



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Engagement of citizens and public professionals in the co-production of public services

Eijk, C.J.A. van; Eijk C.J.A. van

Citation

Eijk, C. J. A. van. (2017, October 11). *Engagement of citizens and public professionals in the co-production of public services*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/56252>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/56252>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/56252> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Eijk, Carola van

Title: Engagement of citizens and public professionals in the co-production of public services

Date: 2017-10-11

**Engagement of
Citizens and Public Professionals
in the Co-Production of
Public Services**

Carola van Eijk

Engagement of Citizens and Public Professionals in the Co-Production of Public Services

© Carola van Eijk, the Netherlands, 2017

Cover: Carola van Eijk
Layout: Carola van Eijk / Jerney de Jong – Lifoka BV
Printing: Lifoka BV – www.lifoka.nl

ISBN: 978-90-9030522-6
NUR: 805 (Bestuurs- en beleidkunde)

This research was financed by NWO (Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek), Research Talent Program (grant number 406-12-036).

Engagement of Citizens and Public Professionals in the Co-Production of Public Services

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 11 oktober 2017
klokke 11.15 uur

door

Carola Jenny Annette van Eijk
geboren te Leidschendam in 1986

Promotiecommissie

Promotoren: Prof. dr. Trui P.S. Steen (KU Leuven / Universiteit Leiden)
Prof. dr. René Torenvlied (Universiteit Twente / Universiteit Leiden)

Overige leden: Prof. dr. Victor J.J.M. Bekkers (Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam)
Prof. dr. Tony Bovaird (University of Birmingham)
Prof. dr. Sandra M. Groeneveld (Universiteit Leiden)
Dr. Marlies Honingh (Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen)
Prof. dr. Steven Van de Walle (KU Leuven)
Prof. dr. A. Kutsal Yesilkagit (Universiteit Leiden)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 Introducing this dissertation	15
1.1 Introduction	17
1.1.1 Illustrating the puzzle.....	17
1.1.2 Introducing the research question.....	18
1.2 General context: the evolving relation between government and citizens.....	22
1.2.1 The evolution of the concept of citizenship.....	22
1.2.2 Governments finding new forms of engagement	24
1.3 The rise of the co-production concept.....	27
1.4 Defining co-production	28
1.5 Gaps in the current co-production literature	31
1.5.1 First gap: co-producers' motivations are scarcely taken into account	31
1.5.2 Second gap: the perspective of the individual public professional is scarcely taken into account.....	33
1.5.3 Third gap: dominant focus on either co-producers or public professionals instead of on the interaction between them	34
1.6 Scientific relevance and value of the dissertation.....	34
1.6.1 Increasing our insights in why citizens are engaged	35
1.6.2 Increasing our insights in why public professionals are engaged	37
1.6.3 Increasing our insights in the collaboration between co-producers and public professionals	38
1.7 Outline of the dissertation	40
1.7.1 Sub research questions.....	40
1.7.2 Overview of chapters.....	42
1.8 Practical relevance	45

CHAPTER 2 Why people co-produce: analyzing citizens' perceptions on co-planning engagement in health care services	47
2.1 Introduction	49
2.2 Theoretical insights into capacity and willingness to co-produce	51
2.3 Methods and data	54
2.3.1 Client councils in health care organizations	54
2.3.2 Q-methodology.....	55
2.3.3 Concourse, Q-sample, and P-sample	56
2.4 Analysis	64
2.5 Findings and discussion.....	64
2.5.1 Four perspectives on engagement in co-production	65
2.5.2 Capacities and motivations for engagement in co-production	71
2.6 Conclusion and directions for future research	74

CHAPTER 3 Why engage in co-production of public services?

Mixing theory and empirical evidence	77
3.1 Introduction	79
3.2 Towards a theoretical explanation of what prompts citizens to engage in co-production of public services.....	79
3.2.1 Socio-psychological factors for engagement.....	81
3.2.2 Socioeconomic variables and social connectedness.....	83
3.2.3 In-between self-interest and community-centered motivations	84
3.3 Qualitative data collection	86
3.4 Giving the floor to co-producers	88
3.4.1 Dutch client councils in health care organizations.....	88
3.4.2 Belgian user councils in health care organizations for disabled people.....	89
3.4.3 Dutch representative advisory councils at primary schools.....	91
3.4.4 Dutch neighborhood watches.....	92
3.5 Discussion: connecting empirical data and theory.....	93
3.6 Conclusion.....	97

CHAPTER 4 Co-producing safety in the local community: a Q-methodology study on the incentives of Belgian and Dutch members of neighborhood watch schemes	99
4.1 Introduction	101
4.2 Literature review: co-producing safety in the local community.....	102
4.2.1 Co-producing safety	102
4.2.2 Incentives for co-production.....	104
4.3 Methodology.....	106
4.3.1 Case selection: neighborhood watch schemes in the Netherlands and Belgium	106
4.3.2 Research method	107
4.3.3 Data collection and analysis.....	108
4.4 Results.....	116
4.4.1 Neighborhood watches in Belgium.....	116
4.4.2 Neighborhood watches in the Netherlands.....	118
4.5 Discussion and conclusion.....	119
4.5.1 Comparison to existing knowledge.....	119
4.5.2 Conclusion and policy relevance.....	123

CHAPTER 5 Public professionals' engagement in co-production: Dutch elderly care managers' perceptions on collaboration with client councils	125
5.1 Introduction	127
5.2 Engagement in co-production	128
5.3 Characteristics of professionals' work environment	130
5.3.1 Work-Autonomy.....	130
5.3.2 Organizational support and red tape	132
5.4 Client councils in organizations for elderly care	135
5.5 Methods.....	135
5.5.1 Measurement of variables	137
5.6 Results.....	142

5.7 Conclusion and discussion 147

CHAPTER 6 Helping Dutch neighborhood watch schemes to survive the rainy season: studying mutual perceptions on citizens' and professionals' engagement in the co-production of community safety..... 151

6.1 Introduction 153

6.2 Co-producing community safety 155

6.3 Being engaged in co-production 157

6.4 Research methods..... 159

 6.4.1 Case selection 159

 6.4.2 Data collection 160

 6.4.3 Data analysis 166

6.5 Professionals and citizens collaborating in Stadszicht 167

 6.5.1 Starting BPTs: importance of professional guidance..... 167

 6.5.2 Continuing BPTs over time 169

6.6 Discussion..... 175

6.7 Conclusion..... 179

CHAPTER 7 Conclusions and discussion 183

7.1 Introduction 185

7.2 Answers to the research question..... 186

 7.2.1 Why do individual citizens engage in the co-production of public services?..... 186

 7.2.2 Why do individual public professionals engage in the co-production of public services? 192

 7.2.3 How do mutual perceptions of the co-production partners' engagement influence the collaboration? 194

 7.2.4 Wrapping up: an adjusted theoretical model of individual citizens' and public professionals' engagement in the co-production of public services..... 196

7.3	Discussion of results.....	198
7.3.1	Major contributions of the overall dissertation.....	198
7.3.2	Limitations of the current study and suggestions for the future research agenda.....	205
7.3.3	Implications for practice	212
REFERENCES.....		219
NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH)		243
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....		259
ABOUT THE AUTHOR		263

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1** Key variables included in the existing co-production literature (A) and in this dissertation’s study (B)
- Figure 1.2** Key variables and mechanisms investigated throughout the dissertation’s study divided among three sub research questions
- Figure 2.1** Discourse analysis matrix
- Figure 2.2** Distribution of statements
- Figure 3.1** Theoretical model to explain citizens’ motivations to take part in co-production
- Figure 4.1** Characteristics of local neighborhood schemes in Belgium and the Netherlands
- Figure 4.2** Discourse analysis matrix
- Figure 4.3** Indication of the presence of theoretical explanations for citizens’ engagement within the two cases
- Figure 5.1** Theoretical model explaining professionals’ engagement in co-production
- Figure 6.1** Coding scheme used for the interviews and participant observations
- Figure 6.2** Possible values for the purposes for and levels of engagement

Figure 6.3 Graphical model presenting the different factors that influence citizen – professional collaboration in co-production

Figure 7.1 Theoretical model derived from the dissertation’s research findings

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1.1** Overview of the empirical chapters in the dissertation
- Table 2.1** Selected 45 statements
- Table 2.2** Factor loadings for 32 Q-sorts
- Table 2.3** Factor scores ideal model Q-sorting
- Table 2.4** Identifying statements Discourse 1 – The semi-professional
- Table 2.5** Identifying statements Discourse 2 – The socializer
- Table 2.6** Identifying statements Discourse 3 – The network professional
- Table 2.7** Identifying statements Discourse 4 – The aware co-producer
- Table 3.1** Overview of focus groups and respondents
- Table 4.1** Ideal factor scores: Belgium
- Table 4.2** Ideal factor scores: the Netherlands
- Table 5.1** Comparison of some major characteristics for the population and sample: one-sample t-tests to check for non-response bias
- Table 5.2** Composition of the three scales of engagement with co-production ($N = 342$)
- Table 5.3a** Summary statistics for the variables in the analysis ($N = 280$)
- Table 5.3b** Correlation coefficients
- Table 5.4** Perceived Importance of Client Councils: results of OLS Regression ($N = 276$)
- Table 5.5** Perceived Impact of Client Councils: results of OLS Regression ($N = 276$)
- Table 5.6** Self-reported Personal Involvement in Client Councils: results of OLS Regression ($N = 276$)
- Table 6.1** List of interviewees
- Table 6.2** Distribution of interviewees to neighborhood watch schemes (BPTs)

LIST OF TEXT BOXES

Text box 1.1 Challenges for a neighborhood watch scheme and the public professionals being involved

Text box 1.2 The changing nature of citizenship in the policy domain of health care

