with host star (Cauley et al. [2017](#page-7-0)), atmospheric and planetary evolution (Öberg et al. [2011](#page-8-0)), and biological processes (Meadows & Seager [2010](#page-8-0)). The planets in the GJ 9827 system offer excellent opportunities to characterize their atmospheres. Figure 5 displays a relative atmospheric detection S/N metric (normalized to GJ 9827b) all well characterized with  $R_p < 3$   $R_{\oplus}$ . The sample of small exoplanets, totaling 789, $^{21}$  is taken from the NASA Exoplanet Archive.<sup>22</sup> The atmospheric signal is calculated in a similar way to Gillon et al. ([2016](#page-8-0)) with an effective scale height  $(h_{\text{eff}} = 7H;$  Miller-Ricci et al. [2009](#page-8-0)) using the equilibrium temperature, a Bond albedo of  $\alpha = 0.3$ , and an atmospheric mean molecular weight of  $\mu = 20$ . However, since we calculate the relative signal and assume identical properties for all atmospheres, these values do not affect our results but are included for completeness. The atmospheric signal is dominated by the atmospheric scale height, favoring hot, extended atmospheres, and the host star radius, favoring small, cool stars. The relative S/N calculation scales the atmospheric signal with the properties that make it possible to detect and measure this signal,

$$
\frac{S/N}{S/N_{\text{Ref}}} = \frac{W}{W_{\text{Ref}}} \sqrt{10^{-0.4(J - J_{\text{Ref}})}} \sqrt{\frac{P_{\text{Ref}} T_{14}}{P T_{14_{\text{Ref}}}}},
$$
(1a)

$$
W = \frac{2R_p h_{\text{eff}}}{R_*^2}.
$$
 (1b)

We use the *J*-band flux (e.g.,  $H_2O$  measurements with *JWST*; Beichman et al. [2014](#page-7-0)), and scale by the duration of the transit and the frequency of transits. Given that sensitive atmospheric observations will likely require many transits to build sufficient signal (e.g., Cowan et al. [2015](#page-7-0)), we have used a metric that optimizes the S/N over a period of time rather than a per-transit metric.

 $\frac{21}{22}$  As of 2017 September 15.<br><sup>22</sup> https://[exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu](https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Out of this sample of super-Earth exoplanets, all three planets in the GJ 9827 system are in the top 20 in terms of the S/N for atmospheric characterization. This is mainly a consequence of the brightness of this nearby cool, small, star. This highlights the powerful impact nearby stars have on exoplanet characterization given the relative brightness of even small host stars, providing strong atmospheric signals at high S/N. Using this metric, GJ 9827 b is ranked the sixth best target for atmospheric characterization, after GJ 1214 b, 55 Cnc e, TRAPPIST-1 b, HD 219134 b, and HD 3167 b. Given that all three of the GJ 9827 planets are near commensurability, there are regular opportunities to observe two, or even all three transits at approximately the same time. For example, see the K2 signal at BJD 2457753, which occurs on average every 150 days (assuming 6 hr of observation). The wait is shorter for simultaneous transits of two planets. Transit overlap occurs for GJ 9827 b and c over 6 hr of observation on average every 8.7 days; for GJ 9827 c and d around 53 days, and for GJ 9827 b and d around 15 days.

#### 4. Conclusion

Super-Earths are intrinsically interesting objects, as they are universally abundant despite being absent from our solar system. Hosting at least three super-Earths, GJ 9827 lies at a distance of a mere 30 parsecs, the closest planetary system discovered by *Kepler* or *K2*. The planets occur on both sides of the rocky gaseous divide; therefore, they are likely to have a different range of densities and provide a test of the precise location of this division. Its three-body second-order resonant system is also intriguing from the viewpoint of planetary architecture and formation. In addition, GJ 9827 is an excellent candidate for follow-up atmospheric characterization with JWST and other facilities. All of these exciting features mean GJ 9827, like other nearby planetary systems around bright stars, will be a great asset for exploring the most fundamental questions of our field.

We are extremely grateful to the NOT staff members for their unique and superb support during the observations. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/ 2013–2016) under grant agreement No. 312430 (OPTICON). Based on observations obtained with the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT), operated on the island of La Palma jointly by Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, and Sweden, in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos (ORM) of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC). This paper includes data taken by Kepler. Funding for the Kepler mission is provided by the NASA Science Mission directorate through grant 14-K2G01\_2-0071, submitted in response to NNH14ZDA001N Research Opportunities in Space and Earth Science (ROSES-2014). S. Redfield and P. W. Cauley acknowledge the support from the National Science Foundation through Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Grant AST-1313268. D. Gandolfi acknowledges the financial support of the Programma Giovani Ricercatori—Rita Levi Montalcini— Rientro dei Cervelli (2012) awarded by the Italian Ministry of Education, Universities and Research (MIUR). T. Hirano acknowledges support from JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K17660. S. Albrecht and A. B. Justesen acknowledge support by the Danish Council for Independent Research, through a DFF Sapere Aude Starting Grant nr. 4181-00487B.

We also thank the referee for the comments and suggestions that have helped to make this paper better.

During the referee review process, we became aware of a similar discovery in a paper by Rodriguez et al.  $(2017)$  $(2017)$  $(2017)$ .

Software: batman (Kreidberg [2015](#page-8-0)), emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013), IRAF (Tody [1986](#page-8-0), [1993](#page-8-0)), k2photometry (Van Eylen & Albrecht [2015](#page-8-0)), matplotlib (Hunter [2007](#page-8-0)), pyaneti (Barragán et al. 2017a), Spec-Match-Emp (Yee et al. [2017](#page-8-0)).

#### ORCID iDs

Prajwal Niraula **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8052-3893)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-8052-3893](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8052-3893)** Seth Re[d](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3786-3486)field @ [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3786-3486)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-3786-3486](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3786-3486) Fe[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8958-0683) Dai @ [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8958-0683)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-8958-0683](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8958-0683) Oscar Barragán @ [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0563-0493)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-0563-0493](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0563-0493) Davide Gandol[fi](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0563-0493) **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8627-9628)//orcid.org/[0000-0001-8627-9628](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8627-9628)** P. Wilson Caule[y](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9207-0564) **the [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9207-0564)**//orcid.org/[0000-0001-9207-0564](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9207-0564) Teruyuki Hirano @ [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3618-7535)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-3618-7535](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3618-7535) Alexis M. S. Smith  $\bullet$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2386-4341)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-2386-4341](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2386-4341) Jorge Prieto-Arranz [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4609-6269)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-4609-6269](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4609-6269) Sascha Grziwa ibttps://orcid.org/[0000-0003-3370-4058](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3370-4058) Malcolm Fridlund  $\bullet$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2180-9936)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-2180-9936](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2180-9936) Carina M. Persson  $\bullet$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1257-5146)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-1257-5146](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1257-5146) A[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0174-2466)ders Bo Justesen  $\bullet$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0174-2466)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-0174-2466](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0174-2466) Joshua N. Wi[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4265-047X)n @ [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4265-047X)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-4265-047X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4265-047X) Simon Albrecht [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1762-8235)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-1762-8235](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1762-8235) William D. Cochran  $\Phi$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9662-3496)//orcid.org/[0000-0001-](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9662-3496) [9662-3496](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9662-3496)

Girish M. Duvvuri  $\bullet$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7119-2543)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-7119-2543](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7119-2543) Michae[l](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7714-6310) Endl  $\Phi$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7714-6310)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-7714-6310](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7714-6310) Norio Narita **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8511-2981)//orcid.org/[0000-0001-8511-2981](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8511-2981)** Grzegorz Nowak **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7031-7754)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-7031-7754](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7031-7754)** Enric Pall[e](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0987-1593) [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0987-1593)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-0987-1593](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0987-1593)

#### References

- Agol, E., Steffen, J., Sari, R., & Clarkson, W. 2005, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08922.x), [359, 567](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005MNRAS.359..567A) Alvarado-Gómez, J. D., Hussain, G. A. J., Cohen, O., et al. 2016, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628988)[,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016A&A...594A..95A) [594, A95](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016A&A...594A..95A)
- Armstrong, D. J., Santerne, A., Veras, D., et al. 2015, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526008) [582, A33](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A&A...582A..33A)
- Barragán, O., Gandolfi, D., & Antoniciello, G. 2017a, pyaneti, Astrophysics Source Code Library, ascl[:1707.003](http://www.ascl.net/1707.003)
- Barragán, O., Gandolfi, D., Smith, A. M. S., et al. 2017b, MNRAS, submitted, arXiv:[1702.00691](http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00691)
- Batalha, N. M., Rowe, J. F., Bryson, S. T., et al. 2013, [ApJS](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/204/2/24), [204, 24](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJS..204...24B)
- Beichman, C., Benneke, B., Knutson, H., et al. 2014, [PASP,](https://doi.org/10.1086/679566) [126, 1134](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014PASP..126.1134B)
- Buchhave, L. A., Bakos, G. A., Hartman, J. D., et al. 2010, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/720/2/1118) [720, 1118](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...720.1118B)
- Carter, J. A., Agol, E., Chaplin, W. J., et al. 2012, [Sci,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1223269) [337, 556](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012Sci...337..556C)
- Cauley, P. W., Redfield, S., & Jensen, A. G. 2017, [AJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa64d3), [153, 185](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017AJ....153..185C)
- Charbonneau, D., Brown, T. M., Noyes, R. W., & Gilliland, R. L. 2002, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/338770)[,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...568..377C) [568, 377](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...568..377C)
- Chiang, E., & Laughlin, G. 2013, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt424), [431, 3444](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013MNRAS.431.3444C)
- Cowan, N. B., Greene, T., Angerhausen, D., et al. 2015, [PASP](https://doi.org/10.1086/680855), [127, 311](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015PASP..127..311C)
- Crossfield, I. J. M., Ciardi, D. R., Petigura, E. A., et al. 2016, [ApJS,](https://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/226/1/7) [226, 7](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJS..226....7C)
- Crossfield, I. J. M., Petigura, E., Schlieder, J. E., et al. 2015, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/804/1/10), [804, 10](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...804...10C)
- Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, yCat, [2246, 0](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003yCat.2246....0C)
- D'Angelo, G., & Bodenheimer, P. 2016, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/828/1/33) [828, 33](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...828...33D)
- Deming, D., Seager, S., Winn, J., et al. 2009, [PASP,](https://doi.org/10.1086/605913) [121, 952](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009PASP..121..952D) Dressing, C. D., Charbonneau, D., Dumusque, X., et al. 2015, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/800/2/135) [800, 135](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...800..135D)
- Fabrycky, D. C., Lissauer, J. J., Ragozzine, D., et al. 2014, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/790/2/146) [790, 146](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...790..146F)
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, [PASP](https://doi.org/10.1086/670067)[,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013PASP..125..306F)
- [125, 306](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013PASP..125..306F) Frandsen, S., & Lindberg, B. 1999, in Astrophysics with the NOT, FIES: A high resolution Fiber fed Echelle Spectrograph for the NOT, ed. H. Karttunen & V. Piirola, [71](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1999anot.conf...71F)
- Fressin, F., Torres, G., Charbonneau, D., et al. 2013, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/766/2/81), [766, 81](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...766...81F)
- Fridlund, M., Gaidos, E., Barragán, O., et al. 2017, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730822) [604, A16](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017A&A...604A..16F)

Gandolfi, D., Barragán, O., Hatzes, A. P., et al. 2017, [AJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa832a), [154, 123](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017AJ....154..123G)

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Gandolfi, D., Parviainen, H., Fridlund, M., et al. 2013, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321901) [557, A74](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013A&A...557A..74G)
- Gillon, M., Jehin, E., Lederer, S. M., et al. 2016, [Natur](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17448), [533, 221](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016Natur.533..221G)
- Guenther, E. W., Barragan, O., Dai, F., et al. 2017, A&A, in press, arXiv[:1705.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.04163) [04163](http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.04163)
- Houdebine, E. R., Mullan, D. J., Paletou, F., & Gebran, M. 2016, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/822/2/97) [822, 97](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...822...97H)
- Howard, A. W., Marcy, G. W., Bryson, S. T., et al. 2012, [ApJS,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/201/2/15) [201, 15](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJS..201...15H)
- Howell, S. B., Sobeck, C., Haas, M., et al. 2014, [PASP,](https://doi.org/10.1086/676406) [126, 398](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014PASP..126..398H)
- Hunter, J. D. 2007, [CSE,](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55) [9, 90](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007CSE.....9...90H)
- Jódar, E., Pérez-Garrido, A., Díaz-Sánchez, A., et al. 2013, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sts382) [429, 859](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013MNRAS.429..859J)
- Johnson, M. C., Gandolfi, D., Fridlund, M., et al. 2016, [AJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-6256/151/6/171) [151, 171](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016AJ....151..171J)
- Kipping, D. M. 2010, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17242.x), [408, 1758](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.408.1758K)
- Kipping, D. M. 2013, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1435), [435, 2152](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013MNRAS.435.2152K)
- Knutson, H. A., Charbonneau, D., Allen, L. E., Burrows, A., & Megeath, S. T. 2008, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/523894), [673, 526](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008ApJ...673..526K)
- Kovács, G., Zucker, S., & Mazeh, T. 2002, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020802), [391, 369](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002A&A...391..369K)
- Kreidberg, L. 2015, [PASP,](https://doi.org/10.1086/683602) [127, 1161](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015PASP..127.1161K)
- Linsky, J. L., Fontenla, J., & France, K. 2014, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/780/1/61), [780, 61](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...780...61L)
- Lissauer, J. J., Marcy, G. W., Rowe, J. F., et al. 2012, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/750/2/112), [750, 112](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJ...750..112L)
- Lissauer, J. J., Ragozzine, D., Fabrycky, D. C., et al. 2011, [ApJS](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/197/1/8), [197, 8](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJS..197....8L)
- Lopez, E. D., & Fortney, J. J. 2014, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/792/1/1), [792, 1](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...792....1L)
- Mann, A. W., Feiden, G. A., Gaidos, E., Boyajian, T., & von Braun, K. 2015, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/804/1/64) [804, 64](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...804...64M)
- McQuillan, A., Aigrain, S., & Mazeh, T. 2013, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt536), [432, 1203](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013MNRAS.432.1203M)
- Meadows, V., & Seager, S. 2010, in Exoplanets, ed. S. Seager (Tucson, AZ: Univ. Arizona Press), [441](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010exop.book..441M)
- Miller-Ricci, E., Seager, S., & Sasselov, D. 2009, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/690/2/1056) [690, 1056](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...690.1056M)
- Mustill, A. J., & Wyatt, M. C. 2011, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18201.x) [413, 554](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011MNRAS.413..554M)
- Öberg, K. I., Murray-Clay, R., & Bergin, E. A. 2011, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/743/1/L16) [743, L16](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...743L..16O)
- Owen, T., Mahaffy, P., Niemann, H. B., et al. 1999, [Natur,](https://doi.org/10.1038/46232) [402, 269](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1999Natur.402..269O)
- Pecaut, M. J., & Mamajek, E. E. 2013, [ApJS,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/208/1/9) [208, 9](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJS..208....9P)
- Ragozzine, D., & Holman, M. J. 2010, ApJ, in press, arXiv[:1006.3727](http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.3727)
- Redfield, S., Endl, M., Cochran, W. D., & Koesterke, L. 2008, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.1086/527475), [673, L87](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008ApJ...673L..87R)
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2015, [JATIS](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.1.1.014003), [1, 014003](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015JATIS...1a4003R)
- Rodriguez, J. E., Vanderburg, A., Eastman, J. D., et al. 2017, arXiv:[1709.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1709.01957) [01957](http://arxiv.org/abs/1709.01957)
- Rogers, L. A. 2015, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/801/1/41), [801, 41](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...801...41R)
- Rowe, J. F., Bryson, S. T., Marcy, G. W., et al. 2014, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/784/1/45) [784, 45](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...784...45R)
- Schlichting, H. E. 2014, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/795/1/L15) [795, L15](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...795L..15S)
- Sing, D. K. 2010, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913675), [510, A21](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A&A...510A..21S)
- Sing, D. K., Wakeford, H. R., Showman, A. P., et al. 2015, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2279)[,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.446.2428S) [446, 2428](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.446.2428S)
- Sinukoff, E., Howard, A. W., Petigura, E. A., et al. 2016, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/827/1/78) [827, 78](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...827...78S)
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, [AJ,](https://doi.org/10.1086/498708) [131, 1163](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006AJ....131.1163S)
- Steffen, J. H., & Hwang, J. A. 2015, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv104) [448, 1956](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.448.1956S)
- Stephenson, C. B. 1986, [AJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/114146), [92, 139](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986AJ.....92..139S)
- Telting, J. H., Avila, G., Buchhave, L., et al. 2014, [AN](https://doi.org/10.1002/asna.201312007), [335, 41](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014AN....335...41T)
- Tody, D. 1986, [Proc. SPIE,](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.968154) [627, 733](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986SPIE..627..733T)
- Tody, D. 1993, in ASP Conf. Ser. 52, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems II, ed. R. J. Hanisch, R. J. V. Brissenden, & J. Barnes (San Francisco, CA: ASP), [173](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1993ASPC...52..173T)
- Udry, S., Mayor, M., & Queloz, D. 1999, ASPC, [185, 367](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1999ASPC..185..367U)
- Vanderburg, A., & Johnson, J. A. 2014, [PASP,](https://doi.org/10.1086/678764) [126, 948](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014PASP..126..948V)
- Vanderburg, A., Johnson, J. A., Rappaport, S., et al. 2015, [Natur](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15527), [526, 546](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015Natur.526..546V)
- Vanderburg, A., Latham, D. W., Buchhave, L. A., et al. 2016, [ApJS,](https://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/222/1/14) [222, 14](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJS..222...14V)
- Van Eylen, V., & Albrecht, S. 2015, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/808/2/126) [808, 126](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...808..126V)
- Van Eylen, V., Albrecht, S., Gandolfi, D., et al. 2016, [AJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-6256/152/5/143), [152, 143](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016AJ....152..143V)
- van Leeuwen, F. 2007, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20078357) [474, 653](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007A&A...474..653V)
- Weiss, L. M., & Marcy, G. W. 2014, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/783/1/L6) [783, L6](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...783L...6W)
- Wood, B. E., Müller, H.-R., Zank, G. P., Linsky, J. L., & Redfield, S. 2005, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1086/432716) [628, 143](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJ...628L.143W)
- Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K., et al. 2010, [AJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/6/1868) [140, 1868](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010AJ....140.1868W)
- Xu, W., & Lai, D. 2017, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx668) [468, 3223](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.468.3223X)
- Yee, S. W., Petigura, E. A., & von Braun, K. 2017, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/836/1/77), [836, 77](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...836...77Y)
- Zacharias, N., Finch, C. T., Girard, T. M., et al. 2013, [AJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/145/2/44) [145, 44](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013AJ....145...44Z)