



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Unveiling dark structures with accurate weak lensing

Herbonnet, R.T.L.; Herbonnet R.T.L.

Citation

Herbonnet, R. T. L. (2017, September 26). *Unveiling dark structures with accurate weak lensing*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/55951>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/55951>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/55951> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Herbonnet R.T.L.

Title: Unveiling dark structures with accurate weak lensing

Date: 2017-09-26

Unveiling dark structures with accurate weak lensing

Ricardo Tian Long Herbonnet

ISBN: 978-94-6233-711-4

Unveiling dark structures with accurate weak lensing

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 26 september 2017
klokke 10.00 uur

door

Ricardo Tian Long Herbonnet

geboren te Rotterdam, Nederland
in 1988

Promotiecommissie

Promotor: Prof. dr. Henk Hoekstra

Co-promotor: Prof. dr. Koenraad Kuijken

Overige leden: Prof. dr. Huub Röttgering

Prof. dr. Joop Schaye

Prof. dr. Catherine Heymans (University of Edinburgh)

Dr. Anja Von der Linden (Stony Brook University)

Cover: graphics designed by Marinus de Graaf and the author. The image on the front shows galaxy cluster Abell 133 and the image on the back shows galaxy cluster Abell 2319. Both clusters are part of the Multi Epoch Nearby Cluster Survey observations used for the studies described in Chapters 4 and 5.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Our view of the Universe	1
1.2	Structure in the Universe	2
1.3	Gravitational lensing	5
1.4	Shape measurements	8
1.4.1	Shape measurement techniques	10
1.4.2	Image simulations	11
1.5	This thesis	13
2	Shear nulling after PSF Gaussianisation	17
2.1	Introduction	18
2.2	Theory	20
2.2.1	Principles of SNAPG	20
2.2.2	Lensing basics	20
2.2.3	Effect of pre-seeing shear on observed Gauss-weighted moments	22
2.2.4	Bias as a consequence of centroiding errors	24
2.2.5	SNAPG shear nulling estimator	26
2.2.6	PSF Gaussianisation	27
2.3	Image simulations	27
2.4	Test runs	30
2.4.1	High signal-to-noise tests of SNAPG	30
2.4.2	Tests of centroid bias correction	30
2.4.3	Full test of SNAPG	32
2.5	GREAT08	34
2.6	Discussion	36
2.6.1	SNAPG formalism	36
2.6.2	Noise bias	36
2.6.3	Galaxy resolution	36
2.6.4	PSF Gaussianisation	38
2.6.5	Shear precision	38
2.6.6	Variable shear	39
2.7	Summary	39
2.7.1	Acknowledgements	40
2.A	Convolution calculations	42
3	KiDS shear calibration	45
3.1	Introduction	46
3.2	The shear measurement method	48
3.2.1	<i>lensfit</i>	48
3.2.2	Self Calibration of Noise Bias	49
3.2.3	Weight bias correction	51
3.3	Image simulations	51
3.3.1	The simulation of galaxies	51
3.3.2	Simulation volume	52
3.3.3	Input object catalogue	53

3.3.4	Simulation setup	57
3.3.5	Comparison to data	60
3.4	KiDS Calibration Method	62
3.4.1	The evaluation of shear bias	62
3.4.2	Selection bias	64
3.4.3	Calibration selection bias	65
3.4.4	<i>lensfit</i> results	69
3.4.5	Multiplicative shear bias calibration	70
3.4.6	Additive shear bias calibration and PSF properties	73
3.5	Calibration by resampling the simulated catalogue	77
3.5.1	A resampling approach to calibration	77
3.5.2	Application to the multiplicative bias in KiDS data	78
3.5.3	Robustness of the tomographic calibration	80
3.6	Calibration sensitivity analyses	80
3.6.1	Sensitivity to the magnitude distribution	80
3.6.2	Sensitivity to the galaxy size distribution	81
3.6.3	Sensitivity to accuracy of the galaxy ellipticity distribution	82
3.7	Conclusions	86
3.A	Model bias	89
4	MENeaCS weak lensing masses	91
4.1	Introduction	92
4.2	Data and shape analysis	94
4.3	Photometric source redshift distribution	96
4.4	Contamination of the source population by cluster members	101
4.4.1	Magnification	101
4.4.2	Obscuration	101
4.4.3	Excess galaxy weight density	102
4.4.4	Boost correction	105
4.5	MENeaCS cluster masses	106
4.5.1	Singular isothermal sphere	107
4.5.2	Navarro-Frenk-White profile	108
4.5.3	Hydrostatic mass comparison	111
4.5.4	Systematic error budget	112
4.6	Conclusions	113
4.A	Blank field counts	120
5	Satellite galaxy-galaxy lensing in low-<i>z</i> clusters	125
5.1	Introduction	126
5.2	Weak galaxy-galaxy lensing	128
5.2.1	Statistical errors: data covariance	129
5.3	Data set	130
5.3.1	Cluster and lens galaxy samples	130
5.3.2	Source galaxy sample	131
5.3.3	Shape measurements	133
5.4	Source sample and shear calibration	134
5.4.1	Sensitivity to background subtraction	134
5.4.2	Additive shear bias	135
5.4.3	Contamination by cluster members	136
5.4.4	More details on obscuration by cluster members	140

5.4.5	Source redshift distribution	140
5.4.6	Resulting lensing signal	142
5.5	Satellite galaxy-galaxy lensing model	144
5.5.1	Host cluster contribution	144
5.5.2	Subhalo contribution	146
5.5.3	Fitting procedure	147
5.6	The subhalo-to-stellar mass relation	147
5.6.1	The SHSMR in the context of the total-to-stellar mass relation of central galaxies	149
5.6.2	Comparison to other subhalo measurements and predictions . .	151
5.7	Subhalo mass segregation	152
5.7.1	Comparison to theoretical predictions	154
5.7.2	Previous measurements of subhalo mass segregation	155
5.8	Conclusions	156
5.A	Lens-induced bias on the shape measurements	163
6	Nederlandse Samenvatting	165
6.1	De duistere kant van het Universum	165
6.2	Zwaartekrachtslenzen	166
6.3	Dit proefschrift	168
	Curriculum Vitae	171
	Acknowledgements	173

