

A grammar of Mundabli : a Bantoid (Yemne-Kimbi) language of Cameroon

Voll, R.M.; Voll R.M.

Citation

Voll, R. M. (2017, October 26). A grammar of Mundabli : a Bantoid (Yemne-Kimbi) language of Cameroon. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Utrecht. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/56258

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/56258

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/56258 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Voll, Rebecca

Title: A grammar of Mundabli : a Bantoid (Yenne-Kimbi) language of Cameroon

Date: 2017-10-26

CHAPTER 15

Non-declarative clauses

The current chapter deals with various kinds of non-declarative clauses. Section 15.1 deals with questions and question words. Polar questions (§15.1.1) and content questions (§15.1.2) are dealt with separately, followed by a section on questions in reported speech (§15.1.3). The imperative is described in §15.2, which is followed by sections on the prohibitive (§15.3) and on the jussive (§15.4). Imperatives and jussives with plural addressee are devoted a special section (§15.5) at the end of the chapter.

15.1 Questions and question words

The basic word order of questions is the same as that of declarative clauses: SVO. Polar questions are marked by a clause-final question particle and content questions contain a question word. The two types are described in §15.1.1 and §15.1.2, respectively.

15.1.1 Polar questions

Polar questions are distinguished from declaratives by the clause-final question marker à. Their word order is the same as that of pragmatically unmarked declarative sentences: Subject – Verb – Object, and there are no special intonational effects. However, the low-toned question marker à causes a characteristic pitch-drop at the end of a polar question. Three examples are provided in (635)-(637).

(635) wù fớ gān à CL1 P1 go(a) Q 'Did she go?'

- (636) mò dzū dǐ fǐn wù kấn tsɔ̃ à cll.person certain be(b) there cll lack(b) cl7/8.witchcraft Q 'Is there any person who does not posses witchcraft?'
- (637) bā kà tſyé ȳ wù d̄ ʃí ʃú à $impers p3 know(c) comp cl1 f1 go_down(a) come(b) q$

'Was it known that she will come down?'

Certain polar questions are conventionally used as greetings or as part of formulaic exchanges. Examples are found in (638), (639) and (640).

- (638) à ʃí à 2sG spend_day(b) Q

 ''Have you spent the day [at home]?'
- (639) à ʃí lī à 2sg spend_day(b) be_strong(a) Q

 *'Have you spent the day [at home] well?'
- (640) à kwé à 2sg return_from_bush(c) Q

°'Have you returned from the bush?'

15.1.1.1 Tag questions

Payne defines a tag question as "a yes-no question consisting of a declarative clause plus a "tag" that requests confirmation or disconfirmation of the declarative clause" (Payne 1997: 297). Like polar questions, positive tag questions consist of a declarative clause, followed by the question tag **nɔ̃**, as in (641). The question tag is most likely borrowed from English 'no' via Cameroon Pidgin English, where it serves the same function.¹

(641) mò dzū kà dī fín <u>nŏ</u> cl1.person cl1.indef p3 be(b) there qtag 'There [once] was a person, right?'

¹The same particle is also attested with the same function in Mungbam (Lovegren 2013: 458).

It is possible, although less common, to insert the tag in a complex tag question at the end of a non-final subordinate clause, as done in (642). The initial clause in (642), introduced by the particle $n\bar{a}$ 'as', is subordinate to the main interrogative clause.

```
(642) nā accident w-ɔ̄ dff ná f-án <u>nɔ̄</u>, bī nà ā kán as accident cl1-det be(b) as prox-here qtag 1sg p2 neg have(c) wɔ̄ bwẽ, bī byā Pé yà neg cl1/2.quarrel 1pl 1pl;com P. excl
```

'As the accident is here - right? - we did not have any quarrel, me and Pe.'

15.1.2 Content questions

Content questions require the use of a question word. They do not contain a question marker like polar questions. A comprehensive list of attested question words can be found in Table 15.1.

question word	gloss
ndè	'who'
mān	'what'
nā	'where'
bèn	'when'
mān, <i>why</i>	'why'
āgān	'how'
(-)mwān	'which one'
(-)mɨŋ	'how much', 'how many'

Table 15.1: Comprehensive list of question words

The word order of content questions is basically the same as that of declarative clauses; see e.g. (643).

```
(643) à yò tʃyē w-ó ndè ŋgô
2sg throw(a) cl3.stone cl3-det who upon
```

However, the question word is often focused. Focusing is obligatory in subject interrogatives. Two different strategies are available to express constituent focus. The first is dislocation to immediate after verb (IAV) position, as in (644), which only applies to subject interrogatives and which results in VS order. The second is cleft-constructions, as in (645), which can be used to focus on any constituent. See Chapter 14 for more on information structure and word order.

^{°&#}x27;At whom did you throw the stone?'

(644) yǐ <u>ndè</u> nām b-ó eat(b) who cl8.fufu cl8-det

⋄ Who ate the fufu?'

(645) dɨ ndɛ (nē) wù lyā w-5 nú be(b) who subord cl1 go_to_bush(a).ipfv cl1-rel cl3/7a.farm

°'Who is it that is going to the farm?'

15.1.2.1 The interrogative pronoun man 'what'

The interrogative pronoun **mān** 'what' mainly functions as an interrogative pronoun, as in (646). It may also function as an interrogative modifier (see below). Because it represents inanimate participants, the interrogative pronoun **mān** 'what' more commonly functions as an object than as a subject. As pointed out in the introduction of §15.1.2, When it does not function as subject, the interrogative pronoun **mān** may remain in situ, as in the object interrogatives in (646) and (647), or it is are put in focus by using a cleft construction, as in (648).

- (646) kpé w-ēn, à ká là <u>mān</u> cl1.woman cl1-dem.prox 2sg нав do(a).грfv what 'Woman, what are you doing?'
- (647) à dzě <u>mān</u>
 2sg say(b) what

 'What did you say?'
- (648) kpé w-ēn, dǐ bấ <u>mān</u> à mwē k-5 cl1.woman cl1-dem.prox be(b) really what 2sg be_sad(a) cl7-rel tō ấ bí-lō yē cl7/8.day advlz cl8-all quot.q

'Woman, why are you sad every day?' (lit.: This woman, what is [it] really that you grieve for every day?)

Examples like (648) and (649) are best translated by an English 'why'-question. An alternative way to ask for the reason of something is to use the word **why**, loaned from English (see §15.1.2.6).

(649) à kù \underline{man} 2sG cry(a).1PFV what

'What are you crying [for]?'

Of the interrogative pronouns, **mān** 'what' is the only one which, parallel to other personal pronouns (see §6.1.4), has a special tonal form **mán** with a high tone instead of a mid tone. It is used when the pronoun is object of a postposition, as in (650).

(650) gbà ndè mān <u>mán</u> ŋgɔ̂ cut(a) who what what.pp upon

*'Who cut what with what?'

Finally, **mān** 'what' can also function as a nominal modifier which best translated as 'what type of'. It is used in combination with the noun **ŋgwò** 'type' and it is unclear whether it is used to modify any other nouns. NPs containing the modifier **mān** may remain in situ, be dislocated to immediate after verb position or be clefted, as in (651).

(651) dǐ [ŋgwò mònō <u>mān</u>] à mū w-ō wù yē be(b) cl1.type cl1.person what 2sg take(a) cl1-rel cl1 comp mò kǒ dyà wō wú lā yē cl1.person hab see(a).ipfv neg cl1.pp dat quot.q

'What sort of husband is it that you have married, so that nobody sees him?'

The interrogative pronoun $m\bar{a}n$ 'what' can take the class 7 prefix ki-. The prefix is used, e.g. if the speaker already has a referent for the question pronoun in mind. The use of the Class 8 (plural) prefix, i.e. the form *biman is not attested at all. Examples (652) and (653) show contexts in which the prefix-less form and the form with the prefix are used, respectively.

(652) nùnfù fə [mān] ntí lā
N. give(b) what N. dat

°'What did Nyungfu give to Ntie?'

(653) dǐ [kì-mān] nùnfù fỡ fỡ k- $\acute{}$ s fĩ ntí lā be(b) cl7-what N. p1 give(b) cl7-rel loc N. dat

°'What is it that Nyungfu gave to Ntie?'

In (652), the thing asked for is not yet established and the question comes out of the blue. In (653), the speaker and listener are both aware that Nyungfu gave something to Ntie and the question is only *what* he gave her.

Example (654) is similar to (653). It is a sort of "repetition question". It is clear that something fell down, but it is unclear what exactly it was.

(654) à dzě yē gbù [kì-mān] 2sg say(b) comp fall(a) cL7-what

°'What did you say fell?'

Examples (655) and (656) are a bit different, but ultimately of the same kind. It is clear in (655) that the addressee is starting something (here: trouble), and in (656) it is obvious that something has happened, but it is unclear what exactly it is that he is starting or what exactly has happened, respectively.

(655) dǐ [kì-mān] nō à yīe kē dzōŋ k-ó kǐ ǐ be(b) cl7-what subord 2sg start(a) return(c) again cl7-rel cl7 loc tấn mī there in

'What is it that you are starting again in here?'

(656) wān w-ɔ̄ tə́ kè nɨm wù kyɛ́ yē mè

cl1.child cl1-det ver.foc p3 sit(a) cl1 look(c) comp ncs.quot.q

dɨ [kì-mān] kī bí k-ɔ́ yán yē

be(b) cl7-what cl7 exit(b) cl7-rel like_that quot.q

'The child was there watching [and asking herself]: What has happened like that?'

Furthermore, **kìmān** is also used as a noun modifier in non-interrogative contexts, where it means as much as 'whatever'; see §6.4.

15.1.2.2 The interrogative pronoun ndè 'who'

The interrogative pronoun **ndè** 'who' is most commonly attested in subject function, as it represents an animate participant, which is likely to coincide with the agent. In this case, it is always put in focus, most commonly by dislocation to immediate after verb position. It precedes the object, as in (657), unless the object is defocalized and moved to preverbal position, as in (658) and (659). For clarity, the interrogative pronoun **ndè** 'who' in (657)-(659) is underlined, and other constituents are enclosed in square brackets.

(657) từ $\underline{nd\hat{\epsilon}}$ [ŋgī mĩŋ] $y\bar{\epsilon}$ scoop(b) who cl6.water cl6;1sg.poss quot.q

'Who has carried my water?'

(658) [tē] [gbɔ̄ ŋgf sè] à cl7/8.walking_stick cl3.house cl3;1sg.poss house_front.loc p2 gi ndè yē put(b) who quot.q

"The stick in front of my house, who put it [there]?"

(659) $[m\acute{\eta} gb\grave{\partial}]$ $[y\grave{a} g\check{\iota} \underline{nd\grave{\epsilon}} y\bar{\epsilon}]$ 1sg cl3.house.loc sweep(a) put(a) who quot.q

'Who has swept in my house?'

The interrogative pronoun $\mathbf{nd}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ 'who' does not bear a noun class prefix unless it has a plural referent, as in (660).²

(660) wó bò-ndè wash(b).1PFV cl2-who

'Who are those who are washing?' (lit.: Who are washing?)

As mentioned in §15.1.2, the interrogative pronoun **ndè** 'who' has a special dative form **ndyén**; see §6.1.5 and §11.4.1.

15.1.2.3 The interrogative word nā 'where'

The interrogative word **nā** 'where' usually occurs toward the end of the clause, just like the locative phrase it represents; see e.g., (661) and (662).

(661) wù bōŋ mê, ní nàn fɨ nā cl1 call(a) cs.quot;q cl1.mother N. pass(b) where

'She called out: Where has the mother of Nan gone?'

(662) m = b g gàn wú $l \bar{a}$, y $\bar{\epsilon}$ bw $\bar{\epsilon}$ à gàn l s g = ask(b) go(a) cll.pp dat comp cl1/2.friend 2sg go(a).ipfv f \bar{t} tí $n \bar{a}$ pass(b).ipfv surprisingly where

'I ask her: Friend, where are you going?'

In some cases, the question word 'where' is modified by the locative modifier **f5** (see §10.4; NP in square brackets). What exactly determines the presence of this modifier is unclear and requires further investigations.

(663) $n = d\vec{i}$ ff $[n\bar{a}$ f-5] $y\bar{\epsilon}$ 1sG = be(b) pass(b) where PROX-DET QUOT.Q 'Where will I go?'

15.1.2.4 The interrogative word ben 'when'

The interrogative word **bèn** 'when' occurs in-situ, towards the end of a clause, just like the adverbial phrase it represents, as in (664). It does not take a prefix.

(664) à nàe bèn 2sg leave(a).1pfv when

⋄ 'When are you leaving?'

²This sentence or an equivalent cleft construction is called out by people before they approach a stream where people are known to wash, in order to warn them and not to embarrass anyone.

15.1.2.5 The interrogative word āgān 'how'

The interrogative word **āgān** 'how' occurs in focus position, directly following the verb complex, as in (665) and (666). In the case of a transitive verb, as in the latter example, they precede the object NP. Unlike the interrogative word, adverbs generally occur at the end of the clause (see §10.1).

- (665) yē mè dzǐ dǐ [āgān] yē comp ncs.quot.q cl3/7a.journey be(b) how quot.q 'How was your journey?'
- (666) à sìŋ [āgān] mbĩ 2sg tap(a) how cl6.palm_wine

[⋄]'How do you tap palm wine?'

15.1.2.6 The borrowed interrogative word why

The function of the English interrogative word 'why' is mainly covered by the interrogative pronoun **mān** 'what', as mentioned in §15.1.2.1. However, a relatively common alternative to this is the use of the clause-initial loanword 'why' (borrowed from English, most likely via Cameroon Pidgin English), followed by a normal declarative clause, as in (667) and (668).

(667) $\frac{why}{why}$ à fỗ tsɔ̄ mí lā yē bēn fỡ dʒyè dō ĩ why 2sg p1 show(a) 1sg.pp dat comp 2pl p1 cook(a) cl3a.beans loc nsɔ̄lā cl1.afternoon

'Why did you show me that you [children] cooked beans in the afternoon?'

The interrogative word 'why' occurs in clause-initial position, just like in English. This is untypical for Mundabli which tends to position focused elements behind the verb complex.

(668) why wù lā bwān mī yē why cl1 make(a) be_wounded(a) 1sg quot.q

'Why has he injured me?'

15.1.2.7 The interrogative nominal modifier -mwān 'which'

The interrogative word **-mwān** 'which' functions as a nominal modifier and is used to identify a specific referent within a group of the same kind. Its function is different from that of the noun modifier **mān** 'what' (§15.1.2.1), which is better translated as 'what type of' when it modifies a noun; see §15.1.2.1.

Like other interrogative modifiers, **-mwān** 'which' takes a noun class prefix. However, in the case of **-mwān**, prefixes for most noun classes can be replaced by a low-toned syllabic nasal prefix. The choice seems to be optional. For an overview of agreement prefixes, see §5.3. In (669), the modified NP occurs in situ. In (670), it is put in focus using of a cleft-construction.

```
(669) à kòŋ [wān kpé ṁ-mwān/wù-mwān]
2sg love(a) cl1.child cl1.female cl1-which
```

⋄ "Which girl do you love?"

```
(670) dǐ [sìŋ yì-mwān/m̀-mwān y-ɔ̄] à lǣ y-ɔ̄ be(b) cl9.knife cl9-which cl9-det 2sg do(a).ipfv cl9-det ŋgɔ́ upon
```

°'Which knife do you use?' (lit.: It is which knife that you do [it] with?)

In both of the above examples, the noun class prefix and the nasal prefix are interchangeable. The order of the alternative forms in the examples reflects their naturalness. The first forms are the ones that were used spontaneously by the speakers.

15.1.2.8 The interrogative noun modifier min 'how much, how many'

The question word **mìŋ** 'how much, how many' functions as noun modifier. It always takes a noun class prefix. It can co-occur with a head noun or stand on its own, as in (671). See §5.3 for an overview of prefix forms.

```
(671) ấ7ấ mbē dʒwè b-ǒ kpấ f-án, wù bōŋ
INTERJ CL2.people many CL2-DET abound(b) PROX-here CL1 call(a)
yē dī bó-mìŋ
COMP be(b) CL2-how many
```

'People, too many of them - she is saying that [it] is how many?'

15.1.2.9 Multiple interrogative words

It is also possible to combine multiple interrogative words in a single interrogative clause. However, this is not done frequently. An elicited example is found in (672).

```
(672) gbà ndè mān mán ŋgò cut(a) who what what.pp upon
```

^{*&#}x27;Who cut what with what?'

A question like (672) could be uttered by someone who joins into a conversation, missing most of the information and hearing merely that someone cut something. The subject interrogative is dislocated to immediate after verb position, while the other interrogative phrases remain in situ.

15.1.2.10 Plural forms of interrogative words

The interrogative pronouns **mān** 'what' and **ndè** 'who' sometimes take noun class prefixes. However, the interrogative pronoun **mān** 'what' is only attested with the Class 7 prefix **ki-**, and **ndè** 'who' is only attested with the Class 2 prefix **bo-**. Agreement in cognate question words has also been reported for Noni (Hyman 1981: 104-105) and Mankon (Leroy 2007: 128).

15.1.3 Questions in reported speech

Questions in reported speech (or 'quoted interrogatives') receive special question markers, which are different from question markers in direct speech. A formal distinction is made between current speaker vs. non-current speaker quoted interrogatives, just like in quoted declaratives.³

Current speaker quotations (i.e., the speaker is quoting themselves) are introduced by the general-purpose complementizer $y\bar{\epsilon}$. Non-current speaker quotations (i.e., the speaker is quoting someone else) are instead introduced by the non-current speaker quotative marker $m\acute{\sigma}$, which serves no other function. Reported speech declaratives receive no other marking.

Questions in non-current speaker quotations are introduced by the complementizer $y\bar{\epsilon}$ plus the non-current speaker quoted question marker $m\tilde{\epsilon}^4$ and followed by the quoted question marker $y\bar{\epsilon}$ (which sometimes takes the shape \bar{a} or, more rarely, $\bar{\epsilon}$). Whereas in direct speech, only polar questions take a final question marker (\hat{a}), in reported speech, content questions (673) and polar questions (674) are marked in the same way.

```
(673) wù bú <u>yē</u> <u>mè</u>, b-én ndóm míŋ cl1 ask(b) comp ncs.quot.q cl8-dem.prox cl8.things 1sg.pp gbā à là ndè <u>yē</u> house.loc p2 do(a) who quot.q
```

'Then she asked [herself]: All these things in my house, who has done them?'

³Apart from the current section, quotatives are not discussed in this thesis. For some findings on quotatives, see Voll (2017).

 $^{^4\}text{The markers }y\overline{\epsilon}$ and $m\grave{\epsilon}$ can phonologically merge under certain circumstances.

```
(674) ní wū bú wú lā <u>yē</u> <u>mè</u>, à cl1.mother cl1;3sg.poss ask(b) cl1pp dat comp ncs.quot.q 2sg kě dí ā mò nō <u>yē</u> p3.hab be(b) com cl1.person cl1.male quot.q
```

'Her mother asked her: Do you have a husband?'

Questions in current speaker quotations are introduced by the current speaker quotative marker $m\acute{a}$, which may or may not be followed by a particle of the shape \grave{a} . I gloss this particle \emph{Q} because it has the same shape as the direct speech interrogative particle \grave{a} . Questions in non-current speaker quotations are followed by the quoted question marker $y\bar{e}$ or a variant thereof, just like current speaker quoted questions (see above). An example of a current speaker quoted question is found in (675).

```
(675) n = dz\acute{e} \underline{m}\acute{o} [...] \underline{m}\acute{o} \grave{a} b\grave{o} k\~{i} k-\acute{o}

1sG = say(b) cs.quot [...] cs.quot q cl7.bag cl7.3sg.poss cl7-det

m\bar{i} d\~{i} m\bar{a}n w\grave{u} tw\~{o} k-\acute{o} \underline{y}\bar{e}

in be(b) what cl1 carry(b) cl7-rel quot.q
```

'I said that [if she was going to come up that I was going to come up as well]. I asked [her]: in that bag of hers, what was it that she carried [in it]?'

15.2 The Imperative

The imperative form of a verb consists of the segmentally unmarked stem and a specific imperative tone pattern. Table 15.2 contains examples of imperatives of all three verb tone classes.

verb tone class	imperative example	gloss	citation form
A	gǎn	'Go!'	gàn
	лě	'Leave!'	ກອີ
В	t∫ū	'Come!'	t∫ű
	yī	'Eat!'	yĩ
C	yə́m	'Sing!'	yám
	yó	'Run!'	yó

Table 15.2: Imperative verb forms in single verb cores

The tonal patterns in Table 15.2 are representative of simple core imperatives, i.e. of imperative clauses whose verbal core contains no more than one verb, and of initial verbs in complex core imperatives, i.e. of imperatives

whose verbal core contains two or more verbs. Examples for Class A and B imperative verbs in simple verbal cores taken from spontaneous texts are given in (676) and (677).

```
(676) nĩ wū dzé y\bar{\epsilon}, \underline{n}ă cl1.mother.3sg/pl.poss cl1;3sg.poss say(b) comp \overline{lea}ve(a)
```

'The mother said: Leave!'

(677) tếlà mwóm dzé hảyì, \underline{dze} gbàn $\eta g\bar{\imath}$ lã yē T. M. say(b) interj say(b) cl1.in-law cl1;1sg.poss dat comp $n=t\acute{\flat}$ ts= ver.foc come(b).ipfv

'Tela Mwom said: No! Tell my in-law that I am coming!'

Imperative verbs in serial verb constructions show a different tonal behavior than imperative verbs in single-verb cores. Examples (678) and (679) illustrate imperative clauses with complex verbal cores. This special tonal behavior of non-initial verbs in verb sequences is not attested in declaratives (at least not in the present tense). For more on this, see §3.2.2.4.

```
(678) [gǎn tsē] mù-dántʃén go(a) find(a) cl18-berry
```

'Go and find [some] Dantshen berries!'

```
(679) yē [gǎn bōŋ ʃū] wān ně сомр go(a) call(a) come(b) сь1.child сь1.mother.2sg/рь.роss w-ō сь1-рет
```

'[...] Go and call your sister!'

My data do not contain any examples of imperatives with a verbal core starting in a Class B verb. Therefore, I cannot say for sure whether initial verbs in imperative clauses always have the same tone pattern as imperative verbs in single-verb cores, although this seems likely since it is the case for Class A and Class C verbs. The data show that the tonal pattern of non-initial imperative verbs in complex verbal cores differs from that of imperative verbs in single-verb cores. Non-initial verbs of Class A and B bear a mid tone (see (678) and (679)). In short, the tone pattern of imperative Class A verbs in non-core-initial position differs from their tone pattern in core-initial position. They bear a rising tone in initial position and a mid tone in non-initial position, so that, in non-initial position, the tonal distinction between Class A and Class B verbs is neutralized. It is unknown what exactly causes the irregular tone patterns of Class A verbs in SVCs.

15.3 The Prohibitive

The prohibitive uses segmentally unmarked verb forms, just like the imperative, but requires a subject pronoun. The tonal pattern of prohibitive verbs is the same as that of non-initial verbs in imperative SVCs: Class A and B verbs bear a mid tone and Class C verbs a high tone. Instead of the circumfixal negative marker $\bar{\mathbf{a}}...w\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ as used in declarative clauses, the prohibitive employs the preverbal prohibitive marker $\mathbf{f}\bar{\mathbf{a}}$, which is sometimes realized as $\mathbf{t}\bar{\mathbf{a}}$. Table 15.3 contains examples of the prohibitive for all three verb tone classes. Table 15.3 only contains examples of prohibitions aimed at the second person, but note that the same form is also used to issue negative commands to third and first person referents.

verb tone class	example	gloss	citation form
A	à ʃấ ʃī	'Don't go down!'	∫î
В	à ∫ấ t∫ũ	'Don't come!'	t∫ű
С	à ∫ấ yó	'Don't run!'	yó

Table 15.3: Examples of second person prohibitives with single verb cores

Spontaneous text examples of the prohibitive are given in (680)-(682). The examples illustrate that not only the second person singular (680), i.e. the addressee of the utterance, may be the object of the negative command, but also the third person plural (681) or the first person plural (682), i.e. people other than the addressee, including the speaker.

- (680) [à ʃấ dzē] dzɔ̄ŋ 2sg рконів say(b) again
 - 'Don't say [that] again!'
- (681) [bɔ̃ ʃá tsx̄] f-án nwén r̃ kwé cl2 prohib spend_night(b) prox-here today loc cl3/7a.village w-én mx̄ cl3-dem.prox in

'They must not spend the night in this village tonight.'

340 *15.4. The Jussive*

(682) nwám b-ś ăy, bà-tǐ bá ā dzé yē CL2.children CL2-DET say(b) COMP no CL2-father CL2POSS COM nĩ fő dzé ká mò t∫ǔ fán CL1.mother P1 say(b) COND CL1.person come(b) here ทรวิโล wù nwăn nin dō bí lā yē CL1/2.afternoon CL1 beg(b) CL7.thing certain 1PL.PP DAT COMP 1PL ſá fā1 рконів give(b)

'The children said: No, our fathers and mothers said if anybody comes here in the afternoon, we must not give [anything].'

Prohibitions can also be formulated in an indirect way, as in (683). Here a negated future verb form is employed to imply that something shouldn't happen.

(683) $n = ly \tilde{a}$ ná f-án, $n = d\hat{a}$ wú 3v cw 1sg = go_to_bush(a).ipfv as prox-here 1sg=f1;neg hear(b) neg comp bēn fő mù kpē tsyě w-ēn 2PL P1 take(a) come(b) cl3.pot cl1.father.2pl.poss cl1-2pl.poss wú-kwế w-5 yē bēn lā nίη dó CL3-DET CL3-home CL3-DET COMP 2PL do(a) CL7.thing certain there mī in

'As I am going to the bush, I don't want to hear [when I come back] that you have taken your father's traditional pot in order to do something inside.'

15.4 The Jussive

The jussive is mainly used to issue commands concerning first or third person referents. However, it is also used when an order is issued by wish of someone else. It requires a subject pronoun combined with the segmentally unmarked verb form and the same verb tone pattern as attested in non-initial imperative verbs (§15.2) and prohibitives (§15.3): Class A and B verbs bear a mid tone and Class C verbs a high tone.

The first person plural jussive makes use of the impersonal pronoun $b\bar{\mathbf{5}}$ (see §6.3) rather than the first person plural pronoun $b\bar{\mathbf{i}}$. Example (684) contains two jussive clauses, one with a third person singular subject and one with a first person plural subject, each enclosed by square brackets.

- (684) $y\bar{\epsilon}$ wān nĚ bwébwé gån bōŋ ſū COMP go(a) call(a) come(b) cl1.child cl1.mother.2poss toddler ŋām b-ś p = pw5mmὲ CL1-DET COMP 1sG = stir(b) finish(a) CL8.fufu CL8-DET COMP CL1.PVB t[ū] Γbǝ yī] come(b) IMPERS eat(b)
 - '[...] Go and call your little sister [and tell her] that I've finished stirring the fufu, that she should come, let's eat.'

When used with the impersonal pronoun **bā** as its subject, the jussive is best translated as 'Let us ...'.

As pointed out above, the jussive is used for second person subjects when an order is issued by wish of someone else. In this case, the order is introduced by the complementizer $y\bar{\epsilon}$, as in (685).

(685) ŋkǔŋ dzế yē ā mū níŋ k-3 cl1.chief say(b) comp 2sg take(a) cl7.thing cl7-det

°'The chief said that you should take that thing!'

The presence of a main clause such as the initial clause in (685) is not obligatory. However, the use of the complementizer is obligatory in this case, even if the main clause is omitted.

15.5 Imperatives and jussives with PL addressee

A plural addressee in imperatives, as in (686) and jussives, as in (687) is marked by the post-verbal particle $\mathbf{n}\mathbf{i}$. Here, the clause containing the plural addressee is enclosed in square brackets and the particle $\mathbf{n}\mathbf{i}$ is underlined. These examples contain the only two attested instances of this particle.

(686) bī mī dzě gàn bǒ lā, yē [nǐm nǐ ā 1pl consec speak(b) go(a) cl2.pp dat comp sit(a) imp.pl com mò kwé] cl1.man cl3/7a.home

'And we keep telling them: Stay with a native man!'

(687) kɨ bɔ̃ kè mū kpān, wù tsú bɔ̃ yē [bēn nā cond cl2 p3 drink(b) be_full(b) cl1 hit(b) cl2 сомр 2pl leave(a) nı̃]

'When they had drunk enough, he beat them saving: Leave!.'