



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Achieving party unity : a sequential approach to why MPs act in concert**

Vonno, C.M.C. van

### **Citation**

Vonno, C. M. C. van. (2016, March 2). *Achieving party unity : a sequential approach to why MPs act in concert*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/38275>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/38275>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/38275> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

**Author:** Vonno, Cynthia M.C. van

**Title:** Achieving party unity : a sequential approach to why MPs act in concert

**Issue Date:** 2016-03-02

# **Achieving Party Unity**

**A Sequential Approach to Why MPs Act in Concert**

**Cover**

Paulien Varkevisser | Fotografie & vormgeving, Nijmegen

[www.paulienvarkevisser.com](http://www.paulienvarkevisser.com)

The illustration is inspired by the cover of *American Legislative Behavior: A Reader* (1968), edited by Samuel C. Patterson and published by D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc.

**Typesetting**

Typeset using the  $\text{\LaTeX}$  and  $\text{\LaTeX}$  Documentation System.

**Print**

Wöhrmann Print Service

© 2016 Cynthia Maria Cornelia van Vonno. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission in writing from the proprietor.

© 2016 Cynthia Maria Cornelia van Vonno. Alle rechten voorbehouden. Niets uit deze uitgave mag worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen, of op enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de rechthebbende.

# Achieving Party Unity

## A Sequential Approach to Why MPs Act in Concert

### Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op woensdag 2 maart 2016  
klokke 16:15 uur

door

Cynthia Maria Cornelia van Vonno

geboren te Alphen aan den Rijn in 1984

*Promotor*

Prof.dr. R.B. Andeweg

*Promotiecommissie*

Prof.dr. I.C. van Biezen, Universiteit Leiden

Prof.dr. K. Deschouwer, Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Prof.dr. R.Y. Hazan, The Hebrew School of Jerusalem

Prof.dr. J.J.M. van Holsteyn, Universiteit Leiden

Prof.dr. R.A. Koole, Universiteit Leiden

Prof.dr. J.J.A. Thomassen, Universiteit Twente

# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research question . . . . .	1
1.2 Plan of the book . . . . .	7
<b>2 Representation in parliament by individual or by political party: shifting emphasis</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Representation in theory and in practice . . . . .	9
2.2 The individual as main representative actor . . . . .	11
2.2.1 Parliamentaryism . . . . .	11
2.2.2 The mandate-independence controversy . . . . .	13
2.3 The political party takes over . . . . .	16
2.3.1 Party democracy . . . . .	16
2.3.2 The responsible party model . . . . .	19
2.4 The return to the individual? . . . . .	21
2.4.1 Audience democracy . . . . .	21
2.4.2 Personalization . . . . .	23
2.5 Conclusion . . . . .	25
<b>3 Unpacking the unitary actor</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1 Party group unity . . . . .	27
3.1.1 Conceptualization . . . . .	28
3.1.2 Measurement . . . . .	28
3.2 Explaining party group unity . . . . .	32
3.2.1 Institutions and party group unity . . . . .	32
3.2.2 Pathways to party group unity . . . . .	34
3.2.3 Decision-making models . . . . .	40
3.3 The sequential decision-making model . . . . .	43
3.3.1 MPs' decision-making process . . . . .	43

## Contents

3.3.2	The sequential logic . . . . .	46
3.3.3	Simplification . . . . .	48
3.4	Conclusion . . . . .	50
<b>4</b>	<b>The influence of institutions: MPs' decision-making mechanisms in 15 national parliaments</b>	<b>51</b>
4.1	The influence of institutions . . . . .	51
4.2	Expectations . . . . .	54
4.2.1	Division of labor . . . . .	54
4.2.2	Party agreement . . . . .	56
4.2.3	Party loyalty . . . . .	57
4.2.4	Party discipline . . . . .	58
4.3	Analysis of the decision-making mechanisms in 15 national parliaments	59
4.3.1	Division of labor . . . . .	64
4.3.2	Party agreement . . . . .	66
4.3.3	Party loyalty . . . . .	72
4.3.4	Party discipline . . . . .	78
4.3.5	The sequential decision-making process . . . . .	87
4.4	Conclusion . . . . .	89
<b>5</b>	<b>Different pathways for different levels: representatives' decision-making mechanisms at the national and subnational level</b>	<b>91</b>
5.1	Different pathways for different levels of government . . . . .	91
5.2	Expectations . . . . .	94
5.2.1	Division of labor . . . . .	94
5.2.2	Party agreement . . . . .	95
5.2.3	Party loyalty . . . . .	96
5.2.4	Party discipline . . . . .	98
5.3	Analysis of the decision-making mechanisms in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies . . . . .	99
5.3.1	Division of labor . . . . .	101
5.3.2	Party agreement . . . . .	102
5.3.3	Party loyalty . . . . .	106
5.3.4	Party discipline . . . . .	110
5.3.5	The sequential decision-making process . . . . .	116
5.4	Analysis of the decision-making mechanisms in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils . . . . .	120
5.4.1	Division of labor . . . . .	124
5.4.2	Party agreement . . . . .	127
5.4.3	Party loyalty . . . . .	131
5.4.4	Party discipline . . . . .	138
5.4.5	The sequential decision-making process . . . . .	145
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	147



<b>6</b>	<b>Changes over time: party group unity and MPs' decision-making mechanisms in the Dutch national parliament over time</b>	<b>151</b>
6.1	The one- or two-arena model . . . . .	151
6.2	The Dutch case . . . . .	155
6.2.1	The electoral arena . . . . .	155
6.2.2	The legislative arena . . . . .	158
6.3	Party group unity over time . . . . .	160
6.3.1	Party group defection . . . . .	161
6.3.2	Party group voting . . . . .	166
6.4	Expectations . . . . .	178
6.4.1	Division of labor . . . . .	178
6.4.2	Party agreement . . . . .	180
6.4.3	Party loyalty . . . . .	183
6.4.4	Party discipline . . . . .	183
6.5	Analysis of the decision-making mechanisms in the Dutch Second Chamber	185
6.5.1	Division of labor . . . . .	187
6.5.2	Party agreement . . . . .	190
6.5.3	Party loyalty . . . . .	197
6.5.4	Party discipline . . . . .	199
6.6	Conclusion . . . . .	204
<b>7</b>	<b>The sequential approach evaluated</b>	<b>207</b>
7.1	The decision-making mechanisms . . . . .	207
7.1.1	Division of labor . . . . .	209
7.1.2	Party agreement . . . . .	210
7.1.3	Party loyalty . . . . .	214
7.1.4	Party discipline . . . . .	218
7.2	Suggestions for future research . . . . .	221
7.3	Implications . . . . .	228
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>231</b>
	<b>Dutch summary</b>	<b>255</b>
	<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>259</b>
	<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>261</b>



# List of Figures

1.1	The study of party group unity . . . . .	4
2.1	The style and focus of representatives' roles . . . . .	13
2.2	The connection between constituencies' attitudes and a representative's roll call behavior . . . . .	14
2.3	Representational role emphasis . . . . .	16
3.1	MPs' sequential decision-making process . . . . .	44
4.1	Party agreement (the frequency of disagreement with the party's posi- tion on a vote in parliament) and the absolute distance MPs' perceive between their own position and the position of their party on an 11- point ideological Left-Right scale in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	70
4.2	Party loyalty (own opinion versus party's position) and the importance ascribed to promoting the views and interests of the party in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	75



# List of Tables

4.1	PartiRep MP Survey response rates for 15 national parliaments . . . . .	61
4.2	Average party group unity in 15 national parliaments (Rice score) . . . .	62
4.3	Specialist or generalist in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	65
4.4	'The parliamentary party spokesperson gets to determine the party's position on his topic' in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	66
4.5	Party agreement (the frequency of disagreement with the party's position on a vote in parliament) in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	68
4.6	Party agreement (the frequency of disagreement with the party's position on a vote in parliament) in 15 national parliaments: multilevel binary logistics regression . . . . .	71
4.7	Party loyalty (own opinion versus party's position) in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	73
4.8	Party loyalty (own opinion versus party's position) in 15 national parliaments: multilevel binary logistics regression . . . . .	77
4.9	Satisfaction with general parliamentary party discipline in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	79
4.10	Satisfaction with parliamentary party discipline when it comes to sticking to the parliamentary party line in votes in 15 national parliaments (%) .	80
4.11	Satisfaction with parliamentary party discipline when it comes to taking political initiatives only with the parliamentary party's authorization in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	81
4.12	Satisfaction with parliamentary party discipline when it comes to keeping internal party discussions confidential in 15 national parliaments (%)	82
4.13	'Confidential party discussions usually find their way to the media' in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	83
4.14	Satisfaction with parliamentary party discipline when it comes to sticking to the parliamentary party group line in votes in 15 national parliaments: multilevel binary logistics regression . . . . .	85
4.15	The relative contribution of party agreement, party loyalty and party discipline when it comes to sticking to the parliamentary party line in votes in 15 national parliaments (%) . . . . .	88

## List of Tables

5.1	2010 PartiRep MP survey response rates for national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies . . . . .	100
5.2	Specialist or generalist in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	103
5.3	'The parliamentary party spokesperson gets to determine the party's position on his topic' in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	104
5.4	Party agreement (the frequency of disagreement with the party's position on a vote in parliament) in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	105
5.5	Party loyalty (own opinion versus party's position) in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	107
5.6	Party loyalty (own opinion versus party's position) and competing principals (voters' opinion versus party's position) in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	109
5.7	Satisfaction with general parliamentary party discipline in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	111
5.8	Satisfaction with parliamentary party discipline when it comes to sticking to the parliamentary party line in votes in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	112
5.9	Satisfaction with parliamentary party discipline when it comes to taking political initiatives only with the parliamentary party's authorization in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	113
5.10	Satisfaction with parliamentary party discipline when it comes to keeping internal party discussions confidential in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	114
5.11	'Confidential party discussions usually find their way to the media' in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	115
5.12	The relative contribution of party agreement, party loyalty and party discipline when it comes to sticking to the parliamentary party line in votes in parliament in national and regional parliaments in nine European democracies (%) . . . . .	118
5.13	PartiRep MP Survey response rates for the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils . . . . .	123
5.14	Specialist or generalist in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	125
5.15	'The parliamentary party spokesperson gets to determine the party's position on his topic' in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	126
5.16	The main decision-making center in the parliamentary party group in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	127
5.17	Party agreement (the frequency of disagreement with the party's position on a vote in parliament) in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	129

5.18	Party agreement (the frequency of disagreement with the party's position on a vote in parliament) and 'I feel involved in the decision making in the party group' in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	130
5.19	Party loyalty (own opinion versus party's position) in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	132
5.20	Party loyalty (own opinion versus party's position) and competing principals (voters' opinion versus party's position) in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	134
5.21	'There is a strong feeling of unity in the party group' in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	136
5.22	'An individual representative's freedom or party unity' in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	136
5.23	Situations in which an MP who disagrees with the party's position on a vote in parliament still ought to vote according to the party's position in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (% who answer affirmatively) . . . . .	138
5.24	Satisfaction with general & specific aspects of parliamentary party discipline in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	139
5.25	'Confidential party discussions usually find their way to the media' in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	141
5.26	The likelihood of immediate negative sanctions when a representative repeatedly does not vote with the party line in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	142
5.27	The likelihood of delayed negative sanctions when a representative repeatedly does not vote with the party line in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	143
5.28	The relative contribution of party agreement, party loyalty and party discipline when it comes to sticking to the parliamentary party line in votes in the Dutch Second Chamber, provincial councils and municipal councils (%) . . . . .	146
6.1	Average electoral volatility and second order personal votes in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1946-2012 . . . . .	155
6.2	MPs who entered the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament on the basis of preference votes who otherwise would have not have done so on the basis of their list position and the number of votes obtained by their political party 1946-2012 . . . . .	157
6.3	Parliamentary party group defections in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1946-2015 . . . . .	164
6.4	Percentage of votes in which party group unity was not complete in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1946-2010 (%) . . . . .	171

## List of Tables

6.5	Percentage of votes in which party group unity was not complete in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1946-2010: CDA, PvdA and VVD averages only (%) . . . . .	172
6.6	Average party group unity in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1946-2010 (Rice score) . . . . .	174
6.7	Average party group unity in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1946-2010: CDA, PvdA and VVD only (Rice score) . . . . .	175
6.8	Number of dissenting MPs in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1946-2010 . . . . .	176
6.9	Use of parliamentary rights in the Second Chamber of the Dutch National Parliament 1956-2012 . . . . .	179
6.10	Dutch Parliamentary Studies and PartiRep MP Survey response rates for the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament . . . . .	187
6.11	'As an MP you usually vote according to the advice of the parliamentary party spokesperson when it comes to bills that you did not deal with yourself for the party group' in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1972-2006 (%) . . . . .	188
6.12	The main decision-making center in the parliamentary party group in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1979-2010 (%) . . . . .	189
6.13	Party group ideological homogeneity on the Left-Right scale in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1972-2010: CDA, PvdA and VVD only (coefficient of agreement) . . . . .	191
6.14	Perceived ideological distance on the Left-Right scale in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1972-2010 (%) . . . . .	193
6.15	Perceived ideological distance on the Left-Right scale in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1972-2010: CDA, PvdA and VVD only (%) . . . . .	194
6.16	Perceived ideological distance on the Left-Right scale in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament: government-opposition (%) . . . . .	195
6.17	Perceived ideological distance on the Left-Right scale in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament: party group size (%) . . . . .	196
6.18	Party group loyalty (own opinion versus party group's position) in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1972-2010 (%) . . . . .	198
6.19	Party group loyalty (own opinion versus party group's position) in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1972-2010: CDA, PvdA and VVD only (%) . . . . .	200
6.20	Party group loyalty (own opinion versus party group's position) in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 1972-2010: government-opposition (%) . . . . .	201
6.21	Satisfaction with general party discipline in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 2001-2010 (%) . . . . .	202
6.22	Satisfaction with specific aspects of party discipline in the Second Chamber of the Dutch Parliament 2001-2010 (%) . . . . .	203
7.1	Division of labor: summary of expectations and findings . . . . .	211



7.2	Party agreement: summary of expectations and findings . . . . .	213
7.3	Party loyalty: summary of expectations and findings . . . . .	217
7.4	Party discipline: summary of expectations and findings . . . . .	219

