



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The function of Jus Post Bellum in international law

Iverson, J.M.; Iverson J.M.

Citation

Iverson, J. M. (2017, September 21). *The function of Jus Post Bellum in international law*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/55949>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/55949>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/55949> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Iverson, Jens Muir

Title: The function of Jus Post Bellum in international law

Date: 2017-09-21



Universiteit Leiden

The Function of *Jus Post Bellum* in International Law

Jens Muir Iverson

The Function of *Jus Post Bellum* in International Law

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 21 september 2017
klokke 11:15 uur

door

Jens Muir Iverson

geboren te Phoenix, Arizona, U.S.A.

in 1976

Promotores: prof. dr. C. Stahn
prof. dr. L.J. van den Herik

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. E.C.P.D.C. de Brabandere
prof. dr. K.E. Boon (Seton Hall University, Newark USA)
prof. dr. R.C.H. Lesaffer (Tilburg University)
prof. dr. C. Bell (The University of Edinburgh, UK)
dr. G. Molier

This research was possible thanks to the financial support of The Netherlands
Organisation for Scientific Research.

Acknowledgements

I am extremely grateful to my supervisors and promoters, Professor Carsten Stahn and Professor Larissa van den Herik. It has been a privilege to receive your support, encouragement, and patience.

Carsten, thank you.

Larissa, thank you.

I am also very grateful to the reading committee for their helpful comments and constructive criticism. The time, knowledge, and expertise brought to bear to improve this work is much appreciated.

My thanks also to the entire faculty of the Grotius Centre for Legal Studies. I think there is no better place to do research on the frontiers of international law.

On a more personal note, words cannot express my gratitude to my family.

For Kate

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
A. Introducing the Theme of Jus Post Bellum.....	1
B. Problematization.....	3
C. Research aims.....	8
D. Research questions.....	9
E. Explanation of Structure.....	11
F. Propositions.....	12
G. Conceptual framework: Introducing the law and principles regarding armed conflict and the transition to peace.....	19
H. Addressees of jus post bellum.....	22
I. Method and Approach.....	30
 Part I: Foundations and Functions.....	 35
 1. Past – The Deep Roots of <i>Jus Post Bellum</i>	 36
A. Introduction.....	36
B. Historical Development.....	44
1. Augustine of Hippo (354-430).....	44
a) Introduction.....	44
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	45
c) Conclusion.....	58
2. Institutes of Justinian (533).....	58
a) Introduction.....	58
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	59
c) Conclusion.....	62
3. Raymond of Penafort (1175-1275) (Decretals of Gregory IX).....	62
a) Introduction.....	62
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	63
c) Conclusion.....	64
4. Thomas Aquinas (1225-1274).....	64
a) Introduction.....	64
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	65
c) Conclusion.....	71
5. Baldus de Ubaldis (1327-1400).....	72
a) Introduction.....	72
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	74
c) Conclusion.....	76
6. Francisco de Vitoria (1492 – 1546).....	76
a) Introduction.....	76
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	78
(1) Peace as the aim of armed conflict—and the problems that can cause.....	78
(2) Post-conflict justice.....	80
(3) An integrated view of jus ad bellum, jus in bello, and jus ad bellum.....	82
(4) Post bellum tribute.....	83

(5) Post bellum regime change.....	84
c) Conclusion.....	86
7. Francisco Suarez (1548-1617).....	86
a) Introduction	86
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	87
c) Conclusion.....	89
8. Alberico Gentili (1552- 1608)	90
a) Introduction	90
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	90
c) Conclusion.....	104
9. Petrus Gudelinus (1550-1619).....	106
a) Introduction	106
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	107
c) Conclusion.....	112
10. Hugo Grotius (1583-1645)	113
a) Introduction	113
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	114
c) Conclusion.....	118
11. Christian Wolff (1679-1754)	118
a) Introduction	118
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus ad bellum</i> and <i>jus in bello</i>	119
c) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	121
d) Conclusion.....	124
12. Emer de Vattel (1714-1767).....	125
a) Introduction	125
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	126
c) Conclusion.....	131
13. Immanuel Kant (1724-1804)	131
a) Introduction	131
b) Writings and relation to <i>jus post bellum</i>	132
c) Conclusion.....	135
C. Conclusion	136
2. Exploration of Sister Terms	141
A. Jus in bello	141
B. Jus ad bellum	162
C. Import for jus post bellum and the trichotomy	167
3. Three Approaches to <i>Jus Post Bellum</i>	171
A. Introduction.....	171
B. Temporal Approach	172
C. Functional Approach	173
D. Hybrid Approach	174
E. Lex Specialis and Lex Generalis	177
F. Interplay	178
G. Hybrid approach to jus post bellum.....	181

4. Present – An Exploration of Contemporary Usage.....	189
A. The Existing Matrix of Definitions: A review of contemporary scholarship...	189
1. Introduction.....	189
2. Identifying the Definitional Dichotomy — Functional vs. Temporal	192
3. Problems of the Dichotomy	198
a. The problem as a discourse community or interpretive community.....	198
b. The problem as law	199
c. The problem for research.....	200
4. Importance	201
5. Empirical Analysis.....	201
B. Contrasting Jus Post Bellum and Transitional Justice.....	205
1. Introduction.....	205
2. The Grotian Tradition	207
3. Basic Definitions.....	216
a. Transitional justice	216
b. <i>Jus post bellum</i>	217
4. Contrasting the Content of Transitional Justice and <i>Jus Post Bellum</i>	219
a. General contrast.....	219
b. Substance of Transitional Justice	222
c. More substantive in nature	226
d. More procedural in nature	232
e. Mixed substantive and procedural in nature.....	234
f. Summarizing the contrast in content	234
5. Temporal Contrast – the dynamics	235
a. <i>Introduction: Time Within the Concepts</i>	235
b. <i>Dynamics of Transitional Justice</i>	236
i. The double beginning of Transitional Justice	236
ii. Immediacy and Sequencing	239
iii. The unclear ending of the transition in Transitional Justice	245
c. <i>Dynamics of Jus Post Bellum</i>	246
i. Beginning with the effort to end conflict, not the end of conflict	246
ii. Peace Agreement as a Process	249
iii. Temporal Relation to jus ad bellum and jus in bello: Two examples of complications.....	250
iv. The Endpoint of Jus post bellum.....	252
d. Summarizing the Contrast in Temporal Aspects.....	253
6. Specific to Global Contrast.....	254
a. The national and international dimensions of transitional justice	254
b. Plotting the content of <i>jus post bellum</i> : specific to global.....	256
7. Legal Contrast.....	258
8. Historical Foundations	260
a. Transitional Justice	263
b. <i>Jus post bellum</i>	265
9. Going Forward – Continuing the Grotian Tradition.....	268

Part II: Substance and Promise	273
5. <i>Jus Post Bellum</i> in the context of International and Non-International Armed Conflict	274
A. Introduction.....	274
B. Jus in bello in IAC and NIAC.....	276
C. Jus ad bellum in IAC and NIAC.....	282
D. Jus post bellum in IAC and NIAC.....	286
1. Complications	286
2. Prohibitions and facilitations	288
3. More procedural aspects	289
a) Treaty and agreement law.....	289
b) Amnesty and <i>aut dedere aut judicare</i>	290
c) The Responsibility to Protect	291
4. Mixed procedural and substantive aspects.....	292
5. More substantive aspects	310
E. Conclusion	312
6. Contemporary Legal Content of <i>Jus Post Bellum</i>	315
A. Introduction.....	315
1. Chapter focus	315
2. Responses to critical approaches to <i>jus post bellum</i>	317
B. Procedural fairness and peace agreements	325
1. Article 52 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.....	325
2. Other Considerations of procedural fairness.....	328
a) Treaty and agreement law.....	328
b) Amnesty and <i>aut dedere aut judicare</i>	330
C. The Responsibility to Protect.....	334
D. Territorial dispute resolution	341
1. Prohibition of annexation.....	341
2. Self-determination.....	344
E. Consequences of an act of aggression	347
F. International territorial administration and trusteeship.....	348
G. The law applicable in a territory in transition.....	356
1. The law of state succession.....	356
2. Human rights law and the rights and interests of minorities	360
3. The laws of occupation	370
H. The scope of individual criminal responsibility	378
I. Odious Debt	381
J. Alternative structuring of Jus Post Bellum.....	388
K. Conclusion	396
Conclusions.....	397
Annex A.....	406
A. Introduction.....	406
B. Method.....	406

C.	Findings	409
1.	Introduction.....	409
2.	SSRN Analysis.....	411
a)	Summary Findings.....	411
b)	Unclassifiable	412
c)	Functional Definitions	414
d)	Temporal Definitions.....	418
3.	Westlaw and SSRN Analysis.....	423
D.	Conclusion	431
1.	Areas for Further Research	432
2.	Implementing Further Research.....	434
	Summary	436
	Bibliography	440
A.	Literature.....	440
B.	Table of Cases.....	463
C.	Table of Treaties	464
D.	UN Documents	471
E.	Miscellaneous Sources.....	478
F.	Online Sources.....	479

