

## **The Old Greek of Isaiah: An Analysis of its Translation of Plant Metaphors** Austin, B.M.

Citation

Austin, B. M. (2014, May 28). *The Old Greek of Isaiah: An Analysis of its Translation of Plant Metaphors*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25844

Version:	Corrected Publisher's Version
License:	<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the</u> <u>Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden</u>
Downloaded from:	https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25844

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



# Universiteit Leiden



The handle <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1887/25844</u> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Austin, B.M. Title: The old Greek of Isaiah : analysis of its translation of plant metaphors Issue Date: 2014-05-28

## CHAPTER 3

## KINDS OF PLANTS

Metaphors can be culturally specific, as many theorists have shown, so metaphors that deal with specific kinds of plants may or may not be intelligible to different cultures living in different environments. This chapter will examine metaphors mentioning specific kinds of plants to see how the translator rendered them. While much plant life is common both to Egypt and Judea, there are some significant differences in flora, environment, and landscape. Ziegler has already pointed out many features of LXX-Isa that reflect an Egyptian provenance.<sup>1</sup> While expanding on this observation, we will also see that in other places the underlying Judean situation will shine through in the translation, and in a few places the translator seems to add features that better describe Judea than Egypt.

In this chapter we will examine various categories of plants in turn. First we will look at reeds and canes; second grass will be examined; third types of grain and related terminology; fourth thorns and thistles will be examined; fifth vineyards and vines; sixth trees; and seventh we will look at one simile where the Greek has a kind of chard; finally some conclusions will be offered.

#### 3.1. Reeds

Reeds are mentioned a few times in Isaiah though in several different ways. The Hebrew terms used are אָנְמוֹן, גֹמֵא, קָנָה, and עִרוֹת. In this section we will discuss the first three terms in order (the last two occur once each and will be mentioned below), then summarize how reed metaphors are rendered.

## קֶנֶה 3.1.1

In 19:6 we find the phrase קְנָה וָסוּף and it is translated with אמאמעטט אמע  $\pi \alpha \pi \omega \rho \sigma \sigma$ , though this passage is a literal description of Egypt's punishment.<sup>2</sup> In the Greek, these two plants could be considered as specific valuable plants that will fail as a crop (or foraged good),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, "Kapital 8. Der alexandrinisch-ägyptische Hintergrund der Je-LXX," 175-212.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Perhaps the meaning extends beyond a physical drought to political, social, and cultural drought. In the LXX, παπύρος only occurs three times. In Job 8:11 it renders גֹמֶא but in Job 40:16(21) it occurs with two synonyms which together stand for כָּמָגָה וּבַצָּה

or they could simply be two terms for plants that grow in the marshes and are vulnerable to drought. Of note is that the LXX feels the need to add that they are in the marshes, ἕλος, whereas the context could have suggested that they are growing on the river, streams, canals, and pools.<sup>3</sup> The next verse, 19:7, has another word that could mean reed,  $extsf{u}$ , which the LXX renders with  $extsf{a}\chi t$  (reed-grass).<sup>4</sup> In the passage as a whole, one can not help but think of Job 8:11-13 where fools who forget God are compared to reeds which cannot survive without water, since the devastation of Egypt is related to the foolishness of its councilors in Isa 19:10. But it is not clear that LXX-Isa has this in mind since, as we will discuss below, the translator misses his chance to connect reeds and fools together in 19:15. The Targum translates 19:6 literally.<sup>5</sup>

## Isa 35:7

This verse comes in the context of a restoration which is depicted with the image of the wilderness sprouting with life. As van der Kooij has shown, the LXX links 35:1-2 with Isaiah 32:2 and 25:5 and so uses the idea of the thirsty land and thirsty people to be references to Zion.<sup>6</sup> While 35:7 is not necessarily a metaphor, it vividly illustrates the translator's conceptions of marshes and reeds.

The first half of the verse is translated literally, except for the springs becoming singular in the Greek, and the addition of έσται for the sake of clarity. The second part of the verse is more difficult. Scholars have disputed how to understand this part of the verse, but the LXX reading is completely different. There is no clear textual warrant for rendering בְּנָוֶה שִּׁנִים רְבְצָה with ἐxɛĩ εὐφροσύνη ὀρνέων.<sup>7</sup> Ottley suggests רְבָוּה may have been read as a form of 32:14.<sup>9</sup> LXX.D.E.K.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  The plus in this passage is based on the word מצור, but it is unclear how.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> HALOT, s.v. But DCH, s.v. seems to have reservations about this meaning of עָרוֹת.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "and the canals will be *devastated*, *and their deep rivers* will *dry up* and be *desolate*, reed and rush will *not come up*. 7 *The greater part of the river will dry up, and will become as its stones*, and *every place where they* sow *by the river* will dry up, *be desolate* and *not sprout*."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Arie van der Kooij, "Rejoice, O Thirsty Desert! (Isaiah 35): On Zion in the Septuagint of Isaiah," in *Enlarge the Site of Your Tent: 'The City as Unifying Theme in Isaiah* (eds. Archibald L. H. M. van Wieringen and Annemarieke van der Woude; Leiden: Brill, 2011): 11-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> In 13:22 חַנִים is rendered with ἐχῖνος; while in 34:13 and 43:20 it is rendered with σειρήν. The last term is what is found in  $\alpha'$  and  $\sigma'$  of 35:7. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> 35:7 agrees with MT, except lacks the  $\pi$  on  $\pi$  -  $\pi$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 280.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 149.

In the last phrase, אָצָיר, appears to have been read with the meaning "an abode" or "residence." This makes good sense, since this is its meaning in 34:13 where we also find the phrase אָבָרָה תַּבָּרָם. The most common equivalent for אָבָרָה אָבָרָם, it is is a good equivalent in that they are both rather general words for reeds or canes. According to Musselman, אָבָרָה refers to *arundo donax* as well as generally to other kinds of reeds most of the time in the Old Testament (when one of its extended meanings is not meant), but in five places refers to *acorus calamus*, or calamus (Exod 30:23; Song 4:14; Isa 43:24; Jer 6:20; Ezek 27:19).<sup>15</sup> In Exod 30:23, the LXX has אמאמעט εὐάδους, the same term for *acorus calamus* as Theophrastus (*Enquiry* 4.8.3; 9.7.1 and 3) uses: אמאמעס אָ פֿימׁמָסָרָ.<sup>16</sup> In Isa 35:7, then, we should assume a generic meaning for אמאמעסט, since the LXX often is more specific (usually due to the Hebrew being more specific) when it means calamus (even if the LXX does not interpret it as meaning calamus).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2599.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> However, in 35:6 instead of the mute shouting for joy (וְתָרֹן לְשׁוֹן אָלֵם) they speak clearly (καὶ τρανὴ ἔσται γλῶσσα μογιλάλων).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> A wet area full of reeds is possible in the Jordan valley, near Dan, and in a few other river valleys (such as Zin Canyon or En Gedi) but is not typical. Remember, though, the Hebrew does say אַגָם.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Norman de Garis Davies, *The Tomb of Rekh-Mi-Rē at Thebes* (The Metropolitan Museum of Art Egyptian Expedition vol. 11; New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art, 1943), plate 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> "zu essen gab es mehr als das Sand auf einem Strand ist,... es wurden mehr Ochsen aller Rassen geschlachtet als eine Wolke von Heuschrecken, so viele Vögel wie in einem Sumpf." S. Saumeron and H. Stierlin, *Die letzten Tempel Agyptens: Edfu und Philae* (Zürich: Atlantis, 1978), 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Musselman, Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh, 73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The word εὐώδης is only used in this verse, twice rendering בשם (Cf. Targum Isa 43:24, where קנה is rendered קנה The two most common renderings of קני בסם in the LXX are ἄρωμα (15x) and ἥδυσμα (7x)). The other occurrence in this verse modifies cinnamon. For the other verses where Musselman believes calamus is meant, Song 4:14 the usual translation equivalent is used without any description or elaboration. We will discuss the Isaiah passage below, but there we find θυμίαμα. LXX Jer 6:20 interprets the phrase וְקַנֶה הֵטוֹב as referring to cinnamon: καὶ μαμου. There is no equivalent in Ezek 27:19.

The rendering of  $\kappa_{\lambda}$  with έλος is peculiar. The word only occurs four times in the Hebrew Bible, and is treated differently each time. In Exod 2:3 it is not rendered. In Job 8:11 it is rendered with πάπυρος, which is the ideal translation. We will deal with Isa 18:2 below, but it is enough here to note that it is rendered βύβλινος. In 35:7, we could have a textual issue, in that the text (or just the translator) read  $\kappa \iota$  instead of  $\kappa \iota$  which is elsewhere rendered five times with έλος,<sup>18</sup> including the first part of the current verse. Having a word for marsh appears to be an idea important for our translator in passages where deserts become wet and green and vice versa (19:6; 33:9; 35:7; 41:18; 42:15); the association of reeds and marshes seems to be appropriate and well known to Egyptians.<sup>19</sup>

The Targum is literal, for the most part, but clarifies the meaning of the second part of the verse by the addition of ארורין תמן יסגי קני וגומא :תמן יסגי קני וגומא :*the place where* jackals *dwell, there* reeds and rushes *will increase*."<sup>20</sup> In 35:6, however, the disabled people being healed are interpreted as captives returning, and in 35:9 the lion is interpreted as a wicked king.

"See, you are relying on Egypt, that broken reed of a staff, which will pierce the hand of anyone who leans on it. Such is Pharaoh king of Egypt to all who rely on him."	הִגַּה בְשַׁחְתָּ עַל־ מִשְׁעָגָת הַקָּגָה הָרְצָוּץ הַזֶּה עַל־ מִצְלַיִם אֲשָׁעָר יִסְמֵד אִישׁ עָלָיו וּבָא בְכַפָּוֹ וּנְקָבֵה כֵּן פַּרְעַׂה מֶלֶדְ־מִצְלַיִם עְלֵיו:	ἰδοὺ πεποιθώς εἶ ἐπὶ τὴν ῥάβδον τὴν καλαμίνην τὴν τεθλασμένην ταύτην, ἐπ' Αἴγυπτον· ὃς ἂν ἐπ' αὐτὴν ἐπιστηρισθῆ, εἰσελεύσεται εἰς τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ· οὕτως ἐστὶ Φαραω βασιλεὺς Αἰγύπτου καὶ πάντες οἱ πεποιθότες ἐπ' αὐτῶ.	"See, you are trusting in Egypt, this <i>rod of crushed</i> <i>reed</i> ; whoever leans on it, it will go into his hand. Such is Pharao, king of Egypt, and all who trust in him."
--	--	--	---

In the narrative in Isa 36:6 Sennacherib's messenger uses a metaphor of a bruised reed.

In the Hebrew, the image is of using a crushed or damaged reed as a staff, which breaks as soon as you try to put any weight on it, so that it hurts you rather then helps you. The interpretation of this metaphor is given twice in the verse, first in apposition to the reed equating it, then again at the end of the verse in an explanation. The structure, giving the metaphor then the explanation introduced with בן, almost makes it a comparison. In the Greek, the tenses are played with a bit and the passage is made into nice Greek (as seen by the use of a periphrastic construction, the use of definite articles in the description of the staff, and the rendering of שָׁל-מִשְׁעֶנֶת הַקְנֶה הָרְצוּץ הַזֶה

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 280; and LXX.D.E.K., 2599.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Exod 7:19; 8:1; Isa 35:7; 41:18; 42:15. It also renders סוף in Exod 2:3 and 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 189-90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> "and the parched gound [sic] shall become pools of water, and the thirsty area springs of water; *the place where* jackals *dwell, there* reeds and rushes *will increase*."

literal, showing that the staff is made of reed: ἐπὶ τὴν ῥάβδον τὴν καλαμίνην τὴν τεθλασμένην ταύτην. Either the LXX's *Vorlage* lacked řɨặς cm the translator thought the idea was already expressed by εἰσελεύσεται εἰς τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ, and so omitted what he thought was a redundant synonym.<sup>21</sup> It is present in the parallel text in Kings, both in the Hebrew and Greek, and also is included in Theodotion of our passage.<sup>22</sup>

In all, the rendering of this verse is quite literal. The metaphor is already explained in the Hebrew, so there is no extra work for the translator in rendering it. The reed is probably chosen for the metaphor both because it is typical of Egypt, and also because a reed can be weakened by being crushed and breaks in such a way that it would hurt someone, like in this image. Of note is how much is not rendered, in contrast, in the next verse, 36:7, though that is beyond the scope of this research.

The Targum clarifies the first mention of Egypt by rendering it פרעה מלכא <sup>23</sup> This makes the two interpretations of what the reed-staff represents identical. Otherwise the rendering is quite literal.

In Isa 42:3 there is another reference to a bruised reed.

a dimly burning	קָנֶה רָצוּץׂ לָא יִשְׁבּׂוֹ וּפִּשְׁתָּה כֵהֶה לָא יְכַבֶּנְה לֶאֶמֶת יוֹצִיא מִשְׁפֵּט:	κάλαμον τεθλασμένον οὐ συντρίψει καὶ λίνον καπνιζόμενον οὐ σβέσει, ἀλλὰ εἰς ἀλήθειαν ἐξοίσει κρίσιν.	a bruised reed he will not break, and a smoking wick he will not quench, but he will bring forth judgment for truth.
-----------------	--	---	---

The bruised reed here has nothing to do with the use in 36:6. The LXX renders literally, the biggest difference being the addition of the contrastive  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$ . The translator does not give what he thinks the metaphors mean, but in the Hebrew there are similar images in 36:6 of a bruised reed, and in 43:17 where warriors and armies are said to die like an extinguished wick בַּבְּשָׁתַה כָבוּ אָניסע בֹּסβεσμένον. However, these passages do not seem related in the Hebrew or the Greek; it is merely the reuse of the same vehicle for different tenors. The meaning here has to do with the servant's mercy and gentleness toward the weak.

The Targum interprets the two metaphors by making them similes: the meek are like a bruised reed and the poor are like a smoldering wick (perhaps to disambiguate from the metaphors in 36:6 and 43:17).<sup>24</sup> The Targum renders the second part of the verse literally without any addition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, The Old Greek of Isaiah, 62-63.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Ottley, Isaiah, II 284.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> "Behold, you are relying on *Pharaoh king of* Egypt, that broken reed of a staff, which will pierce the hand of *the* man who leans on it. Such is Pharaoh king of Egypt to all who rely on him."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> "*The poor who are like* a bruised reed he will not break, and *the needy who are like* a dimly burning wick he will not quench; he will bring forth *judgment* for *his* truth."

In the two places where an extended meaning of קנה is used, LXX translates appropriately. In Isa 43:24 the plant is mentioned in the context of sacrifices, so means specifically the plant *acorus calamus* or calamus, which has a root used in incense.<sup>25</sup> The LXX renders with  $\theta u \mu i \alpha \mu \alpha$  (incense), and the Targum clarifies by saying קני בסם. In 46:6 is used to refer to the beam of a set of scales. The LXX renders it with  $\zeta u \gamma \delta \varsigma$ , which is the appropriate Greek term,<sup>26</sup> and the Targum takes a similar strategy rendering with  $\lambda u \gamma \delta \varsigma$ .

#### גמא .3.1.2

Another term for a reed is גֶׁמָא which, as we have seen, means papyrus. We have discussed its only other occurrence in 35:7.

sending	הַשֹּׁלֵח בַּיָּם צִירִים	ό ἀποστέλλων ἐν	he who sends
ambassadors by <i>sea</i> in vessels of	וּבִרְלֵי־גֹמֶא ֿעַל־פְּנֵי־	θαλάσση ὄμηρα καὶ ἐπιστολὰς βυβλίνας	hostages by sea and papyrus letters on
papyrus on the waters! Go, you	מַיִם ֹלְכַוּ   מַלְאָכִים	ἐπάνω τοῦ ὕδατος·	the water! For swift messengers will go
swift messengers,	קַלִּים אֶל־גּוֹי מְמֻשְׁדָ	πορεύσονται γὰρ ἄγγελοι κοῦφοι πρὸς	to a high nation,
to a nation tall and smooth, to a people	וּמוֹרָט אֶל־עַם נוֹרָא	ἔθνος μετέωρον καὶ	and a foreign and fierce people: who
feared near and far,	מִז־הָוּא וְהֶלְאָה גָוֹי	ξένον λαὸν καὶ	is beyond it? It is a
a nation mighty and conquering, whose	קַו־קָו וּמְבוּסָה אֲשֶׁר־	χαλεπόν, τίς αὐτοῦ ἐπέκεινα; ἔθνος	nation without hope and trampled down.
land the rivers	בַּזְאָוּ נְהָרֵים אַרְצָוֹ: בָּזְאָוּ נְהָרֵים אַרְצוֹ:	ἀνέλπιστον καὶ	Now the rivers of
divide.	ידיינגי דייט שייבי קייי 	καταπεπατημένον.	the land
		νῦν οἱ ποταμοὶ τῆς γῆς	

Our interest in this passage is only in the first parallel clauses. In the Hebrew, the second cola expands on how the messengers will travel on the sea, namely, on papyrus boats on the water. The LXX takes the phrase  $\varsigma_{1}^{2}$  not as a description of a kind of boat, but as a circumlocution for an epistle.<sup>27</sup> The LXX seems to have a more specific idea for this passage in mind than the Hebrew expresses. This is seen by the rendering of  $\varsigma_{1}^{2}$ . This term for some sort of messenger is translated with  $\mathring{a}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\varsigma$  (three times) in the LXX, and in LXX-Isa is twice translated with  $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ υς. Only here is it rendered with  $\mathring{b}\mu\eta\rho\sigma\varsigma$ .<sup>28</sup> This rendering shows a much more specific relationship: if they sent only a messenger or envoy it shows they wanted to talk, but sending hostages shows they already have a certain agreement or obligation and are subordinate. This rendering may be in part under the influence of the translator's understanding of the next clause.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Musselman, *Figs*, *Dates*, *Laurel*, and Myrrh, 73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> LSJ, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Ziegler simply calls it a free rendering in his description of the rendering of בָּלָ. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Van der Kooij points out that this word equivalence is also found in Aquila Prov 13:17 and Symmachus Isa

<sup>57:9.</sup> van der Kooij, "The City of Alexandria and the Ancient Versions," 147 nt.10. See also LXX.D.E.K., 2550.

In Hebrew the word  $\dot{\varsigma}$  is remarkably versatile, and often is given specificity by the noun it is in construct with. Only in this passage is it used to refer to ships.<sup>29</sup> While papyrus boats could undoubtedly be seen on the rivers and canals of Egypt, as indeed they can still be seen today,<sup>30</sup> the only other Biblical reference to a papyrus water craft is the אֵנָיוֹת אֶבֶה Job 9:26 and the ark in Exod 2:3, but in neither place does the Greek render as a papyrus boat. The translator of LXX-Isa 18 could have taken בְּלִי in its most general sense, "an article, object,"<sup>31</sup> and given the material "papyrus" and the context of sending hostages and messengers, rather naturally assumed the phrase referred to letters. The translator, then, translates by way of metonymy of the genus, exchanging the general "object" to the specific "letter."<sup>32</sup> Only here in the LXX do we find the adjective βυβλίνας, though elsewhere we find πάπυρος (Isa 19:6; Job 8:11; 40:21) which refers to the plant, not the material. The idea of ships, however, is still present in the LXX of the passage in 18:1.

Elsewhere LXX-Isa often renders  $\varsigma \varsigma v$  with the standard σκεῦος (Isa 10:28 where it refers to baggage; 39:2 where it refers to Hezekiah's valuables; 52:11 where it refers to temple vessels; 54:16 where it refers to something made by a smith; in 54:17 the term is used, but the LXX may change the meaning from a weapon to a generic item; 65:4 where it refers to cooking and eating vessels).<sup>33</sup> At times, though, LXX-Isa specifies to what it thinks  $\varsigma \varsigma \varsigma v$  refers. In 13:5 where weapons are meant, it is rendered with  $\delta \pi \lambda \rho \mu \alpha \zeta \rho \varsigma$ . In two places, the translator goes beyond specifying a general word with a specific rendering and actually interprets. In 66:20, the phrase  $\varsigma \varsigma \varsigma v \varsigma \eta \epsilon \delta \omega \alpha \omega \omega \rho \omega \sigma$ , a rendering due to contextual reasons.<sup>35</sup> We have already discussed Isa 22:24 (1.3.3.4.), but in brief, the entire metaphor of the verse is interpreted, and the various vessels have been interpreted by merism for all the people:  $\dot{\alpha}\pi \delta \mu \alpha \omega \omega$ .

It should be noted that in 18:1 the Greek adds a reference to a boat, πλοΐον, which could be under the influence of 18:2, or may be an equivalent for  $\mathfrak{LXX}$  as in Job 40:31.<sup>36</sup> There are undoubtedly other contextual reasons for the LXX translator's decision to translate these phrases the way that he does (see also, for instance, the translation of 18:2b and the same clause in 18:7), but we will leave that to other studies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The closest it gets is "cargo" in Jonah 1:5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> F. Nigel Hepper, *Illustrated Encyclopedia of Bible Plants: Flowers and Trees, Fruits and Vegetables, Ecology* (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1992), 69-70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> See BDB s.v., def. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Aristotle might look down on using the metaphor "vessel of papyrus" to mean a letter; while it is a sort of genus for species, the metaphor is not proportional, in that it can not be reversed; a vessel can not be called a letter very easily. See Aristotle, *Rhetoric* 3.4.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Ziegler describes the translation of כָּלִי in LXX-Isa as an example of the translator's freedom to interpret figurative expressions. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 83-84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> The only other place this term is used is in the previous verse, 13:4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Bringing a sacrifice in clean vessels is no longer possible in the Greek, since the sacrifice has become a simile for bringing prisoners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2550.

The Targum understands the clauses in question in 18:2 to refer to messengers and fishing boats, respectively.<sup>37</sup> Also the people are "robbed and plundered" by the gentiles. But in 18:1 the land is India, not Cush.

#### אַגְמוֹז .3.1.3

Another term for reed is אָגמון, related to the term for marsh, אָגמון

Isa 58:5

154 0 0 00			
Is such the fast that	הֲכָזֶה יֵהְיֶה צְוֹם	οὐ ταύτην τὴν	This is not the fast I
I choose, a day to		νηστείαν ἐξελεξάμην	have chosen, even a
humble oneself? Is	אֶבְחָבֶٰהוּ יֶוֹם עַנְּוֹת	καὶ ἡμέραν ταπεινοῦν	day for a person to
it to bow down the	אָדֶם נַפְּשֵׁוֹ הֲלָב'ף	άνθρωπον τὴν ψυχὴν	humble himself;
head like a bulrush,		αὐτοῦ· οὐδ' ἂν	not even if you
and to lie in	כְּאַגְמֹן ראשוֹ וְשָׂק	κάμψης ώς κρίκον τὸν	bend your neck like
sackcloth and	וַאֶּפֵר יַצִּׁיע הַלָזֵה		a ring and spread
ashes? Will you		τραχηλον σσο και σάκκον καὶ σποδὸν	under you
call this a fast, a	תִקְרָא־צוֹם וְיָוֹם רָצְוֹן		sackcloth and
day acceptable to		ύποστρώση, οὐδ'	ashes—not even so
the LORD?	לַיהוֶה:	οὕτως καλέσετε	shall you call it an
		νηστείαν δεκτήν.	acceptable fast.

Our interest in this verse is in the simile. In the Hebrew we have the bowing of the head compared to a reed bending; it is easy to imagine a papyrus reed with its globe of flowers at the top bowing down in the wind. The Greek, however, has changed head to neck and reed to ring.<sup>38</sup> Ziegler points out that  $\varkappa \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$  is elsewhere associated with necks, but never with heads.<sup>39</sup>

The word אָנָמן occurs only five times in the Hebrew Bible, three times in Isaiah it is not literally rendered (we will discuss the other two occurrences below) nor in the two occurrences in Job (in Job 40:26 it appears to be rendered with  $\varkappa\rho(\kappa\sigma\nu)$ , though Muraoka finds the equivalence implausible,<sup>40</sup> and in Job 41:12 it is rendered with  $\varkappa\rho(\kappa\sigma\nu)$ , probably due to the context). It could be argued that the translators of all these passages simply do not know what the word means, which is odd, since the LXX knows the meaning of אַנם In both Job passages it appears that the translator has used the context to make a guess (different in each place). BDB and Ottley suggest it could refer to a rope made from reed fiber, which would explain the rendering in Job 40:26 and Isa 58:5.<sup>41</sup> Another explanation can be found in looking at the words more commonly rendered with  $\varkappa\rho(\kappa\sigma\varsigma; \eta)$  (3x) and  $\nabla(\kappa)$ , both terms meaning "hook." The translator may have thought a bent hook or ring was a better image for a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> "which sends messengers by the sea and in fishing boats upon the waters! Go, swift messengers, to the people robbed and plundered, to the people which was strong before and continually, the people robbed and plundered whose land the Gentiles plundered."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> 1QIsa<sup>b</sup> agrees with LXX's second person pronoun: ראשך.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 99-100. He points out the close parallel in Ecc 30:12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Muraoka, Two-Way Index, s.v. Rashi, however, says אָנָמן refers to a bent needle or fishhook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> BDB. s.v. Ottley, Isaiah, II 359.

bowed neck than a bending reed. In either case, while the LXX changes the vehicle of the simile, it is still apt, as Ziegler has said.<sup>42</sup>

The Targum is literal, even using the word אגמון, though it feels the need to explain the simile, adding that the rush is bowed down.<sup>43</sup>

So the LORD cut off from Israel head and tail, palm branch and reed in one day	וַיַּכְבֵּׁת יְהוְה מִיִּשְׂרָאֵׁל רְאשׁ וְזָנֶב כִּפְּה וְאַגְמָוֹן יָוֹם אֶחֶד:	καὶ ἀφεῖλε κύριος ἀπὸ Ισραηλ κεφαλὴν καὶ οὐράν, μέγαν καὶ μικρὸν ἐν μιῷ ἡμέρạ,	So the Lord took away from Israel head and tail, great and small in one day

In the Hebrew of the next verse (9:14) the head (LXX: ἀρχή) is said to be the elders and those following them and the tail are the prophets. In the passage as a whole, however, there is no interpretation for what the branch and reed represent. If the two word pairs are understood as synonymously parallel, or two images of the same thing, we can suppose that the palm-branch represents the rulers (just as the Hasmonean kings used the palm branch as their symbol). The reed also, in theory, could represent prophets perhaps by the association of reed flutes (as mentioned with prophets and other instruments in 1 Sam 10:5), though this is a strained speculation. Apart from 9:14, there is no mention of prophets in the passage. The LXX seems to have understood בְּשָׁה וְאָנְמוֹן not as synonymous to the first image but as further describing it, and so renders it as great and small,<sup>44</sup> so that all the leaders and prophets will be removed. The branches and reeds, then, were seen as a merism for all the leaders. The only place outside Isaiah where the term בְּשָׁה is used is Job 15:32, where it is rendered pʿaðaµνος; as mentioned in the section on branches above (2.6.3.), the LXX-Isa translator may have thought he saw the word Ξ𝔅𝔅 in Isa 55:12.

Ziegler believes the translator paraphrases.<sup>45</sup> He does not describe why, but says that μέγαν καὶ μικρόν is a proper rendering.<sup>46</sup> Ziegler also points out that the phrase "great and small" occurs many times in the Hebrew Bible, but not in Isaiah.<sup>47</sup> He says LXX-Isa likes to use the phrase when the text is obscure, such as in 22:5, 24; 33:4, 19, though in all these other places the word order is the reverse.<sup>48</sup> Indeed, the Hebrew phrase that ἀπὸ μικροῦ ἕως μεγάλου renders in 22:5 is obscure; LXX.D.E.K. suggests the translator may have read two words, qrqq (ground) and qrqq (top of the head), and rendered the perceived meaning of the

<sup>43</sup> "Is this *it*, the fast that I *take pleasure in*, a day for a man to afflict himself? Is it to bow down his head like a rush *that is bowed down*, and to *lodge upon* sackcloth and ashes? Do you call this a fast, and a day *that is a pleasure before* the LORD?"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 100. Here he also discusses how the other versions deal with this passage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> agrees with MT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 84. Ottley calls the translation a "simplified version;" Ottley, Isaiah, II 157.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 84.

metaphor.<sup>49</sup> Here again it functions in Greek as a merism for all the people suffering what is described. In 22:24 the Hebrew is not obscure, the translator says  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\partial}\mu\mu\rho\sigma\tilde{\nu}\,\dot{\xi}\omega\varsigma\,\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{\alpha}\lambda\sigma\upsilon$  as an interpretation of the metaphor "from cups to flagons," prompted by the Hebrew כל כלי אסף אסף הקטן. In 33:4 the Greek phrase could be understood as an interpretation of the Hebrew אסף אסף אסף החסיל stop if the phrase were understood to show that even the spoil of a small bug will be plundered. In this case saying simply "from small to great" shows the same thing, that the spoil of all people will be plundered. The last place it occurs in Isaiah, 33:19, it is a plus based on reading the verse a little differently. Moving where the sentence ends, and taking המגדלים as a pual participle and שם in the next sentence in connection with it, the translator adds  $\mu\epsilon\gamma\lambda$  who are growing up. As we have seen, on several occasions the LXX-Isa translator likes to add "small and great" but it is because of how he reads the Hebrew and appears to be what he thinks the Hebrew intends, and not, as Thackeray believes, because the translator was in doubt of the meaning of the Hebrew.<sup>50</sup>

The Targum interprets these words in 9:13 as kings and governors and such: רישׁ

## Isa 19:15

Here again we have the two word pairs: head and tail, and palm branch and reed. In the context, 19:12-14, the wise men and princes of Egypt are depicted as powerless and confused, like staggering drunks. In light of this, it makes sense to suppose in 19:15 it is the leaders that are meant by the metaphors, like in 9:13. If this is the case, then the two word pairs should be the subject of יָּשָשָׁה (as in RSV), the verse meaning the various leaders are powerless to do anything to help Egypt.

The Greek, however, makes these word pairs the object of the verb. They no longer represent the leaders being able to do nothing, but describe the state of Egypt itself. In the context of incompetent and confused leaders, these word pairs seem to represent disorder. "Head and tail" may here be much like the English idiom "I can't make head or tail of it," meaning one can not understand or make sense of it (put it into order); the pair  $d\rho\chi\eta\nu$  xal  $\tau\epsilon\lambda\sigma\varsigma$  more clearly has this meaning.<sup>52</sup> To elaborate on Ziegler's suggestion, the rendering is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2559.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> H. St. J. Thackeray, "The Greek Translators of the Prophetical Books," *The Journal of Theological Studies* IV (1903): 583 nt. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> "So the LORD *destroyed* from Israel head and *commandant, ruler* and *tyrant* in one day—15 the elder and honoured man is the head, and the *scribe* who teaches deceit is *faint*;"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> agrees with MT.

dependent on the previous pair; it probably is meant to reiterate or explain "head and tail," in that  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$  is a synonym of κεφαλή (both render **Γ** in 9:13 and 9:14, though there the leadership is meant), and τέλος is chosen as a counterpart to  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$ .<sup>53</sup>

The Targum interprets these terms exactly as in 9:13.<sup>54</sup>

#### 3.1.4. Summary

In Isaiah, reeds and canes are mentioned only a few times but are used in a variety of ways. In two places they are mentioned as plants that live where there is water: in 19:6-7 they die as Egypt dries up but in 35:7 they are used to describe the desert becoming a marsh. That reeds are closely associated with marshes, so that a transfer between a place and what grows in it is possible, is not unique to this passage; in Exod 2:3, 5 the LXX has marsh ( $\xi \lambda \sigma \varsigma$ ) where the Hebrew has reed (סוף). In two places reeds are mentioned in the Hebrew for their frailty once bruised; the LXX renders these places literally (36:6 and 42:3). In 18:2 a word for "reed" is rendered literally but the phrase is changed from a boat to a letter of papyrus, due to the context. In 58:5 a reed is used in the simile of bowing for its ability to bend, but the Greek uses a simile of a bent ring or hook. In 9:13 and 19:15 the same image is rendered in two different ways. In each of these two places it is rendered to explain the meaning of the previous image; the image itself does not really have a life or meaning of its own to the translator (though in 9:13 the idea of a reed being frail may be at work in the Greek). All in all, reeds are used in Isaiah in a variety of ways, and the Old Greek translator tries to catch and accentuate their meaning in the context in which they occur, though this is not always how modern people would understand the Hebrew.

The Targum generally either interprets or renders literally, though occasionally will add words to specify the meaning. It expands 19:6-7 emphasizing that the rivers and canals are drying up; the reference to reeds and canes is preserved literally. Isa 35:7 is rendered literally, with only a few clarifying words. The bruised read in 36:6 is rendered literally, though Pharaoh is called king; but in 42:3 the Targum turns the bruised reed metaphor into a comparison describing the poor. In 18:2 the vessel of papyrus is rendered as a kind of fishing boat, explaining the odd epithet. The comparison of a bowed head to a reed in 58:5 is rendered literally, though the Targum clarifies the point of comparison: that the reed is bent. The Targum, like LXX-Isa, interprets the word pair "branch and reed" in 9:14 and 19:15 though is much more specific, rendering as rulers and tyrants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> "And the Egyptians will not have a king who will reign, head or commandant, ruler or tyrant."

#### 3.2. Grass

In Isaiah we find a variety of terminology for grass and greenery: דָּשָא, עֵשָּׁב, הָצִיר, and עֵשָּׁב, The various words for grass are used either to express the idea of something that quickly flourishes (44:4 and 66:14) or as something that quickly withers (15:6; 37:27; 42:15; 51:12);<sup>55</sup> often both ideas are implicitly at work (such as 40:6-8; 51:12; or 35:7 where dry grass is used in contrast to a pool of reeds). As a corollary to the idea of withering, grass is mentioned as something flammable and quickly consumed by fire (5:24; 33:11).

In the LXX, the rich array of vocabulary is reduced to just three terms: βοτάνη, χόρτος, and ἄγρωστις. Of the ten passages where grass terminology occurs in Isaiah, five are either not rendered or are not metaphors: The term ששט means dry grass or foliage;<sup>56</sup> as Ziegler has pointed out, both occurrences of this word in Isaiah (5:24 and 33:11) are parallel to the word but are rendered as verbs.<sup>57</sup> Since this term is not rendered literally we will discuss these  $\sigma$ passages in the section on chaff (3.3.2.1.1.).<sup>58</sup> The term קציר appears in 35:7; as we discussed in the section on reeds (3.1.1.), it is rendered, based on its other definition, with  $\xi \pi \alpha \nu \lambda i \zeta$ (residence).<sup>59</sup> Similarly, the Targum renders with שרי (to dwell). This could be because also in 34:13 הַצִיר appears even more clearly with this meaning. LXX renders it the same way in 34:13 but the Targum has מדור (dwelling place). The term עֵשֶׁב occurs in 42:15, but that clause is not rendered in the LXX, probably because the translator attempted to reduce "(nearly) identical elements that are not joined in coordination."<sup>60</sup> In 15:6, several words for grass are found and they are again reduced to two nouns (one becomes an adjective), though this verse is not a metaphor but describes how the greenery of Moab will fail. The LXX adds grass terms in three passages; we will discuss 9:17, 10:17, and 32:13 below in the section on thorns (3.4.1.).

This section will discuss the remaining five passages looking first at those concerned with grass that withers and is dry, then will look at grass that flourishes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Basson has two categories of plant metaphors more generally that represent a person flourishing (Isa 11:1; 27:6; etc.) or passing away (Isa 1:30; 3:14; 5:5-6; 14:30; etc.). Basson, "'People are Plants,'' 578-79. Sticher, "Die Gottlosen gedeihen wie Gras," 251-52 discusses metaphors where grass is transient, usually a vehicle representing the wicked.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> HALOT, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 9-10. However, his attempt to link the Greek rendering to the Aramaic meaning of "to feel, to suffer," is not convincing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Note that 5:24 was already partially discussed in the section on roots (2.3.2.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> This equivalent is also used in Isa 34:13, 42:11, and 62:9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 69-70. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has the missing clause. It is noteworthy that LXX-Isa has removed the clause with geography atypical of Egypt.

#### 3.2.1. Withering Grass

Four terms for grass,	, דשא חציר	ירק. and עשׂב.	are found together i	n Isa 37:27.

while their inhabitants, <i>short of</i> <i>hand</i> , are dismayed and confounded; they have become like plants of the field and like tender grass, like grass on	וְיִשְׁבֵיהֶזְ קִצְרֵי־יָּד חַתּוּ וְבֵשׁוּ הָיּוּ עֵשָׁב שָּׁדֶה וִיַרַק דֶּשָׁא חַצְיר גַּגּוֹת וּשְׁדֵמֶה לִפְגֵי קַמֵה:	ἀνῆκα τὰς χεῖρας, καὶ ἐξηράνθησαν καὶ ἐγένοντο ὡς χόρτος ξηρὸς ἐπὶ δωμάτων καὶ ὡς ἄγρωστις.	I weakened their hands, and they have <i>dried up</i> , and they have become like dry grass upon housetops and like wild grass.
grass, like grass on the housetops,	לִפְגֵי קָמֱה:		wild grass.
blighted before it is grown.			

This verse can be understood in various ways, and there have been several suggestions for how to understand ושָׁדָפָה.<sup>61</sup> The parallel to this verse in 2 Kgs 19:26 reads ושָׁדָפָה which makes better sense and appears to be the basis of the Targum of Isa 37:27.<sup>62</sup> The LXX of 2 Kgs 19:26 translates all the grass terms. LXX.D.E.K suggests that the Vorlage of LXX-Isa read שדפה which may have contributed to the rendering χόρτος ξηρός.<sup>63</sup> The possibility of this reading being in the Vorlage is strengthened by 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> which has הנשדף לפני קדים. While it is possible this word was read and contributed to the LXX's understanding, ξηρός could also have been freely added for clarity or under the influence of Psa 129:6 where יָהָיו יַבָּשָאיר גַּגוֹת שָׁקָדָמַת שָׁלף יָבָשׁ is rendered with γενηθήτωσαν ώς χόρτος δωμάτων, ὃς πρὸ τοῦ έχσπασθηναι έξηράνθη. In 9:17(18) as we will see, the translator also adds ξηρός (though here it modifies  $\alpha \gamma \rho \omega \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$  which is a rendering for "thorns") to make it clear that flammability is what is at issue. Likewise in 51:12 the translator clarifies with the verb ξηραίνω modifying grass. In 37:27, the translator understands the grasses mentioned to be illustrative of how the inhabitants will lose strength and vitality. As though the verb ξηραίνω were not enough, the translator also adds the adjective ξηρός to tighten up and focus the comparison, and perhaps to partially ballast the synonyms he has condensed. The Hebrew basis for  $ayp\omega\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma$  could be (as in Gen 1:11 and Deut 32:2) though it is an equivalent elsewhere for עַשָּׂב (as in Micah 5:6); this Greek term is not used in 2 Kgs 19:26. As Ziegler points out, ἄγρωστις is a kind of weed that grows in fields and is mentioned in the Papyri.<sup>64</sup>

The Greek has partially interpreted the phrase קַצְרִי־יָד to be more clear. The Greek has not rendered וָבֹשׁוּ.<sup>65</sup> Instead of being ashamed, the LXX understands וָבֹשׁוּ as coming from from <sup>66</sup> probably due to the grasses in the verse, and so was rendered with ξηραίνω. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> See Wilderberger, Jesaja, 1415, 1418-419.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> The Targum reads: דישלוק עד לא מטא למהוי שובלין.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2603.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 181. Michael Schnebel, Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten (Münchener Beiträge zur Papyrusforschung und antiken Rechtsgeschichte Heft 7; München: Beck, 1925), 114-15.
 <sup>65</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 63. She classifies it as an instance of the reduction of synonymous words in coordination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2603. Cf. 40:7 which has יָבֵשׁ חַצִיר rendered ἐξηράνθη ὁ χόρτος.

As mentioned above, the Targum agrees with the emendation to שׁדפּה.<sup>68</sup> Apart from clarifying the first part of the verse that their strength (חיל) is cut off, the Targum renders literally.

## Isa 51:12

I, I am he who comforts you; who are you that you fear a mere mortal who must die, a son of man who is given up like grass?	אָנֹכֵי אָנֹכֵי הָוּא מְנַחֶמְכֵם מִי־אַתְ וַתִּירְאִי מֵאֶנוֹש יָמׁוּת וּמִבֶּן־אָדֶם חָצִיר יִנְּתֵן:	ἐγώ εἰμι ἐγώ εἰμι ὁ παρακαλῶν σε· γνῶθι τίνα εὐλαβηθεῖσα ἐφοβήθης ἀπὸ ἀνθρώπου θνητοῦ καὶ ἀπὸ υἱοῦ ἀνθρώπου, οῦ ὡσεὶ χόρτος ἐξηράνθησαν.	I am, I am he who comforts you. Acknowledge of whom you were cautious; you were afraid because of a mortal man and a son of man, who have dried up like
			grass.

The Greek has made some modifications to this verse.<sup>69</sup> Of note for our purposes is that the last clause has been clarified. This use of the Hebrew verb Carl is unique to this passage.<sup>70</sup> The Greek interprets it to better reinforce the perceived meaning of the passage; it makes it explicitly a comparison by inserting the comparative marker, and interprets the verb to explain the point of the comparison: or ώσει χόρτος ἐξηράνθησαν. The translator appears to have prioritized translating with a finite verb over refraining from adding elements which turn the clause into a simile. This understanding makes sense in this passage, in that it illustrates how humanity is weak and feeble. It is probably under the influence of 40:6-8, where the verb ξηραίνω also occurs in relation to χόρτος, describing the frailty of humans.<sup>71</sup> Part of the idea in 40:6-8, which may underlie the Greek of 51:12 as well, is that grass turns green, springs up, and flowers quickly, and so seems to have great vigor, but is in fact frail and transitory. Ziegler also points to Isa 40:7 as an influence on 51:12, as well as 42:15.<sup>72</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> See van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 63-64.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> "while their inhabitants, *their force* shorn, are *shattered* and confounded, and have become *like* plants of the fields and *like* tender grass, *and like* grass on the housetops *which is singed* before it *comes* to *be ears*." <sup>69</sup> The plus εὐλαβηθεῖσα is probably under the influence of 57:11, as Ottley has suggested, Ottley, *Isaiah*, II, 340.

cf. Seeligmann, The Septuagint Version of Isaiah, 223-24 [71]; Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 76.

 $<sup>^{70}</sup>$  1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has the same verb, though in the qatal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2664.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 162.

The Targum also interprets the verb, but in a different way, and adds a comparative marker: ומבר אנשא דכעסבא חשיב.<sup>73</sup> The Targum rendering is more literal than the LXX. **Isa 40:6-8** 

A voice says, "Cry out!" And I said, "What shall I cry?" All people are grass, their constancy is like the flower of the field.	קוֹל אֹמֵר קְרָׂא וְאָמֵר מְה אֶקְרֶא כְּל־ הַבְּשָׂר חָצִׂיר וְכָל־ חַסְדּוֹ כְּצֵיץ הַשָּׂדֶה:	φωνὴ λέγοντος Βόησον· καὶ εἶπα Τί βοήσω; Πᾶσα σὰρξ χόρτος, καὶ πᾶσα δόξα ἀνθρώπου ὡς ἄνθος χόρτου·	A voice of one saying, "Cry out!" and I said, "What shall I cry?" "All flesh is grass; all the glory of man is like the flower of grass.
The grass withers, the flower fades,	יָבָשׁ חָצִיר' נְבֵל צִּׁיץ	ἐξηράνθη ὁ χόρτος, καὶ τὸ ἄνθος ἐξέπεσε,	The grass has <i>dried</i> <i>out</i> , and the flower has fallen,
when the breath of the LORD blows upon it; surely the people are grass.	כֶּי רְוּחַ יְהוֶה נֲשְׁבָה בְּוֹ אָבֵן חָצָיר הָעֵם:		
The grass withers, the flower fades; but the word of our God will stand forever.	יָבָשׁ חָאָיר נָבֵל אֵיץ וּדְבַר־אֶלֹהֵינוּ יָקוּם לְעוֹלֶם:	τὸ δὲ ῥῆμα τοῦ θεοῦ ἡμῶν μένει εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα.	but the word of our God remains forever."

We have discussed this passage at greater length in the section on flowers (2.4.1.). Here we will focus on its rendering of "grass." In Isa 40:6-8 קצִיר appears four times, and is twice rendered with χόρτος; the third occurrence of χόρτος is a rendering for  $\neg \varphi \psi$ . The other two occurrences of  $\neg \varphi \phi \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ; the rendering of  $\neg \varphi \psi \tau \sigma \varsigma$  is unique to this passage; elsewhere in LXX-Isa it is rendered with  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\rho \delta \varsigma$ .<sup>74</sup> Ziegler suggests this rendering is under the influence of the repetition of  $\chi \delta \rho \tau \sigma \varsigma$  in this passage,<sup>75</sup> but it could have been a deliberate choice. This rendering tightens the relationship between the image and the reality, so that man and his glory are more closely related to grass and its flower; also it tightens the relationship between 40:6 and 40:7, since the field is not mentioned again in the Hebrew. This changes the parallelism into a more climatic construction, rather than two parallel ideas. In Psalm 103(102):15 where man's mortality is again compared to grass and to the flower of the field, the LXX renders literally, using  $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \theta \sigma \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \sigma \ddot{\delta}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> "I, I am he that comforts you; *of whom* are you afraid, of man who dies, of *the* son of man who is *reckoned as the* grass?"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> 5:5 2x; 7:3; 32:12; 36:2; 43:20; 55:12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 150.

The Targum of 40:6-8 interprets that all the wicked are like grass, and their strength like the chaff of the field.<sup>76</sup> Also, in 40:8 grass is replaced with the wicked dying, and the flower with their thoughts perishing.

## 3.2.2. Flourishing Grass

In two passages, grass is used positively to illustrate things that flourish.

Isa 44:4

And they will spring up in between grass like willows by flowing waters.	וְצָמְחָוּ בְּבֵיז חָצֵיר כַּעֲרָבָים עַל־יִבְלֵי־ מֵיִם:	καὶ ἀνατελοῦσιν ὡσεὶ χόρτος ἀνὰ μέσον ὕδατος καὶ ὡς ἰτέα ἐπὶ παραρρέον ὕδωρ.	And they shall spring up like grass in the midst of water and like a willow by flowing water.
--	---	---	---

The Hebrew text of this passage is often emended in various ways;<sup>77</sup> the main issue is the unusual preposition בְּבֵין. LXX and 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> both have instead בין) כבין becomes ἀνὰ μέσον in LXX).<sup>78</sup> A second textual question is whether הָצִיר refers to "grass" or "reed."<sup>79</sup> HALOT lists 44:4 along with Isa 35:7 and Job 8:12 as occurrences where הְצִיר means "reed." But in each of these places, it makes more sense to define it as meaning "grass."<sup>80</sup> In any case, here the LXX renders it as meaning grass, making it a simile like the parallel clause.

A third issue is the LXX's plus:  $\delta a \tau \sigma \varsigma$ . The LXX *Vorlage* could have been the same as the MT or 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>; Ziegler suggests that  $\delta a \tau \sigma \varsigma$  was added for the sake of having a pleasing comparison.<sup>81</sup> Also,  $\delta a \tau \sigma \varsigma$  provides a nice parallel to  $\delta \omega \rho$ . While this addition could have been already in the *Vorlage*, it makes sense for it to be a deliberate addition, as Ziegler says, since nearly everywhere else in LXX-Isa  $\chi \delta \rho \tau \sigma \varsigma$  occurs in contexts of dryness (10:17; 15:6; 37:27; 40:6-7; 51:12).<sup>82</sup> The addition here would be to specify that fresh green grass is meant, contrasting dry land where water is poured in 44:3. In the MT, as it stands, the first clause is metaphorical, likening them to something that springs up in the grass. This metaphor is then made more specific in the parallel clause, where it is described in a simile. The Greek, by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> "A voice of one who says, "Prophesy!" And he answered and said, "What shall I prophesy?" All the wicked are as the grass, and all their strength like the chaff of the field. The grass withers, its flower fades, for the spirit from the LORD blows upon it; surely the wicked among the people are reckoned as the grass. The wicked dies, his conceptions perish; but the word of our God stands for ever."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> For discussion, see Elliger, *Deuterojesaia*, 363-64.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> The Syriac attests מבין.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> See Elliger, *Deuterojesaia*, 364.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Indeed, in Job 8:12 it would be a rather trivial observation that papyrus without water withers before any other reed. Also in 35:7 it would make no sense to say that the reed becomes a cane and rush. In both places grass makes better sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 73. Cf. van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 188. For the plus of the comparative particle see van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 132.

 $<sup>^{82}</sup>$  The other exception is 32:13.

modifications we have discussed and the addition of the conjunction  $\varkappa \alpha i$ , has made two synonymously parallel similes. The image in both texts is that of God pouring out water and his people sprouting up spontaneously, like grass after a rain shower, and that they will be like willows that grow where water is abundant (just as willows, in fact, commonly do grow).<sup>83</sup> In the Greek, more prominence is given to the idea of water.

The Targum makes clear the subject of this verse by saying the righteous (צדיקיא) will grow.<sup>84</sup> It also clarifies in what way they are like grass by writing רכיכין ומפנקין כלבלבי (tender and soft like a sprout of grass).

## Isa 66:14

130 00.14			
You shall see, and your heart shall rejoice; your <i>bones</i> shall flourish like the grass; and it shall be known that the hand of the LORD is with his servants, and his indignation is against his enemies.	וּרְאִיתֶםׂ וְשָׁשׁ לִבְּכֶׂם וְעַצְמוֹתֵיכֶם כַּדָּשָׁא תִפְרֵחְנָה וְנוֹדְעֶה יִד־יְהוָה' אֶת־עֲבָדָׁיו וְזָעֵם אֶת־אֹיְבֵיו:	καὶ ὄψεσθε, καὶ χαρήσεται ὑμῶν ἡ καρδία, καὶ τὰ ὀστᾶ ὑμῶν ὡς βοτάνη ἀνατελεῖ· καὶ γνωσθήσεται ἡ χεὶρ κυρίου τοῖς σεβομένοις αὐτόν, καὶ ἀπειλήσει τοῖς ἀπειθοῦσιν.	And you shall see, and your heart shall rejoice, and your bones shall grow like grass, and the hand of the LORD shall be known to those who worship him, and he shall threaten those who disobey him.

In this passage, in both languages, there is the peculiar simile that their bones will sprout up like grass. The idea is of dry dormant grass turning green and sprouting into luxuriant green pasture grass, seemingly overnight, when it is watered. Bones are mentioned to represent the whole body's renewal whereas the heart refers more to mental or spiritual health.<sup>85</sup> This is a positive image, whereas so far we have mostly seen humans compared to grass to emphasize their transience, particularly in 40:6-8 where we saw another metonymy for physical bodies ( $\sigma \alpha \rho \xi$ ) compared to grass. The meaning of this simile is probably best understood in light of Isa 58:11, where the bones are made strong (fat in Greek, cf. Prov 15:30) in the context of God providing needs in dry places.<sup>86</sup>

While the Hebrew term ξ seems to denote mostly fresh grass,<sup>87</sup> the Greek rendering βοτάνη implies herbage good for pasturing.<sup>88</sup> Both words, though, can be vague terms for vegetation or herbage;<sup>89</sup> they are equivalents meaning this in Gen 1:11, where also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Musselman, Figs, Dates, Laurrel, and Myrrh, 308. Hepper, Bible Plants, 72, also says willows love water and take root quickly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> "The righteous shall be exalted, tender and indulged as tufts of grass, like a tree that sends its roots by streams of waters."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> R. N. Whybray, *Isaiah 40-66* (London: Oliphants, 1975), 286. Also BDB, s.v. עצם.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Some manuscripts (κ<sup>ca</sup>, A, Q, 26, 86, etc.; see Ziegler's apparatus) have an additional explanatory simile in 58:11, and so read: καὶ τὰ ὀστᾶ σου ὡς βοτάνη ἀνατελεῖ καὶ πιανθήσεται. For the rendering of τ⊆ν with σέβω, see LXX.D.E.K., 2690.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> HALOT, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> LSJ, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Muraoka describes the Greek term as "growth on land, 'plant, herbage.'" Muraoka, Lexicon, s.v.

we can find  $\chi \acute{o}\rho \tau \circ \varsigma$ .<sup>90</sup> The word  $\beta \circ \tau \acute{a} \nu \eta$  is probably used here in Isa 66:14 because it has more positive connotations than  $\chi \acute{o}\rho \tau \circ \varsigma$ .

The Targum has גויה (body) for עצם (bone), probably by way of metonymy, but renders the rest of the simile literally.<sup>91</sup>

#### 3.2.3. Summary

As we have seen, Isaiah uses grass primarily to show something that quickly flourishes and just as quickly withers;<sup>92</sup> grass is quickly consumed by fire, and is used to show desolation (eg. 15:6). Where the LXX does not render grass terms (5:24; 33:11; 15:6; 35:7; 42:15) it is not due to the metaphor but to other considerations. Where the terms are rendered, LXX-Isa uses fewer terms for grass but will often make explicit whether well watered grass or dry grass is meant. In two passages where LXX-Isa introduces terms for grass (9:17(18); 10:17, both discussed in the section on thorns, 3.4.1.) it is mentioned for its flammability; in the third passage, 32:13 (also discussed in the section on thorns, 3.4.2.), grass is mentioned in contrast to cultivated plants to describe a field becoming fallow.

Likewise where grass is mentioned as something that quickly withers, LXX-Isa maintains the metaphor, often making explicit that dryness is at issue. In 37:27, possibly due to textual issues, LXX-Isa adds a verb and an adjective to show that dry grass is meant; also what may be an implied simile in Hebrew is made explicitly a simile in the Greek. In 51:12 a unique usage of a Hebrew word is rendered as meaning dried out; again an implied simile is made explicit. In 40:6-8 grass is rendered several times in an image of human frailty; the LXX adds a reference to grass with the effect of tying together more closely two metaphors in the passage and improving the style of the passage.

Where grass is mentioned as something quickly sprouting and returning to life the LXX makes this clear. In 44:4 the translator adds that the grass is near water to emphasize its greenness and for the sake of the parallel clause. The Hebrew has a metaphor that is expanded by a simile in the parallel clause, but the LXX makes it two synonymously parallel similes (the first simile may have been due to the *Vorlage*). In 66:14 the unique comparison of bones sprouting like greenery is maintained as a simile in the Greek. The choice of  $\beta \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \eta$  may be due to it having more positive connotations of lush healthy vegetation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Perhaps there βοτάνη is used for consonance with βλαστησάτω to compensate for the cognate accusative lost from the Hebrew; the two following cognate accusatives are found also in Greek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> "You shall see, and your heart shall rejoice; your *bodies* shall flourish like grasses; and the *might* of the LORD shall be *revealed to do good to* his servants, *the righteous*, and he will *bring a curse to* his enemies."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Eidvall, studying metaphors in the Psalms, found that plants, particularly grass (Psa 90:5; 103:15; 37:2), are used for the brevity of human life (though in Psa 72:16 grass has a positive sense); G. Eidvall, "Metaphorical Landscapes in the Psalms," in *Metaphors in the Psalms* (ed. P. van Hecke and A. Labhan; BETL 231; Leuven: Peeters, 2010): 13-22.

LXX-Isa's conception of grass is largely based on the Hebrew usage. It is noteworthy that the situation in Egypt was quite different from that of Judea in terms of grass lands. While in Judea grass of various qualities was abundant in places, in Egypt pasture land was scarce and typically the result of cultivation.<sup>93</sup> Grass was not a sign of wilderness but a crop important for fodder which was taxed.<sup>94</sup> Indeed, in the papyri  $\chi \acute{o}\rho \tau \sigma \varsigma$  is used as a general term for fodder.<sup>95</sup> While the qualities of grass flourishing, withering, and flammability would have been known, LXX-Isa's negative view of grass is not typical of the Egyptian landscape.

The Targum renders most of these places literally (15:6; 42:15; 37:27; 5:24). Like LXX-Isa, in 35:7 the Targum understands  $\neg$  as meaning "residence." In a few places the imagery is maintained, but is applied to a different subject: in 40:6-8 only the wicked and their strength are like grass; and in 44:4 the righteous are like grass, and the Targum specifies in what way, namely, their softness and tenderness. In 66:14, instead of "bones" sprouting the Targum has "body," but is otherwise the same. In 51:12 the vague verb "to give" is interpreted as meaning "considered." Of the passages that mention grass, 33:11 is rendered the most freely by the Targum; it interprets the phrase mentioning grass, but still maintains a reference to chaff (see III.C.2.a.).

#### 3.3. Grains

Grains like wheat and barley are a kind of grass, botanically speaking. Due to their importance to civilized life, considerable terminology is related to them. In this section we will examine how metaphors are used in Isaiah that come from both the different types of grain and the various parts of grain.<sup>96</sup>

#### 3.3.1. Types of Grain

## 3.3.1.1. Texts

We can find several terms for various grain crops in Isa 28:25.97

When they have	הֲלוֹאׂ אִם־שָׁוָּה פָנֶׁיהָ	οὐχ ὅταν ὁμαλίσῃ	When he has leveled
leveled its surface, do		αύτης το ποόσωπου	its surface, does he
they not scatter black	וְהֵפִּיץ הֶצַח וְכַמַּז	τότε σπείρει μικρὸν	not then sow <i>black</i>
<i>cumin</i> , sow cumin,		μελάνθιον καὶ κύμινον	<i>cumin</i> and cumin and

<sup>93</sup> Schnebel, Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten, 211-12.

<sup>94</sup> Schnebel, Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten, 212-18.

<sup>95</sup> Schnebel, Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten, 212-13.

<sup>96</sup> We have not discussed the parts of grain (chaff, ear, straw, stubble) in the previous chapter since the way these metaphors are used are more closely related to grass and thorns which are discussed in this chapter.

<sup>97</sup> בר (grain, corn) does not occur in Isaiah.

and plant wheat in rows and barley in its proper place, and <i>emmer-wheat</i> as the border?	ζέαν ἐν τοῖς ὁρίοις σου;	again sow wheat and barley and <i>einkorn</i> in your borders?
---	--------------------------	--

In the Hebrew, two herbs are mentioned,  $\Box \mathfrak{g}$  (black cumin)<sup>98</sup> and  $\Box \mathfrak{g}$  (cumin); they occur again in 28:27 and are rendered the same way as here. The LXX translates these spices accurately; Ziegler points out that they are two spices often mentioned in the papyri.<sup>99</sup> Additionally he says that the LXX addition  $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \delta \nu$  is accurate in that only a small amount of black cumin was sown.<sup>100</sup> Theophrastus does not mention the name  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \delta \nu \theta \iota o \nu$  but does talk about a black variety of cumin.<sup>101</sup> Also, he does not tell us where to plant cumin ( $\kappa \iota \mu \iota \nu o \nu$ ) in a field, but does mention that some say that for an abundant crop one should curse and abuse it while sowing.<sup>102</sup>

The meaning of two Hebrew terms are uncertain. Three possibilities for אוֹרָה are 1) a kind of grain; 2) a row in which the wheat is planted; 3) a dittography of וּשְׁעָרָה.<sup>103</sup> The word וּשָׁעָרָה likewise has multiple explanations: 1) a niphal participle of סמן, meaning to place;<sup>104</sup> 2) it is simply unexplained;<sup>105</sup> 3) a dittography of יוֹכָשָּׁתָר (millet).<sup>106</sup> Whatever they may mean, the LXX has not rendered them, according to Ziegler, "weil sie nichts mit ihnen anfangen konnte."<sup>107</sup>

While the Hebrew seems to emphasize in the previous verse preparing the fields and in v.25 how to arrange the crops in the field, this verse does not seem to take timing into account. At least according to Theophrastus, barley is sown before wheat ( $\zeta \epsilon t \dot{\alpha}$ , which is not the same species as  $\zeta \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$  but is the same genus, is sown earlier than wheat and barley).<sup>108</sup> Likewise in Exod 9:31-32 the barley and flax are ruined by the hail, but the הַטָּהָ and הַטָּה are not because they ripen later. Ziegler thinks the translation of  $\Box \phi \alpha$  was a last resort, but that the translator has chosen a grain variety common to Egypt; he says it is often found in the papyri and that Pliny the Elder mentions it as an Egyptian crop.<sup>109</sup> While  $\zeta \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$  is probably einkorn (*triticum monococcum*),<sup>110</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> KJV renders with "fitches," a kind of vetch used for fodder; NRSV renders "dill," perhaps following Luther's translation. I follow HALOT and LXX, rendering it with "black cumin."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 183.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 183-84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 7.3.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 7.3.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> These views can be seen in HALOT, s.v.; Wildberger, Jesaja, 1084.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> DCH, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> HALOT, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> The last three explanations can be found in Wildberger, *Jesaja*, 1084. The word is left un-rendered in his translation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 184.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 8.1.3, 8.1.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 184.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v.

according to HALOT,<sup>111</sup> but Musselman thinks it cannot be definitely identified.<sup>112</sup> In any case, one variety of grain has been rendered with a variety, probably from the same genus, used at the time of the translation.<sup>113</sup>

The reason for describing the various tasks and arrangement of agricultural activities is not to give precise instructions as for an almanac, but to show that all these different things are done in a proper way and for a purpose,<sup>114</sup> just like the various things being suffered, and so if they face destruction (28:22) for a time it is part of a greater plan.

The Greek, however, understands the section differently. While much of the passage (28:25-29) is rendered literally, though updated slightly to reflect contemporary Egyptian agricultural practices,<sup>115</sup> in 28:28 the Greek has an explanation of the imagery. As Ziegler points out, the translator has interpreted exegetically.<sup>116</sup>

## Isa 28:28

Grain is crushed for bread, but one does not thresh it forever; one drives the cart wheel and horses over it, but does not pulverize it.	לָהֶם יוּדָּק כֵּי לָא לְגֶצַח אָדִוֹשׁ יְדוּשָׁנּוּ וְהָמַם גִּלְגַּל עֶגְלָתֶוֹ וּפָרָשֵׁיו לְאֹ־יְדֻקֶנּוּ:	BOWAWGGTON ON NOO	will be eaten with bread. For I will not be angry with you forever, nor will the voice of my bitterness trample you.
--	---	-------------------	--

The translator has transformed the meaning of the entire section with this rendering.<sup>117</sup> Now the entire section is an allegory for Israel. They are plowed and sown, threshed, but not so long as to completely destroy them. The rendering seems mostly based on בִּי לֹא לְנָצַח, together with his interpretation of 28:22, where the prophet hears of works cut short. Ziegler points out a similar rendering in 21:10, where LXX-Isa renders "threshed" and "winnowed" with whom he thinks the terms represent.<sup>118</sup> Ziegler suggests the rendering of 28:28 is under the influence of 57:16, where God again says he will not punish his people forever ( לנצח (לנצח).<sup>119</sup> Perhaps another hint is found in 28:25 where the Greek changes the third to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> HALOT, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Musselman, *Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh*, 293-94. He is confident that it is not spelt or einkorn. Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 86, says that it is a hard wheat related to emmer, but is not more specific. He does, though, say it was known to the Egyptians as *swt*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> It is pointless to worry too much about the exact species since they probably changed with cultivation and since the ancients did not have a very good understanding about how they changed. According to Theophrastus,  $\zeta \epsilon_{i\alpha}$  will turn into  $\pi \upsilon \rho \delta_{i\alpha}$  in as little as three years if proper measures are not taken, and likewise wild wheat and barley change with cultivation in the same time period. Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 2.4.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Black cumin indeed needs to be threshed, yet is easily damaged, so is beaten lightly with a rod, as Isaiah says in verse 27. See Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 133.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> See Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 182-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 185.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> For a detailed analysis of this LXX-Isa 28:23-29, see Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 276-86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 185.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> He also points to Jer 3:12; Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 119-20; cf. Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 223 [70/71].

second person ( $\ell \nu \tau \sigma \tilde{\varsigma} \delta \rho \ell \sigma \sigma \upsilon$ ) which could be an allusion to Psa 147:14(3).<sup>120</sup> We will discuss 28:27-28 further below in relation to the threshing of grain (3.3.2.3.1.).

The Targum has interpreted the passage allegorically. Most of the allegorical treatment occurs in 28:24-25 (where it is about the prophets teaching and the blessing that Israel would enjoy if they would turn to the law), and the rest of the agricultural imagery is preserved or made into similes (as in 28:25).<sup>121</sup> In 28:28 the threshing idea is made clear and winnowing is added by mentioning the chaff being blown away.<sup>122</sup>

Isa 17:5 is the other passage where grain is mentioned, though here generically.

And it shall be as when reapers gather standing grain and their arms harvest the ears, and as when one gleans the ears of grain in the Valley of	וְהָיָה כֶּאֶסׁף קַצִיר קְמָה וּזְרֹעָוֹ שִׁבְּלֵים יִקְצְוֹר וְהָיֶה כִּמְלַמֵּט שִׁבְּלֶים בְּעֵמֶק	έάν τις συναγάγη ἀμητὸν ἑστηκότα καὶ σπέρμα σταχύων ἀμήση, καὶ ἔσται ὃν τρόπον ἐάν τις	And it shall be as if someone were to gather the standing crop and reap the seed of the ears of grain, and it shall be as if someone were to
e	שַׁבְּלֶים בְּעֵ <b>ָ</b> אֶק רְפָאִים:		0

This verse continues to describe what it means in the previous verse that Jacob's glory will be brought low and his fat made lean. The harvesting similes are familiar enough, but in what way things will be like a harvest is not made clear in this verse (unless the reference to the valley of Rephaim had a specific meaning to the audience). It is only in 17:6 that it is made clear that the image describes almost everyone being gathered up and removed from the land, so only gleanings are left, one or two here and there. This is made entirely clear in 17:9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 224.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> "If the house of Israel set their face to perform the law, would he not repent and gather them from among the Gentiles among whom they are scattered, behold as dill and cumin which is strewn? And he will bring them near by families to their tribes, behold, as seeds of wheat in rows and barley in proper places and spelt on the borders." <sup>122</sup> "They indeed thresh grain, but they do not thresh it forever; and he stirs with the wheels of his cart and separates the grain and lets the dust fly."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> For the scholars who hold to each view see Wildberger, Jesaja, 636.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 191.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> Cf. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> which reads: וזרעו שבלים וקציר.

A second peculiarity is the mentioning of the valley of Rephaim, which according to Josh 15:8 and 18:16 is located outside Jerusalem. Some hold that the text is corrupt, either missing some part, or אפרים אפרים אפרים.<sup>126</sup> Wildberger suggests the valley was mentioned to give a vividness to the image, naming a nearby place where his audience would have seen harvesting activities.<sup>127</sup> The LXX-Isa rendering of this phrase is unique. Elsewhere LXX-Isa only uses στερεός as a plus to modify stone (2:21; 5:28; 50:7; 51:1). Also, the other places the Hebrew שַׁמֶק רְפָאָם עֵמֶק רְפָאָם occurs, it is rendered literally in LXX (though not always in the same way). Ottley suggests the translator may have understood the Hebrew to mean the valley of healers, so rendered "strong, sound," or that he read ארקיע דומענים is rendered with φάραγγα τραχεΐαν (rough valley), which is explained in the verse as a place that is not plowed or sown.<sup>128</sup> The Greek may have actually understood r mighty men" (cf. Targum) as he did in 14:9,<sup>129</sup> but did not find "valley of mighty men" appropriate here, so instead said φάραγγι στερεξ "strong valley." In any case, the meaning of the Greek phrase in Isa 17:5 is that it has hard soil that is unsuitable for cultivation.<sup>130</sup>

The LXX has preserved the two similes, and also has the second more specific than the first, though perhaps with a different meaning than in the Hebrew. In the Hebrew the first two describe harvesting while the third describes gleaning. In the Greek, though, the three similes are nearly synonymous.

As mentioned above, the translator does not seem to have understood the term הָמְהָ properly. The only other place it occurs in Isaiah, 37:27b, is a minus in LXX-Isa. The term for an ear of grain שָׁבְּלִים, however, has been appropriately translated with  $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \upsilon \varsigma$ . Where this term appears to occur in 27:12 it is correctly rendered based on its homonym.

The Targum renders literally: ויהי כמכנש חצר קמא "and it will be like gathering a harvest of standing crop," and at the end: במישר גיבריא "in the plain of mighty men."<sup>131</sup>

#### 3.3.1.2. Summary

Only two passages in Isaiah talk specifically about grains. The use of grains in 28:25 is not properly metaphoric, but better categorized by the vague term *mashal*; they are mentioned to make an analogy to which the LXX adds an explicit interpretation in 28:28. In 17:5, however, the LXX preserves three similes, though changes their meaning, seemingly due to the difficulty of some of the vocabulary. It is interesting that the translator does not offer what exactly it means to harvest in the hard valley.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> For a few proposals see Wildberger, *Jesaja*, 637.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> Wildberger, Jesaja, 648.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 114. LXX.D.E.K., 2548 concurs.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> The LXX translator also knows the meaning "physician" for this word, as can be seen in Isa 26:14, 19.
 <sup>130</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, 635.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> "And it will be as a harvester gathers standing grain, and with his arm harvests ears, and as on gleaning ears in the *plain of mighty men*." Cf. Targum Gen 6:4 where גיברא renders נפּלִים.

The Targum interprets 28:28, as well as the rest of the passage, as an allegory, giving specific things for the various agricultural terms to represent. In 17:5 the Targum renders literally; its understanding of בְּעֵהֶק רְפָאִים is literal (taking the meaning of the place name) and explains nothing.

## 3.3.2 Parts of Grain

Apart from types of grain, grain plants have various parts such as the ear (שָׁבְּלִים), the stalk (שָׁבָלִים), 132 and the chaff that must be separated from the actual grain in the ear (מָשָׁב, וֹמָשָׁר), 133 Another term for one of the byproducts of threshing is תָּבָז (crushed stalks, straw, chaff). 134 In English, the word "chaff" can refer both to the part that is separated in threshing and to the cut straw that can be used for cattle feed, and so it is often found as a definition of the last three Hebrew terms. 135 The Greek word מֹעטְסָט means "chaff, bran, husks," 136 as well as "straw." 137 This was not a waste product but a valuable commodity in arid regions such as Ancient Egypt; it was used as a fuel source (often mixed with manure), as a building material (when mixed with clay or mud), as well as fodder (sometimes mixed with other grains, particularly barley). 138 Chaff was taxed in the Roman period, 139 but can be seen in papyri receipts already in the Ptolemaic period.<sup>140</sup> The word used by the LXX as a rendering of אָבָע , אַסָּטָרָ , אַסָּטָרָ , אַסָּטָרָ , הַשָּבָלִים). 141 though in the LXX it means chaff.<sup>142</sup> The only use of this word in the Papyri<sup>143</sup> is on some sort of receipt, but there is not enough context to firmly see to what it refers.<sup>144</sup> The LXX seems to want to distinguish chaff

 $<sup>^{132}</sup>$  According to DCH, s.v.,  $\varphi \varphi$  refers both to the stubble left in the field and the straw left after threshing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> See HALOT, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> HALOT, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> As in BDB, and HALOT. DCH, however, distinguishes תְּבָן, הָקשׁ, and שׁ more clearly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> LSJ, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v., has the definition "straw" and for Dan 2:35 "chaff and grain."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> Archeological, ethnographical, and literary evidence is brought together in Marijke van der Veen, "The Economic Value of Chaff and Straw in Arid and Temperate Zones," *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 8.3 (1999): 112-13. Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 91.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> See van der Veen, "The Economic Value of Chaff," 216 for primary and secondary references.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> P.Tebt. 3.2842 from ~140BC; and P.Princ.2.18 from the late 3<sup>rd</sup> century BC. Accessed 4/27/2012,

http://www.papyri.info/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> LSJ, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v. It would seem outside of the LXX, according to LSJ, this term is not typically used for chaff, but for dust, powder, and things that are fine and small. In Aristophanes Fragments, *Babylonians* 78, as pointed out by *LSJ Supplement*, we can find the phrase ἔχεις ἄχυρα καὶ χνοῦν, describing stuffing for a bed, Aristophanes, *Fragments* [Henderson, LCL 502], though even here "chaff" may not be meant. J. Lust, E. Eynikel, K. Hauspie, *Greek-English Lexicon of the Septuagint* (Revised ed.; Stuttgart: deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 2003), s.v. only gives Hos 13:3 with the definition "chaff" and defines all others as "dust." LSJ's examples from 2 Kgs 22:43 and 2 Chr 1:9 are problematic, since in both places it is a textual variant, and Ralfs' edition prefers the reading χοῦς.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Based on a word search of χνοῦς as well as χνόος on <u>http://www.papyri.info/</u> 4/27/2012.
 <sup>144</sup> HGV BGU 3.921.

as the husks from chaff as the straw, and so uses  $\chi \nu \delta \tilde{\upsilon};^{145}$  though perhaps the minute dust-like parts that are released in threshing, winnowing, and sieving which can not be collected for later use but blow away are what is meant by this term. Of the occurrences of  $\chi \tilde{\upsilon}$ , threshing or winnowing is only mentioned in Hos 13:3; Isa 41:15; and possibly in Isa 17:3 (though explicitly in the LXX).<sup>146</sup>

In two places LXX takes special effort to describe what is meant by "chaff." In Dan 2:35 the statue breaks and becomes like dust on a summer threshing floor (בָּעָוּר) cָעָוּר)<sup>147</sup> that is blown away by the wind. The Greek text o' feels the need to be more specific than just "chaff" and so has: ພໍσεὶ λεπτότερον ἀχύρου ἐν ἅλωνι. The Theodotion text is less specific, writing: ພໍσεὶ κονιορτὸς ἀπὸ ἅλωνος θερινῆς. The other place is in Isa 17:13, where γν is rendered χνοῦν ἀχύρου. In these two places it seems the translators felt ἀχύρον on its own did not adequately represent what was meant, but had to be qualified as some smaller part. Perhaps a similar concern is why χνοῦς is typically used for ἀζύ instead of ἀχύρον; this however, does not explain why a double rendering is not used in the other places ζ

While some of these terms have some degree of overlap, we will first discuss how LXX-Isa understands קלש, second we will look at תָּבָן, and finally מֹץ (including threshing metaphors, since they imply chaff). Each section has its own summary.

## קש .3.2.1

In LXX-Isa,  $\psi_{\vec{n}}$  is rendered once with κάλαμη (stubble, straw) in 5:24,<sup>148</sup> which is the common equivalent used elsewhere in the LXX, occurring eight other times. It is rendered in Isaiah most often, three times, with φρύγανον (dry stick),<sup>149</sup> and in 33:11 its metaphorical meaning is made explicit. In this section we will first look at the passages where  $\psi_{\vec{n}}$  occurs with  $\psi_{\vec{n}}$ , then where it is rendered with φρύγανον, third where the more regular equivalent κάλαμη occurs without a Hebrew equivalent, and finally a section summary.

## תַשִׁשׁ Occuring with קַשׁ

#### Isa 5:24a

Therefore, as the	לכז באבל הש	διὰ τοῦτο ὃν τρόπον	Therefore, as stubble
tongue of fire		ναιθήσεσαιναλάμη	will be burned by a
devours the stubble,	רְשִון אֵש וַחֲשָש	ύπὸ ἄνθρακος πυρὸς	coal of fire and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> The choice of this term is appropriate for referring to something small and fluffy, such as grain husks, though the etymology, as "something scratched off or planed" also makes sense for grain husks. This etymology, though the meaning "chaff" is not mentioned, is from Robert Beeks, *Etymological Dictionary of Greek* Vol 2 (Leiden: Brill, 2010), 1639-640. Of course this etymology was probably not thought of in ancient times.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> The other passages are: Psa 1:4; 34:5; Wis 5:14; and Isa 29:5. Also χνοῦς renders ជា in Isa 5:24, where also there is no sense of winnowing. In Job 21:18 it is rendered with κυιορτός, parallel to ἄχυρον. In Zeph 2:2 it is rendered with ἄνθος, another image of something transient (see Isa 40:6-7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> In M. Sokoloff, A Dictionary of Jewish Palestinian Aramaic of the Byzantine Period (Ramat-Gan: Bar Ilan University Press, 1992), s.v. both מוץ and מוץ are defined simply as "chaff."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v. Only one place outside of Isaiah uses this as an equivalent: Jer 13:24.

and as dry grass sinks down in the flame,	לֶהְבָה' יִרְפֶּׁה	καὶ συγκαυθήσεται ὑπὸ φλογὸς ἀνειμένης,	burned up by an <i>unrestrained</i> flame,
so their root will become rotten, and their blossom go up like dust;	۬ڣؚڔۻؚڡؗٝ ڎؚۿؚۣۘؗۘۘۛۛ ۾ ڹ۪؋ڽؗ۬ ڹؘ؋ؚڔؠٙڡ ڎ۪ۼ۪ؾؚٟ؏ ٮؚ <u>ڽ</u> ٙڽٝ	ή ρίζα αὐτῶν ὡς χνοῦς ἔσται, καὶ τὸ ἄνθος αὐτῶν ὡς κονιορτὸς ἀναβήσεται·	so their root will be like fine dust and their blossom go up like dust;

We have discussed the second part of this verse in the section on roots (2.3.2.). The imagery in the first half of this verse is a rather complex combination of metaphor and simile. Both the basis for the comparison and what is being compared are described in metaphorical terms. Despite this complexity, the passage is remarkably straight forward and easy to understand.

To say that a flame eats stubble could be described as a dead metaphor, or idiomatic, as could saying "tongue of flame." But when both elements are combined it is clearly a vivid living metaphor. The parallel clause is rather pictorial: one can just see how burning grass curls and bends as it turns to bright embers and falls.

The Greek translation modifies this construction, but not because of its complexity. The LXX instead of having "tongue of flame" as the subject, makes "stubble" the subject of a passive verb.<sup>150</sup> The expression "tongue of flame" is not common in Biblical Hebrew but can be found in some later literature.<sup>151</sup> In Targum II Esther 6:13 the phrase שלשנא דנורא סכנויג, referring to the flame that came out of the furnace into which the three youths were thrown. Also, in Enoch 14:9-10 the phrase  $\gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma\eta\varsigma\pi\nu\rho\delta\varsigma$  appears twice. It is also found in the Dead Sea Scrolls as 152 In a Dead Sea Scroll fragment of the Targum of Job 41:11 (11Q10) we read  $\Box$  where the MT has  $\Box$ .

The Greek rendered לשׁוֹן אֵשׁ with ἀνθρακος πυρός, which is a word combination that renders או גַחָלָי־אָשׁ in Prov 6:28; 25:22; and Isa 47:14.<sup>153</sup> This was perhaps under the influence of the phrase גַחְלִי־אָשׁ (Lev 16:12; 2 Sam 22:13; Psa 18:13; Ezek 1:13; 10:2). The only other place where fire is described in relation to "tongue" is Isa 30:27, where the Hebrew has place where fire is described in relation to "tongue" is Isa 30:27, where the Hebrew has is rendered καὶ ἡ ὀpyὴ τοῦ θυμοῦ ὡς πῦρ ἔδεται. The three recensions render 5:24 literally with γλῶσσα πυρός. In Isa 5:24 the transformation of the metaphor is probably due to harmonization to the more familiar phrase גַחֲלִי־אָשׁ אָכָלָת our passage it becomes singular. Also damaging to the "consuming fire" metaphor is that it is rendered as a "burning fire."<sup>154</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> For LXX-Isa's occasional practice of making active constructions passive, see Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 202-3 [55-56].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> The idiom is known in English, no doubt, due to KJV of Acts 2:3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> See J. T. Milik, ed., *The Books of Enoch: Aramaic Fragments of Qumrân Cave 4* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1974), 194. Cf. 4Q206 1xxi3 (4QEn<sup>e</sup> ar) for the phrase בל]שני נור Also, the Book of Giants 4Q530 2n+6-12,9 has לשנין.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> The rendering in Isa 47:14 is more complicated, as we will discuss below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> This rendering is not uncommon, see LXX.D.E.K., 2518.

Rather than the second image of the simile, the Greek understands a continuation of the image. The Greek simile is stubble burning from a hot coal spreading wildly, let loose, burning things. This interpretation is arrived at by rendering יִרְפָה with the adjectival participle מׁצנועציאָכ.<sup>155</sup> The verb מֹעוֹחְעו is one of the most common equivalents of the root in the LXX as a whole.<sup>156</sup> Perhaps שׁשׁשׁ was rendered with סטאָמגע, because it was thought to be synonymous with אבל, which is rendered with סטאָמגע, because it was thought to be synonymous with אבל, which is rendered with סטאָמגע, because it was there is no clear translation of is in Isa 33:11. In that passage also, fire is said to devour (אבל), but there is no clear translation of with הששׁשׁ. The repetition of verbs for burning create more unity in 5:24. Williamson points out that 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> reads: וחששׁשׁ, but this is most likely secondary and does not help with understanding the Greek.<sup>157</sup> 4QIsa<sup>b</sup> agrees with MT, having שׁ

Note also, as mentioned in the section on roots (2.3.2.), χνοῦς is offered as a rendering of  $\alpha$ , which the translator either did not understand or read as  $\alpha$ .<sup>158</sup> If the meaning "chaff" is meant, the translator introduces an image.

The changes in the metaphors of this verse seem primarily due to the understanding of the vocabulary, and are not an attempt to interpret or update the imagery.

The Targum renders literally, making the terms chaff (עמיר) and hay (עמיר).<sup>159</sup>

The other place naccurs it is again rendered as some kind of verb in the LXX and again occurs with ccurs .<sup>160</sup>

### Isa 33:11

You conceive <i>dry</i> <i>grass</i> and bring forth <i>straw</i> , your breath is a fire that will consume you.	תַּהָרָוּ חֲשַׁשׁ תַּלְדוּ קַשׁ רוּחֲבֶֶם אֵשׁ תּאכַלְכֶם:	νῦν ὄψεσθε, νῦν αἰσθηθήσεσθε· ματαία ἔσται ἡ ἰσχὺς τοῦ πνεύματος ὑμῶν, πῦρ ὑμᾶς κατέδεται.	Now you will see; now you will perceive; the strength of your spirit will be vain; fire will consume you.
--	--	--	--

The metaphor of conceiving and giving birth is used several other times in Isaiah. In 26:18 the people conceive and give birth to wind; the LXX renders this literally, though the wind is made positive in the Greek instead of representing vanity or emptiness. In 59:4 they conceive trouble and give birth to guilt and in 59:13 they only conceive and ponder lies,<sup>161</sup> there is no giving birth. The LXX maintains both of these metaphors in its translation. Perhaps the more concrete metaphor of straw, as opposed to something abstract, was considered to be too far-fetched or difficult to understand to be used in this context.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> α' has παρίησιν, "to yield," "fall."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> It occurs 10x as an equivalent, as does ἐκλύω.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> Williamson, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on Isaiah 1-27, 384. Cf. LXX.D.E.K., 2518.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> Also possible is that it should in fact read χοῦς.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> "Therefore *they shall be* devoured as *the* chaff *in the* fire, and as *stubble* in the flame; *the increase of* their *strength* will be as rottenness, and *the mammon of* their *oppression* as *the* dust *which flies*;"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> See Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 9-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> The equivalent χύω, χυέω for הָרָה is marked as doubtful in Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, s.v. For 59:4's relationship to 33:11, see Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 147.

Alternatively, the translator may have decided to interpret the metaphor to further emphasize the destruction coming upon the godless in Zion.<sup>162</sup>

It is unlikely that the Greek is based on a misreading of the Hebrew. 1QIsa<sup>b</sup> has a feminine form הששה, but this does not help us understand what the Greek does. The closest thing to a possible lexical warrant for öψεσθε would be seeing הוה והזה instead of הקראן; Ottley suggests perhaps they read הראו יח תראו <sup>163</sup> Also, it is unlikely that αἰσθηθήσεσθε was from reading הקרין.<sup>164</sup> There is even less of a lexical warrant for the use of νῦν twice. Rather than simply omit the clause, due to a strange metaphor, the translator has taken inspiration from the previous verse using νῦν in short clauses with just a verb. The translator saw that the verbs were second person, so he made the clause in the second person as a response to God in the previous verse. The translator seems to have interpreted the metaphor, rendering קלדו קש רוּחֲלָהו as ματαία ἔσται ἡ ἰσχύς τοῦ πνεύματος ὑμῶν. Perhaps שָלָדו suggested to the translator the idea of emptiness and is the basis for ματαία; according to Muraoka, this passage is one of the three free renderings in LXX-Isaiah that use μάταιος.<sup>165</sup> Ziegler suggests the passage has been influenced by Isa 30:15, where - is twice rendered with ματαία, and that both passages are under the influence of Lev 26:20.<sup>166</sup>

The difficulty of the metaphor in this verse is clear in that the three recensions seem to have problems with it as well. Aquila has συλλήψεσθε αἰθάλην "you will be pregnant with ash," Symmachus has κυήσεσθε φλόγα "you will conceive flame," and Theodotion has: γαστρί λήψεσθε σποδῆ τέξεσθε καλάμην "you will grasp ash in your belly, beget stubble."<sup>167</sup> Theodotion is the closest to the Hebrew, but still has the idea of ash instead of dry grass, perhaps because of the mention of flames in the verse.

The Targum rendering of this verse is very free, but we can still find in it a reference to chaff in a simile, though it is blown by the wind: מימרי בעלעולא לקשא ישיצי יתכון "My word, like a storm wind to chaff, will destroy you."<sup>168</sup>

## 3.3.2.1.2. קש Rendered with φρύγανον

In the other three places girsticon girstic

## Isa 40:24

Scarcely are they planted, scarcely sown, scarcely has their <i>stock</i> taken root	זרָעוּ אָף בַּל־שרֵש	ούδὲ μὴ φυτεύσωσιν,	For they will not sow, nor will they plant, neither will their root take root in
---	----------------------	---------------------	---

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2593.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>163</sup> Ottley, Isaiah II, 271. Troxel, LXX-Isaiah, 118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 118, nt. 172. He points out this equivalence in 49:26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>165</sup> Muraoka, Two-Way Index, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 147.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> See the apparatus of Gottingen LXX Isaiah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> "You conceive for yourselves wicked conceptions, you Gentiles, you make yourselves evil deeds; because of your evil deeds my Memra, as the whirlwind the chaff, will destroy you."

in the earth,			the earth;
when he blows upon them, and they wither, and the tempest carries them off like <i>straw</i> .	וְגַם־נְשָׁף בְּהֶםׂ וַיִּבְּׁשׁוּ וּסְעָרֶה כַּקָּשׁ תִּשְׂאֵם:	καὶ έξηράνθησαν, καὶ	he blew upon them, and they withered, and a tempest will carry them off like <i>twigs</i> .

We have discussed this passage in the section on roots (2.3.2.). Here our focus is on the simile "the tempest carries them away like straw" or in the Greek "like twigs." If the idea is being slight and easily carried by the wind, straw  $(\varkappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \eta)$  seems like it would make more sense than "twigs," though κάλαμη could potentially be confused for the stubble still left in the earth. The choice of φρύγανα as a translation, together with the reversal of the voice of the verbs in 40:24a, has changed the image. In the Hebrew the princes are scarcely planted (that they are next said to be scarcely sown is a chronological step backwards, probably as a hyperbole) and barely take root before they are withered. This language is an image of grain (or perhaps any other seed that is sown, or the flower and grass in 40:6-8) being sown, germinating, and being dried out by the wind before it matures. The Greek improves the logic of the word order<sup>169</sup> and makes the princes the subject of the verbs, though not sowing or planting, then describes them as not taking root but drying out and being carried away like twigs. Their stock taking root in the earth could be an image of planting tree cuttings. In Theophrastus' De Causis Plantarum we can find the same verbal form describing that transplanted trees should not have their hole filled in right away so that they can strike roots properly: Καὶ τοὺς γύρους οὐκ εὐθὺς συμπληροῦσιν ὅπως ῥιζωθῇ τὰ κάτω πρότερον.<sup>170</sup> In this case, the tiny branches (the princes of 40:23 who become rulers of nothing) do not take root (their rule is not established) before they are dried out and blown away in the tempest as twigs. This is in contrast to the common image of kings as trees (as in Isa 2:12-13 or Dan 4:20-22).

The Targum understands the sowing and taking root as children multiplying in the earth, but the last part has God's word scattering them like chaff בעלעולא לקשא יבדר<sup>171</sup>.

## Isa 41:2

Who has roused a	מֵי הֵעִיר מִמִּזְרָה צֶדֶק	τίς ἐξήγειρεν ἀπὸ	Who has roused
victor from the east,		άνατολῶν	righteousness from
summoned him to his	יִקְרָאֵהוּ לְרַגְלָוֹ יִהֵׂז	δικαιοσύνην, ἐκάλεσεν	the east, called it to
service? He delivers	לְפַגֵיו גּוֹיִם וּמִלָכֵים	αὐτὴν κατὰ πόδας	its feet and it will go?
up nations to him,	]	αύτοῦ, καὶ	He will place it
and tramples kings	ڹؚ۬ڂڂ ڹ؆ؘٵ ڎڴڟڂڔ ٮٙڶڂڹ	πορεύσεται; δώσει	before nations and
under foot; he makes		· · · · ·	astonish kings, and he
them like dust with	<u>רְּק</u> ָשׁ נִדֶּף קַשְׁתְוֹ:	έναντίον έθνῶν καὶ	will give to the earth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 75 thinks the transposition of words is not the result of the translator's exegesis.

<sup>170</sup> Theophrastus' *De Causis Plantarum* [Einarson and Link, LCL 471], III.4.2. This is after describing how the tree should be planted in certain seasons, and the hole treated in such a way to make it easy for the tree to take root.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> "Although they grow, although they increase, although their sons are exalted in the earth, he sends his anger among them, and they are ashamed and his Memra, as the whirlwind the chaff, will scatter them."

his sword, like driven stubble with his bow.	δώσει εἰς γῆν τὰς μαχαίρας αὐτῶν καὶ ὡς φρύγανα ἐξωσμένα	<i>their swords</i> and their bows like <i>twigs</i> that <i>are</i> driven out.
	τὰ τόξα αὐτῶν·	

For our purposes, it is only the last clauses that are of note.<sup>172</sup> In the Hebrew the two final similes are describing how the one roused from the east subdues kings and nations, his sword makes them like dust and his bow drives them off like stubble, presumably, is driven by the wind. The Greek has removed the first simile and the second simile is different in the Greek, though it is rendered literally in its own way.<sup>173</sup>

The first simile is removed, possibly, because while  $\Box$  was taken as  $\Box$  (perhaps since his text did indeed read this),<sup>174</sup> he has rendered  $\neg \tilde{\eta} v$  by way of metonymy. This is not an unusual rendering of  $\neg \tilde{\eta} v$ , it occurs forty-six times, including five other times in LXX-Isa (2:9; 34:9; 40:12; 47:1; 65:25).<sup>175</sup> The difference between giving them to the earth instead of to the dust could be very slight. The important change is that it is no longer "his" sword, but the swords of his enemies. The second simile is rendered literally, except the verb is made passive and the singular indirect object "his bow" becomes the plural subject "their bows." The simile in the Greek is not of driven stubble, but of bows being like feeble twigs. The simile has changed, but there is a better point of comparison: bows and twigs. In the Hebrew the sword and bow are the means of subduing kings and nations, while in the Greek they stand metonymically for the kings and nations, who are killed and expelled. The Greek  $\xi \omega \theta \varepsilon \omega$  is a unique rendering for  $\eta \zeta$ . The translator probably knows what it means (cf. 19:7 where there is a closer equivalent) and has here partially interpreted the simile.

The Targum understands the difficult Hebrew use of אֶדֶק to refer to Abraham.<sup>176</sup> Also it makes clear that he cast his slain like the dust with his sword ( רמא כעפרא קטילין קדם) and pursued them like stubble with his bow כקשא רדפנון קדם קשתיה.

#### Isa 47:14

See, they are like	הִנֵּה הְיָוּ כְקַשׁ אֲשׁ	ίδοὺ πάντες ώς	See, they all will be
stubble, the fire		<b>1</b>	burned like twigs on
consumes them; they	שְׂרָפְׁתַם לְאֹ־יַצִּילוּ		a fire, and they will
cannot deliver	אֶת־נַפְּשֵׁם מַיַּד לֶהְבֶה	un élés autai thu	not deliver their soul
themselves from the	אָת נַּלְאָם יִיַן גֶויָבָּח		from the flame; since

<sup>172</sup> For the pluses in this and the following verses, see Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 71-72. See also Arie van der Kooij, "Coming' Things and 'Last' Things: Isaianic Terminology as Understood in the Wisdom of Ben Sira and in the Septuagint of Isaiah," in *Festschrift for Henk Leene: The New Things: Eschatology in Old Testament Prophecy* (eds. F. Postma, K. Spronk, and E. Talstra; Amsterdamse Cahiers Voor Exegese van de Bijbel en zijn Tradities, Supplement Series 3; Maastricht: Uitgeverij Shaker Publishing, 2002): 135-40.

<sup>173</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> agrees with MT in this verse.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> Ottley mentions that  $\beth$  and ⊇ are easy to confuse in Hebrew, as also  $\varepsilon_{1\zeta}$  and  $\omega_{\zeta}$  are easy to confuse in Greek transmission of texts. Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 302.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> "Who brought Abraham openly from the east, a select one of righteousness in truth? He brought him to his place, handed over peoples before him and shattered kings; he cast the slain like dust before his sword, he pursued them like chaff before his bow."

<i>hand</i> of the flame. No coal for warming oneself is this, no fire to sit before!	אֵיז־גַּחָלָת לַחְמָׁם לְשֶׁבֶת נָגְדְוֹ:	φλογός· ὅτι ἔγεις	you have coals of fire, sit on them
--	--	-------------------	-------------------------------------

In this passage, the prophet prophecies against the daughter of Babylon in the second person. In 47:12-13 she is told sarcastically to consult with her sorcerers and astrologers, who are described as doomed in 47:14. They are said to be like stubble, burned by fire, and they cannot save themselves from the hand of the flame. This image is built on by the next, that the daughter of Babylon will have no coal to comfort her, since the astrologers are destroyed quickly like stubble in a fire, instead of providing a slow hot fire the way burning charcoal would.

The Greek has made several modifications. These modifications appear to center around the first two clauses becoming one clause with one verb: אמדמאמוֹש. The word אָיָד has been dropped and שמׁעדבי added. The preposition ἐπί is added to clarify and as a part of making the sentence better Greek. Here the rendering of אַיָש with φρύγανον is appropriate, since tinder is what is clearly meant. Also of note is that the translator has changed מִיַד לֶהָבָה to the more straightforward, and stylistically superior ἐx φλογός. The LXX-Isa translator has discretely removed it, since there is no need to personify the fire.<sup>177</sup> Similarly, in 64:7, דְּיָד גַיָּרָד לֵיָנוֹעוֹ א היין די גָאָרָבָה מָעָמָר מָאָרָבי מָעָרָמָג מָעַמָרָג מַרָּבָר As mentioned earlier, here the phrase אין־נַּגַרְלָהָכָת אוּר דישָסָלָ. The end of the verse is understood differently in the Greek and continues into 47:15a.

The Greek, by combining the first two clauses, has changed the simile. In the Hebrew they are like straw and a fire will burn them, but in the Greek they burn like twigs. In the Hebrew the similes have more interchange between tenor and vehicle, in that they are like tinder, and the fire that burns them is like a person in that it has hands. The Greek has moved further into the metaphorical language by making things more direct.

The Targum takes a different tactic, explaining each of the first two clauses so that they are weak like straw, and the nations are strong like fire that will consume them.<sup>179</sup> The third clause maintains "hand" but flame is rendered as their slayers: מיד קטולין.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>177</sup> Cf. 5:24, where the "tongue" of a flame is removed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> In general, the anthropomorphic or idiomatic use of ד is usually not removed in LXX-Isa, but the more rare idioms involving hands are removed. Similarly, Orlinsky argues that all three times the right hand of God occurs and thirty-six out of thirty eight occurrences of the hand of God are rendered literally in LXX-Isa. Orlinsky, "The Treatment of Anthropomorphisms and Anthropopathisms in the Septuagint of Isaiah," 195. The two exceptions, he says, are "rendered freely in accordance with the context." Likewise, Raija Sollamo detects no anti-anthropomorphic tendency in the LXX as a whole's rendering of במיד. See Raija Sollamo, *Renderings of Hebrew Semiprepositions in the Septuagint* (Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Dissertationes Humanarum Litterarum 19; Helsinki: Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia, 1979), 191-204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup> "Behold, they are *faint as the* chaff, *the peoples who are strong as the* fire *destroy* them; they cannot deliver themselves from the power of *killers*. *They have* no *remnant or survivor, not even a place to be rescued in!*"

understood if we think in terms of use instead of resemblance. Even in arid environments where heating is less important, fuel is still needed for cooking, and in Hellenistic settlements, for the bath house. Beside what wood was available, for fuel people would use manure, straw (chaff), and various small woody desert plants (such as zilla spinosa, cornulaca monacantha, and leptadenia pyrotechnica, all of which have been found burnt in Roman era Egyptian fireplaces).<sup>180</sup> By φρύγανον, then, the translator may have had in mind not dead wood gathered from beneath trees, but the smaller twig-like plants that can be found throughout the Middle East and Egypt. One plant in particular, *zilla spinosa*, exemplifies the qualities which appear in the LXX-Isa passages. It grows nearly everywhere, as can be seen in its frequent listing in ecological surveys,<sup>181</sup> and particularly flourishes in grassland communities.<sup>182</sup> An issue for these small desert plants is their taking root: if their roots do not grow deep enough (to reach moist ground) before the wet season ends, they die,<sup>183</sup> like in LXX-Isa 40:24. That φρύγανον is carried by the wind also makes more sense if we consider it to refer to such small desert plants, some of which act like a kind of tumble weed (such as gundella tournefortii and salsola kali),<sup>184</sup> and most certainly could easily be blown about if they become detached from the roots.<sup>185</sup>

The LXX-Isa translator has only followed convention in 5:24, rendering with  $\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta$ , perhaps because elsewhere in the verse he understood other terms related to kinds of grains: dry grass is mentioned ( $\dot{\psi}\psi$ ) and the translator has also chaff ( $\chi\nu\sigma\tilde{\nu}\varsigma$ ).<sup>186</sup> As mentioned above, there are some hints that may show there was good reason for the strange equivalent favored by LXX-Isa. In 40:24 the translator has perhaps used  $\phi\rho\dot{\nu}\gamma\alpha\nu\sigma\nu$  to contrast the princes mentioned to the common image of kings as trees. In 41:12 the Greek has changed the metaphor: instead of being driven by the bow (implied to be as driven by a wind), the Greek has their bows expelled like flimsy twigs; once the translator takes bows as the object, it makes much more sense (due to their resemblance) to compare them to twigs than to straw. In 47:14 saying  $\phi\rho\dot{\nu}\gamma\alpha\nu\sigma\nu$  burned in the fire may be preferable to straw because its root already implies it is destined for fire. Also, a twig is a small staff or rod and so could be understood as a sort of mocking diminution of these important advisors. While  $\phi\rho\dot{\nu}\gamma\alpha\nu\sigma\nu$  is not an obvious

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> van der Veen, "The Economic Value of Chaff," 218-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> M. A. Zahran and A. J. Willis, *The Vegetation of Egypt* (London: Chapman & Hall, 1992), 112-13; 156-57, 220. It is mentioned repeatedly throughout the book.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup> Zahran and Willis, *The Vegetation of Egypt*, 156-57, 200-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>183</sup> See I. Springuel, M. Sheded, and W. Abed, "Plant Growth in Relation to a Rain Incident in Wadi Agag, South Egypt," *Vegetatio* 90 (1990), 159. They note that *zilla spinosa* is one of the best plants at striking deep roots, and so has a comparatively low rate of juvenile mortality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup> Musselman, *Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh*, 281-83. Though as he describes, *salsola kali* is used for food, not fuel. Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 57.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> *Zilla spinosa*, when mature, "is pulled out of its bed and goes bouncing through the desert," according to <u>http://www.flowersinisrael.com/Zillaspinosa\_page.htm</u> (accessed 3/5/2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>186</sup> Though the translator may mean "dust" and not "chaff" here.

rendering for  $\psi_{\vec{v}}$ , the translator has been able to consistently use it in a way appropriate to the context he creates in his translations.

This equivalent only occurs outside Isaiah in Jer 13:24: καὶ διέσπειρα αὐτοὺς ὡς φρύγανα φερόμενα ὑπὸ ἀνέμου εἰς ἔρημον. Here it is an odd comparison, to say they will be scattered in the wind like sticks; while sticks certainly blow in the wind, leaves, straw, chaff, and grass all come more readily to mind and are more dramatically carried by lighter breezes. The word φρύγανον only occurs in two other places in the LXX: in Job 30:7 it is used for , a kind of weed or artichoke;<sup>187</sup> in Hos 10:7 it is used for <sup>188</sup>, a splinter.

## 3.3.2.1.3. καλάμη where the Hebrew Lacks a Word for Straw

While  $\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta$  seems like a better rendering of  $\eta \ddot{\rho}$ , and is used more often elsewhere in the LXX, in LXX-Isa it is only used for  $\eta \dot{\rho}$  once (5:24), as we have seen. The other three places it occurs in LXX-Isa it modifies the meaning of an image. In Isa 1:31 it is used to further describe נוסא, in 17:6 for  $\eta \dot{\gamma}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\eta}$  (gleanings), and in 27:4 as a rendering for  $\eta \dot{\gamma}\dot{\gamma}$ (thistle). We discuss 17:6 in the section on trees (3.6.3.3.), and 27:4 in the section on thorns (3.4.1.). We will discuss 1:31 here because the LXX has the plus  $\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta$  and there are not other flax related passages in Isaiah with which to discuss it.

Flax was an important crop in both Palestine and Egypt. Types of linen are mentioned in Isa 3:23 and 19:9, and how the Greek renders them is interesting,<sup>189</sup> but the plant flax or its parts only occur in a metaphor in 1:31.

The strong shall	וְהָיֶה הֶחְסֹן לִנְעֶׂרֶת	καὶ ἔσται ἡ ἰσχὺς	And their strength
become like <i>tow</i> and their work like a	וּפִׁעַלְוֹ לְנִיצֵוֹץ	αὐτῶν ὡς καλάμη στιππύου καὶ αἱ	shall be like a <i>straw</i> of <i>tow</i> , and their
spark;		έργασίαι αὐτῶν ὡς	works like sparks of
		σπινθήρες πυρός,	fire,
the two of them shall	וּבְעֲרָוּ שְׁנֵיהֶם יַחְדֶו	καὶ κατακαυθήσονται	and the lawless and
burn together, with		οἱ ἄνομοι καὶ οἱ	the sinners shall be
no one to quench	וְאֵיז מְכַבֶּה:	άμαρτωλοὶ ἅμα, καὶ	burned together, and
them.		οὐκ ἔσται ὁ σβέσων.	there shall be no one
			to quench them.

Isaiah 1:31 tells how the wicked described in the previous verses, who will be refined out of Jerusalem (1:25), will self destruct. The word נְּעָׂרֶת refers to tow,<sup>190</sup> it only occurs here and in Judges 16:9. Tow is a by-product of flax production; when the woody parts of the plant are combed (hackled) out of the flax fibers, some fibers break and are also removed; these

Isa 1:31

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> Here again, perhaps *salsola kali* was thought.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, 335 finds this equivalent implausible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>189</sup> For the rendering of the articles of clothing in chapter 3 see: Michaël van der Meer, "Trendy Translations in the Septuagint of Isaiah: A Study of the Vocabulary of the Greek Isaiah 3:18-23 in the Light of Contemporary Sources," in *Die Septuaginta-Texte, Kontexte, Lebenswelten* (eds. Martin Karrer and Wolfgang Kraus; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2008): 581-96.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup> HALOT, s.v.; DCH, s.v.; BDB, s.v.

short fibers are the tow and can still be used to make coarser cordage, rough fabric, and often wicks.<sup>191</sup> The Hebrew image, then, builds in each clause. First, the strong are said to become tow, that is, something feeble; second, their works become a spark (something short lived, a flash in the pan). In the second part of the verse the image develops further by combining the two previous ideas: their works will set them on fire and the two of them will burn up; to make matters worse, in the final clause we learn that there is no one to extinguish them.

The Greek of 1:31a has made a few adjustments. The metaphors were made into similes, by interpreting  $2^{\circ}$  as though it were  $2^{\circ}$ , as often happens.<sup>192</sup> "The strong" and "their works" have become in Greek "their strength" and "their works;" "they" must be οἱ ἄνομοι and of  $\dot{\alpha}\mu\alpha\rho\tau\omega\lambda$  of mentioned in 1:28.<sup>193</sup> The change from "the strong" to "their strength" could be based on a *Vorlage* reading with pronominal suffixes like that of 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> which reads: (and also ופעלכם), though the person is still different. The idea that tow is weak can be seen in classical literature, in that  $\sigma\tau\nu\pi\pi\epsilon$  ivoc is used metaphorically for feebleness in Comica Adespota 855.<sup>194</sup> The LXX also renders the vehicles of the two similes each with two words, so גער שלא becomes καλάμη στιππύου, and ניצוץ becomes σπινθῆρες πυρός.<sup>195</sup> The need to specify that it is a single straw of tow may be to distinguish it from a stronger cord of tow, or from tow as a collective material.<sup>196</sup> Ziegler suggests καλάμη was added because it is thrown into fires in metaphors describing the punishment of the wicked (Isa 5:24; Mal 4:1(3:19)).<sup>197</sup> Theodotion and Symmachus use only one word for tow in Isa 1:31:  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\tau$  ( $\nu\alpha\gamma\mu\alpha$ , while Aquila seems to understand נְעָר to be from נְעָר (to shake), and so renders with τίναγμα. In Judges 16:9, where again the simile of tow is used, this time snapping in a fire, a cord of tow is expressed by the construct פתיל-הנערת (thread of tow) which is rendered as στρέμμα στιππύο in Vaticanus (B) and κλῶσμα τοῦ ἀποτινάγματος in Alexandrinus (A). As Ziegler points out,<sup>198</sup> in Sirach 21:9 a similar idea to LXX-Isa 1:31 is expressed: στιππύον συνηγμένον συναγωγή ανόμων, και ή συντέλεια αὐτῶν φλὸξ πυρός (The assembly of the lawless is bundled tow, and their end is a flame of fire).

In Isa 1:31b the LXX adds an interpretation for the metaphor by making clear to whom שְׁנֵיהֶם refers: oi ἀνομοι και oi ἁμαρτωλοί from 1:28, who again appear being destroyed together, this time by fire instead of crushing. In the Greek, the pronoun could not have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>191</sup> R. J. Forbes, *Studies in Ancient Technology* vol. IV (Leiden: Brill, 1964), 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>192</sup> Ziegler notes that  $\dot{\tau} + \dot{\tau}$  is often turned into a simile in LXX-Isa, *Untersuchungen*, 92. van der Louw, *Transformations in the Septuagint*, 233, believes the metaphor is made into a simile to underline the metaphoric value of "strength."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>193</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup> LSJ, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>195</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2509 suggests these words point to LXX-Isa 5:24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>196</sup> For στιππύον (which also can have the spelling στυππύον, according to LSJ, s.v.) as a collective singular, see p.cair.zen.3.59489. Cf. van der Louw, *Transformations in the Septuagint*, 233, who says that  $x\alpha\lambda \dot{\alpha}\mu\gamma$  is added to show that the weakness of tow is meant, as opposed to rope.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 92-93. But it only elsewhere (beside 1:31 and 5:24) appears in Isaiah in 17:6 and 27:4, where it refers to the stubble left in a field after harvest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>198</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 92.

referred to "their strength and works" since the LXX understands these as attributes of someone else (the lawless and the sinners). LXX.D.E.K points out that  $\delta \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu$  corresponds to LXX-Amos 5:6 and LXX-Jer 4:4.<sup>199</sup>

The Targum is similar to LXX in several ways: the strong again becomes strength: תוקפהון דרשיעיא, tow is rendered with two words in a simile: כנעורת כתנא; spark is also rendered with two words in a simile: כנעורת כתנא; and while "the two of them" is not the lawless and sinners but refers to tow and spark, twice we have a reference to לשיעיא.<sup>200</sup>

As we mentioned, Ziegler suggests  $\varkappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta$  is used in 1:31 because it often occurs in descriptions of the wicked being punished in metaphors using fire;<sup>201</sup> but we suggested it is added to distinguish that an individual fiber of tow is meant and not tow as a collective singular. While indeed in 1:31 and 5:24 we find  $\varkappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta$  destined for fire, in the other two places it occurs in LXX-Isa (17:6 and 27:4) the idea is related to what is left in fields after harvest.

## 3.3.2.1.4. Summary

It is clear that the LXX-Isa translator knew the meaning of  $\vec{\psi}\vec{p}$  since he translated it with  $\varkappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta$  in 5:24. In this passage he may have translated with  $\varkappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta$  because of the idea of the "unrestrained flame;" a flame in a field of stubble or where straw is stored would be difficult to restrain compared to how he usually translates  $\vec{\psi}\vec{p}$ :  $\phi\rho\dot{\nu}\gamma\alpha\nu\nu\nu$  (dry sticks) which needs to be gathered and typically belong in a controlled cooking or heating fire. In 33:11 the translator renders what he thought the straw metaphor meant: vanity or weakness; this is close to how Targum Isaiah understands straw metaphors in 5:24 and 47:14. In the remaining three occurrences of  $\vec{\psi}\vec{p}$ , it is rendered as  $\phi\rho\dot{\nu}\gamma\alpha\nu\nu\nu$ . In 40:24 the image is of something being carried away; by rendering with  $\phi\rho\dot{\nu}\gamma\alpha\nu\nu\nu$ , the translator continues the idea of the princes being planted and creates a subtle contrast to the common image of kings as trees. In 41:2 the image is again of something blowing away in the wind; in rendering with  $\phi\rho\dot{\nu}\gamma\alpha\nu\nu\nu$  the Greek makes a more apt image of the enemies' bows uselessly being scattered. In 47:14 the image is again about fire;  $\phi\rho\dot{\nu}\gamma\alpha\nu\nu\nu$  implies that they are destined to be burned which further advances the translator's rendering of the verse. The translator, then, chooses which vehicle, straw or twigs, will better express what he understands to be the meaning of the passage at hand.

The Targum renders the similes literally in 5:24, maintaining the reference to stubble. The rendering of 33:11 is free, so that stubble is interpreted as evil deeds, yet the idea of straw  $(\vec{v})$  is added turning the reference to breath into the common image of wind blowing chaff away. In 40:24 the first half of the verse is interpreted, but the simile of wind scattering straw

<sup>199</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2509.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>200</sup> "And *the strength of the wicked* shall become as a tow *of flax*, and the deed of *their hands* as a *spark of fire;* as when they are brought near to each other and both of them burn together, so will the wicked come to an end, they and their wicked deeds, and there will be no pity for them."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>201</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 92-93.

is maintained. The Targum interprets the righteous one in 41:2 to be Abraham, and has him pursue his enemies with his bow like stubble, probably before a wind. For 47:14 the Targum understands that one group are weak like straw as opposed to a strong group that destroys them like fire.

# תֶּבֶץ .3.2.2

Another term that refers to "straw" or "stubble," in this case meaning the cut straw used as cattle feed, is תָּבָן. This term is typically rendered with מעטףסע, which in classical Greek referred to the husk or bran of the grain,<sup>202</sup> but in the LXX refers more to the straw from which the grain is removed at threshing.<sup>203</sup> In this section we will first look at the texts where תַּבָן occurs, then make a short summary.

# 3.3.2.2.1. Texts

The word תָּבָן only occurs in Isaiah in 11:7 and 65:25.

# Isa 11:7

In the Hebrew, this image depicts future tranquility such that even animals will be tame and live together in peace. The predators will be content eating grass and hay together with their former prey. The Greek maintains this image, though it removes the comparison of the lion eating *like* an ox, but instead eats *with* the ox (note also the LXX does not bother with a synonym for  $\beta o \tilde{v}_{\varsigma}$ ), harmonizing to the first clause. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>, 4QIsa<sup>b</sup>, and 4QIsa<sup>c</sup> all have  $\Box q \sigma$ .

The Greek has made a few minor stylistic adjustments. In the first clause, it moves "together" ( $\ddot{\alpha}\mu\alpha$ ) to before the verb, and adds it to the subsequent two clauses. The rendering of שָׁבָן with  $\ddot{\alpha}\chi u\rho o\nu$  is a good choice, since both refer to cut stalks of grain used for cattle fodder, and can also mean chaff.<sup>204</sup>

The Targum renders this verse literally.<sup>205</sup>

In Isa 65:25 very nearly the same image is used again.

The wolf and the	זאב וטלה ירעוּ	τότε λύκοι καὶ ἄρνες	Then wolves and
lamb shall graze		βοσχηθήσουται άμα	lambs shall graze
together, the lion	כְאֶחָׁד וְאַרְיֵהׂ כַּבְּקָר	καὶ λέων ὡς βοῦς	together, and a lion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup> LSJ, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v. As a second definition he has the chaff and grain separated from the straw and grain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v. LSJ s.v..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup> "The cow and the bear shall feed; their young shall lie down together; and the lion shall eat straw like the ox."

shall eat straw like the ox; but the serpent its food shall be dust! They shall not hurt or destroy on all my holy mountain, says the LORD.	יְאכַל־שֶּׁבֶז וְנָחֶשׁ עָפֵר לַחְמֵוֹ לְאֹ־יָרְעוּ וְלְאׁ־ יַשְׁתֶיתוּ בְּכָל־הֵר קַדְשֶׁי אָמֵר יְהוֶה:	δέ γήν ως άρτον·ούκ άδικήσουσιν οὐδὲ μὴ λυμανοῦνται ἐπὶ τῷ	shall eat <i>straw</i> like an ox, but a snake [shall eat] earth <i>like</i> bread! They shall not do wrong or destroy on my holy mountain, says the Lord.
--	--	--	--

The Targum also renders this verse literally.<sup>209</sup>

While the term מֹעטףטי is used as an equivalent for תֶּבֶזְ in 11:7 and 65:25, it also appears in 30:24 and 17:13 (which we will discuss below in our discussion of chaff: מֹץ). In 30:24 we find a description of how the land will be blessed in the future, and how the cattle will have large pastures and will eat high quality fodder: וְהָאֲלָפִים וְהָעָיָרִים עָבְדִי הֲאֲדָמָה וְהָאֲלָפִים וְהָעָיָרִים עָבִדי הָאָדָמָה מוו have large pastures and will eat high quality fodder: וְהָאֲלָפִים וְהָעָיָרִים עָבְדִי הָאֲדָמָה יאַכֵּלוּ אֲשֶׁר־זֹרֶה בְרַחֵת וּבַמְזְרָה earth, will eat seasoned mixed-fodder, which was winnowed with a winnowing-shovel and winnowing-fork." The meaning of בְּלִיל חָמִיץ יֹא כֵלוּ אֲשֶׁר־זֹרָה גָבמון fodder, seasoned somehow and mixed with different kinds of grain and straw;<sup>210</sup> that it is special fodder is made clear in that it has been winnowed, which is not usually necessary for cattle feed. LXX does not render this literally but gives the general sense, that the fodder is מעטףמ מׁνמתεποιημένα ἐν κριθῆ λελιμημένα. The idea of winnowing (or at least it is threshed and crushed) is present, as is that it is a mixture, hay prepared with barley, so it is still a special kind of fodder, or at least more than the most basic fodder of plain hay.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup> Cf. Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 182 [41].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup> Perhaps it is better thought of as a deictic use of  $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ . See T. Muraoka, "The Use of  $\omega\varsigma$  in the Greek Bible," *Novum Testamentum* 7.1 (1964), 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup> This would be less jarring if the previous simile were: the lion will eat hay like it eats the ox. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> agrees with MT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup> "*the wolf* and *the* lamb shall feed together, *the* lion shall eat straw like an ox; and dust shall be *the* serpent's *food*. They shall not hurt or destroy in all my holy mountain, says the LORD."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>210</sup> Probably something like the slightly fermented mixture "silage" is meant, as NRSV renders it. For the identification of אָמִיץ with chick peas, see Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 130.

## 3.3.2.2.2. Summary

To summarize, LXX-Isa understands  $\pi \in \mathbb{R}$  to refer to a grain farming byproduct that can be collected and fed to animals, and so renders with  $d\chi u\rho v$ , which is a term used to render other words related to cattle feed. The Targum renders with the Aramaic cognate.

# מא 3.3.2.3.

The last part of grain plants that needs to be considered is the chaff or husk that is separated from the ear of grain by crushing or threshing and then is winnowed away. In this section we will first look at the texts where it occurs, then make a short summary.

# 3.3.2.3.1. Texts

The Hebrew term for chaff is  $\gamma \dot{\nu}$ , and occurs in Isa 17:13, 29:5 and 41:15, and in each case is rendered with  $\chi \nu o \tilde{\upsilon} \varsigma$  (chaff).<sup>211</sup> As discussed above,  $\chi \nu o \tilde{\upsilon} \varsigma$  was probably used as an equivalent of  $\gamma \dot{\nu}$  in the LXX to describe the smaller, lighter parts of chaff ( $\dot{\alpha} \chi \upsilon \rho \upsilon \nu$ ).

# Isa 17:13

154 17715			
The nations roar like	לָאָמִים כִּשָׁאון מֵיִם	ώς ὕδωρ πολὺ ἔθνη	Many nations are like
the roaring of many waters, but he will rebuke them, and they will flee far away, chased like chaff on the mountains before the wind and <i>tumble-</i> <i>weed</i> before the storm.	רְאֶנִּזִים כִּשְּׁאוּז מֵזִם רַבִּים יִשְׁאוּז וְגָעַר בָּוֹ וְגָס מִמֶּרְחֵק וְרָדֵּף כְּמָץ הָרִים לִפְנֵי־רָּוּחַ וּרְגַלְגַּל לִפְנֵי סוּפֵה:	πολλά, ώς ὕδατος πολλοῦ βία καταφερομένου· καὶ ἀποσκορακιεῖ αὐτὸν καὶ πόρρω αὐτὸν διώξεται ὡς χνοῦν ἀχύρου λικμώντων ἀπέναντι ἀνέμου καὶ ὡς κονιορτὸν τροχοῦ καταιγὶς φέρουσα.	much water, as when much water violently rushes down. And he will damn him and pursue him far away, like the dust of chaff when they winnow before the wind and like <i>a sudden gust</i> [ <i>drives</i> ] <i>dust of a</i> <i>wheel.</i>
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	wheel.

For the LXX's reading of the water similes, see LXX.D.E.K. For our purposes, it is important to note בְּמֹץ הָרִים has been rendered with ὡς χνοῦν ἀχύρου λικμώντων. LXX.D.E.K. notes that the idea of winnowing comes from Isa 30:22, 24 and 41:16, and that γμ is here rendered twice: χνοῦν ἀχύρου.<sup>212</sup> This double rendering is probably to specify χνοῦς as chaff, since it could otherwise be misunderstood, being parallel to κονιορτός.<sup>213</sup> Ziegler believes ἀχύρου is added because of λικμώντων.<sup>214</sup> It is interesting to note that this parallel also has two words where the Hebrew has only one: κονιορτόν τροχοῦ.<sup>215</sup> Another explanation is that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>211</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v. The word χνοῦς also occurs in Isa 5:24, for מָק. The only other place מָק occurs is Isa 3:24, where it is rendered with χούτος. Each rendering is appropriate for the context in which they occur, though they may not be very close equivalents for j.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup> LXX.D.E.K. 2549. See also van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>213</sup> Ziegler believes the translator inserted κονιορτόν due to the parallel χνοῦν ἀχύρου. Ziegler, Untersuchungen,
93. However, cf. 29:5, where τροχοῦ is added to explain κονιορτόν "dust."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>214</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 93. Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 193, believes ἀχύρου is explanatory, pointing to its addition also in 30:24 (as does Ziegler), though that context is different, as we have seen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup> See van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 34.

idea of winnowing could have come from the translator supposing הרים should be גיליל;<sup>216</sup> though Ziegler suggests the translator may have thought mountains are mentioned as a place where they winnowed in Palestine.<sup>217</sup> According to Musselman, גַלְגָל refers to a sort of tumble-weed that dries out and blows in the wind around the same time of year as wheat is harvested,<sup>218</sup> and so would have been seen blowing about when the chaff was also being blown away;<sup>219</sup> the LXX never renders in this way. Indeed here, the LXX understands the image to be of a passing wheel kicking up a cloud of dust, as in 5:28 where chariot wheels are compared to a blast of wind.<sup>220</sup>

The Targum makes clear that the waters are kings, translates הרים literally, and perhaps understands גַּלְגַל, or at least transliterates with גלגלא.<sup>221</sup>

## Isa 29:5

But the multitude of	וְהָיֶה כְּאָבֵק דֵּק הֲמְוֹן	καὶ ἔσται ὡς κονιορτὸς	But the wealth of the
your foes shall be		άπὸ τροχοῦ ὁ πλοῦτος	impious shall be like
like small dust, and	זָרֶיִדְ וּכְמָׂץ עֹבֵר הֲמָוֹן	τῶν ἀσεβῶν καὶ ὡς	dust from a wheel
the multitude of	עֲרִיצִּׁים וְהָיֶה לְפֶתַע	χνοῦς φερόμενος, καὶ	and like flying chaff.
tyrants like flying		ἔσται ώς στιγμή	And it shall be like
chaff. And in an	פּּתְאָם:	παραχρῆμα 6 παρὰ	an instant, suddenly,
instant, suddenly,			6 from the Lord
		κυρίου σαβαωθ·	Sabaoth,

Depending on how we understand הָמוֹן, the enemies' army or royal entourage, or the general confusion they create, it is just like a cloud of dust and chaff passing in the wind, just a temporary little cloud of chaos disappearing quickly and permanently.<sup>222</sup>

The Greek has made several modifications to the verse. Of note first, is that the Greek has added the idea of a wheel ( $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\alpha}$  τροχοῦ),<sup>223</sup> which is elsewhere seen in relation to chaff (more specifically, to dust (κονιορτός) as in 17:13,<sup>224</sup> but also generally as we will see, in the Greek of 41:15). The LXX here understands ratio to refer to the strangers' abundance of riches, as in 29:7, 8; and 32:14;<sup>225</sup> this fits into the translator's understanding of the passage, since it is also a plus found in 29:2. Also of note is that rather than the idea of strangers or tyrants, the LXX has ἀσεβής, the impious. This equivalence (for Trice things strange to the law, 25:2, 5, and is explainable if we understand it as it is used to describe things strange to the law,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>216</sup> Ziegler does not think this explanation is necessary. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 93. Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 193, thinks the genitive suggests the translator is making a guess, or that he read דרה הרש אורה.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>217</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>218</sup> This is how LXX.D.E.K. 2550 understands the Hebrew.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup> Musselman, *Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh*, 281-83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>221</sup> "*Kingdoms* roar like the roaring of many waters, but he will rebuke him, and he will flee far away and be chased like chaff on *the* mountains before *the* wind and *the* whirling dust before *the* storm." Chilton seems to think the whirling dust," but I can only find the definition "wheel" in lexicons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>222</sup> In how many cartoons is a crowd or chaos illustrated as a cloud of dust and commotion?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>223</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> agrees with MT in that there is no wheel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2579.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup> This equivalence can also be found in Isa 16:14; Psa 36:16, and as Muraoka points out, 36:3. Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, 97.

like the strange incense of Exod 30:9 or the strange fire of Lev 10:1, Num 3:4; and 26:61.<sup>226</sup> Another explanation is that of Muraoka, who suggests the translator understood תו (insolent, presumptuous),<sup>227</sup> which agrees with 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> which has דריך. The Greek omits the synonymous phrase הַמוֹן טָרִיצִים, using the first rendering distributively.<sup>228</sup> Nearly the same phrase, πλοῦτος ἀσεβῶν, is found also in the Greek of 24:8.<sup>229</sup>

The equivalent  $\varphi \epsilon \rho \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$  for  $\forall \xi \equiv 0$  is elsewhere only found in Jer 13:24;<sup>230</sup> this passage, remember, is also the only place outside of Isaiah that uses  $\varphi \rho \delta \gamma \alpha \nu \alpha$  for  $\xi \equiv 0$ .

The last change is that the Greek adds a simile, as Ziegler pointed out he often does this when he sees the phrase הָיָה ל<sup>231</sup> These changes are largely stylistic, they do not change the imagery drastically in content, though their rhetorical effect is different.

The only thing to note about the Targum is that "your multitude of enemies" ( הָמוֹן) are interpreted as the tumult of those scattering you המון מבדרך, understanding perhaps המון.<sup>232</sup>

# Isa 41:15

In this passage God comforts Israel saying he will make them a threshing sledge that will reduce mountains and hills to chaff. The metaphor here explains 41:11-12 where Israel's enemies will become like nothing, here the enemies are mountains and hills but are reduced to chaff which blows away and is gone in 41:16.<sup>233</sup>

The term מוֹרָג refers to a threshing sledge.<sup>234</sup> Here its high quality is described as being sharp (הָרוֹץ)<sup>235</sup> and new (הָדָשׁ), that is, all the stones or metal teeth on the bottom are still sharp and none have fallen out. The meaning of בַּעַל פִּיפִיּוֹת is obscure; HALOT defines since it is used to describe double edged swords. In 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> it is two words: פיפיות פיפיות; perhaps thinking a sort of superlative expression

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>226</sup> See definition 2d in BDB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>227</sup> Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, 189.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>228</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 77-78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2565, 2579.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2579. For the translator's preference for this verb, see Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 142-43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>231</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>232</sup> "But the multitude of your *dispersed* shall be like small dust, and a *tumult* of *strong ones* like chaff *which* passes, and there will be *a tumult* suddenly."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>233</sup> The Greek renders literally the reference to winnowing in 41:16, while the Targum adds a simile explicitly mentioning chaff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>234</sup> HALOT, s.v. DCH, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>235</sup> As a noun, this would also mean a threshing sledge. HALOT, s.v.

like פּיפִיּוֹת, <sup>236</sup> The strong expression of plurality, פּיפִיּוֹת, undoubtedly denotes an extra amount of stones or metal teeth, since they are already described as sharp and new. Whatever it means exactly, it clearly contributes to the picture of the sledge being a deluxe industrial model with all the accessories; it is a much more elaborate description than Amos 1:3 uses: חֵרָצוֹת הבַּרְוֵל.

The Greek translates the metaphor as a simile, rendering אוֹם שׁלָ, and changes the terminology to better fit the Egyptian agricultural context. As Ziegler pointed out: though there is no regular LXX rendering for גוֹרָג, here the translator has not rendered it, but has changed the threshing sledge into threshing rollers, τροχούς ἁμάξης, under the influence of 28:27.<sup>237</sup> In that passage, we find the Greek τροχός ἁμάξης literally translating גוֹרָג, Ziegler shows that this, along with the term πριστηροειδεῖς (for געלה פּרִפְּיוֹת אוֹפּן עָגלה נְבָעָל פִּיפִיּוֹת shows that this, along with the term πριστηροειδεῖς (for געלה פּרִפִיּוֹת ג'<sup>238</sup> and gives the example of Cyril of Alexandria who comments on this verse by mentioning that some Egyptians just use animals to thresh grain with their hooves, while others use wagons with saw-like wheels.<sup>239</sup> Troxel suggests שׁמוּטינָג,<sup>240</sup> but it seems the technical terms do not have exact equivalents but are updated to fit the tools of the translator's day.<sup>241</sup> Another change the Greek makes is to move the conjunction on "hills" to before the simile, which improves the parallelism.

The Greek does not change the vehicle of the metaphor, but makes it a simile, then adjusts the terminology of the vehicle to better fit the experience of his audience. As in 29:5, the Greek has added the idea of a wheel in a passage mentioning chaff.<sup>242</sup>

The Targum renders literally, except it interprets mountains and hills as nations.<sup>243</sup>

The image of chaff is used in the Hebrew to illustrate something that is minute and light and is passing away and disappearing in the wind. The Greek uses it in the same way, though often adjusts the surrounding terminology, often to include a wheel; in 17:13 and 29:5 the wheel is mentioned as kicking up dust for the wind, while in 41:15 it is a threshing tool.

Chaff is implicitly present also wherever threshing (דוש; 21:10; 25:10; 28:27-8; 41:15) and winnowing (יַרָה; 30:24; 41:16, which we have already discussed) is mentioned.<sup>244</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup> Otherwise 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> agrees with MT regarding the threshing implement, as does 1QIsa<sup>b</sup> up to דרוץ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>237</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 186-87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>238</sup> Seeligmann lists the word πριστηροειδεῖς as an example of the translator's big vocabulary. Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version*, 184 [42/43].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 186-87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup> Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 120. He calls this a translation doublet, as opposed to a double translation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup> Without άλοῶντας it could be unclear why this wagon wheel is mentioned.

 $<sup>^{242}</sup>$  It is noteworthy that the translator uses χνοῦς and not χοῦς or κονιορτός, suggesting he has chaff and not simply dust in mind.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>243</sup> "Behold, I make you a *strong* threshing sledge, new, *full* of *points*; you shall *kill the Gentiles* and *destroy* [them], and you shall make *the kingdoms* like *the* chaff. 16 You shall winnow them, and a wind shall carry them away, and *his Memra*, as *the whirlwind the chaff*, shall scatter them. And you shall rejoice in *the Memra* of the LORD; in the Holy One of Israel you shall glory."

Isa 21:10	
O my threshed and	
C	

O my threshed and	מְדֻשְׁתֵי וּבֶן־גְּרְגֵי	άκούσατε, οἱ	Hear, you who have
my son of a		καταλελειμμένοι καὶ	been left and you
threshing-floor, what	אֲשֶׁר שְׁמַׁעְתִּי מֵאֵׁת	οί όδυνώμενοι,	who are in pain; hear
I have heard from the	יְהוֶה צְבָאֶוֹת אֱלֹהֵי	άκούσατε ἃ ἤκουσα	the things I have
LORD of hosts, the			heard from the Lord
God of Israel, I	יִשְׂרָאֵל הִגַּדְתִּי לְכֵם:	λαρα πορισσ σαραωσ δ Αςδς ποῦ Ισοανλ	Sabaoth; the God of
announce to you.			Israel has announced
		ἀνήγγειλεν ἡμῖν.	them to us.

Here, at the end of an oracle about Babylon's fall to Media and Persia, the audience, Israel/Judah, are addressed metaphorically. The term מִדְשָׁתִי refers to what was threshed and to what is characteristic of a threshing floor: threshed grain. The metaphor suggests the people addressed have suffered violence like threshed grain. As LXX.D.E.K. points out, in Micah 4:13 and Hab 3:12 nations are described as being threshed as a metaphor for them being defeated.<sup>245</sup>

The Greek interprets these terms as also in 28:28 where a similar interpretation is made.<sup>246</sup> The threshed grain metaphor comes out of nowhere in the passage, so it makes sense that the translator would feel the need to interpret it for the sake of clarity.<sup>247</sup> He renders the threshed grain מָדָשָׁתִי as representing the remnants: oi καταλελειμμένοι.<sup>248</sup> This is interesting, since in 17:5-6 the remnant is what was left in the field, so the grain is presumably what was carried off. But of course it is possible to use the same vehicle in different ways for different metaphors. Those remaining in 21:10 are thought of as having suffered some violence or distress,<sup>249</sup> which the translator makes clear by rendering the parallel בן־גרני with oi όδυνώμενοι. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has גדרי (my fenced one), though the MT reading makes better sense as the basis for the Greek. While threshed grain implies chaff, neither the Hebrew nor the Greek even make an implication regarding whether the chaff is present or has already been winnowed away.<sup>250</sup>

In addition to interpreting the metaphor and giving what it is thought to represent, the translator has further clarified the passage by adding two imperatives (ἀχούσατε) for which the vocatives act as subject. Ziegler suggests this plus follows the relative clause and is similar to Isa 1:10; 7:13 and such passages.<sup>251</sup> The main verb in the Hebrew has changed from first person to third person; the prophet no longer announces to the threshed, but it is God who declares to the prophet and the remnant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup> Isa 27:12 may contain threshing and gleaning imagery, though synonyms are used: לקט and כלקט. In any case, LXX understands it to refer to "fencing" (συμφράσσω) instead of "beating."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2557.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 185.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup> Seeligmann goes too far in saying the translation "is practically independent of the Hebrew text." Seeligmann, The Septuagint Version of Isaiah, 277.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup> This term appears in 13:12,14; 27:10; 37:4, 31, as LXX.D.E.K., 2557 points out.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup> LXX.D.E.K. describes it as cruelty suffered by the defeated. LXX.D.E.K., 2557.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> NRSV renders בן־גַרַני as "winnowed one."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 65.

The Targum also interprets the metaphor, though by giving what it thinks מְדָשָׁתִי represents, then by expanding the parallel name into a simile.<sup>252</sup> So, the first part represents kings skilled in war who will plunder, and the second part says they plunder like someone skilled to thresh: מלכין דאומנין לאגחא קרבא ייתון עלה למיבזה הא כאיכרא דאומן למדש.

#### Isa 25:10

For the hand of the LORD will rest on this mountain. The Moabites shall be trodden down in their place as straw is trodden down in a dung-pit.	כִּי־תָנְוּחַ יַד־יְהָוֶה בְּהֵר הַזֶּה וְנֶדוֹשׁ מוֹאָב תַּחְמָּיו כְּהִדָּוּשׁ מַתְבֵּן בְּמֵי <sup>253</sup> מַדְמֵנֶה:	ὅτι ἀνάπαυσιν δώσει ὁ θεὸς ἐπὶ τὸ ὄρος τοῦτο, καὶ καταπατηθήσεται ἡ Μωαβῖτις, ὃν τρόπον πατοῦσιν ἅλωνα ἐν ἁμάξαις·	Because God will give us rest on this mountain, and Moabitis shall be trodden down as they tread a threshing floor with wagons.
---	---	---	---

The Hebrew uses a more general meaning for the term  $\psi_{17}$ , simply to tread. In this case it is straw being trod into dung, either for fuel or fertilizer.<sup>254</sup> The metaphor is different from the threshing metaphor, in that it is less about suffering cruel violence and more about humiliation, though the reality may have been much the same.

The Greek removes the anthropomorphism ידי saying instead simply א פלא פלא. This may not be due to the issue of it being an anthropomorphism, but a matter of syntax, since the translator appears to have read תְּנוֹחֵ as a hiphil (exchanging a ' for the '),<sup>255</sup> and so rendered it with מאמת מטסוע אלאסנו.<sup>256</sup> The Greek changes the image into the more common one of grain being threshed, though he should have been familiar with mixing straw and manure for fuel as was common.<sup>257</sup> The Qere-Ketiv of MT is read in both ways by various ancient versions: LXX follows the Qere (רמון), rendering with the preposition מי), as does the Peshita and Vulgate; while 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>, Symmachus, and the Targum follow the Ketiv.<sup>258</sup> As Ziegler points out, πατέω is a unique rendering for Tif', though it can be found in relation to a threshing floor (άλων) in 1 Sam 23:1.<sup>259</sup> As we have seen, תָּבָוֹן is elsewhere in Isaiah always rendered with ἄχυρον, but here מָּתִבָּוֹן is understood to stand for the grain of the threshing floor; the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup> "Kings who are skilled in waging war will come against her to plunder her even as the farmer who is skilled in threshing the grain. The prophet said, What I have heard before the LORD of hosts, the God of Israel, I announce to you."

ק במו <sup>253</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>254</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 185-86. For an analysis of the Hebrew in light of Mari texts, see: Bob Becking, "As Straw is Trodden Down in the Water of a Dung-Pit;' Remarks on a Simile in Isaiah 25:10," in *Isaiah in Context: Studies in Honour of Arie van der Kooij on the Occasion of his Sixty-Fifth Birthday* (eds. Michaël N. van der Meer et al.; Leiden: Brill, 2010): 3-14. He argues in favor of the ketiv reading, understanding that straw was used to cover the dung to soak up water and cover the smell. Cf. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> which has Context. <sup>255</sup> See Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 227.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup> LXX.D.E.K. 2568. Here it suggests 32:17 as a similar case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> see van der Veen, "The Economic Value of Chaff," 218-19. Cf. Ezekiel 4:11-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup> See Arie van der Kooij, "Isaiah 24-27: Text-Critical Notes," in *Studies in Isaiah 24-27: The Isaiah Workshop-De Jesaja Werkplaats* (eds. Hendrik Jan Bosman, Harm van Grol, et alii; Oudtestamentische Studiën 43; Leiden: Brill, 2000), 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>259</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 185-86.

LXX uses a metonymy putting the threshing floor ( $(\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\omega\nu)$  for what is trod upon it.<sup>260</sup> The rendering of מָדְמֵנָה with מֹµמצָמ is not really a rendering,<sup>261</sup> but like in other passages related to threshing, the translator includes the idea of wheels or carts (21:10; 41:15).<sup>262</sup>

The Targum changes "hand" to "power."<sup>263</sup> Of more interest to us is that the Targum also changes the vehicle of the metaphor; instead of treading straw in dung, the straw is trodden into clay דמידש תבנא בטינא, probably under the influence of Exod 5:7 and Nahum 3:14.

In Isa 28:23-29 there is a passage illustrating various agricultural activities that are done in a certain way, and others that are not done in a certain way. We have discussed 28:25, 28 above (3.3.1.1.), but now we will look again at 28:27-28 where threshing is discussed and the passage is interpreted in the Greek.

Isa 28:27-28

<i>Black cumin</i> is not threshed with a threshing sledge, nor is a cart wheel rolled over cumin; but <i>black cumin</i> is beaten out with a stick, and cumin with a rod.	בִּי לָא בֶחָרוּץׂ יַוּדַשׁ לֶצַח וְאוֹפַן עֲגָלָה עַל־בַּמָׂן יוּסֶב בְּי בַמַּטֶה יֵחָבָט קֶצַח וְכַמָּן בַּשֶׁבָט:	ού γὰρ μετὰ σκληρότητος καθαίρεται τὸ μελάνθιον, οὐδὲ τροχὸς ἁμάξης περιάξει ἐπὶ τὸ κύμινον, ἀλλὰ ῥάβδϣ ἐκτινάσσεται τὸ μελάνθιον, τὸ δὲ κύμινον	For <i>black cumin</i> is not <i>cleaned</i> with harshness, nor will a cart wheel roll over the cumin, but <i>black</i> <i>cumin</i> is shaken with a rod, and cumin
[ <i>It</i> ] is crushed for bread, but one does not thresh it forever; one drives the cart wheel and horses over it, but does not pulverize it.	אָלָחָם יוּדָּׂק כֵּי לְאׁ לָגֶצַח אָדַוֹשׁ יְדוּשֶׁנּוּ וְהָמַם גִּלְגַּל עָגְלָתֶוֹ וּפָרָשֶׁיו לְאֹ־יְדֻמֶנּוּ:	μετὰ ἄρτου βρωθήσεται. οὐ γὰρ εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα ἐγὼ ὑμῖν ὀργισθήσομαι, οὐδὲ φωνὴ τῆς πικρίας μου καταπατήσει ὑμᾶς.	will be eaten with bread. For I will not be angry with you forever, nor will the voice of my bitterness trample you.

In 28:23-25 the proper order of planting a field is described, and in 28:27-28 the proper way of preparing various produce is described, first by saying how herbs are not treated, then by saying how they are treated. In 28:27 two different threshing implements are mentioned, a sledge (הָרוּץ) and rollers (אוֹפַן עָנָלָה, perhaps simply cart wheels); since they are not used on black cumin and cumin, they presumably are used for something else: the wheat, emmer, and barley of 28:25. The herbs are simply struck with a rod to shake the seeds

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>260</sup> Cf. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 186, where he points out the papyri using the same metonymy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup> See Wilson De A. Cunha, *LXX Isaiah 24:1-26:6 as Interpretation & Translation: A Methodological Discussion* (PhD Diss., Leiden University, 2012), 118-19, where the suggestion that the translator read במרכבה for במרכבה is rejected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>262</sup> Ziegler says it is conditional on the image of the threshing floor. Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>263</sup> "For the *might* of the LORD will be *revealed* on this mountain, and *the* Moabites will be trodden down in *their* place, as *the* straw is trodden down in the *mire*."

loose. In 28:28 the Hebrew concedes that the cumins are crushed, even by cart wheels, but it is not ground finely. The meaning of the passage has to do with Judah suffering, but only for a time and according to the planned ordering of God's will (28:29). In 10Isa<sup>a</sup> a few differences should be noted. First of all, in 28:29,  $\forall \pi \square$  is missing (4QIsa<sup>k</sup> has  $\forall \pi \square$ ) and the first word is רק. Also, גלגל has been added by a corrector. These changes do not seem to form the basis for the differences in the Greek.

The Greek in these verses creates a more clear explanation of the whole passage. It is difficult to tell if σκληρότητος is an interpretation of בְחַרוּץ as the adjective (with sharpness) or as a noun (with a threshing sledge).<sup>264</sup> As we saw in 21:10, the translator associates threshing with harsh treatment causing agony, so he could have interpreted "with a threshing sledge" to refer to harsh treatment. The rendering of virt xαθαίρω is interesting. The translator knows the meaning of דויש, as we saw in 41:15; Ziegler discusses this rendering and concludes that the translator was influenced by his culture and rendered with  $\varkappa\alpha\theta\alpha\dot{i}\rho\omega$ , which refers more to winnowing or cleaning the seeds rather than threshing, because he knew it was appropriate to how cumin was treated.<sup>265</sup> This translation, then, fits the common practice, which in fact reinforces the point the passage is trying to make, that black cumin is not treated harshly like grains are, it is simply cleaned by winnowing or sieving.<sup>266</sup> In comparison, the next clause is rendered very literally, except for the word order being adjusted by moving the location of the verb  $\pi \epsilon \rho i \alpha \gamma \omega$ , and reading it as a Qal instead of Hophal.<sup>267</sup> Likewise the next clause כי במטה יחבט קצח is rendered literally, but the last is understood differently. Ottley and Ziegler suggest the translator understood בַשָּׁבָט לָחֶם as being analogous to the idiom מַטָּה־לָחָם (eg. Lev 26:26) and shortened the phrase just to μετά מאָד געני.<sup>269</sup> LXX.D.E.K. suggests the word  $\dot{\upsilon}$  was simply passed over.<sup>270</sup> This rendering is probably for clarity, since cumin is not crushed with a rod for making bread, but is crushed so it can be eaten with bread, as the Greek makes clear, dropping the references to the preparation of the cumin.

The passage as a whole is interpreted by the Greek in the last lines. It does not render the horses or wagons. The Greek interprets threshing (אָדוֹשׁ יִדוּשָׁנוּ) as God's anger (באָדוֹשׁ יָבוּשָׁנוּ) as God's anger όργισθήσομαι).<sup>271</sup> The translator again sees threshing as an image of harsh violent treatment, in this case as a manifestation of God's anger. The last phrase וְהַמֵם גִּלְגַל עֵגַלָתוֹ וּפַרַשִׁיו לא־

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>264</sup> It appears with little textual warrant in 4:6 and 8:12, as LXX.D.E.K., 2578 points out.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>265</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 184-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>266</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 184-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>267</sup> This parsing agrees with 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>. LXX.D.E.K., 2578.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>268</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2578 suggests יוּדָק was read as נקד like in Jos 9:5, 12 where βιβρώσω is used as an equivalent. However, it is probably an equivalent there to express the idea of the bread being worm-eaten. <sup>269</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 245. Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>270</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2578.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>271</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 185. That it is brief anger accords with 7:4; 10:25; 54:7, as pointed out in LXX.D.E.K., 2578. Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 120 also points to Isa 57:16 and Jer 3:12. 10Isa<sup>a</sup> has הדש instead of אדוש.

יָדָקָנוֹ appears to be rendered freely. Perhaps אָהָמָם was read as אָהמון, and is thus the source of the word  $\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$ ; a similar idea to the Greek is expressed in Isa 30:30.<sup>272</sup> The idea of animosity (תוגףוֹם) comes from 28:21.<sup>273</sup> The one phrase rendered nearly literally is לאֹ־יָדָקָנוּ which becomes ouble... אַמַדַמָּתַמָּלָשָּ

The Greek, then, interprets the passage as having to do with how Judah is treated. They suffer hardship for a time, but are not to be destroyed, just as black cumin and cumin are beaten but not crushed.<sup>274</sup> This interpretation is partly the result of reading 28:26 as describing a chastisement followed by rejoicing.

The Targum interprets the passage as a whole already in 28:24-25, so that the rest can be rendered nearly literally. In 28:28 לחם is interpreted as grain עבורא. The horses, which were omitted in the Greek, are rendered as a verb, and in the context of threshing, the Targum talks about separating the grain from the chaff: ומפריש ית עבורא ומפרח ית דוקא.<sup>275</sup>

#### 3.3.2.3.2. Summary

LXX-Isa always understands the term chaff ( $(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma})$ ), rendering it literally with  $\chi vo \tilde{v}\varsigma$ . While in 5:24 and in 29:5 the translator may have intended  $\chi vo \tilde{v}\varsigma$  to carry a meaning more like "dust," in the other places it clearly refers to chaff. In 17:13 the translator is more clear, rendering:  $\chi vo \tilde{v} \dot{\alpha} \chi \dot{v} \rho o v$ , and in 41:15 the context is of threshing. Chaff is mentioned in Isaiah to illustrate something that is chased away by the wind and disperses and disappears. In Aristophanes, *Acharinians*, 508 we see chaff in a metaphor in reference to the mixed nature of the members of a city:  $\tau o \dot{v}\varsigma \gamma \dot{\alpha}\rho \mu \epsilon \tau o i \kappa o v \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} v \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ .<sup>276</sup> We do not see chaff as a party in Isaiah, unlike Matt 3:12 and Luke 3:17 where it is a group that needs to be separated.

The LXX does not interpret or replace these chaff metaphors, but in each case adjusts and directs the metaphor. In 17:13, perhaps for lexical reasons, the translator has added winnowing, which makes more vivid the idea of the chaff being tossed in the air and blown away by wind. In 29:5 the similes are adjusted in the Greek. Instead of fine dust passing away, the Greek has introduced the idea of a wheel (which is found with chaff in 17:13 and the Greek of 41:15). Also, the similes are interpreted as standing for something different in the Greek; in the Hebrew it is the army of your strangers (יְרָיָדְ הָמוֹן) but in the Greek it is the riches of the impious (b πλοῦτος τῶν ἀσεβῶν), probably due to the translator's understanding of the passage as a whole. In 41:15, the LXX updates the image to better fit his Egyptian

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>272</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2578.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>273</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2578.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>274</sup> Perhaps we could push this to claim that the other nations are like the wheat and barley which will be completely crushed and ground to flour, like Moab in 25:10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>275</sup> "For *they* do not thresh dill with threshing sledges *of iron*, nor *do they* turn wheels of a cart upon cumin; for *they* beat dill with the stick, and cumin with the rod. 28 *They indeed thresh* grain, but *they* do not thresh it forever; and he *stirs* with the wheels of his cart and *separates the grain and lets the dust fly.*"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>276</sup> Aristophanes, *Acharnians* [Henderson, LCL 178].

context by describing the kind of threshing sledge commonly used. Also, here the metaphor is turned into a simile.

Threshing metaphors meet more varied treatment in the LXX. In 21:10, the metaphor is interpreted as a remnant that is suffering, perhaps to make more clear who is addressed. In 25:10, the Greek turns a more unique metaphor into a more conventional metaphor: treading straw into a dung-heap becomes treading out grain. Also, the translator again adds contemporary technology, adding the idea of a threshing cart. In 28:28-29, the threshing metaphor is again updated to the translator's contemporary practice (for how cumin is prepared) and the passage is clarified (that cumin is crushed to be eaten with bread). The Greek interprets the passage as a whole here (that they will suffer only for a time), and like in 21:10 interprets threshing, though this time as a manifestation of God's anger. While threshing implies chaff, the threshing metaphors in Isaiah and the Greek rendering do not.

In the Targum, it is noteworthy that it also interprets 29:5 as referring to a different group than the Hebrew, though it understands it in a different way than the Greek. In 41:15, the mountains and hills are interpreted as nations, but the rest of the metaphor is retained. In the next verse, rather than a tempest scattering the chaff, it is made clear that God's word (מימר) scatters them. In 21:10, the Targum interprets the first metaphor, then uses the parallel phrase as a simile to relate the tenor to the vehicle. Like in the Greek of 25:10, the Targum also has used a different metaphor from the Hebrew (and the Greek); instead of treading straw into dung, it is straw trodden into clay. The Targum of 28:28-29 is rendered literally, though mostly due to the passage already being interpreted in 28:24-25. We should mention again here that in the Targum of 40:6 a chaff metaphor is introduced, so that the strength of the wicked is like chaff of the field instead of the flower of the field. This is probably because it is blown away in the next verse, and so harmonizes with the common chaff in the wind imagery.

## 3.4. Thorns

Various sorts of thorns and thistles are mentioned several times in Isaiah. Sometimes they are metaphorical, but other times they stand in images that work by way of metonymy. Generally speaking, thorns and thistles are mentioned either in connection with inhabited places becoming devoid of people with the result that thorns grow up, or they are mentioned as something flammable.

In this section we will first look at a word pair unique to Isaiah, then we will look at the more common thorn terminology, and finally there will be a summary.

# 3.4.1. A Unique Isaianic word pair: שָׁמִיר וְשִׁיָת

Several times we see the word pair שָׁמִיר and שָׁמִיר.<sup>277</sup> These terms only occur in Isaiah, and always occur together, except for in 32:13 where we find קוֹץ שָׁמִיר. Wildberger believes קוֹץ שָׁמִיר refers to the christ-thorn plant, and שִׁיִת is a generic word for thorny scrub brush.<sup>278</sup> He says they are chosen for the sake of alliteration.<sup>279</sup> The LXX's translation of this phrase is complex.<sup>280</sup> About half of the time, LXX-Isa renders it in a sense having to do with thorns in uncultivated land, and about half the time it renders it as having to do with grass.

Isa 5:6			
I will make it a waste; it shall not be pruned or hoed, and it shall be overgrown with briers and thorns;	וַאֲשִׁיתֵהוּ בְתָׁה לְאׁ יִזְמֵר וְלָא יֵעָדֵׁר וְעָלֶה שָׁמֶיר וְשֶׁיִת	καὶ ἀνήσω τὸν ἀμπελῶνά μου καὶ οὐ μὴ τμηθῆ οὐδὲ μὴ σκαφῆ, καὶ ἀναβήσεται εἰς αὐτὸν ὡς εἰς χέρσον ἄκανθα·	And I will <i>leave my</i> <i>vineyard unused</i> and it shall not be pruned or dug and a thorn shall come up into it as into a <i>fallow field</i> ,
I will also command the clouds that they rain no rain upon it.	וְעָל הֶעָּבִיםׂ אֲצַוֶּׂה מֵהַמְטִיר עָלֶיו מְטֵר:	καὶ ταῖς νεφέλαις ἐντελοῦμαι τοῦ μὴ βρέξαι εἰς αὐτὸν ὑετόν.	and I will command the clouds, that they send no rain to it.

In 5:7 we get the explanation for this allegory, that the vineyard is the house of Israel and the vine is the man of Judah.<sup>281</sup> This probably does not mean we have to find an exact interpretation for the thorns and weeds; they probably simply illustrate symptoms of an abandoned place, like the abandoned cities in 5:9. A vineyard being neglected in Prov 24:30-31 (in this case by a sluggard) is also described in synonymous terms (in the Greek the land becomes fallow and grassy). The image in 5:6 is of neglect, that the vines are not pruned and so grow out of control and become unfruitful, and that thorns and weeds are allowed to grow up without being weeded. God even commands the clouds to neglect to rain on the vineyard.

The Greek has a slightly different picture. The phrase אָשָׁיתָהוּ בְתָה is rendered אמל מעיהָס לא מעשבאבאשי עסט which Ziegler says is common terminology in the Papyri for leaving fields so that they become fallow,<sup>282</sup> which naturally would be disastrous for a vineyard, which requires considerable labor to maintain. The LXX for some reason wants to make explicit that the vineyard is being abandoned, and so gives what is meant by the pronoun:  $\tau \delta v$  $\alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha \mu \omega 0.$  The term  $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \varsigma$  likewise refers to developed land that is deteriorating.<sup>283</sup> Schnebel shows that the primary meaning of  $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \varsigma$  is dry land, but that in Hellenistic Egypt it came to describe arable land that has become less productive due to lack of irrigation (natural or artificial), or because it was overgrown with canes or with thorns and scrub or tamarisks, or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>277</sup> Isa 5:6; 7:23, 24, 25; 9:17; 10:17; and 27:4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>278</sup> Wildberger, Jesaja, 171.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>279</sup> Wildberger, Jesaja, 171.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>280</sup> See Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 33, 181.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>281</sup> We will discuss this passage again in the section on Vines and Vineyards (III.E.1.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>282</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 179-80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 181.

covered in sand or salt.<sup>284</sup> John S. Kloppenborg Verbin points out that in Ptolemaic Egypt, the failure of vineyards was common enough for the word  $\chi\epsilon\rho\sigma\dot{\alpha}\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda\sigma\varsigma$  to be coined.<sup>285</sup> This is a more precise description of the matter, leaving a vineyard to become a fallow plot of land. Fallow can sound positive in English, but here we should understand it as describing a plot of land that requires considerable extra work to be put back to use;<sup>286</sup> in the Egyptian context perhaps the land is even returning to desert. The Greek is literal but more technical in describing the consequences of God's action, that the vines will not be pruned or weeded.

The rendering of the phrase וְעֵלָה שֵׁמִיר וֲשֵׁיָת with καὶ ἀναβήσεται εἰς αὐτὸν ὡς εἰς γέρσον ἄκανθα is difficult to unravel. The Greek has added the words είς αὐτὸν ὡς εἰς and omitted a conjunction. The Hebrew has two subjects, but the Greek has only one and a comparison describing the location for the action. Judging from the rendering of the phrase in 7:23, 24, and 25, it is likely that שָׁמִיר is rendered with χέρσος and שֵׁיָת with מֹצמעθα.<sup>287</sup> The typical meaning of γέρσος is "dry land," but Ziegler points out that in the Papyri it is often used to refer to fallow or undeveloped land.<sup>288</sup> In the Egyptian context, an abundance of thorns growing in a field would render it a  $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma s$ ;<sup>289</sup> though in Judea various thorn plants would also need to be weeded in fields. The addition of the simile may be because in the Greek (5:2, 4), the vine was already producing thorns when it was being properly tended. So here it is necessary to clarify that the vineyard will be left to become fallow and thorns will sprout up. This makes clear that the choice vine that produces thorns will not be left to flourish on its own, bringing an abundant crop of thorns; this difference is also clarified by the use of the plural  $\ddot{\alpha}$  x  $\alpha\nu\theta\alpha\varsigma$  in 5:2, 4, whereas everywhere else in LXX-Isa it is used in the singular.<sup>290</sup> In 7:23, vineyards are again destroyed, but there they become undeveloped land and thorns, without a simile in Hebrew or in the Greek. The rendering of ψin axavθa occurs three other times: in Isa 7:23, 24, and 25.<sup>291</sup>

The Targum interprets all the elements in this verse.<sup>292</sup> The phrase וְשָׁיִת וָשִׁיִת becomes ויהון מטלטלין ושביקין, "And they will be deported and abandoned." It is debatable whether this interpretation is of the text as a metaphor or as a prophecy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>284</sup> Schnebel, *Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten*, 14-20. Also it can be used with descriptions of land reclaimed from the wilderness, 13-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup> John S. Kloppenborg Verbin, "Egyptian Viticultural Practices and the Citation of Isa 5:1-7 in Mark 12:1-9," *NT* 44.2 (2002), 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>286</sup> Such as cutting and burning the wild scrub or repairing irrigation systems; loans were sometimes needed to finance this work; see Schnebel, *Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten*, 21-23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>287</sup> Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>288</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 181.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>289</sup> Schnebel, *Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten*, 20-21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>290</sup> As Ken Penner pointed out in personal correspondence,  $S^*$  (and B) have axavba which is corrected in stages to axavba.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>291</sup> See Hatch and Redpath, 43b. Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, 364.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>292</sup> "And I will make them [to be] *banished; they* will not be *helped* and *they* will not be *supported, and they will be cast out* and *forsaken*; and I will command the *prophets* that *they prophesy* no *prophecy* concerning them."

The second place the phrase occurs is Isa 7:23-25, where vines (and by metonymy, vineyards) are mentioned three times as becoming a place for שָׁמִיר וָשָׁמִיר וָשָׁ. Strictly speaking this passage is not metaphorical, but it does stand as a sort of hyperbole or metonymy for how even the best farm land will become a fallow waste since no one will be around to take care of it. All three times the words are rendered with  $\chi \acute{e}\rho\sigma\sigma\varsigma$  and  $\emph{a}\kappa\alpha\nu\theta\alpha$  respectively. While the first two verses are rendered almost completely literally, in 7:25 the Greek renders the clauses differently, making the mountains an exception to the lands that will become dry and overrun with thorns. This is also how the Targum understands the verse. This change seems to lie more on the level of their understanding of the prophecy than their understanding of the metaphor.<sup>293</sup>

In all three verses, the Targum renders הובאי ובור with הובאי ובור, thorn and fallow land.<sup>294</sup> This is the same as the LXX, but with the opposite words associated with thorn and fallow land or simply with the word order changed.

In other places, LXX-Isa understands שָׁמִיר וְשִׁיָת to refer (in part) to dry grass, usually in the context of fire.

## Isa 9:17(18)

For wickedness burned like a fire, consuming briers and thorns; it kindled the thickets of the forest, and they swirled upward in a column of smoke.	פִּי־בָעֲרֶה כָאֵשׂ רִשְׁעָה שָׁמִיר וָשַׁיִת תּאַכֵל וַתִּצַת בְּסְבְכֵי הַיַּעַר וַיְתְאַבְּרָוּ גַּאָוּת עָשֵׁן:	δάσεσι τοῦ δρυμοῦ, καὶ συγκαταφάγεται τὰ κύκλῳ τῶν	And the transgression will burn like a fire, and like dry grass will it be consumed by fire, and it will burn in the thickets of the forest and devour everything around the hills.
		βουνῶν πάντα.	the mills.

We will discuss this passage further in the section on trees (3.6.4.). For the current purposes, it is worth noting that the Greek adds a comparative particle:  $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ . While it could be argued that the simile is implied in the Hebrew and the comparative particle is omitted because it is poetry, it seems more likely to read the clause as the fuel wickedness will burn. Wickedness is burning first the thorns and thistles, then spreading over the hills and forests burning up everything. This is made clear in the next verse which says that the land and people of the land are allowed to burn because of God's wrath. That the thorns and trees are compared to people is also made clear in 9:18 by the phrase  $\chi = \zeta$ .

The Greek understands all of this differently. The translator reads שָׁמִיר וְשִׁיָת as a comparison of in what way lawlessness burns. In the next verse, where the connection between the fire's fuel and people is made, the translator has rendered with a passive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>293</sup> To be precise, their reading is based on taking יָרָאָת as the subject of the clause.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>294</sup> Chilton renders בור with "briers," but Sokoloff does not have this definition in either lexicon. Jastrow seems to arrive at his definition "weed, briers" based on the Targum's use as an equivalent here in Isa 7:23 and from "something waste, wild-growing."

It is within the context of this transformation of the passage that the rendering of שָׁמִיר can be understood. The translator may have thought a literal rendering would express thorns in a fallow waste (based on how these words were translated in the other passages were it occurs) and then chose a rendering that more clearly expresses the essential quality described, flammability, and so renders with  $a \gamma \rho \omega \sigma \tau \iota \xi \eta \rho a$ . LXX.D.E.K. similarly believes that these terms were used because they better fit the verb ארל or  $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \sigma \tau \omega$ .<sup>295</sup> As we will see below, thorns are said to be burned in 32:13 in both Hebrew and Greek, though there the emphasis is not on the flammability of thorns; they are burned as a method of disposal. In two other places (10:17 and 32:13)  $\psi$  is rendered as grass ( $\chi \delta \rho \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ), and so may be the basis here for  $a \gamma \rho \omega \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ ; Muraoka is probably right in that he does not venture independent word equivalents for the two words in the phrase.<sup>296</sup>

The Greek metaphor of a fire spreading from dry grass to thickets and burning everything around the hills sounds just like how fires would spread. Hepper discusses how forests develop and the effects of burning; he says it is unlikely that oak forests would be easy to set on fire, while coniferous trees burn much more easily; he says that grass and grain fires would spread very quickly and could easily light dry thickets that accompany hill-woodlands, which could then generate the heat to spread to the hardwood trees.<sup>297</sup>

The Targum interprets the passage.<sup>298</sup> Thorns and thistles are interpreted as representing the sinners and the guilty, הטאיא וחייביא.

Isa 10:17

<i>And</i> the light of Israel will become a fire, and his Holy One a flame; and it will burn and devour his thorns	וְהָיֶה אוֹר־יִשְׂרָאֵל לְאֵׁשׁ וּקְדוֹשָׁוֹ לְלֶהֶבֶה וּבְעַרָה וָאַכַלֵה שִׁיתַוֹ	αγιαστά αστον εν πορι καιομένω καὶ φάγεται ώσεὶ χόρτον	<i>And</i> the light of Israel will become a fire and it will sanctify him with a burning fire and devour the wood
devour his thorns and briers in one	וְאֶכְלֶה שִׁיתִוֹ	τὴν ὕλην. τῆ ἡμέρα	U
day.	וּשְׁמִירָוֹ בְּיָוֹם אֶחֲד:	ἐκείνη	day

Throughout the context of this passage the translator has made several modifications. This verse is a continuation or expansion of 10:16, in that it continues to describe how God will intervene to humble the king of Assyria and to destroy his stout warriors with a wasting sickness. In 10:17, the language has become much more poetic in that there is no direct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>295</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2530.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>296</sup> Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>297</sup> Hepper, *Bible Plant*, 39-40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>298</sup> "For the retribution of their sins burns like the fire, it destroys transgressors and sinners; and it will rule over the remnant of the people and destroy the multitude of the armies."

reference; God is called the "light of Israel" and "the Holy One," and the king is only a pronoun and his army or perhaps his pretentions are called thorns and thistles.<sup>299</sup>

The Greek renders the first part of the verse literally, except it reads אָקְדוֹשׁוֹ as a verb and so renders מֹעוֹמסנו מטֿדטי,<sup>300</sup> and also removes the conjunction on גְּבְעָרָה and makes it a participle describing the previous verb. Also, the last two words of the verse are understood as the beginning of the next sentence.

The phrase we are interested in, ואָכָלָה שִׁיתוֹ וּשָׁמִירוֹ, has again been rendered with an additional simile, like in 5:6, 9:17(18), and 33:12, though with a completely different meaning. The pronouns have disappeared entirely. It seems likely that שָׁמִיך was rendered with χόρτος (which is clearly the case in 32:13), and שׁית was rendered with ὕλη. It could be argued that in 27:4 שֵׁיָת is rendered with καλάμη, but as we will discuss below, this is not likely.<sup>301</sup> We have seen that elsewhere שׁית is rendered with ἄχανθα (Isa 5:6; 7:23, 24, and 25), and that in 7:19 a word the translator knew meant thorn is rendered as a thorn tree, so it seems possible that the translator thought he could render שִׁיָת with ὕλη. The term ὕλη can refer both to fire wood (as NETS appears to understand it, though they just have "the wood" which could have either meaning) or to a collection of trees, a sort of copse (or Gehölz, as LXX.D understands it).<sup>302</sup> In the other two places טאָר occurs,<sup>303</sup> Job 19:29 has it as a rendering of שָׁרַי (as Muraoka suggests), and in Job 38:40 it is a rendering of קבה. <sup>304</sup> In any case, it is not used to mean wood or firewood elsewhere in the LXX, but is used as an equivalent to copse in Job 38:40. In addition to dropping the prepositions, the LXX has reversed the order of שיתו ושמירו, returning them in the translation to their more regular order. The context of woods burning in Isa 10:18-19 probably contributed to this verse's rendering.

So, the rendering  $\phi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \chi \dot{\rho} \tau \sigma \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ddot{\upsilon} \lambda \eta \nu$  should probably be understood as an image of a forest or copse of trees, which should be difficult to ignite,<sup>305</sup> being burned quickly as if they were a clump of inflammable dry grass. This image is similar to that of 9:17(18) where the same Hebrew phrase has been rendered as dry grass and is said to burn up the thickets of the forest, though in that verse synonyms are used for grass and for thicket. This connection is made stronger in the Greek of 9:17(18) where it adds the idea of hills, which are mentioned in 10:18. The point of this connection would highlight the idea that the destruction the Assyrians bring to Israel and Judea will also come upon them, since in both cases it comes as the result of God's wrath.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>299</sup> Cf. Ob. 18, where Jacob becomes a fire and the house of Joseph a flame to consume the house of Esau, which will become stubble.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>300</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2532.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>301</sup> Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, s.v. deletes this equivalent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>302</sup> See Preisigke, Wörterbuch, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>303</sup> Hatch and Redpath list <u>γ</u> as an equivalent in Psa 68(69):2, but both Ralfs and the Göttingen LXX prefer the reading ἰλύν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>304</sup> It also occurs in Wis 11:17; 15:13; Sir 28:10; II Mac 2:24; and IV Mac 1:29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>305</sup> Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 39-40.

The Targum interprets the elements of this passage, so that God is the light of Israel, His word is the flame, and the thorns and thistles are the rulers and tyrants: שלטונוהי <sup>306</sup>.

Isa 27:4

I have no wrath. Who endows me with thorns and briers? I will march to battle against it. I will burn it up.	חֵמֶה אֵיז לֵי מִי־ יִתְּגַנִי שָׁמְיר שַׁׁיִת בַּמִּלְחָמָה אֶפְשָׂעָה בָה אֲצִיתֶנָּה יֶחַד:	ούκ ἔστιν ἡ ούκ ἐπελάβετο αὐτῆς· τίς με θήσει φυλάσσειν καλάμην ἐν ἀγρῷ; διὰ τὴν πολεμίαν ταύτην ἠθέτηκα αὐτήν. τοίνυν διὰ τοῦτο ἐποίησε κύριος ὁ θεὸς πάντα, ὅσα συνέταξε. κατακέκαυμαι,	There is not <i>one</i> that has not taken hold of it; who will set me to watch stubble in a field? Because of this enmity I have set it aside. Therefore because of this the Lord God has done all things, whatever he has ordained. I have been burned up.
---	---	--	--

In the Hebrew the peace of Israel and God's zeal to defend it is expressed through another vineyard metaphor. God wishes (as expressed by the cohortative verbs) there were thorns and thistles so He could zealously make war on them and destroy them from His vineyard. The Greek has rather drastically changed the entire chapter.<sup>307</sup> We discuss other features of this verse below in the section on vineyards (3.5.1.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>306</sup> "And it will come to pass that *the master of* the light of Israel and his Holy One, *his Memra will be strong as the* fire, and *his words as the* flame; and he will *kill* and *destroy* his *rulers* and his *tyrants* in one day." <sup>307</sup> For an analysis of 27:2-5 see Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 87-91.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>308</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>309</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>310</sup> Ottley suggests it is an addition or a duplicate misreading of בַמְלְחֵמָה. Ottley, Isaiah, II 234.

found in connection to שָׁמִיר Ziegler believes בי מֹאָרָשָָ is based on reading שָׁמִיר מוּג שָׁמִיר 33:12 where the same rendering is given for לשׁיד גאיד LXX.D.E.K. agrees that שׁמיר was read as an infinitive and suggests שׁית is rendered freely as an image of captured Jerusalem.<sup>312</sup> A third possibility is that the translation is based on the idea that שִׁמִיר can mean a fallow field ( $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \varsigma$ ), but for the sake of the rhetoric of the image it is stronger to talk about guarding a harvested field (since the enemies have plundered it) rather than a fallow field of thorns (which would be absurd, since it is devoid of crops by definition). This passage could have a triple rendering of שָׁמִיר but there are of course less exotic explanations for the Greek, as we have seen.

The Targum expands this verse also, but makes it about how God would destroy Israel's enemies if they would follow his law, like fire destroys thorns and fallow land: ואשיצינון כמא דמשיציא אשתא הובאי ובור כחדא.<sup>313</sup>

3.4.2. Other Terms for Thorn: נַעֲצוּץ, הוֹחַ , נַעֲצוּץ, קמוֹשֹ , חוֹחַ , סִיְרָה , סִיְרָה , סִיְרָה , סִיְרָה , סִיְרָה , סִיְרָה , סִיָּרָה , סִיָּרָה , סִיָּרָה , סִיּרָה , סוּת , סוּיה , סיּרָה , סִיּרָה , סיּרָה , סִיּרָה , סִי

Thorns shall grow	וְעָלְתֶה אַרְמְנֹהֶיהָ	καὶ ἀναφύσει εἰς τὰς	Thorn trees shall
over its strongholds, nettles	סִיִרִים קַמְּוֹשׁ וָחֻוֹחַ	πόλεις αὐτῶν ἀκάνθινα ξύλα καὶ εἰς	grow up in their cities and in her
and thistles in its	בְּמִבְצָרֶיהָ וְהָיְתָה נְוֵה	ακανθινα ξυλα και εις τὰ ὀχυρώματα αὐτῆς,	fortresses. It shall
fortresses. It shall be the haunt of	תַנִּים חָאָיר לִבְנָוֹת	καὶ ἔσται ἔπαυλις	be a habitation of sirens and a
jackals, an abode	יַעַנָּה:	σειρήνων καὶ αὐλὴ στρουθῶν.	courtyard of
for ostriches.		0 (2000)	ostriches.

In this passage, God's judgment on Edom is described, which entails how all the people will be gone and it will no longer be a kingdom. While it is not metaphoric speech, it is noteworthy for the translation equivalents and the translator's conception of thorns. In this verse and the following, the abandoned fortresses (rendered as "cities") and strongholds will be overgrown with weeds and become homes to wild animals and the demons that live in remote wilderness places. The Hebrew uses three terms for thorns or thistles in parallelism קמוש וחות היח קמוש וחות לים קמוש וחות לים קמוש וחות לים מאמע לים מירים לים מאמע לים מירים מירים לים מירים מירים לים מירים לים מירים מירים

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>311</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>312</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2572.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>313</sup> "Behold, there are many prodigies before me! If the house of Israel set their face to do the law, would I not send my anger and my wrath among the Gentiles who are stirred up against them and destroy them as the fire destroys briers and thorn together?"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>314</sup> Preisigke, *Wörterbuch* I, 41 cites a similar phrase, found among the wood mentioned in a tax document from the second century AD, where we find:  $\xi v^{\lambda} [\alpha] \kappa \alpha v^{\theta}$ . P.LOND vol. 3, papyri 1177 ln. 191.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>315</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 77-78.

ਕঁκανες.<sup>316</sup> In Ecc 7:6, קיָרָה is rendered with ἀκάνθα (but with σκόλοψ in Hos 2:8). The word חוֹח is rendered with ἀκάνθα three times (Prov 26:9; Sol 2:2; Hos 9:6), and twice with ἄκαν in 2 Kgs 14:9. The word ܐָמִמוֹש הָשָרָה, however, is a more complicated issue. According to Hatch and Redpath, it might be the basis for the word ὅλεθρος (ruin, destruction) in Hos 9:6;<sup>317</sup> Muraoka's Index is more confident that it is.<sup>318</sup> The only other place it occurs is Prov 24:31, though neither index offers an equivalent there. The issue of translation equivalents for the first half of this verse is tricky, but it is interesting to note there are two words for weeds or thistles in the Hebrew: הַרָּלִים and הַרָּלִים, and while they may not be directly the basis of these Greek words, we do find χερσωθήσεται καὶ χορτομανήσει.

Returning to the question at hand, the phrase  $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\nu\alpha\xi\dot{\nu}\lambda\alpha$  is general and vague for a thorny tree,<sup>319</sup> but as we will see in the section on trees, it is a good description for the acacia tree or perhaps the *ziziphus spina-christi*. Theophrastus speaks of several specific thorny trees that could have just as easily been mentioned by LXX-Isa.<sup>320</sup> That the translator decided to make the thorn a tree and not some smaller plant gives the impression of permanence or at least the long passage of time, that trees will be growing there, and not simply some small seasonal weed.

The Targum renders the first and last plant with its Aramaic cognate, and קמוש with קמוש with קמוש. No explanation is given.<sup>321</sup>

In **Isa 7:19** another kind of thorn is also turned into a tree, though for completely different reasons.

And they will all come and settle in the steep ravines, and in the clefts of the rocks, and on all the thornbushes, and on all the pastures	וּבָּאוּ וְנָחָוּ כֵּלָםׂ בְּנַחֲלֵי הַבַּּתֹּוֹת וּבִנְקַיֵקֵי הַפְּלָעֻים וּבְכֹל הַנַּעַצוּצִׁים וּבְכֹל הַנַּתַצוּצִׁים:	καὶ ἐλεύσονται πάντες καὶ ἀναπαύσονται ἐν ταῖς Φάραγξι τῆς χώρας καὶ ἐν ταῖς τρώγλαις τῶν πετρῶν καὶ εἰς τὰ σπήλαια καὶ εἰς	And they will all come and rest in the ravines of the country and in the clefts of the rocks and into the caves and into every crevice and on every
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ּוּבְכָּל הַנַּהֲבוּבִי ב וּבְכָל הַנַּהֲלֹלְים:	τῶν πετρῶν καὶ εἰς τὰ σπήλαια καὶ εἰς πᾶσαν ῥαγάδα καὶ ἐν παντὶ ξύλῳ.	

The last two clauses have been switched in the translation, perhaps to make a more logical sequence coming after other geological features. The word  $\dot{\rho}\alpha\gamma\dot{\alpha}\varsigma$  is only used here in the LXX. In classical Greek it refers to a fissure, as found in dry soil, or can be used of a crack in the skin.<sup>322</sup> It is an odd equivalent for בַּהַלל perhaps we can make sense of it with the suggestion that the translator thought that the affixed b could make what he read as

<sup>321</sup> "Thorns shall grow over its palaces, *and* nettles and thistles in *the stronghold of* its fortresses. It shall be a haunt of jackals, a *place* for ostriches."

<sup>322</sup> LSJ, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>316</sup> See the apparatus of Ziegler's LXX text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>317</sup> Hatch and Redpath, 986a. They mark it with a question mark.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>318</sup> Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>319</sup> Cf. Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 8-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>320</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 4.2.1: ἄκανθα Αίγύπτια; 4.2.8: ἄκανθα ή λεύκη; 4.7.1: ἄκανθα ή διψάς.

diminutive.<sup>323</sup> The plus xal els  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$  is probably meant to explain why the places are listed;<sup>324</sup> the flies and bees will go everywhere, even the places where people would hide from them. The translator seems to know that נעצוץ refers to a kind of thorn bush, since he translates it with  $\sigma \tau \sigma_1 \beta \eta$  in 55:13.<sup>325</sup> But here, rather than give an exact equivalent he interprets the plant as a metonymy for every tree. Also the letters  $\gamma\gamma$  may have suggested rendering with ξύλον. That the translator once renders נעצוץ as "thorn" and once as "tree" suggests he identified the plant as something like *ziziphus spina-christi*,<sup>326</sup> a large thorn-bush that can approach the size of a small tree, and so he rendered it in such a way as to express the features of the plant most salient to the passage in which it occurs. In this passage, the translator thought the places mentioned were hiding places, and so trees are chosen since they make better hiding places than small thorn plants.

In 7:19, the Greek makes some adjustments to the metaphor, though probably for style more than for what the specific images represent. In both languages the metaphor of this verse shows the ubiquity of the presence of the flies and bees, not specific places or institutions where they will be (though the places mentioned are where people fleeing them would hide).

The Targum interprets this passage. In 7:18 the flies are used as a simile to describe the numbers of an army לעם הסרי משרית גיבריא דסגיאין כדיבביא, and the bee is used in a simile to show the armies strength ולעזיזי משריתא דאינון תקיפין כדבראיתא. In 7:19 the Targum interprets some of the places as relating to cities so ונחו כלם בנחלי הבתות is interpreted as וישרון ברחובי קריא, those who dwell in the squares of the city,<sup>327</sup> and is interpreted as ובכל בתי תושבחתא, in every house of glory.<sup>328</sup> As mentioned above, the Targum interprets some of the places mentioned, but in the case of uses the cognate (or loan word) נעצוץ.<sup>329</sup>

An otherwise common (Gen 3:18; Exod 22:5; Jdg 8:7, 16; 2 Sam 23:6; Psa 118:12 etc.) word for thorn, קוֹץ, only occurs twice in Isaiah.

<sup>328</sup> Perhaps thinking הַנָּהֵלֹלִים had to do with praise .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>323</sup> The idea of <sup>5</sup> endings being diminutive can be seen in older grammars, such as T. J. Conant, trans., *Gesenius*' Hebrew Grammar (17th ed.; New York: D. Appleton, 1855), §30.3, though this misconception may not have arisen yet in antiquity.

 $<sup>^{324}</sup>$  Ziegler thinks the meaning of real uncertain regression of the translator and was the basis of είς τὰ σπήλαια as aparallel to έν ταῖς τρώγλαις τῶν πετρῶν. Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>325</sup> LXX.D.E.K. 2522, says the translator understood the words υ and so rendered them with ξύλον and ράγάς, respectively. <sup>326</sup> It must be noted that Theophrastus calls this plant παλίουρος (*Enquiry*, 4.3.1-3); στοιβή is

Poterium/Sarcopoterium spinosum (Enquiry, 1.10.4; 6.1.3; 6.5.1-2). LSJ and Muraoka identify στοιβή as thorny burnet; this is a low growing plant that could hardly be called a tree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>327</sup> Perhaps thinking בְּנֵחֵלֵי הַבָּתוֹת referred to the valleys of houses, or the spaces between them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>329</sup> "And they will come and all of them *dwell* in the *squares* of the *city*, and in the clefts of the rocks, and in all the deserts of thornbushes, and in all the famed buildings."

Isa 32:13

for the soil of my people growing up in thorns and briers; yes, for all the joyous houses in the jubilant city.	אַל אַדְמַת עַמִּי קוֹץ שָׁמִיר תַּעָלֶה בָּי עַל־ כָּל־בָּתֵּי מָשׂושׂ מִרְיֶה עַלִּיזֶה:	ή γῆ τοῦ λαοῦ μου ἄκανθα καὶ χόρτος ἀναβήσεται, καὶ ἐκ πάσης οἰκίας εὐφροσύνη ἀρθήσεται· πόλις πλουσία,	As for the land of my people, thorns and grass will come up, and joy will be removed from every house. A wealthy city,
--	---	---	--

This verse and the passage it is from is not metaphorical but an elaboration expressing how the city and land will be abandoned. We discuss it because the translation gives insights into the Greek and Targum translators' conceptual understanding of thorn terms. In the Hebrew this verse continues to elaborate on why the women in 32:11-12 should be full of sorrow. The farm land is said to be overcome with thorns. Either the joyous houses and exultant town is also overcome with thorns, or it is a new idea, and the women should be full of sorrow because of them, but the exact reason why is not stated until the next verse. The Greek has made many adjustments to this passage, such as the women in 32:9 are said to be rich (perhaps to connect them with the ornamented daughters of Zion in 3:16-26). In 32:13 the Greek has removed the first preposition, making some sort of nominative exclamation,<sup>330</sup> or to introduce the subject of the thought.<sup>331</sup> The word  $\gamma i \gamma$  is rendered with its most common equivalent in the LXX:  $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \eta a$ ,<sup>332</sup> but  $\forall \psi i$  is rendered with  $\chi \delta \rho \tau \sigma \varsigma$ ; we have discussed this equivalent above. The Greek changes the style of the verse, but does not seem to interpret it as anything other than a literal description, though expressed in a rhetorical way, of the destruction that will come upon certain people.

The Targum is also very literal, even being unhelpful with the phrase בָּי עַל־בָּל־בְּתָ, rendering it ארי על כל בתי דיץ The Targum understands ארי על כל בתי דיץ the same way it often (7:23, 24, 25; 27:4) renders שָׁמִיר וָשַׁיִת with יָבור אי ובור.<sup>333</sup>

Isa 33:12

150 00111			
And the peoples will be as if burned to lime, like thorns cut down, that are burned in the fire.	וְהָיָוּ עַמָּים מִשְׂרְפָוֹת שֶׂיד קוֹצִים כְּסוּחֻים בָּאֵשׁ יִצְתּוּ:	καὶ ἔσονται ἔθνη κατακεκαυμένα ὡς ἄκανθα ἐν ἀγρῷ ἐρριμμένη καὶ κατακεκαυμένη.	And the nations will be burned like a thorn cast out and burned in a field.

In the Hebrew we have two phrases that are overly terse. In the first phrase a construct is used where a preposition would be much more clear. It appears to be a sort of genitive of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>330</sup> William W. Goodwin, *Greek Grammar* (Revised and Enlarged; Boston: Ginn & Co, 1900), §1045.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>331</sup> Smyth, *Greek Grammar for Colleges*, §941.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>332</sup> It is an equivalent 12x. See Hatch and Redpath, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>333</sup> "for the land of my people which will *bring* up *briers and* thorn; yea, for all the joyous houses in *the strong* city."

effect,<sup>334</sup> so that the people will be burned until even their bones have become lime.<sup>335</sup> The second clause is probably a simile, though there is no comparative marker due to the terse style of poetry. The phrase could, though, be understood as a metaphor, that the thorns קוֹצִים are equated to the people עָמִים, who are burned in fire.

The Greek has taken the two separate ideas and combined them into one idea. The translator recognized that there was a simile, and so made it explicit by adding a comparative marker. The idea that this takes place in a field is probably, as Ziegler suggests, from the word marker. The idea that this takes place in a field is probably, as Ziegler suggests, from the word wir which was read as  $\mathfrak{VTG}$  or  $\mathfrak{VTG}$ . The Hebrew  $\mathfrak{Greek}$  has changed the word order. The only other place  $\mathfrak{IDG}$  occurs in the Qal is Psa 80:17, where it is rendered with  $d\nu\alpha\sigma\kappa\delta\pi\tau\omega$  (to dig up). The Greek rendering in Isa 32:12 adds to the picture of thorns that they are discarded from a field and burned. This simile is of particular note because, as we have seen, LXX-Isa does not usually associate thorns with kindling for a fire in places where we would expect, but renders with "grass."

The Targum is literal, even omitting any comparative marker. The one change of note is that instead of lime (שיד) the Targum has fire: גור.<sup>337</sup>

### 3.4.3. Summary

This analysis has shown certain patterns. In the Hebrew, thorns are mentioned to illustrate land that has been neglected because there is no one to tend it properly (5:6; 7:19, 23-25; 32:13; 34:13). In addition, it is used to describe a threat to a vineyard which represents the house of Israel (5:6; in the Greek of 27:4 it represents Jerusalem, as we will argue below (3.5.1.)). Thorns are also mentioned for their flammability (9:17; 10:17; 33:12).

The Greek transforms many of these images, sometimes because of the immediate context but also because of some underlying assumptions the translator has. One such underlying assumption is that שָׁמִיך can refer both to a place or habitat ( $\chi \acute{e}\rho \sigma o\varsigma$ , 4x) and to what grows in it ( $\chi \acute{o}\rho \tau o\varsigma$ , 2x).<sup>338</sup> This could be a sort of metonymic exchange;<sup>339</sup> A similar conceptualization can be seen in Prov 24:31 where two kinds of weeds are rendered with the infinitives  $\chi e \rho \sigma \omega \theta \acute{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a$  is  $\chi o \rho \tau o \mu a \nu \acute{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ . Similarly, in Isa 33:12 the LXX adds a reference to a field (though perhaps for lexical reasons),  $\acute{e}\nu \acute{a}\gamma \rho \widetilde{\omega}$ , as a place where thorns will be. The translator chooses between these concepts for his translation of  $\dot{\psi}$ , usually, based on the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>334</sup> Waltke and O'Connor, *An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax*, 9.5.2.c, though they do not list this passage anywhere in their discussion of the construct state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>335</sup> Lime is made primarily from calcium (it is either calcium oxide or calcium hydroxide), and so the bones are the only part of the body that could produce lime. Cf. Amos 2:1 for bones being burned to lime.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>336</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 98. Cf. Ottley, Isaiah, II 272. The usual equivalent of שִׁיד is xovía (Deut 27:2, 4 and Amos 2:1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>337</sup> "And *the* peoples will be burned *with fire*; thorns cut down are burned in the fire."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>338</sup> Also, 27:4 has both the concept of grass and a field in the Greek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>339</sup> Perhaps it is an attempt at a Midrashic word play but in Greek, since the differences between the words are just the vowels and  $\tau$  has become  $\sigma$ .

context. When the word is mentioned to describe abandoned places the meaning "fallow field" is used twice (5:6; 7:23-25), once the thorn is made into a thorn tree to emphasize more permanence (34:13), and once is made into grass to denote a weed (32:13). When the context has to do with burning or flammability the meaning "grass" is used (9:17; 10:17; however in 33:12, thorns are removed from a field and burned). In 27:4 we find both a field and stubble, though here the phrase is interpreted much more than usual. It should be noted that while the translator's use of  $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \varsigma$  in connection to thorns reflects well the Egyptian situation, according to the papyri, it would seem  $\chi \delta \rho \tau \sigma \varsigma$  is not a weed but a cultivated crop.<sup>340</sup> The association of a fallow waste and grass fits more the situation in Judea, though it is also possible for a  $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \varsigma$  to be used as a pasture in Egypt.<sup>341</sup>

The Greek also associates thorns with trees. There are several species of thorn trees in Judea and Egypt, most notably the acacia, though this is not the tree explicitly named in LXX-Isa where the Hebrew has only a thorn. In 7:19 a word the translator knew meant "thorn" is rendered with  $\xi \dot{\nu} \lambda \sigma \nu$ . In 34:13 three words for thorns are condensed into the phrase "thorn tree." In 10:17 the idea of a copse is added, somehow under the influence of the phrase  $\psi \eta \tau \eta$ .

The immediate context can be seen as affecting the transformation of thorn metaphors in several places. As was just mentioned, in 34:13 the translator turns a thorn into a thorn tree to exaggerate the image. In 5:6, the translator gives more details by using technical vocabulary to describe the vineyard being left to become a fallow plot of land. In 9:17(18), the translator uses different terms than he usually does to emphasize the flammability of dry grass in the context of a spreading conflagration.

Also of note is that for three out of the eight occurrences of שָׁמִיר the translator has added a comparative marker (5:6; 10:17; and 9:17, though in the last case it may be implied in the Hebrew).<sup>342</sup> It is interesting that the Targum adds a comparative marker for 27:4, comparing fire destroying thorns and thistles to how God would destroy enemy nations.

This nuanced contextual and conceptual rendering of thorns in the LXX is markedly different from how the Targum approaches the issue. It is striking how both LXX and the Targum understand 7:23-25 as referring to thorns and fallow land (as also in 27:4 and 32:13),<sup>343</sup> but elsewhere the Targum is either literal or has interpreted the metaphor. In Isa 5:6 אָמִיר וָשַׁיִת is interpreted as deported and abandoned. In 9:17 it is interpreted as referring to sinners and the guilty, and in 10:17 it is thought to refer to rulers and governors. In the other places, though, there is still a reference to thorns and briars (7:19; 33:12; 34:13).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>340</sup> Schnebel, *Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten*, 212-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>341</sup> Schnebel, *Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten*, 16-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>342</sup> Also a comparative marker is added 33:12, though here it also may be implied in the Hebrew.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>343</sup> As mentioned in a footnote above, Chilton translates בור as "brier," but this definition is not found in either of Sokoloff's lexicons. Jastrow's dictionary says: "something waste, wild-growing, whence weed, brier," but cites only Isa 7:23 and the places where it is an equivalent for the phrase שמיר ושית. It seems safer to suppose that like LXX, the Targum understands this phrase to imply fallow or waste land.

The Targum renders other words meaning "thorn" literally (34:13; 7:19; 32:13; 33:12). In 7:19 the thorn becomes "deserts of thornbushes." As mentioned above, in 7:23-25 the Targum and LXX both render one of the words for thorns with a word for fallow land.

That LXX-Isa adds similes (5:6; 9:17; 10:17) in the exact verses that the Targum feels the need to interpret the meaning of the image is surely significant. These three passages are more poetic and have more imagery than the other places thorns appear. The LXX approach to the imagery in these passages is to reinforce and make more vivid the vehicle of the image, while the Targum interprets the image giving what it feels is the tenor. Perhaps an explanation for this approach is that the LXX translator knows he needs to make a literary text and is concerned about keeping as close as possible to the Hebrew, while the Targum translator assumes his text will be read with the Hebrew and so should offer insights not obvious in the Hebrew text.

#### 3.5. Vineyards and Vines

The language of viticulture is a rich source for imagery in the Bible, particularly in Isaiah. We will focus only on vineyards and vines, leaving images of wine and wine making to other studies.

## (כֵּרֶם) 3.5.1. Vineyard

Isa 1:8

And daughter Zion is left like a booth in a		ἐγκαταλειφθήσεται ἡ θυγάτηρ Σιων ὡς	Daughter Zion will be forsaken like a
---	--	--	---------------------------------------

vineyard, like a shelter in a cucumber field, like a besieged city.	כְּסֻבְּה בְרֵכֶבם כִּמְלוּגָה בְמִקְשֶׁה כְּעֵיר וְצוּרֶה:	καὶ ὡς ὀπωροφυλάκιον ἐν σικυηράτῳ, ὡς	booth in a vineyard and like a garden- watcher's hut in a cucumber field, like a besieged city.
--	---	--	---

This verse, along with its similes, is translated literally. The Greek addition of  $\kappa \alpha i$  agrees with 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> against MT and MurIsa. The only thing to note, which will be seen again later, is that here a vineyard is used in a simile that describes daughter Zion. To be precise, daughter Zion will be like a tent in a vineyard, which is qualified by saying like a besieged city. As LXX.D.E.K points out,<sup>344</sup> the image is probably that the huts are temporary, as in Isa 24:20 where they are as unstable as a drunk and TestJos 19:12 where it will be gone by the end of summer. The verb  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\lambda\epsilon i\pi\omega$  seems to suggest (as the Targum makes clear) that the tent and hut are left alone (disregarded)<sup>345</sup> in a field that has been harvested. The Greek word  $\dot{\sigma}\pi\omega\rhoo\phi\upsilon\lambda \dot{\alpha}\kappa tov$  is elsewhere used in the LXX in passages relating to Jerusalem (Psa 78:1; Mic 3:12) and Samaria (Mic 1:6) being destroyed, but in these places renders  $\mathcal{Y}$  (heap of stones, rubble).<sup>346</sup> The besieged city appears again with the image of a vineyard in LXX-Isa 27:3, as we will discuss below.

The Targum is more interesting, specifying that the simile is of a vineyard and a cucumber field after the harvest: ואשתארת כנשתא דציון כמטלתא בכרמא בתר דקטפוה'.<sup>347</sup> This is probably implied in the Hebrew by the verb יָתַר That it is after the harvest shows not only remoteness, but also abandonment, and perhaps even desolation in that the plants have been harvested and picked over.

## Isa 3:14

The LORD enters into judgment with the elders and princes of his people: It is you who have $grazed^{348}$ the vineyard; the spoil of the poor is in your houses.	יְהוָהْ בְּמִשְׁפְּט יָבוֹא עִם־זִקְנֵי עַמָּוֹ וְשָׂרֵיו וְאַתֶּםْ בְּעַרְתֶּם הַבֶּׁרֶם גְּזֵלַת הֶעָנֶי בְּבָתֵּיכֶם:	αὐτὸς κύριος εἰς κρίσιν ἥξει μετὰ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων τοῦ λαοῦ καὶ μετὰ τῶν ἀρχόντων αὐτοῦ ˁΥμεῖς δὲ τί ἐνεπυρίσατε τὸν ἀμπελῶνά μου καὶ ἡ ἁρπαγὴ τοῦ πτωχοῦ ἐν τοῖς οἴκοις ὑμῶν;	The Lord himself will enter into judgment with the elders of the people and with their rulers. But you, why have you burned my vineyard, and why is the spoil of the poor in your houses?
---	---	--	--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>344</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2507, it also mentions EpJer 69, where a scarecrow guards nothing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>345</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>346</sup> For the relationship of these passages, see Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah and Cognate Studies*, 227. Cf. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 105. Cf. Michaël van der Meer, "The Question of Literary Dependence of the Greek Isaiah upon the Greek Psalter Revisited," in *Die Septuaginta¬–Texte, Theologien, Einflüsse: 2. Internationale Fachtagung veranstaltet von Septuaginta Deutsch (LXX.D), Wuppertal 23.-27.7.2008* (eds. Wolfgang Kraus and Martin Karrer; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2010): 162-200.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>347</sup> "And the *congregation* of Zion is left like a booth in a vineyard *after they have picked it clean*, like a tent *for staying overnight* in a cucumber field *after they have stripped* it, like a city *which* is besieged."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>348</sup> NRSV translates: "devoured." For the scholarly discussion on the root and meanings of בער, see Williamson, *Isaiah 1-5*, 226.

In this passage "the vineyard" is probably not a collective singular, since it has a definite article. It could be a metaphor for God's people, like in Isa 5:1-7, but here there is nothing to make clear that it is meant as a metaphor.<sup>349</sup> It could be understood as a general statement, to graze the vineyard meaning they help themselves to what they want from someone else's property, or that they leave no gleanings in their own vineyard. The verb  $\Box \nabla \Gamma$  could mean more than "graze," it could mean to destroy the vineyard by allowing cattle to trample it, as in Exod 22:4 and Isa 5:5.<sup>350</sup>

In the Septuagint, the translator has brought emphasis to the fact that the LORD himself will enter judgment, by adding αὐτός; also it removes the possessive pronoun after "people." Troxel believes that the Lord is not simply entering into litigation, but is coming in a theophanic way to judge the rulers.<sup>351</sup> The interrogative  $\tau$ *i* anticipates the question in the Hebrew of the next verse,<sup>352</sup> and makes the accusation more vivid. The Greek appears to understand the vineyard as a metaphor. This is clear in that it is now God's vineyard  $\tau \delta \nu$ άμπελῶνά μου instead of הַכֶּרֶם, anticipating the song of the vineyard in chapter 5.<sup>353</sup> Further, the leaders do not graze the vineyard (if this limited definition is intended) but burn it;<sup>354</sup> this is not simply stealing for one's own gain but a cruel and malicious act to deprive someone of what is theirs. The idea of burning comes from understanding בערתם as its homonym. LXX-Isa does know בער can mean something to do with pillage, since in 5:5 it is rendered with διαρπαγή (plunder, the act of plunder) and in 6:13 it is rendered with προνομή (plunder), though as nouns in both places. Ziegler points out that  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\nu\rho\dot{\zeta}\omega$  is found often in the Papyri as a method of clearing land and killing weeds,<sup>355</sup> though no sensible person would clear a vineyard of weeds in this way. The Greek metaphor, then, is that the leaders rather than tending God's vineyard are actively destroying it. As Troxel says, the Greek of this verse first gives a metaphor, that the leaders burn God's vineyard, then gives a concrete description of the situation: they plunder the people.<sup>356</sup> Burning the vineyard, then, could mean that they are clearing the plot to put it to their own purposes (and profit), or that they are plundering the people thoroughly leaving nothing, as if a fire had burned it up. LXX-Isa is probably

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>349</sup> Ottley seems to imply this is a metaphor in the Hebrew, since he calls it another hint at the coming parable in 5:1-7. Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 119. Williamson takes the vineyard as a metaphor, in light of chapter 5. Williamson, *Isaiah* 1-5, 271.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>350</sup> Williamson, *Isaiah 1-5*, 226.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>351</sup> Troxel, "Economic Plunder," 378-79.

<sup>352</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2513.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>353</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2513.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>354</sup> Baer suggests these leaders are foreign leaders oppressing God's people. David A. Baer, ""It's All about Us!": Nationalistic Exegesis in the Greek Isaiah (Chapters 1-12)," in "As Those Who Are Taught": The Interpretation of Isaiah from the LXX to the SBL (eds. Claire Mathews McGinnis and Patricia K. Tull; SBL Symposium Series 27; Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature, 2006), 33-36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>355</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 180-81. He mentions vineyards, but his sources, Dahlman and Schnebel, do not. <sup>356</sup> Troxel, "Economic Plunder," 381. It is difficult, though, to take  $\delta \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \eta$  as the act of plundering, Troxel, "Economic Plunder," 379, one would expect to plunder the poor in their houses, not in the leaders' houses.

interpreting in light of Psa 80(79):17 where again God's vineyard is facing threats, including being burned (שָׁרָף, rendered with  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\nu\rho\dot{\iota}\omega)$  and cut down.<sup>357</sup>

The Targum interprets the vineyard metaphor, writing: ואתון אנסתון ית עמי.<sup>358</sup> The word אנסתון could be understood to mean they attack the people, or that they force them to sell their possessions due to poverty or even that they seize the people by force.<sup>359</sup> In any case, they are actively harming the people they should be ruling.

Isa 5:1-7 is an allegory in the form of a song with an explanation of its meaning in the final verse. Each verse will be examined and the allegory as a whole will be commented on in 5:7.

## Isa 5:1

154 011			
Let me sing for my beloved my love- song concerning his vineyard:	אָשִׁירָה נָּאׂ לִידִידִׂי שִׁירַת דּוֹדָי לְכַרְמֵוֹ	Ἄισω δὴ τῷ ἠγαπημένῳ ἆσμα τοῦ ἀγαπητοῦ τῷ ἀμπελῶνί μου.	I will now sing for the beloved a song of the loved one concerning my vineyard:
My beloved had a vineyard on a mountain spur, a son of fertility.	בֶּרֶם הָיֶה לְידִידֶי בְּקֶרֶז בָּז־שֶׁמֶו:	ἀμπελὼν ἐγενήθη τῷ ἠγαπημένῳ ἐν κέρατι ἐν τόπῳ πίονι.	The beloved <i>acquired</i> a vineyard in a <i>horn</i> , on a fertile place.

The translator distinguishes יְדָיד from דוֹד by using two different parts of speech: ήγαπημένος and ἀγαπητός. Elsewhere, ήγαπημένος is used for יִדִיד only in Jer 11:15,<sup>360</sup> while άγαπητός is used for it five times in the Psalms. Nowhere else is ἀγαπητός used for  $\exists i \exists .^{361}$ The definite article suggests the translator has a person in mind, instead of simply an adjective describing what kind of song it is.<sup>362</sup> The  $\eta\gamma\alpha\pi\eta\mu$ ένος could be understood as a collective singular, representing the group to whom the song is addressed, but in light of 5:7, it probably is intended to address the leadership in particular.<sup>363</sup>

The translator, as he does with much of the song, tries to put this verse into first person. This is complicated in this verse because לִידִידִי is translated literally without the pronominal suffix as  $\tau \tilde{\omega} \eta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$ . In the Greek, the person sings the song to the beloved  $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ ήγαπημένω, and it is the singer's vineyard in 1a ( $\dot{\alpha}\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda\tilde{\omega}\nu$ í μου), and in the following verses. But in 1b it is the beloved who acquires a vineyard  $\dot{\alpha}\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda\dot{\omega}\nu\,\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\,\tau\tilde{\omega}\,\dot{\eta}\gamma\alpha\pi\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega$ . This

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>357</sup> See Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 180.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>358</sup> "The LORD will *bring* into judgment the elders and *commanders* of his people: "You have robbed my people, the spoil of the poor is in your houses.""

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>359</sup> Michael Sokoloff, A Dictionary of Jewish Babylonian Aramaic of the Talmudic and Geonic Periods (Ramat-Gan: Bar Ilan University Press, 2002), 145-46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>360</sup> In Isa 44:2 ήγαπημένος appears in relation to Israel, parallel to Jacob.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>361</sup> LXX-Isa mentions an ἀγαπητός again in 26:17 (as a plus) in what appears to be a messianic interpretation. Seeligmann believes it is a Christian gloss, The Septuagint of Isaiah, 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>362</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2515 points out that it is an objective genitive, and that it means an individual, perhaps a particular leader. <sup>363</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2515.

could be a careless mistake in trying to turn the voice into the first person ( $\alpha'$  and  $\theta'$  avoid this problem in that they have  $\dot{\alpha}\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda\tilde{\omega}\nu\iota\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\sigma\tilde{\upsilon}$  in 1a, and  $\sigma'$  has  $\dot{\alpha}\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda\tilde{\omega}\nu\alpha\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\sigma\tilde{\upsilon}$ , allowing the song to begin in 5:2). This question in the LXX can be resolved in several ways. The singer could be referring to himself as  $\eta\gamma\alpha\pi\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$ , though this is least likely. It could be that 1b has a different voice than 1a, though the translator has otherwise tried to remove the Hebrew's alternation between first and third person. One could suppose that the song begins in 5:2, and the prophet speaking in verse 1a calls it "my vineyard" not because he owns it but because he's associated with it; it is his vineyard in that it represents his people; then he refers to God as beloved in 1b, switching to God's voice in the song in 5:2. The best solution is that the beloved in 1a and 1b are the same as the beloved new planting of 5:7; the beloved acquired a vineyard in that it became associated with it: in the metaphor the vine was planted in the vineyard in a good plot of soil. In any case, there remains the question of the identity of the  $\dot{\alpha}$ γαπητός. It could be God, though again it would be odd to refer to Himself this way. It similarly probably does not refer to the prophet (unless God sings the prophet's song) nor to the vineyard as a whole (since the song is about the vineyard). The ineluctable conclusion is that it is very unclear who it is meant to be.<sup>364</sup>

The translation using  $\gamma$  (אָטָטע ווֹש is interesting. The translator could have rendered הָּיָה לִ with שֹׁג as in 1:31; 8:14; 29:5, 17; and 40:23.<sup>365</sup> But if this technique was followed, the comparison would have been backwards: "a vineyard is like my beloved;" also, this would spoil the climax of the allegory when its meaning is finally revealed in 5:7.

The translation of the dead metaphor <sup>366</sup> signal with  $\varkappa έρας$  is apt, since in Greek it can also be a geographical term, though usually having to do with rivers or bays, but can be part of a mountain;<sup>366</sup> also, it can be simply a horn shaped object.<sup>367</sup> The use of ξ in construct with another noun, denotes a nature, character, or quality.<sup>368</sup> E. W. Bullinger calls the phrase antimereia, since it is the exchange of one noun for another.<sup>369</sup> The LXX, then, explains the figure by saying "fat place," partially preserving the imagery, while explaining the most difficult part (namely, why this hill is being called a son). By adding  $\tau \delta \pi \omega$  "place" not only does the LXX clarify what is meant by "horn" but also allows it to be characterized by the metaphor  $\pi i \omega v$ .<sup>370</sup> A similar description is found in the Greek of 30:23 describing a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>364</sup> If it should be interpreted in light of 26:17 it may refer to some messianic figure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>365</sup> Ziegler discusses this frequent translation equivalent, Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>366</sup> LSJ, s.v. Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 123, calls it "a very usual metaphor for a hill or peak."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>367</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, 395. If ram's horns are thought of, then it makes sense that this refers to a terraced hill side.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>368</sup> P. Joüon, *A Grammar of Biblical Hebrew* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.; trans. and rev. T. Muraoka; Subsidia Biblica 27; Rome: Gregorian & Biblical Press, 2009), §129j; Waltke and O'Connor, *An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax*, 9.5.3b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>369</sup> E. W. Bullinger, *Figures of Speech Used in the Bible Explained and Illustrated* (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1968), 503-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>370</sup> For the translator's use of  $\tau \circ \pi \circ \varsigma$  with unusual Hebrew equivalents, see Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 115-16.

pasture as  $\tau \delta \pi o \nu \pi \delta \nu \alpha$ , but there is no clear Hebrew basis there. As LXX.D.E.K. points out, the land of Judaea is meant.<sup>371</sup>

The Targum tries to make clear both what this allegory represents and who is speaking it.<sup>372</sup> The song is sung by the prophet: אמר נבייא אשבחיה. Also, rather than waiting for the punch line in 5:7, the Targum states from the beginning that Israel is comparable to a vineyard: לישראל דמתיל בכרמא. It also makes clear who "my beloved" is: Abraham, perhaps under the influence of Isa 41:8 where the phrase יmas a high hill ארעיה דאברהם The description of the vineyard is also clarified; בָּוְ־שָׁמֶן means a high hill הטור בטור ארעי שמינא refers to a fertile land בארע שמינא.

Isa 5:2

154 012			
He dug it and cleared it of stones, and planted it with choice vines; he built a watchtower in the midst of it, and hewed out a wine vat in it;	וִיְעַזְּמֵּהוּ וַיְסַקְלֵׁהוּ וַיִּשָּׁעֵּהוּ שֹׁבֵּׁק וַיֶּבֶן מִגְדָּל בְּתוֹכוֹ וְגַם־יֶסֶב חָצֵּב בֵּוֹ	καὶ φραγμὸν περιέθηκα καὶ ἐχαράκωσα καὶ ἐφύτευσα ἄμπελον σωρηχ καὶ ὠκοδόμησα πύργον ἐν μέσω αὐτοῦ καὶ προλήνιον ὤρυξα ἐν αὐτῷ·	And I put a hedge around it and fenced it in and planted a Sorech vine, and I built a tower in the midst of it and dug out a wine vat in it,
he expected it to yield grapes, but it yielded wild grapes.	וִיָקֶו לַעֲשָׂוֹת עֲנָבְים וַיָּעֵשׂ בְּאֻשִׁים:	καὶ ἔμεινα τοῦ ποιῆσαι σταφυλήν, ἐποίησε δὲ ἀκάνθας.	And I waited for it to produce a cluster of grapes, but it produced thorns.

As with the previous verse, the LXX has rendered the verbs into  $1^{st}$  person, probably under the influence of the  $1^{st}$  person in 5:3.

The Hapax Legomena עוק, "dug around" is used to refer to tilling the soil in preparation for planting.<sup>373</sup> BDB relates the word to the same Arabic root, which means to cleave or furrow the earth with an implement.<sup>374</sup> It is rendered in Greek by גמו φραγμόν περιέθηκα "and placed a hedge around (it)." The word φραγμός is elsewhere used in relation to Jerusalem's wall (1 Kgs 10:22; 11:27; Ezra 9:9; Psa 80:12), so it may have been chosen with an interpretation of the allegory in mind. It is also associated with vineyards (Num 22:24; Psa 79(80):13); Ziegler notes that it is a less common word for a vineyard wall, but that it is found in the papyri.<sup>375</sup> It is possible, though, that the translator simply thought this is what was meant. Rashi thinks this Hebrew comes from the Aramaic  $\psi$ , and so refers to surrounding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>371</sup> LXX.D.E.K. 2516.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>372</sup> "The prophet said, I will sing now for Israel-which is like a vineyard, the seed of Abraham, my friend-my friend's song for his vineyard: My people, my beloved Israel, I gave them a heritage on a high hill in fertile land."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>373</sup> Carey Ellen Walsh, *The Fruit of the Vine: Viticulture in Ancient Israel* (Harvard Semitic Monographs 60; Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2000), 97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>374</sup> BDB, 740.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>375</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 179. Cf. Schnebel, Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten, 423-24.

with a fence like a sort of ring.<sup>376</sup> This sort of reasoning would mean the translator translated with  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau$ ( $\theta\eta\mu\iota$  and added  $\phi\rho\alpha\gamma\mu\delta\varsigma$  to clarify what was meant (and to create more coherence with 5:5).<sup>377</sup> It cannot be ruled out, though, that Rashi was influenced by the LXX at least indirectly. Ibn Ezra also claims the Hebrew refers to a fence or hedge, but based on the Arabic.<sup>378</sup> Both HALOT and DCH have the possibility of  $\eta$  here meaning to build or surround with a wall, both under the influence of LXX, but HALOT notes the Arabic 'zq.<sup>379</sup> In any case, the LXX mentioning  $\phi\rho\alpha\gamma\mu\delta\varsigma$  here and fencing creates more coherence in the passage, since a hedge ( $\eta\psi\eta\epsilon\eta$ ,  $\phi\rho\alpha\gamma\mu\delta\varsigma$ ) and a wall ( $\eta\tau$ ,  $\tau$ o $\eta$ ) are removed from the vineyard in 5:5.

The phrase אָרָק הָוֹ מָאָבָן "and cleared it [of stones]," becomes ἐχαράκωσα "I fenced" (the only other usage of this word is for צור in Jer 32(39):2). The piel of סקל מוס also occurs in Isa 62:10, where סקלו מאָבָן is rendered καὶ τοὺς λίθους τοὺς ἐκ τῆς ὁδοῦ διαρρίψατε. This suggests the translator knew what the term was referring to, but for some reason did not want to use that image here. Again, it could be to harmonize with 5:5 where a hedge and a wall are described as being removed from the vineyard. Ziegler notes the possibility that the translator read the root אָלָלָה, since χάραξ renders סלַלָלָה in Isa 37:33; Ezek 4:2; and 26:8.<sup>380</sup> He says the Greek often means "surround with stakes" or "fence around" in the papyri.<sup>381</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin believes, based on papyrological evidence, that this refers to setting stakes for the vines to grow upon,<sup>382</sup> but Ziegler has already dismissed this understanding since they are placed before the vine is planted (which would not make sense) and since it is parallel to the building of a wall.<sup>383</sup>

The word שָׁרֵק is rendered twice, first it is translated vine and then transliterated:  $\overset{384}{\mu}\pi\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu\sigma\omega\rho\eta\chi$ .<sup>384</sup> Troxel lists this translation as a feature of the translator, that he transliterates technical terms and proper nouns.<sup>385</sup>  $\Sigma\omega\rho\eta\chi$  is an unusual transliteration in that  $\wp$ usually is transliterated with  $\varkappa$ , but  $\chi$  and  $\gamma$  are also possible, though rare.<sup>386</sup> A few other passages use the same transliteration of  $\psi$ : in Jdg B 16:4  $\psi$ comes ev Alowpy,

<sup>385</sup> Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 170.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>376</sup> Avraham I. Rozenberg, ed., *Isaiah: A New English Translation* (vol. 1. מקראות גדלות; New York: The Judaica Press, 1982), 41. cf. Sokoloff, *Jewish Babylonian Aramaic*, 400, where עזק is defined as "to ring."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>377</sup> For Pseudo-Aristeas' use of wall metaphors for God giving Israel the law, see par. 139 and 142. In LXX-Prov 28:4 those who love the law fortify themselves with a wall. See Cook and van der Kooij, *Law, Prophets, and Wisdom*, 126-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>378</sup> See in Rozenberg, *Isaiah*, vol 1, 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>379</sup> HALOT, s.v. DCH, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>380</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 179.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>381</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 179.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>382</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin, "Egyptian Viticultural Practices," 147-48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>383</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 179. LXX.D. likewise translates: "umzäunte."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>384</sup> That it is a double translation, see van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 35. For translations followed by transliterations of name-phrases, see van der Kooij, "The Septuagint of Isaiah," in *Law, Prophets, and Wisdom*, 73-74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>386</sup> Joseph Ziegler, "Transcriptionen in der Ier.-LXX," in *Mitteilungen Des Septuaginta-Unternehmens der* Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen VI (Göttingen: Vanderhoeck & Ruprecht, 1958), 60. See for example קטורַד rendered Xεττουρα in Gen 25:1.

and Jdg A 16:4 has χειμάρρου Σωρηχ "valley of Sorach." The other passages containing this word offer a rendering: in Jer 2:21 שׂרק becomes ἄμπελον καρποφόρον (again a double rendering; α' has just Σωργχ); in Gn 49:11 וַלָשׂרֶקָה becomes אמו דאָ נאוג (tendril); and in Isa 16:8 שׂרוּקיה is translated ἀμπέλους αὐτῆς. The LXX translators know this term has something to do with grapes and vineyards, but are inconsistent in being more specific than that. Tov lists Isa 5:2 under "Transliterations of Unknown Words, Transmitted as Collective Readings."387 It is possible that the definition "vine" was derived from the context in the occurrences in Isa 5:2; Isa 16:8; and Jer 2:21 (especially since it appears parallel to גפן in the last two instances). It is unclear why the transliteration was left in 5:2 and not in any of the other places (apart from where it is a place name). According to Tov, revisers generally reverted guesses of unknown words back to transliterations, suggesting  $\sigma \omega \rho \eta \gamma$  was added later.<sup>388</sup> In some manuscripts of 5:2  $\sigma\omega\rho\eta\gamma$  is spelled with a  $\varkappa$ .<sup>389</sup> It is curious that this transliteration would be improved later in transmission. Seeligmann suggests the transliteration was older, and the explanation  $\ddot{\alpha}\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu$  was added later,<sup>390</sup> but Ziegler in his critical edition believes both were original. Aquilla and Theodotion have the same reading, but Symmachus has  $\dot{\epsilon} \varkappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \varkappa \tau \eta \nu$ .<sup>391</sup> This definition can be found for  $\sigma \omega \rho \eta \gamma$  in Hesychius' lexicon,<sup>392</sup> possibly added by some monk. The Targum agrees with Symmachus, translating the phrase as גפן בחירא, or "choice vine."<sup>393</sup> LXX.D.E.K. suggests the Greek of 5:2 does not transliterate סרח but סרח as an allusion to Ezek 17:6, where גפן סרחג (מאד מלפעס מלש מלפעס מלש מלפעס) is an image for a king.<sup>394</sup> The connection to Ezek 17:6 is interesting in that  $\alpha'$  has  $\sigma\omega\rho\eta\chi$ ,<sup>395</sup> and for Jer 2:21  $\alpha'$  has  $\sigma \omega \rho \eta \chi$ .<sup>396</sup>

To the translators' credit, the precise meaning of the word שָרֵק is still disputed. BDB still lists "choice" as one of its definitions.<sup>397</sup> One definition is that it became a name for a variety of vine due to its red color like the sunrise, which is what the Arabic root means.<sup>398</sup> The best explanation is that it is a specific variety of grape vine which, either because of its fruitfulness,<sup>399</sup> color, or even its seedless grapes,<sup>400</sup> was recognized as being the best. HALOT defines it as "a valued, perhaps bright-red species of grape" and DCH says it is a choice vine,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>387</sup> Emanuel Tov, "Transliterations of Hebrew Words in the Greek Versions of the Old Testament," *Textus* 8 (1973), 92. Aquila and Theodotion have this reading as well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>388</sup> Tov, "Transliterations of Hebrew Words," 83-84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>389</sup> σωρηκ Q-106-710 O-88-736 309-cl' Or.X 597. 598 Eus.Cyr. ο↓.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>390</sup> Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 171 [33], 180 [39/40], 207 [59].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>391</sup> Ziegler's apparatus is unclear if it is part of a double rendering or not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>392</sup> Hesychius, word entry 3092. NETS in the footnote of 5:2 says the Hebrew means "choice."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>393</sup> Rashi explains they are the best of all branches for planting. See in Rozenberg, *Isaiah*, vol 1, 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>394</sup> LXX.D.E.K. 2516. Also it asks whether the vine producing thorns may be an allusion to Jdg 9:14, where the parable of the trees choosing the thorn for their king occurs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>395</sup> θ' has ἀχρ<ε>ĩα and σ' has ἡπλωμένη.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>396</sup> LXX.D.E.K. 2516.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>397</sup> BDB, 977.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>398</sup> BDB, 977.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>399</sup> As in LXX of Jer 2:21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>400</sup> So says Redak; see in Rozenberg, *Isaiah*, vol 1, 41.

perhaps red.<sup>401</sup> That it is a special variety of vine is evident from the contexts where it occurs. As Walsh says: "The infrequency of  $Ψ_{L}^{e}$ " in the Bible, the fact that Yahweh is the vintner in two out of three contexts, and that Judah as the favored son benefits in the third—probably determined its translation as 'choice'."<sup>402</sup> The Greek phrase ἄμπελον σωρηχ could denote a particular vine variety; the Ptolemies imported many varieties of vines which are denoted in the Papyri by similar constructions, such as: ἀμπέλου καπνείου, ἀμπέλος φοινίσση; ἀμπέλος κάπνιος; and ἀμπέλος βούμαστος.<sup>403</sup>

The term גָּתָ is typically understood to refer to a wine vat where the must (grape juice) runs after being trod in the גָּת though BDB also says that it can refer to the wine-press where the grapes are trodden.<sup>404</sup> Ziegler notes that the LXX seems to understand the same double meaning, in that it sometimes translates גָּתָ with גחעלכ (winepress in general) and sometimes with הסאָלעוסע (wine vat).<sup>405</sup> Walsh believes גָּתָ is a general term for the entire wine-press complex, while גו refers more specifically to the press itself.<sup>406</sup> In Isa 5:2, however, we have the only LXX instance of the word προλήνιον (vat in front of the wine press),<sup>407</sup> which otherwise does not occur in Greek until this passage is interpreted in Christian commentaries on this passage.<sup>408</sup> In Isa 16:10 גָּתָ is translated with הסאָלעוסע, a vat placed under a wine press;<sup>409</sup> this is probably an alternate wine-press and vat configuration from a προλήνιον. Ziegler suggests that Isa 5:2 refers to a *Vorkelter* or a pre-press which would produce the finest quality wine.<sup>410</sup>

The sour grapes, בָּאָשָׁים, are rendered as thorns, מֹאמׁνθας. A similar word בָּאָשָׁים which only occurs in Job 31:40, is rendered by the LXX as βάτος, bramble/thorns. Aramaic means bad, in the hiphil to decay, smell badly; also the early stage of ripening.<sup>411</sup> The verbal root יבאש used in Isa 50:2 as הִרְאָשׁ is translated with ξηραίνω (perhaps thinking of the root יבשׁ ), which is logical in the context. While the root באש is rare in the Hebrew Bible, the translator could have known its meaning from Aramaic and decided ἀxανθα was more appropriate in the context.

The decision to translate Ε in Isa 5:2 (and also 5:4) with ἀxάνθας (thorns) is probably, in part, conceptual. In Isa 7:23-25 and 32:11-13 vineyards are contrasted with thorns and brambles in the Hebrew and the Greek.<sup>412</sup> The translator may have been influenced by the contrasts in these passages, and so felt the opposite of vines and grapes are brambles

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>401</sup> HALOT, s.v. DCH, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>402</sup> Walsh, *The Fruit of the Vine*, 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>403</sup> Schnebel, Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten, 252-53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>404</sup> BDB, 428.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>405</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 179.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>406</sup> Walsh, *The Fruit of the Vine*, 162-65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>407</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>408</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin, "Egyptian Viticultural Practices," 149.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>409</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>410</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 179. For comments on first press wine, see Walsh, The Fruit of the Vine, 194-95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>411</sup> Jastrow, *Dictionary*, v.s. p. 136.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>412</sup> Cf. Jer 12:10-13, where someone sows wheat but reaps thorns.

and their thorns. Interestingly, Ibn Ezra also comments that it was thorns that the vine produced.<sup>413</sup> In Isa 33:12 and 34:13 the land is overcome by thorns as part of God's judgment for wicked acts, whereas in LXX-Isa 5, thorns metaphorically represent the acts of the wicked. Kloppenborg Verbin believes that since the vineyard is producing thorns there is implied some negligent human party that should have been tending the vineyard.<sup>414</sup> But as we will see in our discussion of 5:6, this is unlikely, since it is the vine that produces thorns, not the land the vineyard is on.<sup>415</sup>

The overall picture of the vineyard, then, is slightly different in the LXX. This is in part due to exegetical concerns, as we have seen, as well as updating to contemporary Egyptian practices. Kloppenborg Verbin argues that the Hebrew describes a new vineyard being cultivated on a hill, while the LXX describes a plot of land being converted into a vineyard, as was often done.<sup>416</sup> He draws support, in part, from the use of νεόφυτος in 5:7, which was a technical term for newly planted vines.<sup>417</sup> However, he does not explain what it means that the beloved "acquired a vineyard," which might suggest it already was a vineyard. There was a term for fields being converted to vineyards: χέρσος ἀμπελῖτις.<sup>418</sup>

The Targum interprets all the elements in this verse.<sup>419</sup> So, the first three verbs are rendered as וקדישתנון ויקרתינון וקיימתינון (I sanctified them, and I glorified them, and I established them). Since these verbs are interpreted, the reference to שַׁרָק is turned into a simile: שַׁרָק בחירא (like a planting of a choice vine). Likewise, the vineyard's features are interpreted, so that the watchtower is God's sanctuary (ביניהון ובנית מקדשי), and the wine-vat is the altar for them to atone for their sins (לכפרא על חטאיהון ואף מדבחי יהבית), and the grapes are good works (עובדין טבין), and clear is cleverly rendered with אבאישו עובדיהון (עובדיה).

Isa	5:3
-----	-----

And now, inhabitants of Jerusalem and people of Judah,	וְעַתְּה יוֹשֵׁב יְרוּשָׁלָם וְאֵישׁ יְהוּדֶה	καὶ νῦν, ἄνθρωπος τοῦ Ιουδα καὶ οἱ ἐνοικοῦντες ἐν Ιερουσαλημ,	And now, man of Ioudas and those who dwell in Ierousalem,
judge between me and my vineyard.	שָׁפְטוּ־נָּא בֵּינֵי וּבֵיז כַּרְמִי:	κρίνατε ἐν ἐμοὶ καὶ ἀνὰ μέσον τοῦ ἀμπελῶνός μου.	judge between me and my vineyard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>413</sup> See in Rozenberg, *Isaiah*, vol 1, 41. He did not get this from the Targum, which says "made evil their deeds" using the root  $\square$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>414</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin, "Egyptian Viticultural Practices," 151.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>415</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has אירק, but even if the ה were a pronominal suffix, it would have no antecedent, since both שרק and ברם are masculine; though in Isa 27:2 ברם is feminine according to BDB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>416</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin, "Egyptian Viticultural Practices," 146-47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>417</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin, "Egyptian Viticultural Practices," 152.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>418</sup> Schnebel, *Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten*, 246-47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>419</sup> "And I sanctified them and I glorified them and I established them as the plant of a choice vine; and I built my sanctuary in their midst, and I even gave my altar to atone for their sins; I thought that they would do good deeds, but they made their deeds evil."

The order of the inhabitants of Jerusalem and man of Judah are switched in the LXX.<sup>420</sup> For agreement with the LXX order see 2 Kings 23:2; 2 Chr 20:15, 18, 20; 2 Chr 21:13; 32:22; 33:9; 34:30; 35:18; Ezra 4:6; Jer 4:4; 11:2; 11:9, 12; 17:20, 25; 18:11; 25:2; 32(39):32; 35(42):13, 17; Dan 9:7; and Zeph 1:4. Isa 22:21 also has the order seen in the Hebrew of 5:3 and the LXX preserves the order in translation (house of Judah becomes inhabitants, like for Jerusalem). Jer 36(43):31 has this order as well, but men of Judah becomes land of Judah. When the two terms "House of Israel and Men of Judah" appear in 5:7 the LXX does not change the order. The plural ἐνοιχοῦντες agrees with 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> which has **''I'me''**.

Only here, in 5:7, and Jer 35(42):13 is the phrase אָישׁ יְהוּדָה אָישׁ rendered with aνθρωποςτοῦ Ιουδα. Typically aνηρ is used, either in the singular or plural. In Jer 35(42):13 it is also rendered literally as a singular and is parallel to "inhabitants" in the plural translated with a plural: plural: plural: μκατιτρική τοις κατοικοῦσιν Ιερουσαλημ. LXX-Isa's translation is more eloquent, with the definite article (aνθρωπος τοῦ Ιουδα), and using the same preposition in the prefix (ἐνοικοῦντες ἐν Ιερουσαλημ). Based on these passages, and Ob 1:9,<sup>421</sup> it seems aνθρωπος can be a collective singular, though it is odd that in Isa and Jer it stands parallel to a plural, especially in Isa, where the parallel collective singular is translated in the plural (assuming the *Vorlage* was like MT, and not 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>). Since singular is not, it seems possible that aνθρωπος is intended to be a singular (and not collective). LXX.D.E.K. takes it as a singular with the leadership in mind, and compares it to 8:8, 32:2, and 19:20, where a singular aνθρωπος is added in the Greek.<sup>422</sup> When the translator intends a plural, he at times adds aνθρωποι, as in 25:3-5.<sup>423</sup>

The Targum changes voice in this verse, with נבייא אימר להון (Prophet, say to them...).<sup>424</sup> Also it interprets the situation by adding הא בית ישראל מרדו מן אוריתא ולא Behold, the house of Israel have rebelled against the law, and they are not willing to repent). Also of note is ואיש יהודה is rendered ואנש יהודה.

#### Isa 5:4

What more was there to do for my vineyard that I have not done in it? When I expected it to yield grapes, why did it	לְכַרְמִׁי וְלָא עָשָׂיתִי בֵּוֹ מַדְּוּעַ קַוּיֵתִי לַעֲשָׂוֹת	ἔμεινα τοῦ ποιῆσαι σταφυλήν, ἐποίησε δὲ	What more might I do for my vineyard, and I have not done for it? Because I waited for it to produce a cluster of
grapes, why did it yield wild grapes?	יַנְבָים <u>וּי</u> ָעַשׂ בְּאֻשִׁים:	άκάνθας.	produce a cluster of grapes, but it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>420</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 124 points out that B has the same order as the Hebrew.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>421</sup> See Muraoka, *Lexicon*, 52. Ottley, *Isaiah* vol II, 124.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>422</sup> LXX.D.E.K. 2516. 19:20 is of particular note. However, in 40:6 åνθρωπος is added and is undoubtedly meant to be collective singular, or at least general for all men.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>423</sup> For an analysis of this passage see Cunha, *LXX Isaiah 24:1-26:6*, 182-92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>424</sup> "Prophet, say to them, Behold the house of Israel have rebelled against the law, and they are not willing to repent. And now, O inhabitants of Jerusalem and men of Judah, judge now my case against my people."

				produced thorns.
--	--	--	--	------------------

The LXX translates well, using a subjunctive to capture the modal ל + infinitive construct.<sup>425</sup> The translation of מַדוּעַ with διότι is unusual, (usually מַדוּעַ is translated by סֿדו דו or δια τί) but this rendering is not unheard of (see Jdg 5:28 and Jer 30:6). In the Hebrew, according to Jouon-Muraoka 161.k, the interrogative is the first "of two coordinate members, when, logically, the first member is subordinate and the interrogative relates only to the second member."<sup>426</sup> The translator may have had difficulty with this construction, and so converted the rhetorical question into a causal statement with a contrast. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has הַכרמי instead of  $f_{c}$ , and  $f_{c}$ , and  $f_{c}$ , but LXX seems to agree with MT in both places.

Theophrastus discusses all the things that can go wrong if a vine is not tended properly or is exposed to bad weather: the leaves can fall off, the plant can die, the shoots may grow too much, or the branches become too woody, the fruit might not grow at all, or it may fall off before ripe.<sup>427</sup> Also, in his discussion of spontaneous changes that can happen in plants, he mentions that a vine that produces white grapes may suddenly produce black ones, or vice versa.<sup>428</sup> The translator has departed from reality and exaggerates what happens in the vineyard; the vines are not failing, they are actively producing a bad crop.

The Targum turns the question about what more could have been done for the vineyard into a question of what promised good was not given to Israel: מא טבא אמרית להון

#### Isa 5:5

And now I will tell you what I will do to my vineyard. I will remove its hedge, and it shall be	וְעַתָּהْ אוֹדִיעָה־נְּא אֶתְכֶּם אֶת אֲשֶׁר־אֲנִי עשֶׁה לְכַרְמֵי הָסֵר	νῦν δὲ ἀναγγελῶ ὑμῖν τί ποιήσω τῷ ἀμπελῶνί μου· ἀφελῶ τὸν φραγμὸν αὐτοῦ καὶ ἔσται εἰς	But now I will declare to you what I will do to my vineyard. I will remove its hedge, and it shall be
devoured; I will break down its wall, and it shall be trampled down.	מְשׂוּפָתוֹ וְהָיָה לְבָעֵׁר פָּרָץ גְּדֵרְוֹ וְהָיָה לְמִרְמֶס:	διαρπαγήν, καὶ καθελῶ τὸν τοῖχον αὐτοῦ καὶ ἔσται εἰς καταπάτημα,	plundered, and I will tear down its wall, and it shall be trampled down.

The hedge and wall mentioned here in the Hebrew were not included in the Hebrew description of the labor God performed in planting the vineyard in 5:2. The Greek, however, already had there the  $\phi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \delta \varsigma$  and the act of fortifying (χαρακόω). The first person ἀφελῶ is probably not due to a reading like 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>, which has אסיר, but is simply due to the translator turning the whole passage into the first person.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>425</sup> Waltke and O'Connor, An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax, 36.2.3f.

<sup>426</sup> Joüon/Muraoka, §161.k.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>427</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 4.14.6-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>428</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 2.3.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>429</sup> "What more *good did I promise* to do for my *people* that I have not done *for them*? When I thought they would do good deeds, why did they make their deeds evil?"

The rendering of לְבָעֵר with εἰς διαρπαγήν only occurs here.<sup>430</sup> Troxel suggests this equivalent is based on 3:14, with the idea of economic plunder underlying the decision.<sup>431</sup> The notion of plundering may have been chosen as a possible result of having the fence and wall removed, and has tightened the connection between the vineyard imagery and the reality it represents.<sup>432</sup> The choice of τοῖχος seems appropriate for a wall around a vineyard, though in the Papyri, vineyard walls are usually called τεῖχος, πλαστή, or πλάτη.<sup>433</sup>

Like the LXX, the Targum relates the hedge and the wall to 5:2, in that here God says He will remove his Shekhinah and they will become plunder (למיבז) and He will break down the house of their sanctuaries (אתרע בית מקדשיהון); in 5:2, though, it was the temple and altar.<sup>434</sup>

# Isa 5:6

15a 5.0			
I will make it a waste; it shall not be pruned or hoed, and it shall be overgrown with briers and thorns;	וַאֲשִׁיתֵהוּ בָתָה לְא יִזְמֵר וְלָא יֵעָדֵׁר וְעָלֵה שָׁמְיר וָשֵׁיִת	καὶ ἀνήσω τὸν ἀμπελῶνά μου καὶ οὐ μὴ τμηθῆ οὐδὲ μὴ σκαφῆ, καὶ ἀναβήσεται εἰς αὐτὸν ὡς εἰς χέρσον ἄκανθα·	And I will abandon my vineyard, and it shall not be pruned or dug, and a thorn shall come up into it as into a wasteland;
I will also command the clouds that they rain no rain upon it.	וְעַל הֶעָבִיםׂ אֲצַוֶּׂה מֵהַמְטִיר עָלֶיו מְטֵר:	καὶ ταῖς νεφέλαις ἐντελοῦμαι τοῦ μὴ βρέξαι εἰς αὐτὸν ὑετόν.	And I will also command the clouds, that they send no rain to it.

In the section on thorns (3.4.1) we discussed how the LXX translator has shaped this verse with language typical of the papyri to vividly describe a vineyard being left to turn into a fallow waste.<sup>435</sup> Note again the singular  $\ddot{\alpha}\kappa\alpha\nu\theta\alpha$ , in contrast to the plural form in 5:2 and 5:4.

As mentioned in the section on thorns, the Targum interprets all the elements in this verse.<sup>436</sup>

#### Isa 5:7

For the vineyard of the LORD of hosts is the house of Israel, and the people of Judah are his pleasant planting;	בִּי כָּרֶם יְהוֶה צְבָאוֹת בֵּית יִשְׂרָאֵׁל וְאִישׁ יְהוּדָּה נְטַע שַׁעֲשׁוּעֵיו		For the vineyard of the Lord Sabaoth is the house of Israel, and the man of Ioudas is a beloved young plant;
---	---	--	---

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>430</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has simply בער.

<sup>431</sup> Troxel, "Economic Plunder," 389.

<sup>433</sup> Schnebel, Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten, 243-44. See 25:12 for an odd use of τοῖχος. cf. Cunha, LXX Isaiah 24:1-26:6, 121.

<sup>434</sup> "And now I will tell you what I *am about to do* to my *people*. I will *take up my Shekhinah from them*, and *they* shall be for *plundering*; I will break down *the place of their sanctuaries*, and *they will be* for trampling." <sup>435</sup> See also Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 181-82.

<sup>436</sup> "And I will make them [to be] banished; they will not be helped and they will not be supported, and they will be cast out and forsaken; and I will command the prophets that they prophesy no prophecy concerning them."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>432</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2516.

he expected justice, but saw bloodshed; righteousness, but heard a cry!	וִיְקָו לְמִשְׁפָּט׳ וְהִגַּה מִשְׂפֶּׁח לִצְדָקָה וְהִגַּֽה צְעָקָה:	ἔμεινα τοῦ ποιῆσαι κρίσιν, ἐποίησε δὲ ἀνομίαν καὶ οὐ δικαιοσύνην ἀλλὰ κραυγήν.	I waited for him to produce justice, but he produced lawlessness—nor did he produce righteousness but a cry!
--	---	--	--

Again in this verse, the LXX has tried to put the verbs into first person. This means, either the voice changes in 5:7a, or that the Lord refers to Himself in the third person. Like in 5:3, we again have the issue of  $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \zeta$  to  $\tilde{\nu}$  Iou $\delta \alpha$ ; if we understand it as a collective singular, then the beloved new plant (νεόφυτον ήγαπημένον) also must be a collective singular. The Hebrew נָטָע שָׁעָשוֹעָיו refers to the שֶׁרָק of verse 5:2. The LXX translates with νεόφυτον ήγαπημένον, an adequate but unique translation; usually (5x in the Psalms) שעשועים is rendered with μελέτη.<sup>437</sup> In α' we find φυτὸν ἀπολαύσεως αὐτοῦ and in σ' φυτὸν τέρψεως, both are closer translations. Here the LXX translator is undoubtedly creating coherence with 5:1 (though there the adjective is substantive); if the translator wanted to distinguish the vine from the beloved ( $\eta\gamma\alpha\pi\eta\mu\epsilon\nu_{0}$ ) of 5:1, he could have used a different word here. That the translator uses νεόφυτος (used elsewhere for μομ only in Job 14:9)<sup>438</sup> instead of simply φυτός, makes sense, since the vine in question was planted in the vineyard in 5:2. The word νεόφυτος was the technical term for newly planted vineyards,<sup>439</sup> though LXX-Isa wants it to refer to the άμπελος σωρηγ. In 5:7b the LXX adds verbs, the same as were used in 5:2: ποιησαι...έποίησεν, creating yet more coherence with that verse. In the following phrase he does not add verbs, but does add a negation, and renders the conjunction with a contrastive  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$  to make the contrast more obvious.<sup>440</sup> Here there is still ambiguity whether it is the house of Israel or the man of Judah who is doing lawlessness, though the man of Judah is the immediate antecedent of the verb; this is noteworthy in light of the two having their order switched in 5:3. In 5:3, the man of Judah follows immediately after the thorns produced in 5:2.

The Targum of verse seven replaces vineyard with "people," and elaborates on what God expected and what he found.<sup>441</sup>

Isa 5:1-7 is widely recognized as an allegory, as opposed to a parable. A parable is an extended simile, that is, a comparison by resemblance, while an allegory is an extended metaphor, that is, as comparison by representation.<sup>442</sup> The interpretation of this allegory is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>437</sup> Other exceptions are Prov 8:30-31 where εὐφραίνω and ἐνευφραίνομαι are used, and Jer 31:20 uses ἐντρυφάω. In Psa 118(119):166 ម្លុយ្ដុ is rendered with ἀγαπᾶν, and in 93(94):19 it renders the form ម្លុយដែល.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>438</sup> Cf. Psa 143:12 where it is used for נָטִיעַ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>439</sup> Schnebel, *Die Landwirtschaft im hellenistischen Ägypten*, 245.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>440</sup> For the translators use of negative particles, see Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 94-99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>441</sup> "For the *people* of the LORD of hosts is the house of Israel, and the men of Judah his pleasant plant; *I thought that they would perform* judgment, but behold, *oppressors; that they would act innocently*, but behold, *they multiply sins.*"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>442</sup> See Bullinger, *Figures of Speech*, 748-49.

provided already in the Hebrew in 5:7, making it unnecessary for the LXX translator to explain what the imagery refers to. He can translate literally allowing 5:7 to interpret the imagery. In both the Hebrew and the Greek, God planted the vineyard, the vineyard is Israel, the beloved planting are/is the men/man of Judah, grapes are justice and righteousness, and bad grapes/thorns are lawlessness and cries of distress. Some elements are not explained, such as the wall, the hedge (or clearing stones), rain, etc., but these details function within the allegory and do not need real counterparts, or their counterparts are implied by their function in relation to the parts that are explained. In any case, they show God doing all the proper work necessary to cultivate a perfect vineyard.<sup>443</sup> Perhaps these details were understood to represent specific things, which would be elaborated when the passage was commented on by the Greek translator or his community. The Targum goes into detail, explaining how each element of the allegory relates to Israel's history, with particular interest in the temple.

The LXX for this passage as a whole does not interpret to the extent that the Targum does. It does, as Ziegler points out and we have seen, update the vineyard terminology to contemporary practices. Also, to some extent it recasts the image as a Hellenistic-Egyptian vineyard as distinct from an Israelite vineyard.<sup>444</sup> The biggest differences between vineyards in these regions would be that in Israel vineyards would be placed on terraces on hillsides, like we see in 5:1 in both languages.<sup>445</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin argues that the Greek has the conversion of a plot of land, while the Hebrew has the creation of a new plot,<sup>446</sup> but this seems difficult, since in 5:1 a vineyard is acquired, and not simply a plot of land for a vineyard.

As mentioned above, the change in voice in the Septuagint to the first person has left a difficulty in 5:1: if it is "my vineyard" why does it say "the beloved acquired a vineyard?" Who is speaking when, and about whom? In 5:7 we learn that the vineyard belongs to the Lord of Hosts, so the first person references to "my vineyard" throughout the passage are presumably made by God. But does the prophet refer to God in 7a, or does God refer to Himself in the third person? Likewise, in 1b, is the beloved who acquires a vineyard God, who refers to Himself in the third person, or is it someone else? The tempting solution to the last problem is to call the pronoun  $\mu$ ou of 5:1 a mistake resulting from the attempt to put the whole passage into the first person, as in  $\alpha'$ ,  $\sigma'$ , and  $\theta'$ . But assuming the translator was deliberate and careful in his translation, we must suppose either the prophet calls the vineyard his own in 5:1a in that he is somehow associated with it,<sup>447</sup> and in 5:1b the prophet talks about God, his beloved, acquiring the vineyard, or we must suppose God is referring to Himself as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>443</sup> See Walsh, *The Fruit of the Vine*, 137.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>444</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin expands on Ziegler in the description of this updating. Kloppenborg Verbin, "Egyptian Viticultural Practices," 134-59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>445</sup> See Walsh, *The Fruit of the Vine*, 93-99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>446</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin, "Egyptian Viticultural Practices," 146.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>447</sup> A citizen can refer to "my land" in a different way than a king might refer to "my land."

beloved in 1b, or some other beloved is said to acquire the vineyard. If we do assume the translator was deliberate and consistent, then the beloved of 5:1a-b is probably meant to be the same beloved new planting in 5:7, that is, the man of Judah. If this is the case, the beloved acquired a vineyard in 5:1 by being the sorach vine planted in it (5:2). In the same way we might say a dog from an animal shelter got a good home, not by purchasing the deed to the house, but by being brought to it and settled there. This seems like an odd thing to say at this point in the passage, but the literal translation technique required this phrase to be rendered; indeed it is rendered quire literally, except for the pronoun and for the last words. The question of the identity of the  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\pi\eta\tau\delta\varsigma$  in 5:1, however, remains.

A second difficulty in the translation is the ambiguity created in 5:4 by rendering with מגמעטמג; in the Greek, it is possible that the vineyard as a whole is growing thorny plants, or that the vines of the vineyard are growing thorns instead of grapes. As mentioned above, Kloppenborg Verbin believes there is an implicit criticism of some other party who was negligent in tending the vineyard and did not remove the thorn plants that were growing.<sup>448</sup> But this explanation does not seem likely, as we have said. The owner of the vineyard asks in 5:4 what more could he have done for the vineyard? If he could have weeded out the thorns, the question, and the whole allegory, loses its meaning. Additionally, that the vineyard is no longer pruned or dug in 5:6 shows that it was pruned and the weeds dug out of it before the harvest. Also, in 5:6 when the vineyard is abandoned, thorn (a collective singular, unlike the plural of 5:2 and 5:4) springs up like in a fallow field, as opposed to as in a tended vineyard. But whether the vine or the vineyard produces thorns is beside the point; the point is God did everything He could for His vineyard, but still it produced the opposite of what it was supposed to produce. When we look at what grapes and thorns represent in 5:7, it becomes clear that a criticism of the leadership is indeed implied, in that there is no justice but lawlessness. This shows that the ruling authorities are not acting righteously but are causing their people to cry in distress (like in 3:14, where the leadership sets fire to the vineyard, in the Greek).

The allegory is focused in the LXX by the addition of walls and fences in 5:2. In the Hebrew the allegory speaks more broadly of God's deeds on behalf of the vineyard, preparing the land, planting, and cultivating the vineyard. The Greek puts the focus more on the defense of the vineyard (though the other elements are not completely absent), by mentioning twice the wall and fence, and by changing "grazing" into "plundering," which exaggerates the destruction of the vineyard once the walls are gone. By focusing on defense, the allegory hints at the idea of a city, though still speaks generally about a people or nation. Ziegler suggests Isa 5:1-7 plays a role in Isa 27:2-5 rendering the vineyard as a city, as we will discuss below.<sup>449</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>448</sup> Kloppenborg Verbin, "Egyptian Viticultural Practices," 150-51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>449</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 90.

The LXX of the song of the vineyard, then, follows closely the Hebrew original, bringing the image to the experience of his readers by the use of appropriate terminology. At the same time, by slight adjustments, here and there, the translator has focused the allegory to a particular interpretation. That the vineyard produces thorns instead of grapes, and not just bad grapes, makes the vineyard, and those it represents, even more culpable; they are not only disappointing (producing poor quality grapes) but are wicked (producing thorns). The Greek appears to lay extra focus on the leadership, by the way it deals with the "man of Judah."

There is a pesher fragment (4Q162/4QpIsa<sup>b</sup>) of this passage, but not much can be said from it beyond that verse 5 is interpreted as God abandoning his people.

The Targum, on the other hand, interprets each element of the allegory, and makes what little imagery survives into similes. In 5:7, where in the Hebrew and Greek the interpretation of the allegory is given, the Targum in part interprets even this: ארי עמיה דיוי עמיה דיוי עמיה נות בית ישראל (for the people of the LORD of hosts is the house of Israel).

In 5:10 vineyards are mentioned as producing very little wine to illustrate the desolation promised in 5:9. The phrase  $ξ_{45}$  is rendered  $ζ_{6}$  κύγη βοῶν. Ottley says the Greek phrase corresponds in meaning to the unit of measure  $ξ_{3}$  ( $ξ_{450}$ ) the only other place it occurs, 1 Sam 14:14, it is rendered very differently. There is no need to suppose  $ξ_{51}$  was thought to be some plural for a word for cattle (such as 100);<sup>451</sup> since the context of plowing a vineyard makes little sense;<sup>452</sup> the translator may have supposed a yoke of oxen was a better rendering and better cohered with the parallel clause.<sup>453</sup>

The Targum renders the Hebrew well, only adding an explanation for why the ten measures of vineyard land yields only one measure of wine: the sin of not giving tithes.

In 27:2-5 a vineyard again is used in a metaphor. In the Hebrew it is implied to represent God's people, but in the Greek it is explicitly interpreted as a besieged city.

## Isa 27:2

On that day: "A pleasant vineyard, sing about it!	בַּיָּוֹם הַתְוּא כָּרֶם הֶמֶד <sup>454</sup> עַנּוּ־לֶה:	άμπελών ναλός.	On that day: a beautiful vineyard—a desire to begin singing <i>against</i> it.
---	--	----------------	---

The LXX testifies to a textual variant in MT, namely, the reading המד as opposed to  $\pi \alpha \Gamma^{455}$  The LXX read המד and gave it a double rendering געאניטלג and להנא Ziegler.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>450</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>451</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 125. LXX.D.E.K. 2517.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>452</sup> A field where a vineyard was to be planted would need the soil loosened, perhaps by plowing, but describing a land being turned into an under performing vineyard would require considerable more description than a literal rendering style would allow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>453</sup> Ziegler thinks the translator considered it arable land generally, and did not need to be restricted to vineyards. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 108. For the units of measure, see Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 193.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>454</sup> Following BHS; the reading of the Aleppo Codex and Leningradensis is חמר, 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has חומר; this reading is reflected also in the Vulgate and the Peshitta. See van der Kooij, "Isaiah 24-27: Text-Critical Notes," 15.

thinks it is questionable that  $d\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda d\nu \kappa\alpha\lambda\delta\varsigma$  is original, since the passage as a whole is frequently understood to be about a city, and so the original reading was  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota\varsigma \pi\delta\lambda\iota\rho\kappa\delta\iota\rho\kappa\delta\iota\mu\ell\nu\eta$ (as occurs in 27:3), which the feminine pronoun  $\alpha\delta\tau\eta\varsigma$  would then match.<sup>457</sup> But it is entirely possible that the translator simply maintained the vineyard metaphor in verse 2 (as well as rendering literally the gender of the pronoun) and once the song began in verse 3 makes clear his interpretation of the metaphor. The feminine pronoun in the Hebrew here and the feminine forms in the next verse undoubtedly contributed to the idea that a city was meant and not a vineyard, which is elsewhere always masculine in Hebrew.

The Targum makes clear that the passage is talking about the congregation of Israel, and turns the metaphor into a simile.<sup>458</sup> Like the LXX, it gives two renderings of קֶמֶד but to a different end: ככרם נסב בארע טבא.

#### Isa 27:3

1.00			
I, the LORD, am its	אֲנֵי יְהוָה נְצְרָה	έγὼ πόλις ἰσχυρά,	I am a strong city, a
keeper; every		πόλις πολιορκουμένη,	besieged city; in vain
moment I water it. I	לִרְגָעָים אַשְׁאֶנֶנְה פֶּו	μάτην ποτιῶ αὐτήν	will I water it, for it
guard it night and	יִפְקֹד עֶָלֶיהָ לַיְלָה וָיָוֹם	άλώσεται γὰρ νυκτός,	will be taken by
day so that no one		ήμέρας δὲ πεσεῖται τὸ	night, and by day the
can harm it;	;אֶצְֶרֶנָה	τεῖχος.	wall will fall.

In this verse, the Hebrew is more concerned about showing God's care for the vineyard, than about describing the vineyard itself. That God waters the vineyard is the opposite of 5:6 where He commanded the clouds not to rain. Giving it drink could mean irrigation practices, like in Deut 11:10 where Egyptian fields are watered by foot הָרַאָלָרָ Guarding the vineyard was important for the LXX's understanding of 5:1-7 (where a vineyard representing the house of Israel is destroyed).

<sup>459</sup> Unless איר יהוה was thought (Isa 60:14; Psa 48:8; 101:8), and not wanting to use the term in a negative context opted for  $\pi \delta \lambda_{15}$  (סעטקל, as Prof. van der Kooij tentatively proposed in discussion.

- <sup>460</sup> Seeligmann, The Septuagint Version of Isaiah, 169 [31/32].
- <sup>461</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 234.
- <sup>462</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>455</sup> See D. Barthélemy, *Critique textuelle de l'Ancien Testament: 2 Isaïe, Jermie, Lamentations* (OBO, 50.2; Fribourg: Éditions Universitaires, 1986), 188-92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>456</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2572.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>457</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>458</sup> "In that time, "The congregation of Israel which is like a choice vineyard in a good land, sing of it!""

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>463</sup> It would appear Ziegler preferred this reading for 27:3 while he wrote *Untersuchungen*, but changed his mind when he prepared the Göttingen LXX text. The reading  $i\sigma\chi\nu\rho\alpha$  is attested in S, A, and Q\*.

and πόλις πολιορκουμένη was secondary.<sup>464</sup> Van der Vorm-Croughs, following Seeligmann,<sup>465</sup> believes this is a case of two coordinate renderings that reflect distinct readings or interpretations of the Hebrew, as her section title says.<sup>466</sup> She explains that both adjectives come from from  $\tau$  (to enclose); and second,  $i\sigma\chi\nu\rho\alpha$  comes from reading a Niphal participle of  $\tau$  (to enclose); and second,  $i\sigma\chi\nu\rho\alpha$  comes from reading  $\tau$  (to enclose); and second,  $i\sigma\chi\nu\rho\alpha$ .<sup>467</sup> Seeligmann believes  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota\varsigma$  is an epexegetic addition, which the translator "came to regard as the binding factor" between his two readings of  $\tau$ .

Like in Isa 5:1-7, it is confusing concerning who is speaking. In 5:2 the beloved is said to acquire a vineyard, but then the passage speaks about "my vineyard." So too in 27:3, the speaker is the besieged city, but the passage continues to describe what "I" do for "her" (the city). According to LXX.D., 3-4a is all part of one direct speech. It then still remains odd that the city refers to itself as "her," αὐτήν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>464</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>465</sup> Seeligmann, The Septuagint Version of Isaiah, 169. Though he believes it is read as בצרה and בצרה and נצרה.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>466</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>467</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>468</sup> Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 169. He believes the *Vorlage* had the Tetragrammaton abbreviated with ', which had fallen out due to haplography.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>469</sup> As also in Isa 27:10, but the LXX does not translate in the same way there, and does not even mention a city.
 <sup>470</sup> For the former, see Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 234. Ziegler agrees with the possibility and suggests also the latter, Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>471</sup> Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, 77.

<sup>472</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2572.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>473</sup> Cf. Sir 24:31 where giving drink (ποτιῶ) to the garden has good results. Water here representing instruction. LXX.D.E.K. suggests this is the meaning of the metaphor "to give drink" in LXX Isa 27:3, also. LXX.D.E.K., 2572.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>474</sup> Even more unlikely is that it was thought to be the proclitic particle p.

The Targum expands and interprets the verse.<sup>480</sup> There is no mention of a vineyard, but God keeps his covenant. Giving drink refers to the cup of their punishment (כמר בס). Day and night refers to the constant protection of God's Memra.

## Isa 27:4

I have no wrath. <i>Who</i> <i>will give</i> me thorns and briers? I will march to battle against it. I will burn it up.	ַחַמֶּה אַיז לֵי מְי־יִתְּגַׁנִי שְׁמִיר שַׁיִת בַּמִּלְחָמָׂה אֶפְשְׂעֵה בֶהּ אֲצִיתֶנְּה יְחַד:	ούκ ἔστιν ἡ ούκ ἐπελάβετο αὐτῆς· τίς με θήσει φυλάσσειν καλάμην ἐν ἀγρῷ; διὰ τὴν πολεμίαν ταύτην ἠθέτηκα αὐτήν. τοίνυν διὰ τοῦτο ἐποίησε κύριος ὁ θεὸς πάντα, ὅσα συνέταξε. κατακέκαυμαι,	There is not <i>one</i> that has not taken hold of it; who will set me to watch stubble in a field? Because of this enmity I have set it aside. Therefore because of this the Lord God has done all things, whatever he has ordained. I have been burned up.

The Hebrew expresses the peace of Israel and God's zeal to defend it. God wishes (as expressed by the cohortative verbs) there were thorns and thistles so He could zealously make war on them and destroy them from His vineyard.

The Greek has rather drastically changed this verse along with much of the chapter.<sup>481</sup> Relating Greek clauses to the underlying Hebrew is difficult; there appears to be some double translations in this verse. The identity of the relative pronoun  $\ddot{\eta}$  is translated as referring to "city" by NETS and to "*Macht*" in LXX.D.; more literally it refers to the enmity (or the inimical one) mentioned later:  $\pi o \lambda \varepsilon \mu i \alpha$ . This idea, while difficult to extrapolate from the Hebrew, continues from the Greek's understanding of 27:3 where the strong city is taken and the wall falls; every enemy will take hold of the city. Likewise  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\varepsilon\lambda\dot{\alpha}\beta\varepsilon\tau\sigma$   $\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\tilde{\eta}\varsigma$  may come

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>475</sup> Troxel, LXX-Isaiah, 79.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>476</sup> Ziegler suggests the root לבד may have been thought, Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 89. LXX.D.E.K. is probably right that it is a paraphrase with the sense of an announcement of judgment. LXX.D.E.K., 2572.
 <sup>477</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 234. Cf. LXX.D.E.K., 2572.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>478</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 47-48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>479</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 89. Cf. LXX.D.E.K., 2572. For LXX-Isa's use of τείχος and τοίχος see van der Kooij, *The Oracle of Tyre*, 67-68; Cunha, *LXX Isaiah*, 173-74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>480</sup> "I, the LORD, keep for them the covenant of their fathers, and I will not destroy them, except that in the moment that they incite to anger before me, I make them drink the cup of their retribution. But though their sins already demand that retribution be taken from them, night and day my Memra protects them."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>481</sup> For an analysis of 27:2-5, see Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 87-91.

from the general perceived context of an inimical party attempting to seize a city; LXX.D.E.K links it to Joel 2:9 where again the word occurs in the context of an attacked city.<sup>482</sup> Van der Vorm-Croughs suggests ἐπελάβετο is based on linking חמה by way of root association.<sup>483</sup>

We have already discussed the rendering of the phrase מִי־יִהְנֵנִי שָׁמִיר שַׁיָת in the section on thorns (3.4.1.).

The phrase διὰ τὴν πολεμίαν ταύτην ἠθέτηκα αὐτήν presumably comes from the Hebrew. The word πολεμίαν comes from בַּמִלְחָמָה. The word  $\mathfrak{C}$  elsewhere only occurs in 1 Sam 20:3,<sup>484</sup> where it is rendered ἐμπέπλησται. In Isa 27:4, as Ottley and LXX.D.E.K. show, the translator understood שָׁשָׁ as in Isa 1:2.<sup>485</sup> The last word, בָּה, is rendered with αὐτήν.

The next phrase, τοίνυν διὰ τοῦτο ἐποίησε κύριος ὁ θεὸς πάντα, ὅσα συνέταξε, has been compared to the similar phrase in Lam 2:17.<sup>486</sup> Ziegler held that it was a marginal gloss already before the LXX; he shows how the theme of God decreeing things before they happen is addressed elsewhere, as in 37:26.<sup>487</sup> Seeligmann, on the other hand, thought it was a Christian gloss.<sup>488</sup> LXX.D.E.K. acknowledges the influence of Lam 2:17, and suggests the following equivalents:  $\pi 0 = \pi 0 i \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\pi = \pi 0 i \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\pi 0 = \pi 0 i \epsilon \omega$ .<sup>489</sup> This plus acts as a kind of theological summary, explaining why God's holy city faces such disasters. The phrase make peace with God.

The Targum expands this verse also, but makes it about how God would destroy Israel's enemies if they would follow his law, like fire destroys thorns and fallow land: ואשיצינון כמא דמשיציא אשתא הובאי ובור כחדא.

The vineyard metaphor of Isa 27:2-4 has been substantially reworked by the LXX; indeed, after 27:2 there is no hint of a vineyard at all in the Greek but only of a besieged city. The reference to giving drink in 27:3 which in the Hebrew refers to a vineyard in the Greek refers to the besieged city. It could literally refer to giving water in the famine of the siege, or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>482</sup> LXX.D.E.K. 2572.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>483</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 47-48. This is an example of words rendered at the end of one clause and the beginning of the next clause.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>484</sup> One manuscript has it in Prov 29:6, but LXX has ἁμαρτάνοντι.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>485</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 234. LXX.D.E.K., 2572.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>486</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 91; Seeligmann, The Septuagint of Isaiah, [26/27] 162.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>487</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 90-91. Ziegler convincingly shows the several connections between LXX-Isa 37 and 27. Van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 239 also offers these passages as an example of elements being adopted from elsewhere in Isaiah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>488</sup> Seeligmann, *The Septuagint of Isaiah*, [26/27] 162.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>489</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2572. For the last equivalent, see van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 50, where she suggests a double rendering of אציתנה א צית נה as συντάσω from צוה צוה צוה.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>490</sup> "Behold, there are many prodigies before me! If the house of Israel set their face to do the law, would I not send my anger and my wrath among the gentiles who are stirred up against them and destroy them as the fire destroys briers and thorn together?"

could be a metaphor for instruction.<sup>491</sup> How the vineyard became a besieged city is in part due to lexical issues, in part due to the immediate context, and in part due to the interpretation of the vineyard in Isa 5.

The lexical warrant, such as it is, involves the interpretation of two words in 27:3-4. While opinions differ as to exactly what happened, many agree that נצרה gave way to the idea of a strong or besieged city, as we have seen; נצורה is used to describe a city in Isa 1:8. The second lexical warrant is חמה in 27:4, which was interpreted as a city wall: דɛîχoç. In addition to these, the repeated feminine forms in the passage probably suggested to the translator that a city (אַיר) was meant.

The context likewise probably contributed to the understanding that a city was meant; cities are mentioned numerous times in Isa 24-26. In particular, as we stated above, the song in 26:1 about a strong city (though there a different word for "strong" is used) may have contributed to the song in 27:2 being understood as referring to a city.<sup>492</sup> Also, in the following passage, 27:10, a fortified city (עִיר בְּצוּרֶה) is described as deserted (though LXX renders this phrase differently there). Hendrik Leene has argued that in the Hebrew, 27:8 invites a comparison between the vineyard of 27:2-6 with the city of 27:10-11.<sup>493</sup> Also, as Ziegler pointed out, the phrase  $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon i \tau a$   $\tau \delta \tau \varepsilon i \chi \circ \varsigma$  occurs both in 27:3 and 24:23. More specifically, exegesis of LXX-Isa 26 shows that it is most likely referring to Jerusalem,<sup>494</sup> so it makes sense that this context would contribute to seeing 27:2-5 as referring to Jerusalem also, despite the fact that it is described as  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota_{5} i \sigma \chi \upsilon \rho a$  in 27:3 and not as  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota_{5} \delta \chi \upsilon \rho a$  as in 26:1.

The connection between Isa 5 and Isa 27 does not at first appear to go far beyond them both being songs about a vineyard. While the Greek of Isa 5 still maintains the interpretation that the vineyard represents the house of Israel and the vine the man of Judah, the language of the passage has been changed, making it easier to relate to a city. In LXX-Isa 5:2 the additional description of the vineyard as fenced or fortified brings it closer to the besieged city of 27:3. As we saw in the Targum, later tradition understood parts of the vineyard of Isa 5 to represent the temple in Jerusalem. Baumgarten argues that 4Q500 uses botanical imagery from Isa 5 to describe the temple as early as the first century BCE.<sup>495</sup> While identified already as a benediction by Baillet,<sup>496</sup> Baumgarten shows that it is probably a benediction addressed to God, since it talks of "the gate of the holy height" (לשער מרום הקודש) and the "streams

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>491</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2572.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>492</sup> See Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>493</sup> Hendrik Leene, "Isaiah 27:7-9 as a bridge between vineyard and city," in *Studies in Isaiah 24-27* (Leiden; Boston: Brill, 2000): 199-225. He shows some connections in the Hebrew between 27 and 24 on page 216-17, though the LXX does not appear to make these connections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>494</sup> van der Kooij, "The Cities of Isa 24-27," 195-97. Cunha, *LXX Isaiah 24:1-26:6*, 206-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>495</sup> Joseph M. Baumgarten, "4Q500 and the Ancient Conception of the Lord's Vineyard," *Journal of Jewish Studies* 40 (1989), 1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>496</sup> Maurice Baillet, *Qumrân Grotte 4* (DJD VII; Oxford, 1982), 78-79.

of your glory" (ופלגי כבודכה).<sup>497</sup> In even such a short fragment the connection to Isa 5 is clear: both speak of a wine vat יקב (Kloppenborg Verbin points out that there is no point to the fragment saving it is made of stones unless it has in mind the altar, like the Targum),<sup>498</sup> and both use the somewhat rare adjective שׁעשׁוע.<sup>499</sup> Additionally, Baumgarten believes the holy height corresponds to the tower in 5:2 and that word  $\neg \alpha \alpha \beta$  can be reconstructed as וכר]<sup>500</sup> Perhaps this interpretation, that the song of the vineyard in Isa 5 refers to the Temple, was already known to the LXX-Isa translator; it seems to fit with his understanding of the vineyard as Jerusalem in Isa 27:2. In any case, 4Q500 and the Targum demonstrate that the tradition thought it possible to identify a vineyard with Jerusalem (or more specifically, its temple), as LXX-Isa does in 27:2-5. Already in the Hebrew it is hinted at that Jerusalem itself is at times represented by a vineyard. In 1:8 the daughter of Zion is compared to a hut in a vineyard (and to a besieged city), and in 3:14 it could be understood that the leaders grazing the vineyard are helping themselves to the goods in Jerusalem, though nothing explicit makes this connection in the Hebrew or the Greek. While 1:8 is only that the people are like a vineyard or like a besieged city, and in 3:14 and 5:1-6 the people not the city are represented by a vineyard,<sup>501</sup> LXX-Isa 27 takes a step further thinking a vineyard represents the city Jerusalem.

## 3.5.2. Vines

Grapes or grapevines ( $\mathfrak{k}$ , are often nearly synonymous with vineyards. We have already discussed 7:23-5 in the section on thorns (3.4.1.). For the occurrence in 34:4, see the section on leaves (2.5.1.). The occurrences in 32:10-12 and 36:16-17 speak literally about actual grapes and vines. Isaiah 16:8 also talks about a vine in hyperbolic terms, which the LXX makes less extreme, but the Targum interprets allegorically.<sup>502</sup> In 16:9 there is weeping for vines, though this is probably because they are actually destroyed (and are not a metaphor).

#### Isa 24:7

vine languishes all		πενθήσει οἶνος, πενθήσει ἄμπελος, στενάξουσι πάντες οἱ εὐφραινόμενοι τὴν	The wine will mourn; the vine will mourn; all who rejoice in their soul will groan.
---------------------	--	---	--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>497</sup> Baumgarten, "4Q500 and the Ancient Conception of the Lord's Vineyard," 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>498</sup> John S. Kloppenborg [Verbin], *The Tenants in the Vineyard* (Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament 195; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2006), 90. Both Kloppenborg Verbin and Baumgarten also compare the fragments' interpretation to that of both the Targum and Tosephta Sukkah 3.15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>499</sup> Baumgarten, "4Q500 and the Ancient Conception of the Lord's Vineyard," 1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>500</sup> Baumgarten, "4Q500 and the Ancient Conception of the Lord's Vineyard," 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>501</sup> Cf. Ezek 15:6 where again the people of Jerusalem are represented by a grape vine in the context of coming destruction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>502</sup> "For the *armies* of Heshbon *are plundered*, the *companies* of Sibmah *are killed*; the *kings* of the *Gentiles* kill *their rulers*, they reached to Jazer, strayed to the desert, *their outcasts cut [their way] through*, cross over the sea."

	ψυχήν.	

While in Isa 16:8-9 there was weeping for vines, in 24:7 they are personified as themselves weeping. In the Hebrew, the synonymous parallelism suggests it could be understood to mean simply that wine and vine dry out. According to HALOT, אבל can mean "to dry out," and has a homonym that means "to mourn," but אמל only means to dry out.<sup>503</sup> The Greek translates both terms with  $\pi\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\omega$ ,<sup>504</sup> and so anthropomorphizes the wine and vine, giving them emotions. In 16:8 the translator has also rendered אמל with  $\pi\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\omega$ . Earlier in the passage, the earth also is said to mourn (אבל) in 24:4, which may have contributed to the Greek reading of 24:7.<sup>505</sup> In 4QIsa<sup>c</sup> there is a plus, and so reads גפן יצה, which is a closer parallel to  $\kappa$ .

Also of note is that שִׂמְחֵי־לֵב has been rendered with εὐφραινόμενοι τὴν ψυχήν. This translation occurs 13x (and 12x for לבב) in the LXX and διάονια 19x, so often this lexicalized metaphor is translated so as to remove the idiom.

The Targum inserts a subject and makes things causal, so that those who drink wine mourn because the vines are dying;<sup>506</sup> this is based on the context, particularly 24:9 and 11. A word associated with grape vines is 3000, which occurs in Isaiah only in **65:8**.

	tee with grupe villes is ?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,, j
Thus says the LORD: As the wine is found in the cluster, and they say, "Do not destroy it, for there is a blessing in it,"	כַּהן אָמַר יְהוָה כַּאֲשֶׁר יִמְצֵא הַתִּירוֹשׂ בָּאֲשֶׁבוֹל וְאָמַר אַל־ תַּשְׁחִיתֵׁהוּ כִּי בְרָכֶה גַּוֹ	Ούτως λέγει κύριος <sup>°</sup> Ον τρόπον εύρεθήσεται ὁ ῥὼξ ἐν τῷ βότρυι καὶ ἐροῦσι Μὴ λυμήνῃ αὐτὸν ὅτι εὐλογία ἐστὶν ἐν αὐτῷ,	Thus says the Lord: As the grape will be found in the cluster, and they will say, "Do not destroy it, because <i>a blessing</i> <sup>507</sup> is in it,"
so I will do for my servants' sake, and not destroy them all.	בֵּן אֶּעֶשָׂהׂ לְמַעַן עֲבָדַׁי לְבִלְתֵּי הַשְׁתֵית הַכְּל:	οὕτως ποιήσω ἕνεκεν τοῦ δουλεύοντός μοι, τούτου ἕνεκεν οὐ μὴ ἀπολέσω πάντας.	so I will do for the sake of the one who <i>serves</i> me. For the sake of this one I will not destroy them all.

The Hebrew comparison expresses that the destruction declared in 65:1-7 will not be complete but some remnant will survive. Some commentators understand the Hebrew as the Greek does, that some good grapes are found on a bad bunch, but others that it is a good bunch of grapes among bad bunches.<sup>508</sup> It remains strange, though, that "wine" or "must" is mentioned and that there is nothing to clarify what kind of activity is being done that the bunch would otherwise be destroyed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>503</sup> HALOT s.v.; DCH only has the definition "to mourn" for אבל.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>504</sup> See LXX.D.E.K., 2565.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>505</sup> See Cunha, *LXX Isaiah*, 66, 72, 147-48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>506</sup> "All who drink wine mourn, for the vines wither, all the merry-hearted sigh."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>507</sup> NETS follows Rahlfs with "the blessing of the Lord," though it does not mention that it departs from Ziegler at this point.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>508</sup> See Blenkinsop, *Isaiah 56-66*, 275-76.

The word Ψ΄τιν is usually translated with οἶνος in LXX (and in LXX-Isa). The rendering here with ῥώξ is considered to be free by Muraoka,<sup>509</sup> and indeed, it constitutes an interpretation of the difficult simile. Ziegler suggests the translator had the leftover grapes in mind, which one was supposed to leave for the poor (Lev 19:10: οὐδὲ τοὺς ῥῶγας τοῦ ἀμπελῶνός σου συλλέξεις), similar to the use of ῥώξ in Isa 17:6 (though there it refers to olives); the mention of a blessing, then, is to that promised for keeping such commandments (Deut 24:19).<sup>510</sup>

The Targum abandons the language of the comparison, making it about Noah (chosen, perhaps in part, because he was a vintner) being saved in his wicked generation, rather than having to do with grapes.<sup>511</sup>

#### 3.5.3. Summary

In summary, vineyard metaphors in LXX-Isa could be on their way toward conventionalization, in that they seem to be regularly thought to represent Jerusalem. This is hinted at in the Hebrew already in 1:8 and 3:14, but is hinted at more strongly in the Greek of 5:1-7 and made explicit in 27:2-6. The comparison in 65:8 also makes good sense (both in the Hebrew and Greek) if understood in relation to Isa 5:1-7, so that not all the grapes are bad (though they are thorns in the Greek), but a few will be saved.

In 5:10, the removal of the vineyard is probably due to trying to make a more sensible text. The reduction of the hyperbolic size of the vine of Sibmah has to do with the translator trying to describe how Moab will be ravaged in 16:8-9. In 24:7 the vines are personified as weeping, though this is probably not connected to ideas of Israel as God's vineyard.

The Targum in 1:8 focuses the metaphor, making it clear that the hut and booth are abandoned after the harvest is over. The grazing of the vineyard in 3:14 is interpreted simply as robbing God's people, as the context makes clear. In 5:1-7 the Targum expands interpreting the language to give an overview of Israelite history and the temple; it explains the exile and the temple's destruction as the result of the people's failure to obey the law. In 27:2-4 the individual elements of the vineyard are again interpreted; the passage becomes about Israel and the covenant and what God would do for His people if they would only follow the law.

Concerning the vine of Sibmah in 16:8-9, the Targum interprets the vine's parts, so that the vine is the armies, the tendrils rulers, and the shoots fugitives. In 24:7, rather than the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>509</sup> Muraoka, Two-Way Index, 105.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>510</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 132.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>511</sup> "Thus says the LORD: "As Noah who was found innocent in the generation of the flood, and I promised not to destroy him in order to establish the world from him, so I will do for my servants', the righteous', sake, in order not to destroy all."

vine mourning, those who drink wine mourn. In 65:8 the strange "must in the grape cluster" image is replaced by a vintner: Noah, who becomes the basis for the comparison.

# 3.6. Trees

In Hebrew,  $\gamma \underline{\nu}$  is a word for a tree or the material wood. The LXX renders it with ξύλον the majority of the time. When the context is appropriate, it uses more specific terms, such as in Gen 18:4 where it has δένδρον.<sup>512</sup> Since our interest is in plant imagery, we will skip most of the passages that use  $\gamma \underline{\nu}$  as the material wood or speak of trees literally.<sup>513</sup>

This section will first discuss general references to trees; second, it will look at references to oaks or terebinths; third, several other specific kinds of trees will be treated together; and fourth, references to thickets and woods will be examined; and finally a summary of tree related metaphors will be offered.

### 3.6.1. References to trees in general: עֵץ

Often Isaiah uses tree metaphors that do not need to be any particular kind of tree. As we will see, the LXX-Isa translator sometimes feels the need to adjust these passages in various ways. We will first look at the texts in question, then make a summary.

## 3.6.1.1. Texts

The first place  $\mathfrak{U}$  occurs is in a short narrative section giving historical context to a prophecy.

# Isa 7:2

When the house of David heard that Aram had allied itself with Ephraim, the heart of Ahaz and the heart of his people shook as the trees of the forest shake before the	וַיָּגַּד לְבֵית דָּוִד לֵאמׂר גְחֶה אֲרֶם עַל־ אֶפְרֵים וַיְּנַע לְבָבוֹ וּלְבַב עַמׂוֹ כְּנְוֹעַ עֲצֵי־ יֵעַר מִפְּגֵי־רְוּחַ:	πρὸς τὸν Εφραιμ· καὶ ἐξέστη ἡ ψυχὴ αὐτοῦ καὶ ἡ ψυχὴ τοῦ λαοῦ αὐτοῦ, ὃν τρόπον ὅταν ἐν δρυμῷ ξύλον ὑπὸ	And it was reported to the house of Dauid saying, "Aram has made an agreement with Ephraim." And his soul and the soul of his people were agitated as when a
wind.		πνεύματος σαλευθῆ.	tree in the forest is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>512</sup> Cf. Ezek 37:16-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>513</sup> Isa 10:15; 30:33; 37:19; 40:20; 44:13; 44:19; 45:20; 60:17. Often trees are mentioned literally in relation to cultic sites in Isaiah. Sticher, "Die Gottlosen gedeihen wie Gras," 253-54 argues that God is not described in tree metaphors out of concern for Canaanite tree-cults; she also shows that trees as something permanent usually are used to represent the righteous in the OT; though they can be cut down, they may sprout from the stump and so can be an image of judgment and salvation. She shows trees also can be used negatively as representing the proud and arrogant, and in Psa 37 the wicked are like a tall tree that nevertheless vanishes without a trace.

	shaken by the wind.

This simile is interesting, first of all, since it is used in a narrative section to describe events, and not in a more poetic prophetic section.<sup>514</sup> In the Hebrew the comparison turns on using the same verb נוע to describe the tenor (their hearts) and the vehicle (trees of the forest). That hearts shake is itself a metaphor for fear, though it also describes the physical sensation of shock and fear. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has only the hearts of the people shake, probably due to haplography: וינע לבר עמו

The LXX clarifies exactly what is meant by hearts shaking. The word έξίστημι is only used here as an equivalent for Life Life translator wanted to explain what it meant for their heart to shake by saying they were amazed or stunned, as Muraoka defines the phrase.<sup>515</sup> The regular translation, even in LXX-Isa, for  $\underline{\zeta}$  is  $\kappa\alpha\rho\delta$ , which further shows that the translator was attempting to explain the meaning of the phrase and was not concerned with preserving its imagery. Once the reality represented is clear, the translator is able to translate the simile describing it.

But the simile too has been modified in translation. The comparative particle is rendered with a long but precise phrase  $\delta v \tau \rho \delta \pi a v$  so that the simile can be an entire phrase.<sup>516</sup> The verb  $\sigma a \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$  (elsewhere used seven times for Life is moved to the end of the sentence. Also, the construct relationship  $\psi \chi \gamma \gamma \psi$  has been carefully rendered  $\dot{\epsilon}v \delta \rho u \mu \ddot{\omega} \dot{\xi} \dot{v} \lambda o v$ , as opposed to just using a genitive; the word order is changed, the plural becomes singular and a preposition is used to show the relationship.

These changes clarify what the simile means, but appear to be done for the sake of creating an inclusio. The reality and the simile describing it are linked by the term  $\mathcal{VI}$  in the Hebrew, but the Greek has sought for clarity in describing the reality and so uses different verbs.<sup>517</sup> By rearranging the simile, the link between the verbs  $\dot{\xi}$  ( $\sigma\tau\eta\mu$ ) and  $\sigma\alpha\lambda\epsilon\omega$  is reestablished by placing them at the beginning and end of the sentence.

The Targum modifies this simile slightly, and like the LXX uses two different verbs for the hearts (או שדי) to shake or move) and the tree (שדי) hit.: to be thrown about).<sup>518</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>514</sup> For the use of συμφωνέω, see Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 109. Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 195 [50/51]. LXX.D.E.K., 2520.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>515</sup> Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v. ψυχή.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>516</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>517</sup> For this technique in LXX-Isa, see Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 182 [41].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>518</sup> "And it was made known to the house of David: "*The king of* Syria has *allied himself* with *the king of Israel*," *to come up against him.* And his heart with the heart of his people *quaked* as *the* shak*ing* of trees of the forest before *the* wind."

## Isa 10:17-19

The light of Israel will become a fire, and his Holy One a flame; and it will burn and devour his thorns and briers in one day.	וְהָיֶה אְוֹר־יִשְׂרָאֵל לְאֵׁשׁ וּקְדוֹשָׁוֹ לְעֶׁהָבֶה וּבִעֲרָה וְאֵרְלֶה שִׁיתִוֹ וּשְׁמִירְוֹ בְּיָוֹם אֶחֲד:	καὶ ἔσται τὸ Φῶς τοῦ Ισραηλ εἰς πῦρ καὶ ἁγιάσει αὐτὸν ἐν πυρὶ καιομένῳ καὶ Φάγεται ὡσεὶ χόρτον τὴν ὕλην. τῇ ἡμέρạ ἐκείνῃ	The light of Israel will become a fire, and it will sanctify him with a burning fire and devour the wood like grass. On that day
The glory of his forest and his fruitful land the LORD will destroy, both soul and body, and it will be as when an invalid wastes away. <sup>519</sup>	וּכְבָוֹד יַעְרוֹ וְכַרְמָלּוֹ מִגֶּפֶשׁ וְעַד־בָּשֶׂר יְכַלֶּה וְהָיֶה בִּמְסָס נֹסֵס:	άποσβεσθήσεται τὰ ὄρη καὶ οἱ βουνοὶ καὶ οἱ δρυμοί, καὶ καταφάγεται ἀπὸ ψυχῆς ἕως σαρκῶν· καὶ ἔσται ὁ φεύγων ὡς ὁ φεύγων ἀπὸ φλογὸς καιομένης·	the mountains and the hills and the woods will vanish, and it will consume them from the soul to the flesh, and the one who flees will be like the one who flees from a burning flame.
<i>And</i> the remnant of the trees of his forest will be so few that a child can write them down.	וּשְׁאָר עֵץ יַעְרוֹ מִסְפֵּר יִהְיֵוּ וְנַעַר יִכְהְבֵם:	καὶ οἱ καταλειφθέντες ἀπ' αὐτῶν ἔσονται ἀριθμός, καὶ παιδίον γράψει αὐτούς.	And those who are left from them will be a cipher, and a child will write them down.

We have already discussed 10:17 in the section on thorns (3.4.1.). There we showed that the LXX adjusts the image to be that of a copse of trees going up in flames as quickly as a clump of dry grass.

As Muraoka suggests concerning 10:18, מהסקבסא היקבים probably comes from reading גרבה as though it had the root הבה, <sup>520</sup> possibly due to the perceived need for a verb in the clause.<sup>521</sup> This change turns the imagery of the verse. In the Hebrew we have the king's realm and person becoming a waste, while the Greek has what appears to be metaphorical language (since hills and mountains are destroyed) about the land and about his person. The Greek renders in the Greek is a rendering of the verse pronoun, but moves it after its rendering for its rendering for its suggests that opos is a rendering of the verse of the verse of the verse is usually transliterated, though again in Isa 29:17 it is twice rendered with  $\tau \delta$  opos  $\tau \delta$  Xepuel.<sup>523</sup> In 37:34, however, it is not rendered.<sup>524</sup> In 16:10 it is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>519</sup> Or "as when a banner-holder despairs."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>520</sup> Muraoka, *Two-Way Index*, 15. This translation is made in Prov 31:18. Cf. Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 162. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 110-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>521</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> matches MT in this passage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>522</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 162.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>523</sup> The same transliteration (but without mention of a mountain) is used twice in 32:15, while in 32:16, 33:9 and 35:2 the transliteration used is  $\varkappa \alpha \rho \mu \eta \lambda \rho \rho_{\alpha}$ . Only in 33:9 and 35:2 does the Hebrew mean the place and not the noun.

rendered with ἀμπελών, though probably due to the parallel Ģ, The rendering of 10:18 is probably because it made no sense to the translator to call Carmel the Assyrian's, and so he rendered just the mountains and added the hills to make a nice word pair; we see the two terms in synonymous parallelism in 10:32.<sup>525</sup> In 44:23, however, Y, is rendered with βουνός (note the parallel ὄρος), so we could here have a double rendering of Y'; Ziegler thinks βουνός is original and δρυμός was added later. As Ziegler has shown, the similar passage in Ecc 43:21(23) probably also plays a role in the rendering of this verse.<sup>526</sup>

The Hebrew אכל may have been understood to come from the root אכל, since אמדניסטנש is its most common equivalent. It could also be that the translator took language from the preceding context to interpret specifically how they will be destroyed. The idea of wasting away having been removed, the Greek goes on to transform the comparison from an invalid atrophying to someone fleeing from fire (another element perhaps taken from the context).<sup>527</sup> The basis for this change appears to arise from understanding לכָּמָטֹס לֹסָ from the root כָּמְטֹס לֹסָט לֹסָט לָסָס 10:16 (though in a different case), but chose a synonym that repeats the  $\phi$  sound instead.

In 10:19, the LXX replaces the phrase עָץ יַעָרוֹ with a pronoun referring back to those fleeing, interpreting the remaining trees as the remaining people.<sup>529</sup> The rest of the verse is translated very literally, rendering the yiqtol as simple future, whereas a potential sense is preferred. The trope could be an implicit comparison in Greek and Hebrew, or a metaphor, though it may be considered a sort of prophecy.

The passage as a whole in the Hebrew uses thorn, wood, and tree metaphors to talk about the king, his men, and his glory. The thorns and thistles in 10:17 probably represent his army or works; the forest and land being consumed could refer to his land, but in light of them being consumed "body and soul" suggests it represents his people. Likewise the few trees surviving the fire seem to suggest people are meant and not his actual forests. The Greek focuses this imagery by amplifying the burning flame throughout the passage; that people are meant by the tree and forest imagery is made clear by the LXX in 10:19 by making the remnant refer to those who flee the fire.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>524</sup> See Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>525</sup> For this word pair, see Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>526</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>527</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 162. Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>528</sup> This phrase is still difficult to understand. DCH suggests six possible meanings for Loo . It is probably best to understand it either as meaning to be sick (as from Syriac nassîs) or to shake (as from Akkadian nasâsu), Wildberger, *Jesaja*. 406.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>529</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 82. LXX.D.E.K., 2523.

The Targum also understands the trees in this passage to refer to people.<sup>530</sup> In 10:17 the grass and thorns are rendered as rulers and tyrants. In 10:18 the forest is rendered as people, and in 10:19 the remnant of trees are rendered as the survivors of his army camp.

#### Isa 44:23

Sing, O heavens, for the LORD has	רְנָּוּ שָׁמַיִם בְּי־עָשָׂה	εὐφράνθητε, οὐρανοί,	Rejoice, O heavens, because God has had
done it; shout, O	יְהוָה הָרִיעוּ	ότι ήλέησεν ό θεός τόν Ισραηλ· σαλπίσατε,	mercy on Israel;
depths of the earth; break forth into	<u>הַ</u> ּחְהִיּוֹת אָָׂרֶץ פִּצְחָוּ	θεμέλια τῆς γῆς,	trumpet, O foundations of the
singing, O	הָרִים רִבְּה יַעַר וְכָל־	βοήσατε, ὄρη, εὐφροσύνην, οἱ βουνοὶ	earth; shout for joy,
mountains, O forest, and every	עַץ בָּוֹ בִּי־גָאַל יְהוָה	καὶ πάντα τὰ ξύλα τὰ	O mountains, the hills and all the trees
tree in it! For the LORD has	יִעַלָּב וּבְיִשְׂרָאָל	έν αὐτοῖς, ὅτι ἐλυτρώσατο ὁ θεὸς	that are in them, because God has
redeemed Jacob,	יִתְפָּאֶר:	τὸν Ιακωβ, καὶ	redeemed Iakob, and
and will be glorified in Israel.		Ισραηλ δοξασθήσεται.	Israel will be glorified!

In this verse the heavens, earth, mountains, forests, and trees are personified and told to rejoice in various manners; we have already treated the similar passage 55:12 where mountains, hills, and trees rejoice (2.6.3.). The plus giving the reason to rejoice (อัน หุ้งย์ทุธะง อั  $\theta \varepsilon \delta \varsigma \tau \delta v \, I \sigma \rho \alpha \eta \lambda$ ) is probably to explain what exactly God did (יְהוָה בִּי־שָׁשָׁה), and is provided from the end of the verse.<sup>531</sup> The phrase אָרֶץ is unique to this passage. Usually is used in an attributive position and not in a construct phrase, as we see in Ezek 26:20: בָּאֶרֶץ .  $= \varepsilon \vec{s}^{532} \, LXX$ -Isa uses the familiar phrase,  $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \lambda \pi \eta \kappa \gamma \eta \varsigma$ , which more properly translates in Isa 24:18 and 40:21.<sup>533</sup> It also occurs in Isa 14:15 for the phrase מוֹסְדֵי אֶרֶץ. The rendering of  $\forall \omega r cry$ , and so the idea of sounding a trumpet.<sup>534</sup>

A significant change in the translation is found at the end of the verse. In the Hebrew, God shows himself glorified in Israel, but in the Greek Israel is glorified.<sup>535</sup> This change in meaning is achieved by leaving off the preposition  $\beth$ .

What is important for our study is that the forest (יָשָר) is made into a hill ( $\beta o \upsilon v \delta \varsigma$ ).<sup>536</sup> There could be at work here the same issue that led to the addition of  $\beta o \upsilon v \delta i$  in Isa 10:18, or it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>530</sup> "And it will come to pass that *the master of* the light of Israel and his Holy One, *his Memra will be strong as the* fire, and *his words as the* flame; and he will *kill* and *destroy* his *rulers* and his *tyrants* in one day. 18 And the glory of his many *armies* and his *warriors, their* soul *with their* body, he will destroy, and he will *be broken* and *fugitive*. 19 And the remnant of the *people* of his *armies* will *come to an end, to become a people* of small number and *they will be esteemed a faint kingdom.*"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>531</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 317. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 156.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>532</sup> Cf. Jos 15:19; Psa 88:7; Lam 3:44; Ezek 32:18, 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>533</sup> Also in Psa 81:5; Prov 8:29; Mic 6:2; and for יסודי תבל in Sir 16:19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>534</sup> Cf. LXX.D.E.K., 2654.

<sup>535</sup> Cf. LXX.D.E.K., 2654.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>536</sup> 4QIsa<sup>b</sup> and 1QIsa<sup>b</sup> both correspond to MT, lacking "hills."

could be a more logical counterpart to mountains than a forest would be (see Isa 40:4; 55:12, etc.).

The Targum is literal, though specifies that what the LORD has done is accomplish redemption for His people.<sup>537</sup>

Another passage that mentions trees in anthropomorphic language is Isa 55:12. We have dealt with this passage in the section about branches (2.6.3.). Remember that the tree was rendered literally, but it clapped its branches in Greek, rather than its hands.

Isa 56:3

Do not let the foreigner joined to the LORD say, "The LORD will surely separate me from his people"; and do not let the eunuch say, " <i>Behold</i> , I am just a dry tree."	וְאַל־יֹאׁמַר בָּן־הַגַּלָר הַגּּלְוֶה אָל־יִהוָה לֵאמֹר הַרְהֵּל יַבְדִּילָנִי יְהוֶה מֵעַל עַמְוֹ וְאַל־יֹאׁמַר הַסְּרִיס הֵן אֲנֶי עֵץ יָבֵשׁ:	μὴ λεγέτω ὁ ἀλλογενὴς ὁ προσκείμενος πρὸς κύριον ᾿Αφοριεῖ με ἄρα κύριος ἀπὸ τοῦ λαοῦ αὐτοῦ· καὶ μὴ λεγέτω ὁ εὐνοῦχος ὅτι Ἐγώ εἰμι ξύλον ξηρόν.	Let not the alien who clings to the Lord say, "So then the Lord will separate me from his people," and let not the eunuch say, "I am a dry tree."
---	---	--	--

This verse has had some changes made in translation, though the content and rhetorical force has been maintained. Ziegler points out that  $\pi \rho \sigma \pi \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma$  is an expression known from LXX-Pentateuch in passages having to do with foreigners.<sup>538</sup> The LXX omits the introduction of direct speech לאמר, though the second quote has the additional introduction  $\delta \tau \iota$ . The pleonastic construction of an infinitive absolute and a finite verb is often translated in LXX-Isa either with just a verb or with a finite verb and a cognate noun in the dative.<sup>539</sup> In this verse, the translator has opted to translate just the verb but has given the statement a similar sense of certainty as the Hebrew construction would, by adding the particle  $\check{a}\rho \alpha$ .<sup>540</sup> In the second quote,  $\eth$  is not rendered with its stereotype idoú. Perhaps it is meant to be represented by the word  $\epsilon i \mu i$ . In any case, the quote in Greek has much the same force with the first person pronoun and the verb, of asserting the reality or certainty of his statement. The quote features terseness and assonance with the  $\epsilon$  and  $\xi$  sounds.<sup>541</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>537</sup> "Sing, O heavens, for the LORD has accomplished *redemption for his people; break forth, O foundations* of the earth; *shout* into singing, O mountains, O forest and all trees *that are* in it! For the LORD has redeemed Jacob, and will be glorified in Israel."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>538</sup> Such as Exod 12:49 and Lev 16:29. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 129.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>539</sup> See Emanuel Tov, "Renderings of Combinations of the Infinitive Absolute and Finite Verbs in the LXX— Their Nature and Distribution," *Studien zur Septuaginta-Robert Hanhart zu Ehren: Aus Anlaβ seines 65. Geburtstages* (eds. Detlef Fraenkel, Udo Quast, and John W. Wevers; Mitteilungen des Septuaginta-Unternehmens XX; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1990), 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>540</sup> See Smyth, *Greek Grammar*, §2787, §2790.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>541</sup> For the importance of metaphors sounding beautiful, see Aristotle, *Rhetoric*, III.2.13.

In both the Hebrew and the Greek, it is ambiguous whether the eunuch considers himself dry wood or a dry tree;<sup>542</sup> both images are apt. If he's dry wood, then he is presumably attached to the rest of Israel (just like the foreigner in the beginning of the verse), but is dead and has no future or potential for children (contrary to the promise in 56:5) and should be pruned off (perhaps implied by יָבָרָת like 56:5). If the image is understood as a tree it has the connotation of other tree images (such as Judges 9:9-15; Psa 1:2-3; Dan 4:10-12, 20-22), where kings and important people are likened to them. The eunuch, though, is dry and so again, has no future or hope for offspring.

The Targum softens the image, making it a simile: האנא כאע יביש (reading אנא אנא (reading אווא: "behold I am like a dry tree").<sup>543</sup> Perhaps the Targum read a text like 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>, which reads אנוכי עץ, but divided the words differently.

## Isa 65:22

They shall not build and another inhabit; they shall not plant and another eat; for like the days of a	לָא יִבְנוּ וְאַחֵר יֵשֵׂב לְא יִשְׁעָוּ וְאַחֵר יאכֵל כִּי־כִימֵי הָעֵץ	καὶ οὐ μὴ οἰκοδομήσουσι καὶ ἄλλοι ἐνοικήσουσι, καὶ οὐ μὴ φυτεύσουσι καὶ ἄλλοι φάγονται·	and they shall not build, and others inhabit; they shall not plant, and others eat, for according to
and my chosen shall long enjoy the work of their hands.	יְדֵיהֶם יְבַלָּוּ בְחִירֵי:	τοῦ ξύλου τῆς ζωῆς ἔσονται αἱ ἡμέραι τοῦ λαοῦ μου, τὰ ἔργα τῶν πόνων αὐτῶν παλαιώσουσιν.	of my people be; they shall make old the works of their labors.

Of special note in this passage is that the simile is interpreted quite dramatically. In the Hebrew, the lifespan of the people is compared to that of a tree, most of which live quite a long time. The Greek, though, departs from typical literal translation and specifies that the tree of life is meant.

The rendering of the Hebrew comparative marker with κατά and an accusative is not mentioned by Ziegler in his discussion of comparisons and is found nowhere else in LXX-Isa. This is, however, a common rendering in Ben Sira.<sup>544</sup> This rendering has changed the comparison into a more literal description of their days. In addition, the translator has understood the definite הָעָץ הַחַיָּיָם to refer not to just any tree, but to the tree of life, τοῦ ξύλου τῆς ζωῆς.<sup>545</sup> In Gen 2-3 the tree of life, "עֵץ הַחַיָּיָם, is likewise rendered τὸ ξύλον τῆς ζωῆς. Ottley suggests it may have originally read: κατὰ γὰρ τὰς ἡμέρας τοῦ ξύλου ἔσονται ai ἡμέραι τῆς

<sup>544</sup> Hatch and Redpath, Appendix 2, 181a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>542</sup> The choice of ξύλον over δένδρον could be simply because it is used more commonly (245 versus 14 times) or for the sake of assonance. That it is for assonance is strengthened by 57:5 where  $\mu$  is rendered δένδρα δασέα. This is the only place in Isa where δένδρον is used for  $\mu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>543</sup> "Let not a son of *Gentiles* who has *been added to the people of* the LORD say, "The LORD will surely separate me from his people"; and let not the eunuch say, "Behold, I am *like* a dry tree.""

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>545</sup> Seeligmann believes the phrase could come from a latter reviser, who also altered 65:3, Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 167-68 [30-31].

געמוֹ געמי געמי, 546 but no manuscript preserves this reading. This interpretation of Isa 65:22 is seen also in the Targum, which reads ארי כיומי אילן חייא יומי עמי. This interpretation is probably based on הָמֵן having the definite article (in 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> it lacks the article), just as in Jewish tradition הַמַןְבֵּח in Gen 22:9 is thought to refer to the altar Adam, Cain and Abel, and Noah sacrificed on, because it has the definite article.<sup>547</sup>

The Targum in addition to agreeing with the LXX about the tree of life, it also agrees that the last clause is about people living so long that they outlive their various works which should outlive them.<sup>548</sup>

Before moving on to specific types of trees, two passages that list several specific types of trees are worth mentioning. In 44:14 the LXX gives a general rendering for various types of trees, and in 41:19 the LXX reduces the number of different types of trees. **Isa 44:14** 

154 1101 1			
He cuts down cedars or chooses a holm tree or an oak and lets it grow strong among the trees of the forest.	לִכְרָת־לָוֹ אֲרָזִים וַיַּקָּח תִּרְזָה וְאַלֿוֹז וַיְאַמֶּץ־לָוֹ בַּעֲצֵי־יָעַר נטע אָרז וגשם	ὃ ἔκοψε ξύλον ἐκ τοῦ δρυμοῦ, ὃ ἐφύτευσε κύριος καὶ ὑετὸς ἐμήκυνεν,	He cut wood from the forest, which the LORD planted and the rain made grow,
	נְטַע אָׂרֶז וְגָשֶׁם		
He plants a <i>laurel</i> and the rain	<u>יָג</u> הַל:		
nourishes it.	µ·;		

This passage occurs within a description of how foolish it is that people take wood and use some of it for fuel and exert effort to turn some of it into an object of worship. This verse is not metaphorical, but it is insightful for how the translator understands tree language and how he deals with poetry.

Here the translator removes parallelism and enumeration of synonymous terms.<sup>549</sup> The terms terms and and an and an and a second terms אָרָזים and אָרָזים (cedar, oak, and laurel)<sup>550</sup> are not difficult or obscure, but are all removed in favor of a direct and clear description of what the person described is after:  $\xi \dot{\nu} \lambda o \nu$ .<sup>551</sup> Van der Vorm-Croughs lists this verse as an example where LXX-Isa condenses two clauses into one.<sup>552</sup> Ottley, however, calls the text mutilated, suggesting the translator skipped

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>546</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 383.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>547</sup> See, for example, Ramban (Nachmanides), *Commentary on the Torah 1: Genesis* (trans. Charles B. Chavel; New York: Shilo, 1971), 276-77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>548</sup> "They shall not build and others inhabit; they shall not plant and others eat; for like the days of the tree *of life* shall the days of my people be, and my chosen shall wear out the works of their hands." <sup>549</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> agrees with MT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>550</sup> BDB defines it as fir or cedar, while HALOT defines it as laurel. Musselman says that the Old Testament does not mention the laurel, Musselman, *Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh*, 170, but he nowhere makes clear what this Hebrew term refers to. Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 74, believes that a laurel (bay) tree is meant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>551</sup> Ziegler agrees that the omissions are the result of a deliberate free rendering. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 126. Also LXX.D.E.K., 2654.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>552</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 73.

from נטע to ארזים; <sup>553</sup> but this does not explain why לי was not rendered or why ארזים and נטע were rendered. Also, the similar reduction of parallel words and clauses in the surrounding passage, such as in 44:12, 13, 15, 17, and 25,<sup>554</sup> must be taken into account and suggests that the condensation was the deliberate work of the translator. The term הַרְרָזָה only occurs here; Musselman thinks it could be a species of pistacia, related to the terebinth.<sup>555</sup> Besides this collapsing of terms for tree for the sake of clarity and style, the translator adds an agent for the verbs in the second part of the verse: אֹרָסוֹסָג. LXX.D.E.K. suggests the translator read אָרָז ארן.<sup>556</sup> It could be a matter of the translator taking the opportunity to add that the wood which man works into an idol has its source from the true God.

The Targum is rather literal.<sup>557</sup> It only adds two double translations. The difficult tree הִרְיָה is rendered with תרן תורז (mast of toraz), which acts to specify that it is some sort of tree good for timber, but does not try to identify or interpret it further. The other double rendering is of וֹמִתְקִין לִיה with ווֹמִתְקִין וֹמִתְקִין אָיָה ווֹמִתְקִין with ווֹמִתְקִין וֹמִתְקִין לֵיה selected but allowed to mature before being cut down.

Isa 41:19

I will put in the wilderness the cedar, the acacia, the myrtle, and <i>tree</i> of oil; I will set in the desert the cypress, <i>the plane</i> and the pine together.	אֶתֵּן בַּמִדְבָּר' אֶָרָז שִׁשָּׁה וַהַדָס וְעֵץ שֵׁמֶן אָשַׂים בְּעַרְבָה בְּרֶוֹש תִּדְהָר וּתְאַשׁוּר יַחְדֵו:	θήσω εἰς τὴν ἄνυδρον γῆν κέδρον καὶ πύξον καὶ μυρσίνην καὶ κυπάρισσον καὶ λεύκην,	I will put in the dry land a cedar and a box-tree and a myrtle and a cypress and a white poplar
---	--	---	---

In this passage the Greek has removed the synonymous parallelism and reduced the number of trees listed from seven to five. Van der Vorm-Croughs lists this passage among those where the enumeration of closely associated words are reduced.<sup>558</sup>

The Greek does not have equivalents for עֵץ שָׁמָן, the tree of oil, or either הִדְהָר or Assessing the translation of the trees mentioned is difficult, in that it is uncertain to which species some of these terms intend to refer. We will discuss the issue of word equivalents and the species of trees here, since it will be useful for the following sections on specific types of trees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>553</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 315.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>554</sup> See van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 63-65, 79, 81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>555</sup> Musselman, *Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh*, 267. HALOT prefers some species of oak, perhaps the holm oak.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>556</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2654.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>557</sup> "He cuts down cedars, or chooses a holm or an oak and *establishes* it among the trees of the forest; he plants *the* laurel and rain nourishes it."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>558</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 63-64. Also she lists it among passages where there is condensation by a distributive rendering of parallel clauses, 77-81.

Elsewhere שָׁמָים usually occurs in the construct phrase עַצִי שָׁמָים as in Exod 25:5, and is rendered ξύλα ἄσηπτα (rot resistant wood).<sup>560</sup> This tree is thought to be the acacia tree, or more specifically *acacia nilotica* or *albida*.<sup>561</sup> Theophrastus describes both species of acacia, calling them ἄχανθα ή Αίγυπτια and ἄχανθα ή λεύχη respectively.<sup>562</sup> LXX-Isa's rendering πύξος, however, is a different tree, the *buxus sempervirens*.<sup>563</sup> This is probably not a wild guess, since both the *buxus sempervirens* and the *acacia nilotica* are resistant to rot and provide good material for making things.<sup>564</sup> It is worth noting that in the previous chapter, Isa 40:20, we find the phrase: הַמָּק לֹא־יָרְקָב יָבְחָר יָבָת מָטָ לֹא noting that in the previous chapter, Isa for the does not rot, but the LXX does not make this connection.<sup>565</sup> LXX-Isa provides a better translation for the acacia tree in 34:13 (though the Hebrew may not intend to imply this) where we find the phrase ἀχάνθινα ξύλα for the Hebrew Taylor.

The next tree mentioned, הָרוֹש, is properly translated as μυρσίνη.<sup>566</sup> The term עֵץ שֶׁמָן, is not rendered here.<sup>567</sup> The exact tree בְרוֹשׁ refers to is disputed. HALOT prefers juniper, of all the various options, while Musselman believes it is a cypress.<sup>568</sup> The LXX outside of Isaiah renders it as referring to juniper, πεύκινος, twice (Hos 14:9; II Chron 2:8(7)) and once as cypress, κυπάρισσος (2 Kgs 19:33).<sup>569</sup> In LXX-Isa, though, it is always rendered as cypress (Isa 37:24; 41:19; 55:13; 60:13). LXX-Isa, then, is on the cutting edge of scholarship on this issue.

The last two trees mentioned, תְאָשׁוּר and תְרָהָשׁ, only occur here and again together in Isa 60:13. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has תרהר here and תהרהר in 60:13, which does not help. HALOT believes the former is best described vaguely as a tree from Lebanon, and the later as a cypress. The LXX renders one of these trees with λεύχη (poplar).<sup>570</sup> In Isa 60:13, assuming

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>559</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2649.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>560</sup> It is interesting to note that the LXX seems to understand the wood that is meant since it translates its most important quality as a construction material: that it does not rot. A more literal rendering of the phrase would have used the word  $\ddot{\alpha}x\alpha\nu\theta\alpha$ , which would have accurately identified the tree, botanically speaking, but would have sounded as though the ark and other vessels were to be made out of thorn trees. The word choice probably had some theological undertones to it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>561</sup> Musselman, Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh, 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>562</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 4.2.1; 4.2.8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>563</sup> Theophrastus, Enquiry into Plants, 1.5.4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>564</sup> For the πύξον see Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 5.3.7; 5.4.1-2. For the acacia, see Musselman, *Figs*, *Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh*, 38-41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>565</sup> This could be because מְסָבָן does not mean a kind of tree. We will discuss this passage below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>566</sup> Compare Musselman, *Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh*, 198-200; and Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 1.3.3; 1.9.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>567</sup> In 1 Kgs 6:23 it is also not rendered. In Neh 8:15 it occurs after the man and is rendered with ξύλων κυπαρίσσινων; in 1 Kgs 6:31, 33 it is rendered with ξύλων ἀρκευθίνων, while in 1 Kgs 6:32 it is rendered with ξύλων πευκίνων. The tree μαι μαι is often identified either as a wild olive or a kind of pine tree. See HALOT, s.v. That it is not an olive tree, see Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 109 nt. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>568</sup> Musselman, Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh, 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>569</sup> Also, it renders it six times as referring to a pine tree, and twice as a cedar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>570</sup> Theophrastus discusses the poplar. Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 1.10.1; 3.1.1; 3.3.1; etc.

the three trees mentioned are rendered in the same order, הִדְהָר is rendered as πεύκη (pine) and האשור as אנסףסג (cedar).<sup>571</sup>

In the Hebrew, it is undoubtedly significant that seven trees are mentioned. The acacia could live in the desert, but the cedar, myrtle, olive, and cypress would most likely die there.<sup>572</sup> That they do not live together, and especially in the desert, is probably why they are chosen, which 41:20 makes clear in that they are planted so men will know that the LORD has done it. Since we cannot identify with certainty the תְאָשׁוּר and תָאָשׁוּר, we cannot say whether they could live in the desert. The trees mentioned are all beautiful and useful for various products, and so we would expect them in a king's garden, which is another reason they were probably chosen for this image.

As mentioned earlier, the Greek removes the parallelism and two trees, probably for the sake of style and not for symbolism. In the Greek, these trees are still out of place together in the desert. Whether the trees could be planted by cuttings is probably irrelevant to the metaphor in both languages, as it is supposed to be a miraculous planting in any case.

The Targum appears to be rather literal, using Aramaic cognates for most of the trees. For the last two trees it has מורנין ואשכרעין, "planes and pines."<sup>573</sup>

Two passages should be mentioned where the LXX adds a reference to a tree. In 16:9 we read  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \upsilon$ , which is probably a result of a differing Vorlage which matched 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>, which reads ארזיך.<sup>574</sup> In 7:19, discussed in the section on thorns (3.4.2.), a type of thorny plant (נעצוץ) is rendered with ξύλον.

#### 3.6.1.2. Summary

As we have seen, in the Hebrew, trees are often used in comparisons and metaphors for people. In 7:2, the shaking of the king and his people's hearts is compared to trees shaking in a forest; the Greek improves the style of this verse. In 10:17-19 wood is added and carefully crafted to make it represent people. And in 56:3, a eunuch compares himself to a dry tree; the Greek improves the style by adding assonance. In 65:22 people's lifespans are said to be like that of a tree, but the LXX makes it specifically like the tree of life.

The opposite also is true, in that trees are sometimes personified in Isaiah as well as LXX-Isa. In 44:23, trees and forest sing for joy, and in 55:12 the trees clap.

In 44:14 and 41:19, as we have seen, the LXX does not attempt to render all of the tree types accurately, probably for the sake of style. We will investigate specific types of trees further in the following sections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>571</sup> We will discuss 60:13 below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>572</sup> See the relevant trees in Musselman, *Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>573</sup> "I will put in the wilderness cedars, acacias, myrtles, olive trees; I will make great in the desert cypresses, planes, and pines, together;" <sup>574</sup> MT has אַרַיָּוָד דִמְעָתִי. The LXX does not seem to understand the trees or vines in this passage as metaphorical.

The Targum renders similarly to the LXX in some cases. In 7:2, for example, it also uses two different verbs in the comparison, one for the hearts and another for the trees, though not to the same effect as the LXX. Also, the Targum understands the tree of life to be implied in 65:22. The Targum goes further than the LXX in interpreting trees as people in 10:17-19, rendering them as rulers, tyrants, armies, and survivors. In 56:3, though, the metaphor of the eunuch being a dry tree is softened into a simile. But unlike the LXX the Targum lists all the specific trees in 44:14 (specifying a rare word for a kind of tree) and 41:19; <sup>575</sup> and renders literally the trees and forests and mountains rejoicing in 44:23.

## 3.6.2. Oak/Terebinth

The Hebrew term אָלָה occurs three times in Isaiah. BDB defines it as the terebinth (which is also its definition for אָלָה), while HALOT says only that it is a mighty but unspecified tree. The Dictionary of Classical Hebrew lists אָילִים as the plural absolute form of אילים, which it defines as terebinth. The Targum believes that they are different words, in that in Isa 1:29 אילי is rendered with אילי (tree) while in the next verse, 1:30, אילים is rendered with אילים (terebinth). DCH defines אילין as an oak or other large tree. In this section we will look at how these trees are rendered. First, we will look at occurrences of אָילי, second, אָלָה; third, זָאָלָה; and finally, make a summary.

3.6.2.1.	איל

#### Isa 1:29

For you shall be ashamed of the <i>terebinths</i> in which you delighted;	בִּי יֵבשׁוּ מֵאֵילָים אֲשֶׁר חֲמַדְתֶּם	διότι καταισχυνθήσονται ἐπὶ τοῖς εἰδώλοις αὐτῶν, ἃ αὐτοὶ ἠβούλοντο,	For they shall be ashamed because of their idols, which they themselves wanted,
and you shall blush for the gardens that you have chosen.	וְתַׁחְפְּרוּ מֵהַגַּוּוֹת אֲשֶׁר בְּחַרְתֶּם:	καὶ ἐπησχύνθησαν ἐπὶ τοῖς κήποις αὐτῶν, ἃ ἐπεθύμησαν·	and embarrassed because of their gardens, which they desired.

The rendering of אָיָל with εἴδωλον can be explained in various ways. On the level of word analysis, the translator could have read a form of אלהים (like in Num 25:2; 1 Kgs 11:2, 8, 33; Isa 37:19) or אלה (like in Dan 3:12, 18; 5:4, 23) or אליל (like in Lev 19:4; 1 Chr 16:26; Psa 97:7; Hab 2:18), since these words also can be rendered with εἴδωλον.<sup>576</sup> If the *Vorlage* was like 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> it would have read a form of מאלים (cf. Exod 15:11; Isa 57:5) and so been rendered this way as an interpretation of "gods."<sup>577</sup> Another explanation, which is probably not mutually exclusive to the first, is that the LXX interprets מַאָּיִלִים as referring to the idols

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>575</sup> Cf. Zech 11:2 where the Targum interprets cypresses as kings and cedars as princes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>576</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 110, suggests the translator read אלילים or אלילים.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>577</sup> See van der Kooij, *Textzeugen*, 215-16. LXX.D.E.K., 2509. 4QIsa<sup>f</sup> has only מאן.

worshiped at sacred trees by way of metonymy. The translator probably wanted to make clear that idolatry is meant here. The same translation technique is used in 57:5, though here we have a defective spelling: אֵלִים. It seems likely, though, that the translator knew the association between sacred trees and pagan worship, since in 27:9 and 17:8 he rendered אָלִים with δένδρον, both with contexts of pagan worship places. In the next verse, 1:30, דְאָלָה is rendered with ὡς τερέβινθος (see the section on leaves, 2.5.1.).

The Targum explains the verse by making explicit that the trees and gardens are places of idol worship, calling the terebinth מאילני טעותא, and the garden מאילני.<sup>578</sup>

154 01.5			
to provide for those who mourn in Zion to give them a garland instead of ashes, the oil of gladness instead of mourning, the mantle of praise instead of a faint spirit. They will be called oaks of righteousness, the planting of the LORD, to display his glory.	לְשִּׂוּםּ   לַאְבֵלֵי צִּיּוֹז לְתֵתْ לָהֶם פְּאֵׁר תַּחַת אֵּפֶר שֶׁמֶז שָּׁשׁוֹז תַּחַת אֵּבֶל מַעֲטֵה תְהִלָּה תֻּחַת רְוּחַ בֵּהֶה וְקֹרֵא לְהָם אֵילֵי הַאֶּדֶק לְהָתְפָּאֵר:	δοθῆναι τοῖς πενθοῦσι Σιων δόξαν ἀντὶ σποδοῦ, ἀλειμμα εὐφροσύνης ἀντὶ πένθους, καταστολὴν δόξης ἀντὶ πνεύματος ἀκηδίας· καὶ κληθήσονται γενεαὶ δικαιοσύνης, φύτευμα κυρίου εἰς δόξαν.	so that to those who mourn for Sion be given glory instead of ashes, oil of joy <i>instead of mourning</i> , a garment of glory instead of a spirit of weariness. They will be called generations of righteousness, a plant of the Lord for glory.

For our interests, this passage is notable in that אילי הַאָּרָל הַאָּרָל הַאָּרָל הַאָּרָל הַאָּרָל אַיִל שׁ has been rendered γενεαὶ δικαιοσύνης. Perhaps the translator thought איל was from איל referring to men as in Exod 15:15 (though there the LXX renders it with ἄρχοντες, leaders).<sup>579</sup> Ottley believes γενεαί is an explanation of "oaks" as a symbol for the life of the righteous,<sup>580</sup> but here generations are meant, not a long life or a fruitful or flourishing life. Ziegler rejects Fischer's suggestion that אבי was read, and suggests that γενεαί was chosen as a parallel to "planting,"<sup>581</sup> but from the examples he gives, 60:21 and 17:10, it is unclear why it should be fitting. LXX.D.E.K. suggests that the translator borrowed from 61:4 in an attempt to avoid calling them oaks, since he knows they are associated with idolatry (as we have seen).<sup>582</sup>

In any case, this rendering fits into the conceptual metaphor of people as plants. If roots are their ancestry and seeds or fruit are their offspring, then the tree itself can be the generations linking the two. The parallel clause has a literal translation of a plant. Alec

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>578</sup> "For you shall be ashamed of the oaks *of the idols* in which you delighted; and you shall be *humiliated* for your gardens *of the idols in* which you *assemble*."

 $<sup>^{579}</sup>$  1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has the first yod added above the line; also 4QIsa<sup>m</sup> matches MT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>580</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 369.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>581</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 171.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>582</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2683-684.

Basson believes planting tree metaphors in the Hebrew Bible represent a person restored.<sup>583</sup> But this metaphor seems to resonate much more with ideas of Israel's special covenant relationship with God. They are separated from other nations (like a vine or tree cutting) and are brought to a piece of land that has been specially prepared for them, where they are carefully tended.<sup>584</sup> Basson is partially correct, that some of these metaphors are that of transplanting a tree, removing it and bringing it to a different land, or brought back to the original land.<sup>585</sup>

The Targum understands the oaks to mean the leaders (רברבי קשטא) and the plant to mean the people (עמיה דיוי).<sup>586</sup> In Exod 15:15, where the LXX understood the tree in this way, the Targum sees it as the strong, תקיפי מואב.

# אַלָה .3.6.2.2

The word אָלָה only occurs twice in LXX-Isa, though in 41:28 the demonstrative pronoun אָלָה is rendered with εἰδώλον. We have discussed 1:30 in the section on leaves (2.5.1.). There the specific tree terebinth is mentioned (and literally translated as a terebinth in the Greek) because it is an evergreen, and so the simile is rather strong, saying that its leaves wither and fall away.

### Isa 6:13

This verse presents interesting interpretive and textual problems. To begin, the second part of this verse is slightly different in 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>: כאלה וכאלון אשר משלכת מצבת במה זרע. Brownlee suggests שלכת be read as a Hophal participle, so the terebinth "is overthrown."<sup>587</sup> The other difference is the reading במה שלכת beread market the sacred column of a high place."<sup>588</sup> This reading, unfortunately, does not shed light on the LXX. The temporal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>583</sup> Basson, "People are Plants," 577-78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>584</sup> Exod 15:17; 2 Sam 7:10; Isa 60:21; Jer 11:17; jer 24:6; Psa 44:3; Psa 80:9; etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>585</sup> Ezek 36:36; Amos 9:15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>586</sup> "to *confuse* those who mourn in Zion—to give them a *diadem* instead of ashes, oil of joy instead of mourning, a praising *spirit* instead of *their* spirit *which was* dejected; that *they* may call *them true princes*, the *people* of the LORD, that he may be glorified."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>587</sup> William H. Brownlee, "The Text of Isaiah VI 13 in the Light of DSIa," VT 1.4 (Oct 1951): 296-97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>588</sup> Brownlee, "The Text of Isaiah VI 13," 296-97. It seems this spelling could just be a long form of a 3mpl pronoun, as in Elisha Qimron, *The Hebrew of the Dead Sea Scrolls* (Harvard Semitic Studies 29; Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1986), 58, 62-64.

conjunction ὅταν along with the active ἐ $\kappa$ πέση suggests the LXX Vorlage agreed with MT against 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>, at least in this difference.

The LXX's lack of the last phrase has led some to suggest it was a later addition,<sup>589</sup> sometime between the LXX and Qumran. What likely happened is that the LXX translator skipped the phrase מַצְּרֶת בָּם זֶרַע לְדֶשׁ by homoiarkton, but did translate מַצְּרֶת לָדָשׁ as מֹת לחָ לָקָ מּטֹדחָ, <sup>590</sup> If the LXX *Vorlage* ended with מַצֶּבֶת בָּם אָנית se would expect to see a preposition in the translation; so, מיֹדחָ is from the pronominal ending on מַצְּבָתַּרָּ

The Greek is ambiguous. It can mean either "like an oak when it falls from its grave/station"<sup>592</sup> or "as an acorn when it falls from its husk."<sup>593</sup> As Troxel has suggested, the "acorn" reading is more likely, since the other place  $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha v \circ \varsigma$  occurs, Isaiah 2:13, it is in the phrase  $\delta \dot{\epsilon} v \delta \rho \circ v \beta \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} v \circ v$ . <sup>594</sup> Troxel finds the meaning of the terebinth simile obscure, but thinks the acorn simile is apt for people being plundered; but he reverses the action, saying: "like an acorn deprived of its husk."<sup>595</sup> A better explanation of both similes is that of van der Kooij, who explains the terebinth by saying it refers to the terebinth of 1:30, which there has shed all its leaves.<sup>596</sup> The parallel simile of the acorn falling from its husk means that it falls from its rightful place; van der Kooij points out that this is the regular meaning of  $\dot{\epsilon} \varkappa \pi i \pi \tau \omega$ .<sup>597</sup> He interprets the similes, then, to refer to the loss of position and power of the priesthood (referenced by the "tithe").<sup>598</sup>

According to Theophrastus, there is a tree peculiar to Egypt called  $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha vo \varsigma$ .<sup>599</sup> He says the tree gets its name from its fruit, which though useless in itself, has a husk that perfumers use.<sup>600</sup> This does not help much with our simile, since the balanos tree's fruit does not fall from its husk. The Greek seems to be thinking of an acorn that falls out of its husk from a tall oak tree. The context is of the remnant in the land multiplying (6:12) only to be plundered again. The image of the terebinth could be that it has been cut and mangled for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>589</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 213. However, Seeligman, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 213 [63/64] suggests that the phrase is authentic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>590</sup> J. A. Emerton, "The Translation and Interpretation of Isaiah vi.13," in *Interpreting the Hebrew Bible: Essays in Honor of E.I.J. Rosenthal* (eds. J.A. Emerton and Stefan C. Reif; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982), 89. See also Wildberger, *Jesaja*, 234. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>591</sup> Emerton, "The Translation and Interpretation of Isaiah vi.13," 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>592</sup> See NETS, 6:13. Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 193 [48/49], he says the translation "is rooted in the coagulated equation of  $\eta = \eta x \eta = \eta x \eta$  with  $\theta \eta x \eta = \eta x \eta$  perfectly familiar."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>593</sup> LXX.D. 6:13. Troxel, "Economic Plunder," 386-87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>594</sup> Troxel, "Economic Plunder," 386-87. Theophrastus, however, refers to the tree just as ή Βάλανος. Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 4.2.1, 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>595</sup> Troxel, "Economic Plunder," 386-87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>596</sup> Arie van der Kooij, "The Septuagint of Isaiah and Priesthood," in *Let us Go up to Zion: Essays in Honour of H.G.M. Williamson on the Occasion of his Sixty-Fifth Birthday* (eds. Iain Provan and Mark J. Boda; Leiden: Brill, 2012), 74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>597</sup> van der Kooij, "The Septuagint of Isaiah and Priesthood," 74-75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>598</sup> van der Kooij, "The Septuagint of Isaiah and Priesthood," 75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>599</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 4.2.1. cf. Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 150.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>600</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 4.2.6.

resin it produces,<sup>601</sup> but the tree recovered and is plundered of its resin again. The image of the balanos is that the acorns fall and are easily collected. The idea of the "seed" in the Hebrew may be in part reflected in the LXX translation in it mentioning balanos fruit.

The Targum interprets the tenth as the righteous, and the tree simile as being dry terebinths and oaks that have lost their leaves (כבוטמא וכבולטא דבמיתר טרפוהי), but still have enough moisture to produce seed.<sup>602</sup>

# אַלוֹן 3.6.2.3.

We have already seen the two other places χένας occurs in LXX-Isa, 44:14 (where it is not rendered) and Isa 6:13 (where it is rendered with βαλάνος). Outside of LXX-Isa βαλάνος is used to render χέτη three times,<sup>603</sup> while δρῦς (not occurring in LXX-Isa) is used eleven times.

Isa 2	2:12-13	
-------	---------	--

For the LORD of hosts has a day against all that is proud and lofty, against all that is lifted up <i>that he be</i> <i>humbled</i> .	בִּי יּוֹם לַיהוָה צְּבָאֶוֹת עַל כָּל־גַאֶה וְרֵם וְעַל כָּל־נִשָּׂא וְשָׁפֵּל:	ήμέρα γὰρ κυρίου σαβαωθ ἐπὶ πάντα ὑβριστὴν καὶ ὑπερήφανον καὶ ἐπὶ πάντα ὑψηλὸν καὶ μετέωρον, καὶ ταπεινωθήσονται,	For the day of the Lord Sabaoth will be against everyone who is insolent and haughty and against everyone who is lofty and high, and they shall be humbled,
against all the cedars of Lebanon, lofty and lifted up; and against all the oaks of Bashan;	וְעַלٌ בָּל־אַרְזֵי הַלְבָנׂוֹן הָרָמֶים וְהַנִּשָּׂאֵים וְעַל בָּל־אַלּוֹגֵי הַבָּשֵׁן:	καὶ ἐπὶ πᾶσαν κέδρον τοῦ Λιβάνου τῶν ὑψηλῶν καὶ μετεώρων καὶ ἐπὶ πᾶν δένδρον βαλάνου Βασαν	both against every cedar of Lebanon, of them that are lofty and high, and against every <i>balanos</i> tree of Basan,

In 2:12, the Greek adds high/proud, μετέωρος (taken from the next verse),<sup>604</sup> parallel to high, ὑψηλός, in order to define it. This could have been done also because height, or being high (Για) was interpreted as being proud (ὑπερήφανος) in this verse. The association of height and pride underlies much of the tree imagery in Isaiah (as we saw in 10:33). The LXX may have omitted the second 𝔅 c c 𝔅 ne 𝔅 v 𝔅 c 𝔅 second 𝔅 v 𝔅 c 𝔅 second 𝔅 v 𝔅 second 𝔅 secon

In 2:13, the high and proud of the previous verses has now been imaged as tall trees. The LXX renders the metaphors literally. That the two adjectives used of these trees,  $\psi\psi\eta\lambda\phi\varsigma$  and  $\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\omega\rho\sigma\nu$ , are in the previous verse for people (and  $\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\omega\rho\sigma\nu$  is an addition in 2:12)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>601</sup> See Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 4:16.1-2; 9.1.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>602</sup> "And *one in ten they will be left* in it and *they* will *again* be for *scorching* like *the* terebinth or *the* oak, which when *their leaves drop off appear dried up, and even then they are green enough to retain from* them *the seed.* So the exiles of Israel will be gathered and they will return to their land." For the holy seed is *their* stump." <sup>603</sup> Gen 35:8 (2x); Judg 9:6 (also Judg A 9:6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>604</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 61.

suggests the translator probably considered these trees to represent people.

The translation of the trees themselves is worthy of note. The cedar of Lebanon has been rendered literally (we will discuss this tree more below). Usually (10x), אלוֹן is rendered as oak, δρῦς, in the LXX. The Greek phrase δένδρον βαλάνου or "tree of the acorn," could be understood as a poetic way of talking about an oak, but this would be an unusual kind of rhetorical flare for the translator.<sup>605</sup> What seems a more likely explanation is that the translator means just what he says: ἡ βάλανος, the balanos tree which, according to Theophrastus, is native to Egypt.<sup>606</sup> Theophrastus' description of the tree also makes good sense in the context of this verse, in that he says they are stout and fair in their stature and useful for building ships.<sup>607</sup> So they are sizable trees and probably more familiar to the experience of readers than the Cedars of Lebanon. Perhaps  $\beta a\lambda άνος$  is chosen here because it can also refer to part of a gate or its bars,<sup>608</sup> as in Jer 30:9, and so could foreshadow the mention of high towers and walls in 2:15. Though it makes more sense to connect the trees with people and the hills and mountains in the following verses to the cities. The Damascus Document uses some similar imagery for the high being laid low; in CD II.19 we have the phrase: ארוים גבוים גבוים אשר כרום.

The Targum understands the lofty and high in 2:12 as proud people (גיותניא ורמי) and the cedar and oak of Isa 2:13 to refer to the kings of the peoples (מלכי עממיא) and tyrants of the provinces (טורני מדינתא).

# 3.6.2.4. Summary

The LXX-Isa translator does not render אַיִל as one specific kind of tree, but does know that it is a kind of tree. In 1:29 he renders it as idols, probably knowing that a tree associated with idolatry is meant. As we mentioned above, in Isa 27:9 and 17:8 he renders with  $\delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \sigma \nu$ , so he knows about sacred trees. Also, his rendering of אַיָל with γενεά in 61:3 makes good sense as an interpreted metaphor if he thought the Hebrew meant a kind of tree. LXX-Isa understands אַלָּה to refer to the terebinth tree, translating it this way in 1:30 and 6:13. The word, אָלָה however, seems to be understood as a tree native to Egypt, the balanos tree, as it is interpreted in 2:12-13, though in 6:13 he renders using acorn imagery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>605</sup> For the rendering of בְרוֹשִׁים with ξύλα τοῦ Λιβάνου in 14:8, see below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>606</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 4.2.1. They in fact also live elsewhere in Africa as well as the Levant, Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 55, 150.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>607</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 4.2.6. Hepper, *Bible Plants*, 150, says they are stout and grow to a height of 3m. Alfred G. Bircher and Warda H. Bircher, *Encyclopedia of Fruit Trees and Edible Flowering Plants in Egypt and the Subtropics* (Cairo: The American University in Cairo Press, 2000), 53, says the timber is compact, easy to work, and resists insects.

<sup>608</sup> Muraoka, Lexicon, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>609</sup> "For *the* day *is about to come from* the LORD of hosts against all the proud and lofty *of heart* and against all the *strong-and they will be humbled* 13 and against all the *kings* of *the Gentiles, strong and hard*, and against all the *tyrants* of *the provinces*;"

The Targum interprets some references to oaks or terebinths, so that in 2:12-13 and 61:3 they are interpreted as tyrants and kings. Also, for the lofty and high of 2:12 the Targum makes clear that this refers to proud people. In 1:29, like the LXX, the Targum specifies that the trees are associated with idolatry, but rather than replacing the word for tree with "idol," it describes the tree as a "tree of idolatry." In 6:13 the strange terebinth simile is interpreted in light of 1:30 as a terebinth that loses its leaves, then another tree metaphor is added, which, though dry, can still produce seed.

## 3.6.3. Other Kinds of Trees

There remains several other varieties of trees used in Isaiah. In 60:13, three trees are mentioned: אָרוֹשׁ תִּדְהָר וּתְאַשׁוּר rendered: אָטְתּמוֹסִסְשָׁ אַמּוֹ אָבּטֹאָם אָמוֹ אַבּטֹאָם.<sup>610</sup> This passage is not metaphorical, but talks about the precious woods that will adorn the temple. The Greek renders ול לָפָאָר (to beautify) as δοξάσαι, but this can mean nearly the same thing and does not mean the trees represent people.

Another tree that is mentioned in Isaiah is the fig tree: רְּאַלָה. We have already discussed the image of the leaves falling from the fig tree (34:4) in the section on leaves (2.5.1.) and the early fig that is eaten right away in the section on flowers (2.4.1.). The other two places it is mentioned are literal: in 36:16 they are mentioned by Rabshekeh in the context that if Jerusalem surrenders, everyone will enjoy the fruit of their own fig tree and vine; in 38:21 figs are mentioned as an ingredient in the salve Hezekiah is to apply to his boils. The LXX and Targum render both of these passages literally.

In 40:20, the word מְסָבָן occurs, which could be a specific kind of tree<sup>611</sup> or a reference to a poor person. In any case, the LXX does not render the word, probably for stylistic reasons. The Targum renders it with אורן (laurel), perhaps thinking it was related to the word מָסוּבָה (hedge), which occurs in Mic 7:4. This passage is not metaphorical.

The word אָלָרָבָה, meaning willow, occurs twice in Isaiah. In 15:7 it is used in a place name for a valley, but the LXX renders it as a people: Arabians. We have already discussed 44:4 in the section on grass (3.2.2.); willows are mentioned in both languages in a simile to show how the people will flourish; the willow is mentioned because they are commonly found near streams.

In this section we will discuss the following trees used in metaphors and similes in turn: גָרוֹשׁ, אָרוֹ, and הָרַס, and ווי, then we will make a summary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>610</sup> The only other place הִדְהָר and הִדְהָר occur in Isaiah is in 41:19, which we discussed above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>611</sup> See HALOT, s.v. and the DCH, s.v.

# אֶרֶז .3.6.3.1

The cedar tree, אֶׁרֶז', is usually translated literally with κέδρος or with κέδρινος in the LXX,<sup>612</sup> and also in LXX-Isa, as we just saw with 2:13. In 9:9(10) it is also rendered literally, though the passage is altered and an allusion to the tower of Babel is inserted.<sup>613</sup> The one exception to this is 16:9 where, assuming the Greek *Vorlage* was the same as 1QIsa<sup>a</sup>, ארזיך, is rendered τὰ δένδρα σου.

The cypresses exult over you, the cedars of Lebanon, saying, "Since you were laid low, no one comes to cut us down."	גַּם־בְּרוֹשָׁים שָׂמְחָוּ לְדֶ אַרְזֵי לְבָגָוֹן מֵאָָז שְׁבַּרְתָּ לְאִ־יַעֲלֶה הַכַּרֵת עָלֵינוּ:	καὶ τὰ ξύλα τοῦ Λιβάνου ηὐφράνθησαν ἐπὶ σοὶ καὶ ἡ κέδρος τοῦ Λιβάνου ᾿Αφ᾽ οὖ σὺ κεκοίμησαι, οὐκ ἀνέβη ὁ κόπτων ἡμᾶς.	and the trees of Lebanon rejoiced over you, even the cedar of Lebanon, saying, "Since you fell asleep, <i>one who</i> <i>cuts</i> us down has not come up."
--	---	--	--

Of note for the current study in this passage is that בְרוֹשִׁים has been rendered generically as the trees of Lebanon, ξύλα τοῦ Λιβάνου. The usual rendering of ברוֹש in LXX-Isa, as mentioned above, is  $\varkappa \upsilon \pi \alpha \rho (\sigma \sigma \circ \varsigma)$ , as in 41:19, which is probably a correct identification of the tree.<sup>614</sup> The two terms for tree in parallel in the Hebrew are both tall conifers, useful for timber, that can be found in Lebanon.<sup>615</sup> Their asyndedic relationship may have seemed odd to the translator, so he rendered the first term generically as the trees of Lebanon, then gave the specific term as the singular (perhaps collective singular) cedar of Lebanon. He may have simply desired to reduce the number of trees mentioned, as in 44:14 and 41:19, and so did not give both specific names here. This passage is probably not a metaphor in the Hebrew, just an anthropomorphism or personification.<sup>616</sup> The actual trees would be glad (as if they were like people with emotions) that the king of Assyria will no longer cut them down (as he presumably boasts of doing in Isa 37:24, only there  $\Xi$  is rendered with  $\varkappa \upsilon \pi \alpha \rho (\sigma \sigma \varsigma)$ . In the Greek, likewise, it is an example of personification or anthropomorphism.

The Targum sees the trees as representing leaders, and this time, those with property (cf. 9:9(10)): אף שלטונין חדיאו עלך עתירי נכסיא אמרין.<sup>617</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>612</sup> A few times it is rendered as a cypress, κυπάρισσος: Job 40:17; Ezek 27:5; 31:3, 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>613</sup> See Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 156. Seeligmann, *The Septuagint Version of Isaiah*, 191 [47/8]. Troxel, *LXX-Isaiah*, 147-48. LXX.D.E.K., 2529.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>614</sup> Musselman, *Figs*, *Dates*, *Laurel*, and Myrrh, 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>615</sup> Musselman, *Figs*, *Dates*, *Laurel*, and Myrrh, 112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>616</sup> That the trees are not figurative, see Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 176.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>617</sup> "Indeed, *rulers* rejoice over you, the rich in possessions, saying, 'From the time that you were laid low, no destroyer comes up against us.'"

# הַדַס and בְּרוֹשׁ .3.6.3.2

We have already mentioned all of the passages that have a cypress, בְּרוֹשׁ (14:8; 37:24; 41:19; 60:13), and those that mention the myrtle, הַרָס (41:19), except 55:13, where both trees occur.

Isa 55:13
-----------

Instead of the thorn	תַּחַת הַנַּ <u>ע</u> ַצוּץ יַע <u>ָל</u> ָה	καὶ ἀντὶ τῆς στοιβῆς	And instead of the
shall come up the	2	ἀναβήσεται	brier shall come up
cypress; instead of	בְרוֹשׁ תַחַת הַסָּרְפָּד	κυπάρισσος, ἀντὶ δὲ	a cypress, and
the <i>nettle</i> shall	יַעֲלֶה הֲדֵס וְהָיֶה	τῆς κονύζης	instead of the <i>flea</i> -
come up the myrtle;		άναβήσεται μυρσίνη·	bane plant shall
and it shall be to the	לַיהוָה לְשֵׁם לְאָוֹת	καὶ ἔσται κυρίω εἰς	come up a myrtle,
LORD for a	עוֹלָם לְא יִכָּרֵת:	ὄνομα καὶ εἰς σημεῖον	and the Lord shall be <sup>618</sup> for a name and
memorial, for an everlasting sign that	ויידי געדיין גדי	αἰώνιον καὶ οὐκ	an everlasting sign
shall not be cut off.		ἐκλείψει.	and shall not fail.
shan not be cut on.			and shall not fall.

This verse speaks metaphorically of the conditions that will obtain if the people seek God again; it is a reversal of the curse from Gen 3. Instead of weeds, pleasant trees will sprout up seemingly spontaneously. The word נעצוץ only occurs twice in the Hebrew Bible, here and Isaiah 7:19. As discussed above, in 7:19 it is rendered simply as "tree." This could be because the translator understood the Hebrew term to refer to the *ziziphus spina-christi*,<sup>619</sup> which is a large thorny bush that sometimes grows as large as a tree.<sup>620</sup> Here, though the translator uses  $\sigma\tau\sigma\iota\beta\eta$ .<sup>621</sup> This plant, according to Theophrastus, has thorns on the stem and fleshy leaves.<sup>622</sup> The Hebrew and Greek terms probably do not refer to the same species, but both refer to a specific sort of thorny plant. The translation of  $\psi = \psi = \psi = \psi = \psi$  with  $\kappa u \pi \alpha \rho u \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$  is accurate. The passage implies that the cypress is more desirable than the thorn-bush. Perhaps the point of comparison is in the fact that thorns seem to sprout up everywhere that is untended; Theophrastus says cypress trees spontaneously generate after rain.<sup>623</sup> Otherwise, the comparison could be of a small undesirable tree being replaced with a large and desirable tree.

The second weed that will be replaced by something better, סָרְפָּד, or a spiny nettle, is not the same thing as  $\varkappa \upsilon \upsilon \upsilon \zeta \alpha$ , a kind of stinky weed: the flea-bane plant;<sup>624</sup> neither word occurs elsewhere.<sup>625</sup> The translation of הַרַרָּס with  $\mu \upsilon \rho \sigma i \upsilon \eta$  is accurate, as we saw in 41:19. The point of comparison between the weed and myrtle in the Greek probably has to do with aroma. Theophrastus notes specially how the  $\varkappa \upsilon \upsilon \upsilon \zeta \alpha$  has a strong smell and keeps animals away,<sup>626</sup>

<sup>619</sup> It must be noted, however, that this plant is referred to as  $\pi\alpha\lambda$ ioupos in Theophrastus, *Enquiry* 4.3.1-3.

<sup>620</sup> Musselman, Figs, Dates, Laurel, and Myrrh, 276.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>618</sup> Here NETS follows Ralphs, which reads: καὶ ἔσται κύριος, the preferred reading also of LXX.D.E.K., 2672.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>621</sup> For other meanings and uses of this word, see Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>622</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 1.10.4; 6.1.3. While in Isa 55:12 the mountains and hills break into song and the trees clap hands when the people turn to God and He pardons them, Theophrastus says the  $\sigma \tau \sigma_i \beta \eta$  rejoices when put in sandy soil, 6.5.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>623</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 3.1.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>624</sup> See LXX.D.E.K., 2672. Muraoka, *Lexicon*, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>625</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 353.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>626</sup> Theophrastus, Enquiry into Plants, 6.2.6.

while the myrtle has a very nice smell.<sup>627</sup> This passage shows the translator was concerned about what plant or tree is being mentioned and why; for both comparisons he picks plants that have a logical, though antithetical, relationship.

The Targum understands these trees as representing people: חלף רשיעיא יתקיימון רשיעיא הלף רשיעיא וחלף חייביא וחלף חייביא וחלי חטאה.

# זיִת 3.6.3.3.

The olive tree,  $\underline{\gamma}$ , is mentioned twice in Isaiah (17:6 and 24:13) to illustrate the idea of a remnant in the image of the tree being beaten to harvest its olives. We have already discussed 17:6 in the section on branches (2.6.2.).

For thus it shall be on the earth and among the nations, as when an olive tree is beaten, as at the gleaning when the grape harvest is ended.	בִּי כְּה יִהְיֶה בְּקָרָב הָאֶרֶץ בְּתוֹדְ הֶעַמֵּים כְּנָקָף זַׂיִת כְּעוֹלֵלְת אִם־כְּלֶה בְצֵיר:	ταῦτα πάντα ἔσται ἐν τῆ γῆ ἐν μέσῳ τῶν ἐθνῶν, ὃν τρόπον ἐάν τις καλαμήσηται ἐλαίαν, οὕτως καλαμήσονται αὐτούς, καὶ ἐὰν παύσηται ὁ τρύγητος.	All these things shall be on the earth, in the midst of the nations; just as when someone gleans an olive tree, so shall people glean them, even when the <i>grape</i> harvest has ceased.
--	---	--	---

The Hebrew image of this passage refers to the same situation as in 17:6, or even to that passage itself.<sup>629</sup> The idea of the beaten olive tree and the gleaning after the harvest is that just a few will be left. The Greek removes the notion of the tree being beaten and focuses on the idea of gleaning. The Greek, as in 17:6, does not render that the tree is beaten. It could be possible that the translator here understood נקף to mean something like "to go around" and so thought it referred to wandering through the orchard looking for the remaining olives.<sup>630</sup> But this does not explain the rendering in 17:6. It seems more likely that the translator has shaped the metaphor to express more clearly what he thought it meant, and so twice talks about gleaning the few remaining olives after the harvest. It is irrelevant how the tree was harvested (e.g. beating the branches). Whereas the Hebrew image is of a few olives abandoned and alone in the orchard ready to be taken by passing people, the Greek image is of the olives being gleaned by the nations even after most have already been carried off by the harvest. Also, the Hebrew has two similes, while the Greek has a simile and an explanation.<sup>631</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>627</sup> Theophrastus, *Enquiry into Plants*, 6.8.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>628</sup> "Instead of *the wicked* shall *the righteous be established*; *and* instead *of sinners* shall *those who fear sin be established*; and it shall be *before* the LORD for a name, for an everlasting sign which shall not *cease*." <sup>629</sup> For a detailed analysis of 24:13, see Cunha, *LXX Isaiah*, 79-81, 155-58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>630</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> agrees with MT.

<sup>631</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2565.

The Targum again, like in 17:6, explains the olive gleaning image as referring to the righteous being left behind among the nations, using the same phrase: יחידאין צדיקיא בגו יחידאין צדיקיא בגו: למא ביני מלכוותא<sup>632</sup> Like the LXX, though, the tree is not beaten, just gleaned.

#### 3.6.3.4. Summary

The LXX seems to consider why various specific trees are mentioned. While we have seen already that he tends to cut back and generalize lists of trees (44:14; 41:19; 14:8; though not in 60:13) he is still careful in identifying the specific tree that the Hebrew mentions and rendering it accurately. This accuracy is probably because the metaphorical language is often based on features characteristic of the specific tree mentioned, such as figs loosing leaves (34:4); willows growing near streams (44:4); cedars being prized for timber (14:8; 37:24);<sup>633</sup> or olive trees holding a few olives despite attempts to harvest them (17:6; 24:13). This is seen even further in 55:13, where the translator specifies generic words for weeds as specific plants that are logically antithetical to the trees mentioned, highlighting the contrast.

These other kinds of trees are all interpreted as people by the Targum: in 14:8 the cypresses are the leaders and the cedars those rich in property; in 55:13 the bad plants are interpreted as wicked people and the good plants replacing them are good, righteous people; and in 24:13 the olives left in the tree are the righteous.

### 3.6.4. Thickets and Woods

Related to trees, thickets or woods are also used metaphorically. The word קָבָן means underbrush or thicket; it always occurs with יַשָר in Isaiah, which also means thicket but can mean wood or forest as well. In this section we will first look at the relevant texts, then offer a summary.

## 3.6.4.1. Texts

We have already discussed the occurrences in Isa 7:2; 10:18-19; 44:14; and 44:23, and it is not used metaphorically in 21:13.<sup>634</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>632</sup> "For thus shall *the righteous be left alone* in the midst of the *world* among the *kingdoms*, as the *stripping of the* olive tree, as gleanings *after* vintage."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>633</sup> Also in 9:9(10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>634</sup> In 56:9, a forest is mentioned as a place wild animals come from to prey on Israel (either imaged as a flock or perhaps some sort of a field) because her watchmen are incompetent.

## Isa 9:17(18)

For wickedness burned like a fire,	<u>ְ</u> בִּיַ־בְעֲרֶה כְאֵשׁ	καὶ καυθήσεται ὡς πῦρ ἡ ἀνομία καὶ ὡς	And the transgression will
consuming briers	רִשְׁעָה שָׁמִיר וְשֵׁיִת	ἄγρωστις ξηρὰ	burn like a fire, and
and thorns; it kindled the thickets	תאבל וַתִּצַּת בְּסְבְבַי	βρωθήσεται ὑπὸ πυρός· καὶ	like dry grass will it be consumed by fire,
of the forest, and they swirled	<u>הַיַּ</u> עַר וַיְּתְאַבְּכָו	καυθήσεται ἐν τοῖς	and it will burn in the thickets of the
upward in a column of smoke.	גאות טָשָׁן:	δάσεσι τοῦ δρυμοῦ, καὶ συγκαταφάγεται	forest and devour everything around
of shoke.		τὰ κύκλω τῶν βουνῶν πάντα.	the hills.

We have already discussed this passage in part in the section on thorns (3.4.1.). In the Hebrew, wickedness burns various flammable things (which we learn are the people in the next verse), but the Greek, due to standard translation equivalents, makes wickedness into lawlessness, and renders בערה as passive: אמטאָלאסבדמו. While the simile "like fire" is preserved, the action is reversed. The translation of שָׁמִיר וָשִׁיָת with מֹץהשסדנ ξηρά is probably to make more clear the idea of something very inflammable burning.<sup>635</sup>

The picturesque image of columns of smoke is rendered quite differently in the Greek. Ziegler believes the last phrase was difficult for the translator, so he rendered parallel to the previous phrase.<sup>636</sup> Also he points out the related passages in Jer 21:14; 27(50):32 and Psa 82(83):15.<sup>637</sup> The reference to hills probably comes from supposing גאות could refer to hills,<sup>638</sup> or perhaps seeing גיא and thinking the space around hills.<sup>639</sup> As we have seen already, LXX-Isa knows that typically forests and hills are related in Judea, so perhaps the mention of a forest (יָעָר) was warrant enough to add the hills (as in 10:18 and 44:23).<sup>640</sup>

The simile of the people being like fuel for a fire, has been transformed to compare them to fuel that has been burned by a fire. This is probably due to reading כמאכלת as a passive form of a participle instead of as a noun. It could be a part of all the passive verbs the Greek has in this passage.

A result of the transformations in this passage is that the people are not as strongly tied to the thorns/grass and forests that burn. In the Greek the land is more clearly destroyed and the people are burned, while in the Hebrew the people were burned as fuel like thorns and forests.

The Targum understands "wickedness" to mean the retribution for their sins פורענות הטאיא.<sup>641</sup> The rest of the verse is more difficult to equate to the Hebrew, but seems

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>635</sup> We discussed the translation of שָׁמִיר וָשָׁיָת in the section on thorns (3.4.1.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>636</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 109. He offers possible readings for the individual words.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>637</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>638</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>639</sup> 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> agrees with MT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>640</sup> As Ziegler notes, Untersuchungen, 109. For wooded hills, see Hepper, Bible Plants, 39-40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>641</sup> "For the retribution of their sins burns like the fire, it destroys transgressors and sinners; and it will rule over the remnant of the people and destroy the multitude of the armies."

to interpret the weeds and forests as people: וחייביא תשיצי ותשלוט בשאר עמא ותשיצי סגי משריתא.

Isa 10:34

We have dealt with 10:33 in the section about branches (2.6.2.). There the LXX has interpreted the high branches and high trees as the proud rather than as the Assyrians as the Hebrew context would suggest (10:24). In 10:34 the LXX continues in this interpretation calling the thickets and forests simply the high,<sup>642</sup> and likewise associates the trees of Lebanon with people. It is interesting to note that the metonymy "iron" has been interpreted explicitly to mean a sword since people are being cut down, much like the NRSV interprets it to mean axe since it cuts trees. Also, the Greek is careful to translate the first preposition  $\square$  as a dative of means, but the second one gets a preposition in Greek to specify that the relationship is different than in the first clause.<sup>643</sup>

The Targum interprets the trees to refer to warriors: ויקטיל גיברי משריתיה דמתגברין גיברי 1יקטיל גיברי משריתיה במתגברין.<sup>644</sup>

# Isa 22:8

He has taken away the covering of Judah. On that day you looked to the weapons of the House of the Forest,	וַיְגַֿל אָת מָסַדְ יְהוּדֶה וַתַּבֵּט בַּיָּוֹם הַהֿוּא אָל־גֶשֶׁק בֵּית הַיֶּעַר:	καὶ ἀνακαλύψουσι τὰς πύλας Ιουδα καὶ ἐμβλέψονται τῆ ἡμέρα ἐκείνῃ εἰς τοὺς ἐκλεκτοὺς οἴκους τῆς πόλεως	And they will uncover the gates of Ioudas and look on that day into the choicest houses of the city,
--	---	--	---

In the Hebrew, the phrase בית הַיָּעַר appears to be the name of the building used as an armory, either because of the forest of spears or it is the house of the forest of Lebanon mentioned in 1 Kgs 7:2. The Greek, however, reads it as עיר.<sup>645</sup> This could be an interpretation of the passage, since  $\pi \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta$  seems to explain "covering."<sup>646</sup> Ottley suggested נְשָׁרָה was thought to be something like נָשָׁרָה אַ מוּ Neh 13:7, where it is used of a room in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>642</sup> If we allow the wisdom of Euthephro to overtake us, like it overtook Socrates in *Cratylus*, we may suppose  $\dot{\upsilon}\psi\eta\lambda\delta\varsigma$  is a fitting word since it contains forest:  $\ddot{\upsilon}\lambda\eta$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>643</sup> See Ottley, Isaiah, II 166.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>644</sup> "And he will slay the mighty men of his armies who make themselves mighty with iron, and his warriors will be cast on the land of Israel."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>645</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 211.

<sup>646</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 211.

temple,<sup>647</sup> though this explanation seems unlikely. LXX.D.E.K. suggests the verb was read, and that kissing was somehow associated with the idea "choice."<sup>648</sup>

The Targum understands the phrase as referring to the treasury of the temple: על זין על זין

## Isa 29:17

Shall not Lebanon in a very little while become a fruitful field, and the fruitful field be regarded as a forest?	مؚזְשָׁר וְשָׁב לְבָגָוֹז לַבַּרְמֶל וְהַבַּרְמֶל	μετατεθήσεται ό Λίβανος ώς τὸ ὄρος τὸ	Is it not yet a little while, and Lebanon shall be changed like Mount Chermel, and Mount Chermel shall be regarded as a forest?
---	--	--	---

As we saw in 10:18, the word  $\Box$  is associated with mountains, though this time specifically with mount Carmel.<sup>650</sup> In the Hebrew, the comparison seems to be about the wild forest becoming a cultivated field and vice versa. In the Greek, however, there seems to be a downgrade: Lebanon becomes Carmel, and Carmel becomes just a forest, or perhaps thicket. Similarly, 32:15 says Carmel will be considered a forest, both in Hebrew and Greek, though there this is after it has become wilderness.<sup>651</sup> In the Hebrew this cryptic verse probably should be understood in light of the reversals in the following verses, where the deaf hear and blind see and so forth. For the Greek it makes best sense when understood with 29:20, where the lawless and proud are destroyed.

The Targum agrees with LXX that it is talking about Carmel.<sup>652</sup> But instead of it becoming a forest it is inhabited as many cities: וברמלא לקרוין סגיאין ייתיב.<sup>653</sup>

In one place, the LXX adds a word for forest where the Hebrew has something else.

#### Isa 27:10(9)

Therefore by this	לְבֵׁן בְּזֹאת יְכֻפֵּר עֲוֹן־	διὰ τοῦτο	Because of this the
the guilt of Jacob		άφαιρεθήσεται ή	lawlessness of Iakob
will be expiated,	יַעַלֶּב וְזֶה כָּל־פְּרָי	άνομία Ιακωβ, καὶ	will be removed.
and this will be the	הַסֶר חַטָּאתָוֹ	τοῦτό ἐστιν ἡ εὐλογία	And this is his
full fruit of the		αὐτοῦ, ὅταν	blessing, when I
removal of his sin:	בִּשׂוּמִוֹ   כָּל־אַבְנֵי	άφέλωμαι αὐτοῦ τὴν	remove his sin,
when he makes all			when they make all
the stones of the	מִזְבֵּׁחַ כְּאַבְנֵי־גִר	άμαρτίαν, ὄταν θῶσι	the stones of the
altars like	מְנָפָּצוֹת לְא־יָקֵמוּ	πάντας τοὺς λίθους	altars broken pieces
chalkstones crushed		τῶν βωμῶν	like fine dust, and
to pieces, no sacred	אֲשֵׁרֶים וְחַמְּגִים:	κατακεκομμένους ώς	their trees will not

<sup>647</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 211.

<sup>648</sup> LXX.D.E.K., 2559.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>649</sup> "He has uncovered *the hiding place* of *the house of* Judah, and *he has* looked in that *time upon* a weapon of the *treasure* house of the *sanctuary*."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>650</sup> In Isa 37:24 it has no equivalent in the Greek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>651</sup> In 65:10 the place Sharon is rendered simply as a forest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>652</sup> Chilton translates כרמלא as a fruitful field.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>653</sup> "It is not yet a very little while until Lebanon shall return *to be as* a fruitful field, and the fruitful field will cause *many cities to be inhabited?*"

poles or incense altars will remain standing. 10 For the fortified city		κονίαν λεπτήν· καὶ οὐ μὴ μείνῃ τὰ δένδρα αὐτῶν, καὶ τὰ εἴδωλα αὐτῶν ἐκκεκομμένα ὥσπερ δρυμὸς μακράν.	remain, and their idols will be cast down like a forest far away.
--	--	---	--

The word δρυμός appears to be based on the beginning of the next verse. Opposite from what we saw in 22:8, עִיר is read as יַעַר (as also in 32:19). Ottley suggests that ἐκκόπτω was a rendering of בְּצוֹרָה supposing בְצוֹרָה 'to cut off,"<sup>654</sup> or it was confused with is is confused is from געמע is from בָּצר בָּרָה he thinks μακράν is from בָּרָה Ziegler agrees with the last point, but thinks ἐκκόπτω may have come from seeing a form of בָרָת 5<sup>656</sup> Ziegler rejects that the phrase could have been a plus in the *Vorlage*, showing other passages that associate the destruction of idols with ideas of cutting them down.<sup>657</sup> The meaning of the simile "like a distant forest" may have to do with the idea of going to great lengths to acquire wood, such as for Solomon's temple; so that the great effort to travel and cut them down would be considered valuable.

The use of δένδρα to render אָשָׁרִים is unique to LXX-Isa (also seen in 17:8),<sup>658</sup> the most common equivalent is α٘λσος (a grove). The choice of using δένδρα is interesting, since in the next clause we read of the idols being cut down like a forest. The simile בְאַרְנֵי־גָר is rendered freely: ὡς κονίαν λεπτήν, a phrase known from classical literature.<sup>659</sup> Ziegler shows that elsewhere אָנ

The Targum renders אֲשֵׁרִים with a cognate, and emphasizes that they will not be raised up again. It preserves the city in the next verse, though not as a simile.<sup>661</sup>

## 3.6.4.2. Summary of Woods and Thickets

The LXX seems to associate hills with forests, adding them in 9:17(18); 10:18; and 44:23. Similarly, בָּרְמֶל is associated in LXX-Isa with mount Carmel and forests in 10:18; 29:17; and 32:15. Occasionally, LXX-Isa turns cities into forests (27:10(9); 32:19) or forests into cities (22:8), perhaps for lexical reasons. The metaphoric value of a forest can be people, as in 10:34; and perhaps also in 9:17(18) and 29:17.

The Targum is more likely to associate trees with kinds of people, as in 9:17 and 10:34. It does on at least one occasion turn a forest into a city, or rather, a village (29:17).

<sup>654</sup> Also LXX.D.E.K., 2573.

<sup>655</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 235.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>656</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 101.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>657</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 102. 2 Chron 15:16; 28:24; 34:7; Micah 1:7; Exod 34:13; Deut 7:5; and 12:3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>658</sup> But in Alexandrinus of 17:8 άλσος is used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>659</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 235. He points out Homer, Illiad, XXIII.505 and Sophocles, Antigone, 256.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>660</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 101.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>661</sup> "Therefore by this the *sins of the house* of Jacob will be *forgiven*, and this will be the full *effectuation* of the removal of his *sins:* when he makes all the stones of *the* alter like chalkstones crushed to pieces, no Asherim or sun pillars will *be established*."

Asherim are rendered with a cognate in the Targum of 27:10, and most of the passage is rendered literally. The forest of weapons in 22:8 is interpreted as the temple treasury.

#### 3.6.5. Summary of Trees

As we can see, the LXX-Isa translator treats tree metaphors in a variety of ways. Usually he does not change a metaphor simply due to the difficulty of the metaphor itself in the target language, but for other exegetical concerns. The distance the translator takes the image away from being a literal rendering varies.

In some places the translator is willing to preserve the metaphor in his translation, or to use it with only slight modifications. For example, in the two places where trees are personified, 44:23 and 55:12, the translator makes some modifications but lets the image stand.

In a few places, the translator appears to make modifications for the sake of style. For example, in 41:19 the translator cares more about a terse style than in listing the seven kinds of trees mentioned (also 44:14). In 56:3 and 57:5, equivalents for trees are made that are unusual in themselves but create alliteration in the translation. In 7:19 the word order is changed to create a better topical logical flow.

Sometimes the translator is a little more active and careful in his translation, shaping it to more effectively express what he thinks it aims to express. For example, in 7:2 the translator clarifies that the people are amazed, and adjusts the metaphor to show how the tree shaking represents this. Likewise, in 1:30, the translator is very careful to show that the people will be like the tree loosing its leaves, not like the leaves themselves. In 55:13, the translator is attentive to the different kinds of plants and their relationships and so renders with plants that have a logical antithetical relationship (such as the foul and sweet smelling plants). Similarly, in some cases the translator appears to render freely for the sake of clarity. In 1:29 and 57:5 trees are rendered as idols to make clear what the passage means (though as we discussed, these could be simply lexical issues). In 2:12-13, the translator appears to use a tree that would have been more familiar to his Egyptian audience than the usual tree would have been. Also, in 24:13 the translator seems to want to avoid equating the cypress with the cedar, or to suggest they are the only trees of Lebanon.

The translator sometimes goes further, modifying the passage to better express his understanding of the meaning of the metaphor. In 2:12-13, the translator is less subtle than the MT in equating the high and arrogant with the trees; the LXX adds an adjective which ties these closer together. In 10:19 he makes a similar exegetical move this time by omitting a reference to trees, letting a pronoun refer to people in the sentence instead. In 10:34 the reference to thickets is rendered by a reference to the high and the iron is made a sword, showing the translator understands these trees to refer to people. The translator goes even

farther in 61:3, where he interprets the terebinth tree as representing generations, and so gives what he perceives to be the meaning of the metaphor. In 65:22 the translator changes the simile dramatically from comparing a long lifespan to a tree, to saying people will live like the tree of life.

In 6:13, the translator offers a different simile; rather than describing how the people will be like a tree that is cut down leaving a stump, the translator talks about an acorn falling from its husk. In 9:17(18) the LXX may remove the metaphor referring to actual land being ravaged. In 27:9 a simile is added, though it is the result of reading the text differently.

While few of the tree metaphors are rendered rigidly literally, usually the translator is subtle in his renderings, clarifying and nuancing them to better express what he thinks they mean. In a few cases, for whatever reason, the translator is more bold in modifying the metaphor or removing it to express his own ideas.

The Targum renders similarly to LXX in several cases, as we have seen. In 7:2, different verbs are used for the trembling hearts and trees comparison; in 65:22 both believe the tree of life is meant; in 10:17-19, the high and types of trees are interpreted as people, though the Targum is more explicit than the LXX; in 1:29 the LXX replaces trees with "idols" while the Targum calls them "trees of idolatry" (the Asherim are rendered literally by the Targum in 27:10); and in 29:17 both turn forests into cities.

The Targum has a marked tendency to explicitly interpret tree metaphors as referring to various types of people (often rulers), as can be seen in 2:12-13; 9:9(10); 9:17; 10:17-19; 10:34; 14:8; 55:13; 61:3. Similarly, it makes clear that the olives left after gleaning in 24:13 are the righteous (also 17:6).

But the Targum does not have the same stylistic concerns as the LXX, so in 41:19; 44:14; and 44:23 the various types of trees are all listed, rendered literally; in 6:13, where the LXX renders literally adding assonance, the Targum renders the metaphor as a simile. Two strange metaphors are also dealt with differently in the two translations: the terebinth cut from its station is interpreted in light of 1:30 as losing its leaves (LXX has the acorn fall from its husk), then a simile is added of a dry tree having moisture enough to produce seed. The house of the forest in 22:8 is interpreted as the temple treasury by the Targum, while the LXX rendered generally as the choice houses of the city.

# 3.7. Chard

In one place, the LXX changes a simile to contain a reference to beets or chard.

Isa 51:20

Your sons fainted,	בְּנַיִך עֻלְפָוּ שָׁרְבֶוּ	οἱ υἱοί σου οἱ	Your sons are the
they lie at the head of		$\dot{\alpha}$ $\pi$ $\alpha$ $\alpha$ $\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}$	ones perplexed, who
every street like an	בְּרָאשׁ כְּל־חוּאָות	καθεύδοντες ἐπ' ἄκρου	lie down at the head

antelope in a net; They are full of the wrath of the LORD, the rebuke of your God.	· <u>``</u> ``````````````````````````````````	πάσης ἐξόδου ὡς σευτλίον ἡμίεφθον, οἱ πλήρεις θυμοῦ κυρίου, ἐκλελυμένοι διὰ κυρίου τοῦ θεοῦ.	of every street like a half-cooked <i>chard</i> , who are full of the wrath of the LORD, made feeble by the LORD God.
--	--	--	--

In the Hebrew, the idea seems to be that the sons fainted from exhaustion, and so lie out at the head of every street like an antelope (if this is the meaning of  $\pi$ ) that has been chased into a net and is exhausted from the chase and the struggle in the net.

The Hebrew עלף is translated differently in each of its occurrences, so not much can be made of it being rendered with מֹתסְדָשׁ. Ottley suggests ערפו was read since the same word is used as an equivalent 5:30.<sup>662</sup> Perhaps the term was understood and contributed in part to the use of ἐκλύω below, which is a unique rendering of גְּעָרָה The choice of ἐκλύω captures both the idea of losing courage that the context of 30:17 suggests, and can mean to be weary, perhaps under the influence of עלף. The extending of the divine name in the last clause is often done in LXX-Isa.<sup>663</sup>

Of note is that the simile אָרָמָר בְּתוֹא מִרְמָר שָׁעוֹבּשָׁסּט. Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotion all render it literally, though differ in the word used for net. 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> has a different spelling, but the same text: רמו מ<sup>1</sup>כמר . The only other occurrence of גמו is Deut 14:5, where it is spelled או האו and rendered with סָׁסָטָל. Ottley seems to like the suggestion that the translator read מון מראמך מר אמך מר מראמך מר למען גמו understanding bitter herbs.<sup>664</sup> Ziegler surveys several of the suggestions of how this translation came about, the best answer seems to be that of Wust, namely, that איא (a kind of leafy plant) was read.<sup>665</sup> The word אָעוֹבּשָׁסָס probably comes from understanding מרמר מרמר מרמר heat fruit.<sup>666</sup> In Isa 19:8, the LXX renders nearly the same word consonantally, איז א מקיאָט, though perhaps it was a guess from the context of fishermen and hooks. The remarkable rendering of this simile in 51:20 is probably due to reading the text differently and not a desire to substitute a new metaphor more accessible to the audience. What is most remarkable is that the translator ends up with a sensible and even vivid image: the exhausted youth lying like blanched chards.

The Targum harmonizes to Nah 3:10, interpreting that the sons will be dashed to pieces (rendering מתרפין), thrown (שכבו for ישכבו) in the head of every street.<sup>667</sup> The simile is rendered: כמזרקי מצדן (like those cast in nets), keeping the construct, but only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>662</sup> Ottley, *Isaiah*, II 341. Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 128.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>663</sup> van der Vorm-Croughs, *The Old Greek of Isaiah*, 91-92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>664</sup> Ottley, Isaiah, II 342.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>665</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 99. The Syriac agrees with LXX.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>666</sup> Ziegler, *Untersuchungen*, 99. Joosten, "The Knowledge and Use of Hebrew in the Hellenistic Period Qumran and the Septuagint," 119-20. He argues that this could be an example of spoken words being confused for classical words.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>667</sup> "Your sons *will be dashed to pieces, thrown* at the head of all the streets like *those cast* in nets; they are full of wrath *from* the LORD, rebuke *from* your God."

seems to understand מְרְמָר. It is interesting that the first part of the verse is interpreted, but not the tricky simile.

#### 3.8. Conclusions

Many individual points have already been made in the section summaries. Here we will reiterate the LXX-Isa translator's independence and thoughtfulness in how he rendered metaphors. Also, we will point out some tendencies and issues that have arisen in this chapter.

Again this chapter has shown the cognitive metaphor "people are plants" is often at work in Hebrew plant metaphors as well as in LXX-Isa. Of particular note here is how LXX-Isa at times extends these and uses them to interpret. The clearest example is in 61:3 where the term "trees" is rendered as "generations," but can also be seen where the translation adjusts the metaphor to more clearly express that people (often arrogant people) are meant, as in 2:12-13; 10:19, and 34. This interpretation is already to an extent in the Hebrew of Isaiah, and can be seen elsewhere in the Hebrew Bible, particularly Jdg 9:8-15 and Dan 4:20-22. A more culture specific cognitive metaphor, that Israel (or some subset) is God's vineyard, seems to underlie LXX-Isa's understanding of many of the passages mentioning vineyards and vines; more specifically, LXX-Isa often seems to have Jerusalem in mind (1:8; 3:14; 5:1-7 which in the Hebrew explicitly says the vineyard is the house of Israel; and 27:2-6).

The LXX-Isa translator is very much aware of the relationship between plants and the environment in which they typically flourish. In the Hebrew of Isaiah already we often see deserts flourishing with greenery (35:7; 41:18-19) and lush marshlands and cities becoming barren wilderness (19:6; 33:9; 34:9-15; 42:15). Ziegler has already pointed out the Egyptian nature of the translator's understanding of marshlands.<sup>668</sup> We can see this particularly in 19:6 where the translator adds a reference to a marsh where reeds are mentioned.<sup>669</sup> Similarly, the translators association of fallow wastes and thorns reflects an Egyptian milieu;<sup>670</sup> this is particularly apparent in how he rendered  $\psi$ , as we have seen. The association of grass and fields is not as clearly Egyptian, since usually grass had to be cultivated in Egypt, though it is abundant in Judea. When discussing forests the translator will often add references to hills, both of which are features more typical of Judea, Samaria, and Galilee (9:17(18); 10:18; and 44:23).

The LXX-Isa translator is often careful to pay attention to the specific plants mentioned, since the metaphor itself often functions because of qualities specific to that kind of plant. In 36:6, the LXX specifies that crushed reed is meant, to emphasize its frailness using the same terminology as in 42:3. We have seen that unlike the rest of the LXX, LXX-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>668</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 189-90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>669</sup> Oddly, LXX-Isa 33:9 mentions "marshes" but does not have the MT's "desert" (they are not equivalents).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>670</sup> Ziegler, Untersuchungen, 179-81.

Isa uses  $\chi v \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$ , perhaps to better distinguish husks of grain from straw. Lists of specific trees are reduced for the sake of style (44:14; 41:19), but metaphors with specific species of trees are rendered carefully with an eye for the quality of the tree in question, so that the tree losing leaves in 1:30 is an evergreen to illustrate extreme dryness; but in 34:4 it is the fig that drops its leaves (or perhaps fruit) as they tend to actually do, to illustrate stars falling; and willows are mentioned by streams (44:4) where they are commonly found. A more dramatic example of the translator taking qualities of specific plants into account is in 55:13, where a word for weed is rendered as a specific kind of malodorous plant to contrast the fragrant myrtle.

In several cases, however, the LXX-Isa translator changes which plant is mentioned in a metaphor. In the case of שָׁמָיך, as we have seen, the translator does not seem to know it should mean thorn, but in three places where fire is involved, renders with words for grass (9:17(18); 10:17; 32:13). In 33:12, however, a different word for thorn is rendered literally and is said to be burned up. In the only other place grass burns, 5:24, the translator seems to have understood שׁם as a verb meaning "to burn."<sup>671</sup> Another exchange from one plant to another is the case of stubble (שָׁשָׁ ) which is rendered literally with  $\varkappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta$  in 5:24 (where it is burned), but in 47:14 where it is again burned, it is rendered with  $\phi p \dot{\nu} \gamma \alpha v o v$ . In two cases, stubble is also rendered  $\phi p \dot{\nu} \gamma \alpha v o v$  in the context of being blown by the wind (40:24 and 41:2). As we have argued in 3.3.2.1.4., the translator seems to have taken context into account and so uses  $\phi p \dot{\nu} \gamma \alpha v o v$  to better express the meaning of the passage. So, where the translator does change which plant is mentioned in a metaphor, it is either due to having a different conception of the word's meaning (as is the case for  $(\square \psi)$  and  $\psi \mu$ ) or it is due to his attempt to maintain rich metaphors with connections to the passage in which they occur (as in the case of  $(\square \psi)$ ).

This chapter has shown that while there are indeed some probable textual differences in the *Vorlage* and cases where the translator has understood words differently than modern scholars, in many cases the translator adjusts the language of metaphors to communicate clearly in Greek what he believes the image means.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>671</sup> The other occurrence of השׁשׁש in 33:11 has no clear equivalent.