



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Control of complex actions in humans and robots

Kleijn, R.E. de

Citation

Kleijn, R. E. de. (2017, November 23). *Control of complex actions in humans and robots*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/57382>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/57382>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/57382> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Kleijn, Roy de

Title: Control of complex actions in humans and robots

Date: 2017-11-23

References

- [1] J. A. Adams. A closed-loop theory of motor learning. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 3:111–149, 1971. (p. 42).
- [2] E. E. Aksoy, B. Dellen, M. Tamosiunaite, and F. Worgotter. Execution of a dual-object (pushing) action with semantic event chains. In *IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots*, 2011. (p. 51).
- [3] J. R. Anderson. *Rules of the mind*. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, 1993. (p. 30).
- [4] J. B. André and S. Nolfi. Evolutionary robotics simulations help explain why reciprocity is rare in nature. *Scientific Reports*, 6:32785, 2016. (p. 106).
- [5] P. J. Angeline, G. M. Saunders, and J. B. Pollack. An evolutionary algorithm that constructs recurrent neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 5:54–65, 1994. (p. 105).
- [6] R. C. Arkin. Motor schema-based mobile robot navigation. *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 8:92–112, 1989. (p. 44).
- [7] R. C. Arkin. *Behavior-based robotics*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998. (p. 44).
- [8] W. R. Ashby. *An introduction to cybernetics*. Chapman & Hall, London, 1956. (p. 40).
- [9] C. G. Atkeson, J. G. Hale, F. Pollick, M. Riley, S. Kotosaka, S. Schaul, T. Shibata, G. Tevatia, A. Ude, S. Vijayakumar, E. Kawato, and M. Kawato. Using humanoid robots to study human behavior. *IEEE Intelligent Systems and their Applications*, 15(4):46–56, 2000. (p. 103).
- [10] D. Badre. Cognitive control, hierarchy, and the rostro-caudal organization of the frontal lobes. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 12:193–200, 2008. (p. 27).
- [11] L. W. Barsalou. Flexibility, structure, and linguistic vagary in concepts: Manifestations of a compositional system of perceptual symbols. In A. C. Collins, S. E. Gathercole, and M. A. Conway, editors, *Theories of memory*, pages 29–101. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, London, 1993. (p. 22).

- [12] L. W. Barsalou. Perceptual symbol systems. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22:577–660, 1999. (p. 22).
- [13] M. Beetz, L. Mösenlechner, and M. Tenorth. CRAM: A cognitive robot abstract machine for everyday manipulation in human environments. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 2010. (p. 33).
- [14] F. Bell-Berti and K. S. Harris. Anticipatory coarticulation: Some implications from a study of lip rounding. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 65(5):1268–1270, 1979. (pp. 20, 116).
- [15] I. Biederman. Recognition-by-components: A theory of human image understanding. *Psychological Review*, 94:115–147, 1987. (p. 51).
- [16] J. Bo and R. D. Seidler. Visuospatial working memory capacity predicts the organization of acquired explicit motor sequences. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 101:3116–3125, 2009. (p. 89).
- [17] J. Bo, S. Jennett, and R. D. Seidler. Working memory capacity correlates with implicit serial reaction time task performance. *Experimental Brain Research*, 214:73–81, 2011. (pp. 89, 91, 100).
- [18] M. Botvinick and D. C. Plaut. Doing without schema hierarchies: A recurrent connectionist approach to routine sequential action and its pathologies. *Psychological Review*, 111:395–429, 2004. (pp. 29, 30, 31, 33, 52, 57, 58, 87, 117).
- [19] M. Boyer, A. Destrebecqz, and A. Cleeremans. Processing abstract sequence structure: Learning without knowing, or knowing without learning? *Psychological Research*, 69:383–398, 2005. (pp. 57, 75, 78).
- [20] V. Braitenberg. *Vehicles: Experiments in synthetic psychology*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1984. (pp. 41, 118).
- [21] R. Brooks. A robust layered control system for a mobile robot. *IEEE Journal of Robotics and Automation*, 2:14–23, 1986. (pp. 41, 44, 118).
- [22] R. Brooks. Intelligence without reason. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 1, pages 569–595, 1991. (pp. 49, 118).
- [23] D. Burk, J. N. Ingram, D. W. Franklin, M. N. Shadlen, and D. M. Wolpert. Motor effort alters changes of mind in sensorimotor decision making. *PLoS ONE*, 9:1–10, 2014. (p. 122).

-
- [24] H. R. Burke and W. C. Bingham. Raven's progressive matrices: More on construct validity. *Journal of Psychology*, 72:247–251, 1969. (p. 90).
- [25] D. Caligiore, D. Parisi, N. Accornero, M. Capozza, and G. Baldassarre. Using motor babbling and Hebb rules for modeling the development of reaching with obstacles and grasping. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cognitive Systems*, 2008. (p. 49).
- [26] M. Campbell, A. J. Hoane Jr., and F. Hsu. Deep Blue. *Artificial Intelligence*, 134:57–83, 2002. (p. 1).
- [27] N. Chomsky. A review of B. F. Skinner's *Verbal Behavior*. *Language*, 35:26–58, 1959. (p. 39).
- [28] A. Clark. *Being there: Putting brain, body and world together again*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997. (p. 18).
- [29] A. Cleeremans and J. L. McClelland. Learning the structure of event sequences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 120:235–253, 1991. (pp. 57, 58).
- [30] R. G. Cohen and D. A. Rosenbaum. Where grasps are made reveals how grasps are planned: Generation and recall of motor plans. *Experimental Brain Research*, 157:486–495, 2004. (pp. 20, 104).
- [31] RoboHow Consortium. RoboHow project website. URL www.robohow.eu. (pp. 3, 17).
- [32] R. Cooper and T. Shallice. Contention scheduling and the control contention scheduling and the control of routine activities. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 17:297–338, 2000. (pp. 57, 87).
- [33] R. Cooper and T. Shallice. Hierarchical schemas and goals in the control of sequential behavior. *Psychological Review*, 113:887–916, 2006. (pp. 30, 31, 52, 117).
- [34] R. Dale, N. D. Duran, and J. R. Morehead. Prediction during statistical learning, and implications for the implicit/explicit divide. *Advances in Cognitive Psychology*, 8:196–209, 2012. (pp. 7, 8, 11, 12, 14, 65, 66, 69, 80, 95, 99, 104, 105, 112, 119).
- [35] R. Daniloff and K. Moll. Coarticulation of lip rounding. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 11:707–721, 1968. (pp. 20, 104).
- [36] Y. Demiriz and A. Dearden. From motor babbling to hierarchical learning by imitation: A robot developmental pathway. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Workshop on Epigenetic Robotics*, pages 31–37, 2005. (p. 49).

- [37] P. F. Dominey. Influences of temporal organization on sequence learning and transfer: Comments on Stadler (1995) and Curran and Keele (1993). *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition Learning Memory and Cognition*, 24:234–248, 1998. (p. 78).
- [38] N. D. Duran and R. Dale. Predictive arm placement in the statistical learning of position sequences. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, pages 893–898, Amsterdam, 2009. Cognitive Science Society. (pp. 14, 66, 104, 112, 119).
- [39] R. M. Eenshuistra, M. A. Weidema, and B. Hommel. Development of the acquisition and control of action–effect associations. *Acta Psychologica*, 115:185–209, 2004. (p. 48).
- [40] D. Elliott, S. Hansen, L. E. Grierson, J. Lyons, S. J. Bennett, and S. J. Hayes. Goal-directed aiming: Two components but multiple processes. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136:1023–1044, 2010. (p. 27).
- [41] J. L. Elman. Finding structure in time. *Cognitive Science*, 14:179–211, 1990. (pp. 57, 87).
- [42] B. Elsner and B. Hommel. Effect anticipation and action control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 27:229–240, 2001. (p. 47).
- [43] B. Elsner and B. Hommel. Contiguity and contingency in the acquisition of action effects. *Psychological Research*, 68:138–154, 2004. (p. 47).
- [44] C. W. Eriksen, M. D. Pollack, and W. E. Montague. Implicit speech: Mechanism in perceptual encoding? *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 84:502–507, 1970. (pp. 23, 116).
- [45] S. Fagioli, B. Hommel, and R. I. Schubotz. Intentional control of attention: Action planning primes action-related stimulus dimensions. *Psychological Research*, 71:22–29, 2007. (p. 33).
- [46] P. Fendrick. Hierarchical skills in typewriting. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 28:609–620, 1937. (p. 57).
- [47] R. Fikes and N. Nilsson. STRIPS: A new approach to the application of theorem proving to problem solving. *Artificial Intelligence*, 2:189–208, 1971. (pp. 19, 40, 115).
- [48] M. H. Fischer and M. Hartmann. Pushing forward in embodied cognition: may we mouse the mathematical mind? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5: 1315, 2014. (pp. 7, 119).

- [49] C. A. Fowler. Coarticulation and theories of extrinsic timing control. *Journal of Phonetics*, 8:113–133, 1980. (p. 20).
- [50] Q. Fu, X. Fu, and Z. Dienes. Implicit sequence learning and conscious awareness. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 17:185–202, 2008. (p. 58).
- [51] D. R. Gentner, J. Grudin, and E. Conway. *Skilled finger movements in typing (technical report 8001)*. Center for Human Information Processing, San Diego, CA, 1980. (pp. 21, 116).
- [52] D. R. Gentner, S. LaRochelle, and J. Grudin. Lexical, sublexical, and peripheral effects in skilled typewriting. *Cognitive Psychology*, 20:524–548, 1988. (p. 57).
- [53] S. Glover. Separate visual representations in the planning and control of action. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 27:3–24, 2004. (pp. 25, 42, 117).
- [54] M. A. Goodale and A. D. Milner. Separate visual pathways for perception and action. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 15:20–25, 1992. (p. 42).
- [55] M. A. Goodale, D. Pelisson, and C. Prablanc. Large adjustments in visually guided reaching do not depend on vision of the hand or perception of target displacement. *Nature*, 320:748–750, 1986. (pp. 25, 42).
- [56] M. Hägele, K. Nilsson, and J. Norberto Pires. Industrial robotics. In B. Siciliano and O. Khatib, editors, *Springer Handbook of Robotics*, pages 963–986. Springer, Berlin, 2008. (p. 23).
- [57] E. Harless. Der Apparat des Willens. *Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik*, 38:50–73, 1861. (p. 47).
- [58] J. A. Hartigan and P. M. Hartigan. The dip test of unimodality. *The Annals of Statistics*, 13:70–84, 1985. (p. 96).
- [59] F. M. Henry and D. E. Rogers. Increased response latency for complicated movements and a “memory drum” theory of neuromotor reaction. *Research Quarterly*, 31:448–458, 1960. (pp. 6, 20, 23, 25, 42, 116).
- [60] J. Hoffmann and I. Koch. Stimulus–response compatibility and sequential learning in the serial response time task. *Psychological Research*, 60:87–97, 1997. (pp. 11, 86).
- [61] B. Hommel. The prepared reflex: Automaticity and control in stimulus–response translation. In S. Monsell and J. Driver, editors, *Control of cognitive processes: Attention and performance XVIII*, pages 247–273. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2000. (pp. 7, 11, 86).

- [62] B. Hommel. Planning and representing intentional action. *TheScientific-WorldJOURNAL*, 3:593–608, 2003. (p. 86).
- [63] B. Hommel. Action control according to TEC (theory of event coding). *Psychological Research*, 73:512–526, 2009. (pp. 18, 47).
- [64] B. Hommel. Grounding attention in action control: The intentional control of selection. In B. J. Bruya, editor, *Effortless attention: A new perspective in the cognitive science of attention and action*, pages 121–140. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2010. (pp. 25, 42, 49, 117).
- [65] B. Hommel, J. Müsseler, G. Aschersleben, and W. Prinz. The Theory of Event Coding (TEC): A framework for perception and action planning. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24:849–937, 2001. (pp. 18, 32, 47, 83, 117).
- [66] G. Houghton. The problem of serial order: A neural network model of sequence learning and recall. In R. Dale, C. Mellish, and M. Zock, editors, *Current research in natural language generation*, pages 287–319. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1990. (p. 29).
- [67] M. Ito, K. Noda, Y. Hoshino, and J. Tani. Dynamic and interactive generation of object handling behaviors by a small humanoid robot using a dynamic neural network model. *Neural Networks*, 19:323–337, 2006. (p. 103).
- [68] R. Jain and T. Inamura. Bayesian learning of tool affordances based on generalization of functional feature to estimate effects of unseen tools. *Artificial Life and Robotics*, 18:95–103, 2013. (p. 51).
- [69] W. James. *Principles of psychology*, volume 1. Holt, New York, 1890. (pp. 6, 19, 47, 85, 116).
- [70] R. K. Jamieson and D. J. K. Mewhort. Applying an exemplar model to the serial reaction-time task: Anticipating from experience. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 62:1757–1783, 2009. (p. 104).
- [71] M. Jeannerod, M. A. Arbib, G. Rizzolatti, and H. Sakata. Grasping objects: The cortical mechanisms of visuomotor transformation. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 18:314–320, 1995. (p. 20).
- [72] M. N. Jones and D. J. K. Mewhort. Representing word meaning and order information in a composite holographic lexicon. *Psychological Review*, 114: 1–37, 2007. (p. 45).
- [73] P. Joshi and W. Maass. Movement generation with circuits of spiking neurons. *Neural Computation*, 17:1715–1738, 2005. (p. 35).

- [74] G. Kachergis, F. Berends, R. de Kleijn, and B. Hommel. Reward effects on sequential action learning in a trajectory serial reaction time task. In *IEEE International Conference on Development and Learning and on Epigenetic Robotics*, 2014. (pp. 59, 91).
- [75] G. Kachergis, F. Berends, R. de Kleijn, and B. Hommel. Trajectory effects in a novel serial reaction time task. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, pages 713–718, Québec, QC, 2014. (pp. 7, 8, 21, 46, 59, 91, 104).
- [76] G. Kachergis, D. Wyatte, R. C. O’Reilly, R. de Kleijn, and B. Hommel. A continuous time neural model for sequential action. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 369:20130623, 2014. (pp. 5, 30, 52, 58).
- [77] G. Kachergis, F. Berends, R. de Kleijn, and B. Hommel. Human reinforcement learning of sequential action. In *Proceedings of the 38th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, pages 193–198, Philadelphia, PA, 2016. (pp. 88, 92, 99, 105, 106).
- [78] M. A. Khan, I. M. Franks, and D. Goodman. The effect of practice on the control of rapid aiming movements: Evidence for an interdependency between programming and feedback processing. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Experimental Psychology*, 51:425–444, 1998. (p. 27).
- [79] S. T. Klapp. Reaction time analysis of programmed control. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 5:231–253, 1977. (pp. 6, 20).
- [80] R. Klein. Nonhierarchical control of rapid movement sequences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 9:834–836, 1983. (p. 28).
- [81] H. D. Knapp, E. Taub, and A. J. Berman. Movements in monkeys with deafferented forelimbs. *Experimental Neurology*, 7:305–315, 1963. (p. 42).
- [82] D. Kraft, E. Baseski, M. Popovic, A. M. Batog, A. Kjær-Nielsen, N. Krüger, R. Petrick, C. Geib, N. Pugeault, M. Steedman, T. Asfour, R. Dillmann, S. Kalkan, F. Wörgötter, B. Hommel, R. Detry, and J. Piater. Exploration and planning in a three-level cognitive architecture. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cognitive Systems*, Karlsruhe, 2008. (pp. 35, 48).
- [83] S. Kühn, A. Keizer, S. A. R. B. Rombouts, and B. Hommel. The functional and neural mechanism of action preparation: Roles of EBA and FFA in voluntary action control. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 23:214–220, 2011. (p. 33).

- [84] M. Kuperstein. Neural model of adaptive hand–eye coordination for single postures. *Science*, 239:1308–1311, 1988. (pp. 49, 103).
- [85] J. E. Laird, A. Newell, and P. S. Rosenbloom. SOAR: An architecture for general intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence*, 33:1–64, 1987. (p. 30).
- [86] S. Larochelle. Some aspects of movements in skilled typewriting. In H. Bouma and D. G. Bouwhuis, editors, *Attention and performance. Control of language processes*, volume 10, pages 43–54. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, 1984. (p. 21).
- [87] K. S. Lashley. The problem of serial order in behavior. In L. A. Jeffress, editor, *Cerebral mechanisms in behavior*, pages 112–136. Wiley, New York, 1951. (pp. 6, 20, 21, 27, 30, 42, 46, 58, 88).
- [88] H. Levenson. Differentiating among internality, powerful others, and chance. In H. M. Lefcourt, editor, *Research with the locus of control construct*, volume 1, pages 15–63. Academic Press, New York, 1981. (p. 90).
- [89] P. Lewicki, T. Hill, and E. Bizot. Acquisition of procedural knowledge about a pattern of stimuli that cannot be articulated. *Cognitive Psychology*, 20:24–37, 1988. (p. 87).
- [90] G. D. Logan and M. J. C. Crump. Response to M. Ullsperger and Danielmeier’s E-Letter. *Science E-Letters*, February 9, 2011, 2011. (pp. 2, 23).
- [91] R. H. Lotze. *Medizinische Psychologie oder Physiologie der Seele*. Weidmann, 1852. (p. 47).
- [92] S. J. Luck and E. K. Vogel. The capacity of visual working memory for features and conjunctions. *Nature*, 390:279–281, 1997. (p. 91).
- [93] Z. Macura, A. Cangelosi, R. Ellis, D. Bugmann, M. H. Fischer, and A. Myachykov. A cognitive robotic model of grasping. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Epigenetic Robotics*, Venice, 2009. (p. 32).
- [94] M. Maniadas and P. Trahanias. Temporal cognition: A key ingredient of intelligent systems. *Frontiers in Neurorobotics*, 5:2, 2011. (p. 33).
- [95] J. Memelink and B. Hommel. Intentional weighting: A basic principle in cognitive control. *Psychological Research*, 77:249–259, 2013. (pp. 33, 117).
- [96] G. A. Miller, E. Galanter, and K. H. Pribram. *Plans and the structure of behavior*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York, 1960. (pp. 27, 30, 31, 86).

-
- [97] A. D. Milner and M. A. Goodale. *The visual brain in action*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995. (p. 26).
- [98] V. Mnih, K. Kavukcuoglu, D. Silver, A. A. Rusu, J. Veness, M. G. Bellemare, A. Graves, M. Riedmiller, A. K. Fidjeland, G. Ostrovski, S. Petersen, C. Beattie, A. Sadik, I. Antonoglou, H. King, D. Kumaran, D. Wierstra, S. Legg, and D. Hassabis. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 518:529–533, 2015. (p. 120).
- [99] D. E. Moriarty. *Symbiotic evolution of neural networks in sequential decision tasks*. PhD thesis, University of Texas at Austin, 1997. (p. 105).
- [100] D. E. Moriarty and R. Miikkulainen. Efficient reinforcement learning through symbiotic evolution. *Machine Learning*, 22:11–32, 1996. (p. 105).
- [101] G. Morlino, C. Giannelli, A. Borghi, and S. Nolfi. Category learning through action: A study with human and artificial agents. *Cognitive Processing*, 13:47–48, 2012. (p. 106).
- [102] H. Münsterberg. *Beiträge zur experimentellen Psychologie [Contributions to experimental psychology]*, volume 4. Mohr, Freiburg, 1892. (pp. 6, 85).
- [103] C. Nakatani and J. Hirschberg. A corpus-based study of repair cues in spontaneous speech. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 95:1603–1616, 1994. (pp. 21, 46).
- [104] D. Nattkemper and W. Prinz. Stimulus and response anticipation in a serial reaction task. *Psychological Research*, 60:98–112, 1997. (p. 86).
- [105] S.L. Neuberg and J.T. Newsom. Personal need for structure: Individual differences in the desire for simple structure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65:113–131, 1993. (pp. 89, 90).
- [106] S. B. Niku. *Introduction to robotics: Analysis, control, applications*. Wiley, 2010. (p. 44).
- [107] M. J. Nissen and P. Bullemer. Attentional requirements of learning: evidence from performance measures. *Cognitive Psychology*, 19:1–32, 1987. (pp. 6, 7, 11, 12, 46, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 65, 68, 69, 70, 76, 80, 81, 87, 91, 118, 119, 122, 145).
- [108] S. Nolfi and D. Parisi. Evolution of artificial neural networks. In M. A. Arbib, editor, *Handbook of brain theory and neural networks*, pages 418–421. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2002. (p. 108).

- [109] S. Nolfi, D. Parisi, and J. L. Elman. Learning and evolution in neural networks. *Adaptive Behavior*, 3:5–28, 1994. (p. 108).
- [110] J. K. O'Regan and A. Noe. A sensorimotor account of vision and visual consciousness. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24:939–1031, 2001. (p. 18).
- [111] R. C. O'Reilly. *The LEABRA model of neural interactions and learning in the neocortex*. PhD thesis, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, 1996. (pp. 5, 30).
- [112] R. C. O'Reilly, T. E. Hazy, and S. A. Herd. The LEABRA cognitive architecture: How to play 20 questions with nature and win! In S. E. F. Chipman, editor, *Oxford Handbook of Cognitive Science*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, in press. (p. 5).
- [113] C. Palmer and P. Q. Pfordresher. Incremental planning in sequence production. *Psychological Review*, 110:683–712, 2003. (p. 24).
- [114] G. Petrosino, D. Parisi, and S. Nolfi. Selective attention enables action selection: evidence from evolutionary robotics experiments. *Adaptive Behavior*, 21:356–370, 2013. (p. 106).
- [115] G. Pezzulo, L. W. Barsalou, A. Cangelosi, M. H. Fischer, K. McRae, and M. J. Spivey. The mechanics of embodiment: A dialog on embodiment and computational modeling. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2:5, 2011. (p. 32).
- [116] P. J. Phillips and A. J. O'Toole. Comparison of human and computer performance across face recognition experiments. *Image and Vision Computing*, 32:74–85, 2014. (p. 1).
- [117] T. A. Plate. Holographic reduced representations. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 6:623–641, 1995. (p. 6).
- [118] M. Plooiij, M. de Vries, W. Wolfslag, and M. Wisse. Optimization of feed-forward controllers to minimize sensitivity to model inaccuracies. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 2013. (p. 27).
- [119] D. Powell. How computers were finally able to best poker pros. Washington Post, February 4th, 2017. (p. 1).
- [120] C. Prablanc and O. Martin. Automatic control during hand reaching at undetected two-dimensional target displacements. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 67:455–469, 1992. (p. 25).

-
- [121] J. Pratt and R. A. Abrams. Practice and component submovements: The roles of programming and feedback in rapid aimed limb movements. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 28:149–156, 1996. (p. 26).
- [122] J. J. Prinz and L. W. Barsalou. Steering a course for embodied representation. In E. Dietrich and A. Markman, editors, *Cognitive dynamics: Conceptual change in humans and machines*, pages 51–77. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2000. (p. 32).
- [123] L. Proteau, R. G. Marteniuk, Y. Girouard, and C. Dugas. On the type of information used to control and learn an aiming movement after moderate and extensive practice. *Human Movement Science*, 6:181–199, 1987. (pp. 26, 27).
- [124] J. Raven, J. C. Raven, and J. H. Court. *Manual for Raven's Progressive Matrices and Vocabulary Scales. Section 4: The Advanced Progressive Matrices*. Harcourt Assessment, San Antonio, TX, 1998. (p. 89).
- [125] D. A. Rosenbaum. Successive approximations to a model of human motor programming. *Psychology of Learning and Motivation*, 21:153–182, 1987. (pp. 6, 20).
- [126] D. A. Rosenbaum. *Human motor control*. Academic Press, New York, 1991. (p. 20).
- [127] D. A. Rosenbaum. The Cinderella of psychology: The neglect of motor control in the science of mental life and behavior. *American Psychologist*, 60:308–317, 2005. (p. 18).
- [128] D. A. Rosenbaum, R. J. Weber, W. M. Hazelett, and V. Hindorff. The parameter remapping effect in human performance: Evidence from tongue twisters and finger fumlbers. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 25:710–725, 1986. (p. 33).
- [129] D. A. Rosenbaum, R. G. Cohen, S. A. Jax, R. van der Wel, and D. J. Weiss. The problem of serial order in behavior: Lashley's legacy. *Human Movement Science*, 26:525–554, 2007. (pp. 6, 20, 21, 46).
- [130] D. E. Rumelhart and J. L. McClelland. *Parallel distributed processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition. Volume I*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1986. (p. 40).
- [131] D. E. Rumelhart and D. A. Norman. Simulating a skilled typist: A study of skilled cognitive-motor performance. *Cognitive Science*, 6:1–36, 1982. (pp. 2, 22, 29, 116).

- [132] D. E. Rumelhart, G. E. Hinton, and R. J. Williams. Learning representations by back-propagating errors. *Nature*, 323:533–536, 1986. (p. 105).
- [133] G. A. Rummery and M. Niranjan. On-line Q-Learning using connectionist systems. Technical Report CUED/F-INFENG/TR 166, Cambridge University, 1994. (p. 77).
- [134] E. D. Sacerdoti. Planning in a hierarchy of abstraction spaces. *Artificial Intelligence*, 5:115–135, 1974. (p. 45).
- [135] R. Saegusa, G. Metta, G. Sandini, and S. Sakka. Active motor babbling for sensory-motor learning. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics*, pages 794–799, 2008. (p. 49).
- [136] J. Saffran, E. Newport, and R. Aslin. Word segmentation: The role of distributional cues. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 1996. (pp. 57, 87).
- [137] I. Saunders and S. Vijayakumar. The role of feed-forward and feedback processes for closed-loop prosthesis control. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, 8:60, 2011. (p. 24).
- [138] S. Schaal. Is imitation learning the route to humanoid robots? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 3:233–242, 1999. (p. 104).
- [139] T. Schaul, J. Bayer, D. Wierstra, Y. Sun, M. Felder, F. Sehnke, T. Rückstieß, and J. Schmidhuber. PyBrain. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11: 743–746, 2010. (p. 75).
- [140] R. A. Schmidt. A schema theory of discrete motor skill learning. *Psychological Review*, 82:225–260, 1975. (pp. 25, 42).
- [141] R. D. Seidler, D. C. Noll, and G. Thiers. Feedforward and feedback processes in motor control. *NeuroImage*, 22:1775–1783, 2004. (pp. 25, 42).
- [142] Y. K. Shin, R. W. Proctor, and E. J. Capaldi. A review of contemporary ideomotor theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136:943–974, 2010. (p. 47).
- [143] B. F. Skinner. *The behavior of organisms: An experimental analysis*. B. F. Skinner Foundation, Cambridge, MA, 1938. (p. 38).
- [144] B. F. Skinner. Are theories of learning necessary? *Psychological Review*, 57: 193–216, 1950. (p. 59).
- [145] J. F. Soechting, A. M. Gordon, and K. C. Engel. *Sequential hand and finger movements: Typing and piano playing*. MIT Press, 1996. (p. 80).

-
- [146] J.-H. Song and K. Nakayama. Hidden cognitive states revealed in choice reaching tasks. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 13:360–366, 2009. (pp. 7, 12, 119).
- [147] M. J. Spivey and R. Dale. Continuous dynamics in real-time cognition. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15:207–211, 2006. (p. 59).
- [148] M. J. Spivey, M. Grosjean, and G. Knoblich. Continuous attraction toward phonological competitors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102:10393–10398, 2005. (pp. 7, 119).
- [149] M. A. Stadler. Statistical structure and implicit serial learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 18:318–327, 1992. (p. 57).
- [150] K. O. Stanley and R. Miikkulainen. Evolving neural networks through augmenting topologies. *Evolutionary Computation*, 10:99–127, 2002. (p. 105).
- [151] S. Sternberg, R. L. Knoll, S. Monsell, and C. E. Wright. Motor programs and hierarchical organization in the control of rapid speech. *Phonetica*, 45:175–197, 1988. (p. 24).
- [152] A. Stoytchev. Autonomous learning of tool affordances by a robot. In *Proceedings of the Twentieth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, 2005. (p. 50).
- [153] R. S. Sutton and A. G. Barto. *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998. (pp. 9, 59).
- [154] C. Szegedy, W. Zaremba, I. Sutskever, J. Bruna, D. Erhan, I. J. Goodfellow, and R. Fergus. Intriguing properties of neural networks. arXiv:1312.6199 [cs.CV], 2014. (p. 52).
- [155] E. Taub, I. A. Goldberg, and P. Taub. Deafferentation in monkeys: Pointing at a target without visual feedback. *Experimental Neurology*, 46:178–186, 1975. (p. 42).
- [156] M. Tenorth and M. Beetz. Knowledge processing for autonomous robot control. In *AAAI Spring Symposium on Designing Intelligent Robots: Reintegrating AI*, 2012. (p. 33).
- [157] M. Tenorth and M. Beetz. KnowRob: A knowledge processing infrastructure for cognition-enabled robots. Part 1: The KnowRob system. *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 32:566–590, 2013. (p. 51).

- [158] M. M. Thompson, M. E. Naccarato, and K. Parker. Assessing cognitive need: The development of the Personal Need for Structure and Personal Fear of Invalidation scales. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Canadian Psychological Association*, Halifax, Nova Scotia, 1989. (p. 90).
- [159] E. Tubau and J. López-Moliner. Spatial interference and response control in sequence learning: the role of explicit knowledge. *Psychological Research*, 68:55–63, 2004. (p. 11).
- [160] E. Tubau, B. Hommel, and J. López-Moliner. Modes of executive control in sequence learning: From stimulus-based to plan-based control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 136:43–63, 2007. (pp. 6, 11, 59, 80, 85, 86, 87, 95, 99, 120, 122, 147).
- [161] A. M. Turing. Computing machinery and intelligence. *Mind*, 59:433–460, 1950. (p. 39).
- [162] S. Uithol, I. van Rooij, H. Bekkering, and W. F. G. Haselager. Hierarchies in action and motor control. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 24, 2012. (p. 28).
- [163] R. P. R. D. van der Wel and D. A. Rosenbaum. Coordination of locomotion and prehension. *Experimental Brain Research*, 176:281–287, 2007. (p. 20).
- [164] S. A. Verschoor, M. Spapé, S. Biro, and B. Hommel. From outcome prediction to action selection: Developmental change in the role of action–effect bindings. *Developmental Science*, 16:801–814, 2013. (p. 48).
- [165] S. A. Verschoor, M. Paulus, M. Spapé, S. Biro, and B. Hommel. The developing cognitive substrate of sequential action control in 9- to 12-month-olds: Evidence for concurrent activation models. *Cognition*, 138:64–78, 2015. (p. 22).
- [166] W. G. Walter. *The living brain*. Duckworth, London, 1953. (p. 40).
- [167] M. F. Washburn. *Movement and mental imagery*. Houghton Mifflin, Boston, MA, 1916. (pp. 6, 19, 116).
- [168] C. J. C. H. Watkins. *Learning from delayed rewards*. PhD thesis, Cambridge University, 1989. (p. 77).
- [169] J. B. Watson. Psychology as the behaviourist views it. *Psychological Review*, 20:158–177, 1913. (p. 38).
- [170] D. B. Willingham, M. J. Nissen, and P. Bullemer. On the development of procedural knowledge. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 15:1047–1060, 1989. (pp. 58, 61, 65).

- [171] R. S. Woodworth. The accuracy of voluntary movement. *Psychological Review*, 3:1-119, 1899. (p. 42).
- [172] A. Wykowska, A. Schubö, and B. Hommel. How you move is what you see: Action planning biases selection in visual search. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 35:1755-1769, 2009. (p. 33).
- [173] Y. Yamashita and J. Tani. Emergence of functional hierarchy in a multiple timescale neural network model: A humanoid robot experiment. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 4(11): e1000220, 2008. (p. 103).

