

M i s c e l l a n e a

I n d o g e r m a n i c a

Festschrift für
José Luis García Ramón
zum 65. Geburtstag

herausgegeben von

Ivo Hajnal
Daniel Kölligan
Katharina Zipser

Innsbruck 2017
Innsbrucker Beiträge zur Sprachwissenschaft

Inhalt

MARÍA C. ÁLVAREZ MORÁN & ROSA M ^a IGLESIAS MONTIEL Two Women Storytellers in Latin Epic: Ilia and Anna (Enn. Frs. 34-50 Skutsch and Sil. It. 8.116-143)	13
IRENE BALLES <i>y wreic uw yhaf a garaf</i> – Zur Syntax der Steigerungsformen im Mittelkymrischen	23
ALAIN BLANC Adjectifs sigmatiques de structure anomale en grec ancien: όλοσχερής, ὑδαρής, δακνώδης	33
MARIO CANTILENA After the Fact: Some Thoughts about Tradition. Homer and the Classical Age	45
ANTJE CASARETTO Zur Syntax und Semantik der rgvedischen Lokalpartikeln: Einige Bemerkungen zu ved. ā	63
CARLO CONSANI Lingua, istituzioni, società nella Tessaglia ellenistica	77
LUZ CONTI Usos prototípicos y no prototípicos de los adverbios cuantificativos en los poemas homéricos*	91
PAOLA DARDANO La marcatura non canonica del soggetto in ittito: note sul verbo <i>nahh</i> - 'temere, provare timore reverenziale'	105
ALEXANDRA DAUES Ein Suppletivismus in den Annalen des Muršili	121
JAVIER DE HOZ Ambiguities in the Celtiberian coin legends	127
CHARLES DE LAMBERTERIE 'Dire' et 'Médire' en arménien classique	139
YVES DUHOUX Les patronymes en ...o et ...a des formules onomastiques mycéniennes: quel est leur cas?	151
EMMANUEL DUPRAZ Liebe Grüße! Über zwei oskische Grabschriften aus Lukanien	173

BERNHARD FORSSMAN	
Drei griechische Verbformen aus Elis (Schwyzer 409)	187
CARLOS GARCÍA CASTILLERO	
The split <i>for</i> , a gecko morpheme in the Old Irish verbal complex	195
JOSÉ VIRGILIO GARCÍA TRABAZO	
Artemis, Kybele und die gehörnten Gottheiten Anatoliens	207
ROMAIN GARNIER	
La <i>javeline</i> et l' <i>ongle</i> : étymologies du gr. ἔγχος et ὄνυξ	219
GEORGIOS K. GIANNAKIS	
The ‘marriage-to-death’ theme in Ancient Greek and Indo-European language and culture	223
TOSHIFUMI GOTŌ	
RV X 135: The Boy and the Chariot	237
NICOLE GUILLEUX	
Mycenaean <i>po-ti-ro/pontílos/</i> : A Reassessment	245
OLAV HACKSTEIN	
Paratactic <i>because</i> in Ancient Greek as constructional inheritance: A note on causal AGk. ὅτι and ἐπει	257
IVO HAJNAL & KATHARINA ZIPSER	
Lykisch <i>me-</i> versus hethitisch <i>-ma</i> : Ein Beitrag zur vergleichenden Syntax der anatolischen Sprachen	265
BRUNO HELLY	
«Gyron ceinte par la mer» pour «Gyron Haute-Roche» (<i>Argonautiques Orphiques</i> , v. 145): une interpolation malvenue?	285
HEINRICH HETTRICH	
Überschneidungen im Gebrauch obliquier Kasus von Abstrakta im Rgveda	305
STEPHANIE W. JAMISON	
The Vedic Perfect Subjunctive and the Value of Modal Forms to Tense/Aspect Stems	313
MICHAEL JANDA	
Tränen aus dem Fels: Name und Mythos der Niobe	325
JAY H. JASANOFF & JOSHUA T. KATZ	
A Revised History of the Greek Pluperfect	337
JARED S. KLEIN	
Concatenation and Other Forms of Multiple Interstanzaic Repetition in the Rigveda	353

DANIEL KÖLLIGAN	
Gr. σάλαξ, σκύθραξ und die griechischen Nomina auf -ak-	369
THOMAS KRISCH	
What is the position of the subject in ancient IE languages (especially in Latin)?	383
ELENA LANGELLA	
Osservazioni sul valore ctonio di Ἐριούνιος	397
CLAIRE LE FEUVRE	
Zάλευκος, ζακρυδεις, ζάδηλος and “intensive” ζα-	411
ALEXANDER LUBOTSKY	
The Phrygian inscription from Dokimeion and its meter	427
HELENA MAQUIEIRA RODRÍGUEZ	
Algunos adverbios de Inclusión y de “escala” en los oradores clásicos	433
VINCENT MARTZLOFF	
<i>Erkir</i> «deuxième», <i>erir</i> «troisième» et la série archaïque des noms ordinaux en arménien	443
LAURA MASSETTI	
Gr. Ὄφεύς, ved. <i>Rbhú-</i> und heth. <i>harp(p)-^{mi}</i>	457
TORSTEN MEIßNER	
<i>Tagadunus</i> und Genossen	471
H. CRAIG MELCHERT	
Mediopassives in *-s̄ke/o- to Active Intransitives	477
JULIÁN MÉNDEZ DOSUNA	
Once again on allophonic spellings in Ancient Greek	487
M. TERESA MOLINOS TEJADA & MANUEL GARCÍA TEIJEIRO	
Observaciones sobre la lengua de Epicarmo	499
GREGORY NAGY	
Things said and not said in a ritual text: Iguvine Tables Ib 10-16 / VIb 48-53	509
MARIO NEGRI	
Stato della decifrazione delle scritture egee: un tentativo di bilancio	551
SERGIO NERI	
Lat. <i>umbra</i> und Verwandtes	561
ALAN J. NUSSBAUM	
Latin <i>ciēre</i> ‘summon’ and Line 637 of Plautus’ <i>Miles Gloriosus</i>	575

NORBERT OETTINGER	
Lateinisch <i>lūcēscit</i> aus * <i>lūcēs-cit</i> oder * <i>lūcē-scit?</i>	
Überlegungen zur indogermanischen Wortbildung	593
JORDI PÀMIAS	
Hacia una posible fuente de la Atlántida platónica	603
OSWALD PANAGL	
Griechisch ἐορτή ‘Fest’ – ein etymologischer Problemfall	615
DANIEL PETIT	
The Latvian Goddess <i>Dēkla</i> and the PIE root * <i>d^heh₁-</i> ‘to put’ in Baltic	623
GEORGES-JEAN PINAULT	
In the heat of the day	641
PAOLO POCCETTI	
Sul nome “italico” di Dite	661
ANTONIETTA PORRO	
Aristofane e il rotacismo laconico (Aristoph. <i>Lys.</i> 988)	687
ELISABETH RIEKEN	
Heth. ^(N^A4) <i>tahūp(p)aštai-</i> u. ä. ‘Schlachtbody’ und die uridg. Wurzel * <i>deh₂p-</i> ‘schlachten, zerfleischen’	699
GIOVANNA ROCCA	
Secespita	705
VELIZAR SADOVSKI	
‘The columns of Rta’: Indo-Iranian lexicon and phraseology in the ritual poetry of the Avesta, Veda and beyond	715
ROSA-ARACELI SANTIAGO ÁLVAREZ	
De nuevo sobre los nombres en <i>-eus</i> en micénico	745
RÜDIGER SCHMITT	
Syntaktisch-stilistische Bemerkungen zu den altiranischen Steigerungsformen	759
MATILDE SERANGELI	
‘Doppelter Dativ’ und Infinitiv mit Dativ im Lykischen	765
ILJA A. SERŽANT	
Lexikalisierte Reduplikationsbildungen im Tocharischen	779
WOJCIECH SOWA	
Der Zopf der Berenike	787
EMILIO SUÁREZ DE LA TORRE	
The Erotic Charms of <i>PGMIV</i> : Are they special?	799

FELIX THIES	
Zu einigen finalen Konstruktionen im Litauischen	811
HANS JÜRGEN TSCHIEDEL	
... <i>metum formidinem oblivionem iniciatis. Zur evocatio deorum</i> (Macr. Sat. 3,9,7-8)	819
CARLOS VARIAS GARCÍA	
El antropónimo micénico <i>pu-i-re-wi</i>	829
ANA VEGAS SANSALVADOR	
Apolo Αγυιεύς y Rudra: el camino, el pilar, la luz	839
BRENT VINE	
Latin SALVIDENVS, SALVIDENA (<i>CIL I²</i> 1813): Morphology, Orthography, Culture	857
MICHAEL WEISS	
Gk. τίτο ‘I honor’ and τιμή ‘honor’	869
KAZUHIKO YOSHIDA	
Hittite verbs in <i>-nuzi</i>	881
SABINE ZIEGLER	
[...] behauptet die kenntnis aller [...] volksmundarten hohen werth: Neues zur uridg. Wurzel *h₂eys- „(Wasser) schöpfen“	901
JOSÉ LUIS GARCÍA RAMÓN	
Publikationen 1972 bis 2016	913

ALEXANDER LUBOTSKY

The Phrygian inscription from Dokimeion and its meter

The inscription from Dokimeion (W-11) was published for the first time by Brixhe in 2004. This is one of the longest Phrygian inscriptions and it is fascinating in several respects. It is written in the Greek script, and on the basis of paleography may be dated to the late 4th – early 3rd century B.C., that is, soon after Alexander's invasion (Brixhe, o.c.: 11-12), thus being the oldest Phrygian inscription written in this alphabet.¹ Normally, Old Phrygian (OPhr.) inscriptions, attested from the 8th to 4th centuries B.C., are written in the native script in contradistinction to the New Phrygian (NPhr.) ones from the 2nd – 3rd centuries A.D. in the Greek script. In the scholarly literature, it is customary to represent the NPhr. inscriptions in the Greek script and to romanize the OPhr. inscriptions (with the exception of the disputed signs ↑, Φ and Ψ).

In his edition of the Dokimeion inscription, Brixhe has chosen to use the Roman script in order to stress its Old Phrygian character, but in my opinion, this decision is rather unfortunate: the spelling with <ou> for /u/ is misleading and some of the Greek letters are still left untransliterated (η, χ). Therefore, in the following, when discussing the text of the inscription, I will keep the Greek script of the original.

On page 24, Brixhe gives the text of the inscription as follows (the only difference is that the Roman characters are here substituted by the Greek ones):

- 1 μανκα μεκας σας κινιν εν κε βιλαταδε-
- 2 ναν νεκοινουν : ποκραιου κη γλουρεος γαμενοψ
- 3 φα σοροι ματι μακραν : βλασκον κε τακρις κε λογγ-
- 4 ιρη μροτις λαπτα ματι αοινουν : νικοστρατος
- 5 ςλευμαχοι μιρος αιδομενον ματιν κισυις μο-
- 6 .κρος νιταν παρτιας πλαδε πορκορο οσ..-
- 7 ρος παντης : πεν(-)νιτι ιος κορο αν(-)δετοψ
- 8 σοψη ομαστα ομνισιτ ους

The colon stands for a sign consisting of two vertical dots, which is reminiscent of the word separator in the OPhr. inscriptions, although the latter usually con-

¹ Yet another Phrygian inscription of the Hellenistic period in the Greek script may be the inscription from Prymnessos (Brixhe/Drew-Bear 2010), for which see further below.

sists of three or more dots. Obviously, the colon in our inscription cannot be a word separator, but what is then its function? Neither NPhr., nor Greek inscriptions of the area ever use punctuation. Brixhe points out (Brixhe 2004, 10) that

“Si nous sommes réellement au tournant du IV^e au III^e siècle, force est de constater que l’interponction est devenue rare dans l’épigraphie grecque contemporaine et se trouve utilisée à des fins très particulières: isoler un anthroponyme ou un numéral, marquer une abréviation.”

But this function is also hardly feasible in the Dokimeion inscription.

The function of the colon is of essential importance for understanding the structure of the inscription. What Brixhe has failed to notice is that the colon appears at regular intervals. If we assume that at the end of line 5, after κισνις, there stands one more colon (the inscription is rather worn there, but the drawing on page 8 clearly shows the dots), the inscription turns out to be divided into six equal portions, which we can label (a) to (f):

- (a) μανκα μενας σας κινιν εν κε βιλαταδεναν νεκ οινουν :
- (b) ποκραιου κη γλουρεος γαμενοψη σα σοροι ματι μακραν :
- (c) βλασκον κε τακρις κε λογγιον μροτις λαπτα ματια οινουν :
- (d) νικοστρατος ηλευμαχοι μιρος αιδομενου ματιν κισνις [:]
- (e) μο.κρος υιταν παρτιας πλαδε πορκορο οσ..ρος παντης :
- (f) πεν(-)νιτι ιος κορο αν(-)δετοψη σοψη ομαστα ομνισιτ ους

There can be no doubt that we are dealing with a poem of six lines, the colon being a line separator.² Lines *a*, *b*, *d*, and *f* count 17 syllables. Also line *e* may count 17 syllables, if the worn part of οσ..ρος contains a vocalic segment, which is possible by all means. Only line *c* is slightly problematic. As edited by Brixhe, it contains 18 syllables, since λογγιον must be scanned in three syllables in view of trisyllabic scansion of παρτιας in *e*. The reading of the sequence λογγιον is uncertain, however. Especially, the -ι- is doubtful: as indicated by the editor (p. 10), we only see “un tracé vertical, légèrement serpentin (*i* ?)”. If we read any consonant instead of -ι- (for instance, another ν), the line would also contain 17 syllables.

The lines of 17 syllables suggest that the poem is written in a meter reminiscent of the dactylic hexameter, with five feet of three syllables each and a spondee. Line *b* is a perfect hexameter, containing five dactyls (assuming that ου stands for a short vowel /u/ and that ποκραιου stands for /pokraju/), but all other lines deviate from the dactylic pattern to a larger or smaller extent. Neverthe-

² Alwin Kloekhorst informs me that line separators are also found in a Lydian inscription (LW 10) and in two Mylian inscriptions (TL 44c/d and TL 55).

less, I do not think we should look for special metrical constraints or archaic Phrygian meters here. The historical context of the inscription (it is written shortly after Alexander's conquest and found near Dokimeion, a town founded by one of his generals), the fact that it is written in the Greek alphabet and that it contains the Greek names Νικοστρατος and Κλευμαχος – everything points to a very strong Greek influence. The quick pace of acculturation follows from the Greek epitaph of Tatis, the daughter of Νικοστρατος, discovered by Thomas Drew-Bear at the same location (Drew-Bear 1985, 259; Brixhe 2004, 26). This epitaph is not yet published, but Drew-Bear gives its Turkish translation: ‘Nikostratos’un kizi, Theophilos’un karisi Tatis [Tatis, daughter of Nikostratos, wife of Theophilos]’. This means that Tatis, who bears a Phrygian name of Anatolian origin, married a Greek Theophilos and her epitaph is already in Greek.

Since the hexameter was often used for funerary epigrams in the Greek tradition, it must have been taken over or adapted by the Phrygian aristocracy. This Greek custom may further be reflected in the most common New Phrygian curse formula on the funerary steles. This formula, which normally accompanies a Greek epitaph, can be reconstructed as an original distich of two hexameter lines, as I have argued elsewhere (Lubotsky 1998):³

ιος νι σεμουν κνουμανει κακουν αδδακετ αινι τεαμας
με ζεμελως κε δεως κε Τηη τιτεικμενος ειτου
‘Whoever does harm to this grave or to the stone,
let him be accursed by Zeus among men and gods.’

The insight that the Dokimeion inscription represents an epigram and that the colon is a line separator has important consequences for our understanding of the inscription, although much in it remains obscure. A renewed analysis must remain a task for the future, and here I would only like to add two comments about the main protagonists of the inscription.

The first comment concerns the name of the deceased. Brixhe started from the assumption that in νικοστρατος κλευμαχοι, the first name “est évidemment celui qui fait construire le monument” (Brixhe 2004, 20) and the second is the “bénéficiaire”, which is understandable if the inscription were a regular epitaph. In an epigram, however, it is appropriate to mention the deceased in the nominative, so that Nikostratos may be the man for whom the epigram has been

³ West (2003) ascribed the metrical organization of the NPhr. curses to Indo-European heritage, but it does not seem very probable to me that the poor Phrygian peasant population in the highlands would have preserved the traditional IE poetry and would have used it for funerary inscriptions.

commissioned, probably by his daughter Tatis who has already been mentioned above.

Another point concerns the sequence *zoqo* (lines *e* and *f*), which occurs twice. Brixhe (2004, 21) suggests a borrowing from Greek *χώρος* ‘(funeral) ground’, a term which, again, would have been appropriate in a regular prosaic epitaph, but totally out of place in an epigram. Since *zoqo* is both times found before a vowel, Brixhe (2004, 21) has taken it as a complete word in dat. sg., which can hardly be correct, since the ending of the thematic dative is -ou in this inscription (*σοροι*, *χλευμαχοι*). Instead of isolating *zoqo*, I would rather suggest a different segmentation. It seems much more attractive to read *zoqoo* in *e* and *zoqoav* in *f*, and to assume that these sequences go back to **koruō* and **koruan*, respectively. We may further compare this word to the Greek word for ‘young girl, daughter’ (Att. *κόρη*, Ion. *κούρη*, Dor. *κώρα*, *κόρα*) from Proto-Greek **korūā*, as is especially clear from Arc. Cor. *κόρη*, Myc. *ko-wa*, and to its masculine counterpart Att. *κόρος*, Ion. *κούρος*, Myc. *ko-wo* ‘young boy, son’ < **koryos*.

In our inscription, *zoqoav* can be acc.sg.f., but *zoqoo* is more difficult to analyze. It stands immediately after *πο*, a preposition with an approximate meaning ‘for’ and unclear government: in OPhr. inscription W-05b, we read *Jdaesporamateʃ*, which may stand for [e]daes por mate[ran] or *mater[ey]* ‘established for the Mother’. If this preposition is identical with NPhr. *που* (cf. 88. [...] *που κε ουανακταν ουρανιον διουντιν* ‘for the heavenly king Dionysos’), it may govern an accusative, but it cannot be excluded that other cases were possible, too. In Phrygian, we find an enigmatic case in -o, cf. NPhr. *κναικο* ‘wife’ (116) or OPhr. *kayarmoyo* (B-01) next to acc.sg. *kavarmoyun* ‘?’ in the same inscription (Ligorio/Lubotsky 2013, 188), and our *zoqoo* may be yet another example of this case form.

At first sight, this analysis of *zoqoo* and *zoqoav* is contradicted by the fact that /u/ is three times written as v in this inscription, i.e. *κινι*, *κισνις*, *νιταν*, but in all these cases, /u/ stands before an /i/, whereas in *zoqoo* and *zoqoav*, /u/ stands before a non-high vowel, which may account for the difference. It is remarkable further that *zoqoo* and *zoqoav* must be scanned in three syllables, whereas *κισνις* is disyllabic.

In Phrygian inscriptions written in the Greek alphabet, omicron is often used for indicating /u/. Most importantly, this is the case in the only other Hellenistic Phrygian inscription, the one from Prymnessos (Brixhe/Drew-Bear

2010), which reads: *ιος νι σα ματε/ρε⁴ κακον αββε/ρετοι αινι σερ/οα τος νι με ζεμελω[ς] [...]* ‘whoever does harm to the Mother or to Serva (?)’. Also in NPhr. inscription 116, we find this spelling in *οαν οε αυται* ‘herself’.

If we assume that *κοοαν* in line *f* means ‘girl, daughter’, just like Greek *κόρη*, this would provide yet another indication that the Dokimeion stele was erected by Tatis, the daughter of Nikostratos. We can of course only speculate why she decided to put a Phrygian epigram on a stele for her father who had a Greek name: was he a Phrygian who had taken service in the Greek army or administration and assumed a Greek name?

References

- Brixhe, Claude (2004): “Corpus des inscriptions paléo-phrygiennes. Supplément II”, *Kadmos* 43, 1-130.
- Brixhe, Claude/Drew-Bear, Thomas (2010): “Inscription phrygienne hellénistique de Prymnessos”, *Kadmos* 49, 161-168.
- Drew-Bear, Thomas (1985): “Frig dilinde yeni yazitlar”, *T. C. Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlığı eski eserler ve müzeler genel müdürlüğü. III. Araştırma sonuçları toplantısı. Ankara, 20-24 Mayıs 1985* [Ministry of Culture and Tourism, General Directorate of Antiquities and Museums. 3rd Research Results Meeting. Ankara, May 20-24, 1985]. Available online at the address: www.kulturvarliklari.gov.tr/sempozumpdf/arastirmalar/03arastirma.pdf.
- Ligorio, Orsat/Lubotsky, Alexander (2013): “Frigijskij jazyk”, in: Koryakov, Yu. B./Kibrik, A. A. (eds.), *Jazyki mira: Reliktovye indevropejskie jazyki Perednej i Central'noj Azii [Languages of the World: Relict Indo-European languages of Western and Central Asia]*. Moscow: Academia, 180-195.
- Lubotsky, Alexander (1998): “New Phrygian metrics and the δεως ζεμελως formula”, in: Jasanooff, Jay/Melchert, H. Craig/Oliver, Lisi (eds.), *Mír curad. Studies in honor of Calvert Watkins*. Innsbruck: IBS, 413-421.
- West, Martin L. (2003): “Phrygian metre”, *Kadmos* 42, 77-86.

Alexander Lubotsky
Leiden University Center for Linguistics
P.O.B. 9515
2300RA Leiden
The Netherlands
e-mail: a.m.lubotsky@hum.leidenuniv.nl
<http://www.hum.leiden.edu/lucl/organisation/members/lubotskya.html>

⁴ In his edition of the inscription, Brixhe reads this word as *μαγ/ρε* or *ματ/ρε*, but on the photograph we can see the traces of the line-final ε. The preceding letter is damaged on the top, but can be a τ.