



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Changing the rules of the game : the development and reform of party law in Latin America

Molenaar, F.F.; Molenaar F.F.

Citation

Molenaar, F. F. (2017, September 28). *Changing the rules of the game : the development and reform of party law in Latin America*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/55959>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/55959>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/55959> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Molenaar, Fransje

Title: Changing the rules of the game : the development and reform of party law in Latin America

Date: 2017-09-28

Changing the Rules of the Game
The development and reform of party law
in Latin America

Cover Photo
Naoki Fukuda

Cover Design
Chiara van de Berg

Layout and printing
Paulien Varkevisser | fotografie & vormgeving, Nijmegen
Ipskamp Printing, Enschede

ISBN: 978-94-028-0742-4

© 2017 Fransje Molenaar. All rights are reserved. No part of this publication may be transformed, reproduced, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission in writing from the copyright owners.

Changing the Rules of the Game

The development and reform of party law in

Latin America

Proefschrift

Ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. Mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 28 september 2017
klokke 16.15 uur
door

Françoise Frederieke Molenaar

Geboren te Rotterdam in 1982

Promotor prof. dr. I.C. van Biezen

Copromotores prof. dr. R.A. Koole
dr. I. Harbers

Promotiecommissie
prof. dr. R.B. Andeweg
prof. dr. J.J.M van Holsteijn
prof. dr. P. Silva
dr. M.S. Spirova
prof. dr. J. Corrales (Amherst)
dr. B.B. Hogenboom (CEDLA)

Preface

Throughout my PhD trajectory, I often wondered why people call the academic profession a lonely one. For me, one of the most rewarding aspects of doing a PhD was that it provided me with the opportunity to discuss my ideas and findings with peers and reviewers around the world. It is with great pleasure that I therefore use these first pages to thank some of the people that were instrumental in bringing this dissertation about and that kept me sane in the process.

A first word of gratitude goes out to my supervisors: Ingrid van Biezen, Ruud Koole, and Imke Harbers. I thoroughly enjoyed working with you and although I surprised you on multiple occasions with massive overhauls of my research design and theoretical framework, you provided me with the space needed to figure out in which direction I wanted to take this research. Rest assured, Ruud, that you have managed to convince me that not all politicians are merely driven by self-serving concerns.

My fieldwork benefited from the generous financial support of the ERC, LUF, and Prins Bernhard Cultuurfonds, as well as from the logistical support of the Torquato di Tella University, CAPEL-IIDH, and IFES. Many people helped me out by arranging interviews and setting up meetings, but Gerardo Scherlis, Gustavo Ferrari, Kevin Casas-Zamorra, Milagro Roque, Mariana Sánchez, Hector Sánchez, Rocio Martínez, and Fabian Acuña definitely went the extra mile! A thank you also goes out to Kitty, Natalia, and Lida for providing me with a home in far-away places, as well as to all the great friends I made along the way.

The Institute of Political Science at Leiden University is a special place where I enjoyed working with many highly esteemed colleagues. Its PhD seminar provided a valuable setting to discuss my work and the PhD process more generally. I was also blessed with roommates who quickly became friends. Daniela, Jannine, Wouter, Femke, Anne (not a roommate technically) and Cris – I am happy that we haven't seen the last of each other yet. Outside of work, I am grateful to all my friends – Monique and the ladies of the Vrouwengenootschap in particular – who kept in touch despite my frequent travels and who even flew out to visit me. The same goes for Remigius, whose generosity and love are unparalleled.

I would like to finish with a personal word of gratitude to the three women that played a key role in my first steps on the academic career path. My MA thesis supervisor, Maria Spirova, sparked my interest in research and supported me in getting a PhD position. After just one interview, Delia Ferreira Rubio helped me enter the international electoral consultancy world and thereby opened so many impenetrable doors for me. My supervisor Ingrid van Biezen is not only a great academic role mod-

el but also provided important personal support when needed. Last but not least, my mother who taught me that work is something you do because you like it – not because you are paid for it – and my father who showed me that it is always better to follow your own dreams than to conform to those of others.

This thesis is dedicated to the loving memory of Mandy van Dijk. You were the strongest and kindest person that I have ever known.

Table of contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | 14 |
| LIST OF TABLES | 16 |
| LIST OF FIGURES | 18 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1 – PARTY LAW REFORM IN LATIN AMERICA | 21 |
| 1.1 Latin American party law in action | 21 |
| 1.2 Research question | 23 |
| 1.3 A resource-based approach to party law | 26 |
| 1.4 Research design | 28 |
| 1.5 Scientific and societal relevance of the study | 29 |
| 1.6 Structure of the book | 32 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2 - A HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF LATIN AMERICAN PARTY LAW | 35 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 35 |
| 2.2 1820's-1870's: nascent Latin American statehood and the rejection of factions | 36 |
| 2.2.a Elections as a means to legitimize political independence | 36 |
| 2.2.b Inexistence of party law | 38 |
| 2.2.c The Colombian exception | 40 |
| 2.3 1870's-1930's: oligarchic rule and the shift to modern party government | 41 |
| 2.3.a The formation of oligarchic political stability | 41 |
| 2.3.b The legal recognition of political parties' electoral and legislative roles | 43 |
| 2.3.c Uruguay: a country far ahead of its time | 43 |
| 2.4 1930's-1950's: revolutionary democracy and the constitutionalization of parties | 45 |
| 2.4.a Democratic breakdown and transition | 45 |
| 2.4.b First wave of democratic political party constitutionalization ... | 46 |
| 2.4.c Procedural and normative appreciation of political parties .. | 48 |
| 2.4.d Defending democracy | 51 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2.5 1950's-1980's: authoritarian reversals and the exclusion of parties | 54 |
| 2.5.a A symbolic approach to constitutionalizing political parties | 55 |
| 2.5.b An instrumental approach to constitutionalizing political parties | 56 |
| 2.5.c A corporatist approach to constitutionalizing political parties .. | 58 |
| 2.6 1980's-present: democratic transitions and parties as electoral public utilities | 60 |
| 2.6.a Democratic beacons and transitions | 60 |
| 2.6.b Third wave of democratization | 61 |
| 2.6.c Post-transitional party constitutionalization | 62 |
| 2.6.d The constitutionalization of political party privileges | 63 |
| 2.6.e The constitutionalization of political party constraints | 65 |
| 2.6.f The constitutional rejection of the institution political parties . | 68 |
| 2.7 Conclusion | 71 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| CHAPTER 3 – A RESOURCE-BASED PERSPECTIVE ON PARTY LAW REFORM | 73 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 73 |
| 3.2 The political relevance of party law | 74 |
| 3.3 Explanations of party law | 77 |
| 3.3.a Collective need for resources to ensure organizational continuity | 77 |
| 3.3.b Individual party's need for resources to serve electoral goals ... | 78 |
| 3.3.c Factional need for resources to control the party organization | 80 |
| 3.3.d Untangling reform causes, strategies, and outcomes | 81 |
| 3.4 Party law reform: a resource-based approach | 82 |
| 3.4.a Political parties' utility for politicians | 83 |
| 3.4.b Fundamental party resources | 85 |
| 3.4.c Party law reform and resource scarcity | 88 |
| 3.5 A resource-based model of party law reform | 93 |
| 3.5.a Party law reform as an organizational economy strategy | 94 |
| 3.5.b Party law reform as an electoral economy strategy | 96 |
| 3.5.c Party law reform as a systemic economy strategy | 97 |
| 3.6 Conclusion | 100 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER 4 – ENTERING THE BLACK BOX OF PARTY LAW REFORM | 103 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 103 |
| 4.2 Operationalizing the resource-based model of party law reform .. | 104 |
| 4.2.a Operationalizing and measuring changing socio-political circumstances | 104 |
| 4.2.b Operationalizing and measuring adopted party laws | 107 |
| 4.3 Reform strategies: connecting resource changes to party law reforms | 110 |
| 4.3.a Reform strategies | 110 |
| 4.3.b Data collection and analysis | 111 |
| 4.4 Research design | 114 |
| 4.4.a Alternative explanations of party law reform | 115 |
| 4.4.b Within-country analyses of party law reform | 116 |
| 4.4.c Cross-country analysis of party law reform | 117 |
| 4.5 Conclusion | 120 |
| CHAPTER 5 - COSTA RICA | 123 |
| 5.1 Costa Rica: democratic beacon in an authoritarian region | 123 |
| 5.2 The development of Costa Rican party law: a historical overview | 126 |
| 5.2.a Party system characteristics | 126 |
| 5.2.b Historical development of party law | 128 |
| 5.3 1996/1997 reform: protecting a party cartel amidst modernizing pressures | 131 |
| 5.3.a Changes in the resource environment | 131 |
| 5.3.b Negotiation process | 134 |
| 5.4 1998-2002 reform: lack of political will | 140 |
| 5.4.a Changes in the resource environment | 140 |
| 5.4.b Negotiation process | 141 |
| 5.5 2009 reform: corruption and party system change | 142 |
| 5.5.a Changes in the resource environment | 142 |
| 5.5.b Negotiation process | 146 |
| 5.6 Conclusion: party law development and reform in Costa Rica | 154 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER 6 – MEXICO | 159 |
| 6.1 Mexico: rise and fall of a party hegemony | 159 |
| 6.2 The development of Mexican party law: a historical overview | 162 |
| 6.3 2003 reform: closing up the party system | 170 |
| 6.3.a Changes in the resource environment | 170 |
| 6.3.b Negotiation process | 172 |
| 6.4 2007/2008 reform: tying up loose ends | 180 |
| 6.4.a Changes in the resource environment | 180 |
| 6.4.b Negotiation process – 2007 constitutional reform | 182 |
| 6.4.c Negotiation process – 2008 electoral reform | 189 |
| 6.5 Conclusion: party law development and reform in Mexico | 193 |
| CHAPTER 7 – COLOMBIA | 197 |
| 7.1 Colombia: the political accommodation of conflict | 197 |
| 7.2 The development of Colombian party law: a historical overview .. | 200 |
| 7.3 2003 reform: a response to party organizational change | 206 |
| 7.3.a Changes in the resource environment | 206 |
| 7.3.b Negotiation process | 208 |
| 7.4 2005 reform: a response to the changing terms of electoral competition | 215 |
| 7.4.a Changes in the resource environment | 215 |
| 7.4.b Negotiation process | 216 |
| 7.5 2009/11 reform: a response to the parapolítica scandal | 220 |
| 7.5.a Changes in the resource environment | 220 |
| 7.5.b Negotiation process | 222 |
| 7.6 Conclusion: party law development and reform in Colombia | 226 |
| CHAPTER 8 – ARGENTINA | 229 |
| 8.1 Argentina: internal contradictions and conflict | 229 |
| 8.2 The development of Argentine party law: a historical overview ... | 232 |
| 8.3 2002 reform: response to the que se vayan todos protests | 237 |
| 8.3.a Changes in the resource environment | 237 |
| 8.3.b Negotiation process | 239 |
| 8.3.c Aftermath of the 2002 reform | 248 |
| 8.4 2009 reform: a response to electoral defeat | 251 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 8.4.a Changes in the resource environment | 251 |
| 8.4.b Negotiation process | 253 |
| 8.4.c Political finance regulation | 259 |
| 8.5 Conclusion: party law development and reform in Argentina | 264 |
| CHAPTER 9 - CONCLUSION | 267 |
| 9.1 Latin American party law reform | 267 |
| 9.2 Case studies of party law reform | 268 |
| 9.2.a Organizational economy reforms | 268 |
| 9.2.b Electoral economy reforms | 270 |
| 9.2.c Systemic economy strategy | 272 |
| 9.2.d The adjusted resource-based model of party law reform | 276 |
| 9.3 Within and cross-country analyses | 277 |
| 9.3.a Cross-country comparisons | 278 |
| 9.3.b Within-country comparisons | 279 |
| 9.4 Implications of the findings and avenues for future research | 281 |
| 9.4.a Party law reform | 281 |
| 9.4.b Judicialization of politics | 283 |
| 9.4.c Party system and party organizational change | 284 |
| 9.4.d Democracy, democratic governance, and the political science discipline | 285 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 288 |
| APPENDIX 1 – DATABASE CONSTITUTIONAL CODIFICATION | |
| POLITICAL PARTIES | 324 |
| APPENDIX 2 – RELEVANT INSTRUMENTS OF PARTY LAW | 326 |
| APPENDIX 3 – CODING OF PARTY LAWS | 328 |
| APPENDIX 4 – PRIMARY SOURCES | 330 |
| APPENDIX 5 - SAMPLE CODING/INTERVIEW QUESTIONS | 331 |
| APPENDIX 6 – LIST OF INTERVIEWS | 332 |
| APPENDIX 7 – LEGISLATIVE SEAT DISTRIBUTION | 339 |
| NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING | 343 |
| CURRICULUM VITAE | 349 |

List of abbreviations

Costa Rica

| | |
|--------|---|
| CAFTA | Central American Free Trade Agreement |
| CEREPP | <i>Comisión Especial de Reformas Electorales y Partidos Políticos</i> – Special Committee on Electoral Reform and Political Parties |
| ML | <i>Movimiento Libertario</i> – Libertarian Movement |
| PAC | <i>Partido Acción Ciudadana</i> – Citizen's Action Party |
| PI | <i>Partido Independiente</i> – Independent Party |
| PLN | <i>Partido de Liberación Nacional</i> – National Liberation Party |
| PRD | <i>Partido Renovación Democrática</i> – Democratic Renovation Party |
| PUSC | <i>Partido de la Unidad Social Cristiana</i> – Social Christian Unity Party |
| UNIORE | <i>Unión Interamericana de Organizaciones Electorales</i> – Interamerican Union of Electoral Organizations |
| TSE | <i>Tribunal Supremo de Elecciones</i> – Supreme Electoral Tribunal |

Mexico

| | |
|-------|---|
| AMLO | Andres Manuel López Obrador, presidential PRD candidate in 2006/2012 |
| CENCA | <i>Comisión Ejecutiva de Negociación y Construcción de Acuerdo del Congreso de la Unión</i> – Executive Committee for the Negotiation and Construction of an Agreement of the Congress of the Union |
| CFE | <i>Comisión Federal Electoral</i> – Federal Electoral Committee |
| FPPM | <i>Federación de Partidos del Pueblo Mexicano</i> – Party Federation of the Mexican People |
| IFE | <i>Instituto Federal Electoral</i> – Federal Electoral Institute |
| INE | <i>Instituto Nacional de Elecciones</i> – National Electoral Institute |
| PAN | <i>Partido de Acción Nacional</i> – National Action Party |
| PARM | <i>Partido Auténtico de la Revolución Mexicana</i> – Authentic Party of the Mexican Revolution |
| PCM | <i>Partido Comunista Mexicano</i> – Mexican Communist Party |
| PDM | <i>Partido Demócrata Mexicano</i> – Mexican Democratic Party |
| PFP | <i>Partido Fuerza Popular</i> – Popular Force Party |
| PNA | <i>Partido Nueva Alianza</i> – New Alliance Party |
| PNR | <i>Partido Nacional Revolucionario</i> – National Revolutionary Party |
| PPS | <i>Partido Popular Socialista</i> – Popular Socialist Party |
| PRI | <i>Partido Revolucionario Institucional</i> – Institutional Revolutionary Party |
| PRM | <i>Partido de la Revolución Mexicana</i> – Party of the Mexican Revolution |
| PSD | <i>Partido Socialdemócrata</i> – Social Democratic Party |

| | |
|-------|--|
| PSN | <i>Partido de la Sociedad Nacionalista</i> – Party of the Nationalist Society |
| PT | <i>Partido de Trabajadores</i> – Workers' Party |
| PVEM | <i>Partido Verde Ecologista de México</i> – Mexican Green Ecologist Party |
| TEPJF | <i>Tribunal Electoral del Poder Judicial de la Federación</i> – Federal Electoral Tribunal |

Colombia

| | |
|---------|---|
| AD/M-19 | <i>Alianza Democrática M-19</i> – M-19 Democratic Alliance |
| CE | <i>Corte Electoral</i> – Electoral Court |
| CNE | <i>Consejo Nacional Electoral</i> – National Electoral Council |
| ELN | <i>Ejército de Liberación Nacional</i> – National Liberation Army |
| FN | <i>Frente Nacional</i> – National Front Agreement |
| FARC | <i>Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia</i> – Colombian Revolutionary Armed Forces |
| M-19 | <i>Movimiento 19 de Abril</i> – 19 th of April Movement |
| MSN | <i>Movimiento de Salvación Nacional</i> – National Salvation Movement |
| PCC | <i>Partido Conservador Colombiano</i> – Colombian Conservative Party |
| PCR | <i>Partido Cambio Radical</i> – Radical Change Party |
| PD | <i>Polo Democrático</i> – Democratic Pole |
| PDA | <i>Polo Democrático Alternativo</i> – Alternative Democratic Pole |
| PLC | <i>Partido Liberal Colombiano</i> – Colombian Liberal Party |
| PSUN | <i>Partido Social de Unidad Nacional</i> – Social Party of National Unity (also known as <i>Partido de la U[ribe]</i> – U[ribe]'s Party) |

Argentina

| | |
|---------|---|
| AGN | <i>Auditoría General de la Nación</i> – National General Auditor |
| ARI | <i>Alternativa para una República de Iguales</i> – Alternative for a Republic of Equals |
| CNE | <i>Cámara Nacional Electoral</i> – National Electoral Chamber |
| FCE | <i>Corte Federal Electoral</i> – Federal Electoral Court |
| FpV | <i>Frente para la Victoria</i> – Front for Victory |
| FREJULI | <i>Frente Justicialista de Liberación</i> – Justicialist Liberation Front |
| FREPASO | <i>Frente País Solidario</i> – Front for a Country in Solidarity |
| MPF | <i>Movimiento Popular Fuegino</i> – Fuegino People's Movement |
| MPN | <i>Movimiento Popular Neuquino</i> – Neuquén People's Movement |
| PJ | <i>Partido Justicialista</i> – Justicialist Party |
| PP | <i>Partido Peronista</i> – Peronist Party |
| UCR | <i>Unión Cívica Radical</i> – Radical Civic Union |

List of tables

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 2-1: authoritarian constitutional codification of political parties | 55 |
| Table 2-2: post-transitional party law development in latin america | 62 |
| Table 2-3: regulation of method of candidate selection | 67 |
| Table 3-1: relationship fundamental party resources and provisions of party law | 93 |
| Table 4-1: selected cases for cross-country comparisons | 120 |
| Table 5-1: party system characteristics (1982 – 2010) | 126 |
| Table 5-2: development of costa rican party law (1949-1988) | 130 |
| Table 5-3: development of costa rican party law (1991-1997) | 139 |
| Table 5-4: development of costa rican party law (1996-2009) | 152 |
| Table 5-5: summary of costa rican party law reform (1996-2009) | 156 |
| Table 6-1: party system characteristics (1991-2012) | 162 |
| Table 6-2: development of mexican party law (1918-1954) | 165 |
| Table 6-3: development of mexican party law (1973-1996) | 168 |
| Table 6-4: minor parties' public funding share of total amount of public funding | 178 |
| Table 6-5: 2003 reform proposals | 179 |
| Table 6-6: 2007 constitutional reform proposals | 191 |
| Table 6-7: summary of mexican party law reform (2003-2008) | 195 |
| Table 7-1: party system characteristics (1994-2010) | 200 |
| Table 7-2: development of colombian party law (1985-1994) | 205 |
| Table 7-3: development of colombian party law (1994-2003) | 214 |
| Table 7-4: development of colombian party law (1994-2005) | 219 |
| Table 7-5: development of colombian party law (2009-2011) | 226 |
| Table 7-6: summary of colombian party law reform (2003-2011) | 228 |
| Table 8-1: party system characteristics (1991-2011) | 231 |
| Table 8-2: development of argentine party law (1931-1985) | 236 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 8-3: proposed and final changes political finance regulation | 241 |
| Table 8-4: proposed and final changes registration requirements | 244 |
| Table 8-5: proposed and final changes candidate selection | 248 |
| Table 8-6: proposed and final changes registration requirements | 256 |
| Table 8-7: final changes political finance regulation | 263 |
| Table 8-8: summary of argentine party law reform (2002-2009) | 266 |
| Table 9-1: summary table organizational economy strategy | 270 |
| Table 9-2: summary table electoral economy strategy | 272 |
| Table 9-3: summary table systemic economy strategy | 276 |
| Table 9-4: cross-country comparisons of party law reform strategies | 278 |

List of figures

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 2-1: year first constitutional codification political parties in latin america | 46 |
| Figure 2-2: first constitutional codification of parties' electoral role | 48 |
| Figure 2-3: first constitutional codification of parties' governing role | 49 |
| Figure 2-4: first constitutional codification of parties' extra-parliamentary role | 49 |
| Figure 2-5: first constitutional definition of democratic party principles | 50 |
| Figure 2-6: first constitutional association of parties with fundamental rights | 50 |
| Figure 2-7: first constitutional definition of parties' duties and obligations | 51 |
| Figure 2-8: first constitutional introduction of partisan oversight over elections | 53 |
| Figure 2-9: first constitutional adoption of minority/opposition party protection | 53 |
| Figure 2-10: year of introduction of public party funding in latin america | 65 |
| Figure 2-11: year of introduction of public media access in latin america | 65 |
| Figure 3-1: fundamental party organizational resources | 85 |
| Figure 3-2: resource-based model of party law reform | 94 |
| Figure 9-1: adjusted resource-based model of party law reform | 277 |

