

Topics in the syntax of Sarikoli

Kim, D.; Kim D.

Citation

Kim, D. (2017, September 20). *Topics in the syntax of Sarikoli. LOT dissertation series*. LOT, Utrecht. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/55948

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/55948

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/55948 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Kim, Deborah

Title: Topics in the syntax of Sarikoli

Date: 2017-09-20

11 Modality

Sarikoli uses modal constructions to express semantic contrasts that are related to the speaker's or the agent's perspective on a situation. This chapter describes various modal constructions, most of which are indicated through subordination and a special particle or word marking the modality. Many of these modalities are expressed in an infinitival CC (complement clause) or conditional AC (adverbial clause). Table 11.1 presents the different types of modalities that are described in this chapter, along with their structural markings and section references.

Table 11.1 Modality

Modality	Structure	Marker	Reference
Possibility	Infinitival CC	səwd; mumkin	§11.1
Ability	Infinitival CC	tçi tçejg	§11.2
Intentional	Infinitival CC	mejdz	§11.3
Desiderative	Infinitival CC	dil	§11.4
Imminent	Infinitival CC	bar+sut; bar+dzuj jot	§11.5
Permission	Conditional AC	tsa + səwd	§11.6
Obligation	Conditional AC	na tsa na səwd	§11.7
	Infinitival CC	luzim/darkur; tegiç	
Hypothetical	Conditional AC	tsa	§11.8
Optative	Conditional AC	tsa	§11.9
Reminder	Conditional AC	tsa	§11.10
Supposition	Tag	= o kw	§11.11

11.1 Possibility

Possibility is marked by expressing the content of possibility as an infinitival CC, in combination with the main verb *səwd* 'become'. *səwd* in the third

person singular imperfective stem carries the meaning 'be possible; be okay'. This construction indicates that the content in the embedded clause is possible, whereas the addition of the preverbal negative particle na indicates that the content is impossible. In each of the sentences in (11.1) - (11.4), na may be added to indicate impossibility. This construction may occur in an interrogative sentence, as in (11.3) & (11.4).

```
(11.1) a=wi tçer wazond (na) səwd ACC=3SG.NNOM.DIST matter know.INF NEG become.3SG.IPFV 'That matter is (un)knowable.'
```

```
(11.2) kobuçluk tid=itçuz pond nist, moçin qati
Koghushluk go.INF=REL road NEG.be.IPFV car COM

tid (na) səwd
go.INF NEG become.3sg.IPFV

'There are no roads that go to Koghushluk, it is (not) possible to go there by car.'
```

(11.3) tamaç pa jatoq χωτυk ρεχt (na) 2PL.NNOM LOC dormitory food cook.INF NEG

```
sawd = o become.3SG.IPFV = Q
```

become.3sg.IPFV = Q

'Is it (not) possible to cook food in your dormitory?'

(11.4) az marjong a = muztokato wand (na)
ABL Maryong ACC = Muztagh.Ata see.INF NEG s > wd = o

'Is it (not) possible to see Muztagh Ata from Maryong?'

Alternatively, the word *mumkin* 'possible' may be added to the end of the infinitival CC containing the content of possibility. If the content is impossible, *nist* 'NEG.be.IPFV' is added after *mumkin*, as in (11.6). Although not obligatory, *mas* 'also' often precedes *mumkin*. This construction is commonly used in longer lists of possibilities, as in (11.7). Examples (11.6) & (11.7) contain both constructions for expressing possibility, with *səwd* and *mumkin*.

(11.5) *wi tçur az di ðejw vid* 3SG.NNOM.DIST husband ABL 3SG.NNOM.PROX crazy be.INF

(mas) mumkin

also possible

'Maybe her husband is crazier than this person.'

(11.6) az di dzuj tamaç ar dzuj hitç ABL 3SG.NNOM.PROX place 2PL.NNOM LOC place none

t coj tid na sawd, k=az who.NOM go.INF NEG become.3SG.IPFV ANA=ABL

wi dzuj awd-ik jɛt mas mumkin 3sg.nnom.dist place here-dim come.inf also possible

nist

NEG.be.IPFV

'It is not possible for anyone to go from our place to your place, nor to come from there to here.'

(11.7) sodeq sulir xu tej tçejg mas mumkin, Sodeq next.year REFL.NNOM wedding do.INF also possible

 $\chi uuzmat = ir digar dzuj tid mas mumkin, uz$ work = DAT other place go.INF also possible again

xojd mas mumkin, pa tçed kalo pojd mas read.INF also possible LOC house sheep herd.INF also

mumkin, a=wi wazond na possible ACC=3SG.NNOM.DIST know.INF NEG

səwd

become.3sg.ipfv

'Next year, Sodeq may get married, go to another place for work, continue his education, or herd sheep at home; it is impossible to know.'

11.2 Ability

Ability is expressed as an infinitival CC and marked by the preverbal particle $t \in i$ and the main verb $t \in i \in j$ do' in any aspect. The embedded verb, which is the action of ability, occurs in the infinitive stem and precedes $t \in i$. If the embedded verb is a compound verb formed with $t \in j \in j$, only the nominal element precedes $t \in i$ $t \in j \in j$, as in (11.10) & (11.11). If the main verb is negated, the preverbal negative particle $t \in j$ as in (11.10) - (11.12). While possibility is impersonal, ability is personal.

```
(11.8) tudzik ziv levd tci ka=am
Tajik tongue say.INF CAP do.IPFV=1SG.IPFV
'I can speak Tajik.'
```

- (11.9) tow moçin det tçi ka=o2SG.NOM car drive.INF CAP do.IPFV=Q 'Can you drive a car?'
- (11.10) a. dzul $batço-\chi ejl$ m=a=di hat small child-PL.NOM CATA=ACC=3SG.NNOM.PROX open

na tçi ka=in
NEG CAP do.IPFV = 3PL.IPFV
'Little children cannon open this.'

b. *dzul batço- χejl m=a=di hat small child-PL.NOM CATA = ACC = 3SG.NNOM.PROX open

tçejg na tçi ka=in do.INF NEG CAP do.IPFV=3PL.IPFV 'Little children cannon open this.'

(11.11) a. χafo mo so tu = ri = am upset PROH become.IPFV 2SG.NNOM = DAT = 1SG.PFV

jordam na tçi tçəwg help NEG CAP do.PFV 'Do not get upset (I am sorry), I could not help you.' b. * χ afo mo so tuu=ri=am upset PROH become.IPFV 2SG.NNOM=DAT=1SG.PFV

jordam tçejg na tçi tçəwg help do.INF NEG CAP do.PFV 'Do not get upset (I am sorry), I could not help you.'

(11.12) zulfia warmand na tçi tçejg = ir vɛðdz Zeelfia massage.INF NEG CAP do.INF = DAT be.PRF 'Zeelfia cannot massage. (Evidentiality/New information)'

In (11.12), the ability construction co-occurs with evidentiality marking; the speaker has heard or discovered that the agent does not have the ability to massage well.

11.3 Intentional

The intentional construction is formed with the intended action expressed as an infinitival CC, followed by the word *mejdz*. It is used to indicate intended or imminent action. If the intention is in a non-imperfective aspect, the copula predicate *vid* 'be' in that aspect is added at the end of the sentence, along with the appropriate pronominal clitic attached to some constituent preceding it, as in (11.15) - (11.17):

- (11.13) *m-oto* sulir pokiston tid mejdz 1SG.NNOM-father next.year Pakistan go.INF INTEN 'My father is planning to go to Pakistan next year.'
- (11.14) waz citc si munut dam zoxt mejdz 1SG.NOM now thirty minute rest get.INF INTEN 'I am planning to rest for thirty minutes now.'
- (11.15) tamaç pa tçed set mejdz = af veðdz

 2PL.NNOM LOC house become.INF INTEN = 3PL.PFV be.PRF

 'They were planning to go to your(pl) house. (Evidentiality/New information)'
- (11.16) waz = am tuu = ri tilfon teejg mejdz 1SG.NOM = 1SG.PFV 2SG.NNOM = DAT phone do.INF INTEN

vuid be.pfv

'I was planning to call you.'

```
    (11.17) na broxt mejdz=at vud=o
        NEG drink.INF INTEN=2SG.PFV be.PFV=Q
        'Were you planning not to drink it?'
    (11.18) marg mejdz=an sut
        die.INF INTEN=1PL.PFV become.PFV
        'We are about to die.'
```

Unlike verbal predicates, *mejdz* does not come in five different stems, nor does it take any pronominal subject-verb agreement clitics. It also neither takes adnominal modifiers, as shown in (11.19) & (11.20), nor functions as an adnominal modifier, as shown in (11.21).

```
(11.19) *wef mejdz
3PL.NNOM.DIST intention'

(11.20) *zit mejdz
bad INTEN
'bad intention'

(11.21) *mejdz tçer
INTEN matter
'intended matter'
```

11.4 Desiderative

Sarikoli also has a special desiderative construction which may express the desire of any person, even if the desirer is not the speaker. The desiderative construction consists of an infinitival CC which functions as the copula complement within the main clause. The copula subject of the main clause is always *dil* 'heart', and the content of desire is expressed in the infinitival CC which follows *dil*. The person who experiences the desire is structurally the possessor of *dil*, and may be a proper noun (11.22), common noun (11.23), or a possessive pronoun (11.24) - (11.26). In the imperfective aspect, the copula subject *dil* and copula complement are simply juxtaposed. If the content of desire occurs in a non-imperfective aspect, the copula predicate *vid* 'be' in that aspect occurs sentence-finally, as in (11.26); no pronominal agreement clitics are used because the subject is always *dil*, which is third person singular.

- (11.22) zuulfia dil anur xats broxt Zeelfia heart pomegranate water drink.INF 'Zeelfia wants to drink pomegranate juice.'
- (11.23) m-ono dil a = tama c utc wand 1SG.NNOM-mother heart ACC = 2PL.NNOM very see.INF 'My mother really wants to see you(pl).'
- (11.24) mu dil hit; tsiz na χig 1SG.NNOM heart none thing NEG eat.INF 'I do not want to eat anything.'
- (11.25) wi dil amriko tid 3SG.NNOM.DIST heart America go.INF 'He wants to go to America.'
- (11.26) asl-i mu dil mas çejdoi intsivd vud origin-ADV 1SG.NNOM heart also Sheydoi sew.INF be.PFV 'Originally, I also had wanted to sew a Sheydoi (female cap).'

11.5 Imminent

Imminent modality is used for events which are on the verge of taking place. The imminent event is expressed through an infinitival CC, with the infinitive verb preceded by the imminent marker *bar* and followed by *suut* 'become.PFV':

- (11.27) $mo \[\wp in \] a = wi \\ car ACC = 3SG.NNOM.DIST IMM hit.INF become.PFV 'The car almost hit him.'$
- (11.28) bar tid = am sut χu az IMM go.INF = 1SG.PFV become.PFV REFL.NNOM ABL

watan

hometown

'I am about to leave my hometown.'

```
(11.29)
                                 a = wi
                        tçur
                                                       teer
                                                              bar
         3SG.NNOM.DIST husband ACC = 3SG.NNOM.DIST matter IMM
                     suit
           ranixt
           forget.INF become.PFV
         'Her husband almost forgot about that matter.'
(11.30) namak az
                     gor
                            a = \chi u
                                             bar zed
         Namak ABL anger ACC=REFL.NNOM IMM kill.INF
           suit
           become.PFV
         'Namak almost killed himself from anger.'
(11.31)
                    mudzuz mas tcardz, jong mas a=mu
         1SG.NNOM feeling also good
                                       cold also ACC = 1SG.NNOM
           bar latçejg sut
           IMM let.INF become.PFV
         'I am also feeling well, and my cold has almost let go of me.'
```

(11.32) χ er ar χ er bar dejd set wa χ t sun LOC rock IMM enter.INF become.INF time χ ubun- χ ejl = af wi pa prud shepherd-PL.NOM = 3PL.PFV 3SG.NNOM.DIST LOC front χ ot come.PFV 'When the sun was about to set, the shepherds came to him.'

Alternatively, to emphasize the extent of a situation, the infinitival CC containing the imminent event may be preceded by *bar* and followed by *dzuj jot* 'place come.PFV':

```
namak az qor a=χuu bar zɛd dzuj
Namak ABL anger ACC=REFL.NNOM IMM kill.INF place

jot
come.PFV
'Namak almost came to the point of killing himself from anger.'
```

(11.34) *hawu dos pur ðud iko, maç* precipitation manner much fall.PFV COMP 1PL.NNOM

tçed~matçed bar ʁɛrd dzuj jot

house~RDP IMM turn.INF place come.PFV

'It rained so much that our house almost came to the point of collapsing.'

11.6 Permission

Permission is expressed as a conditional AC, and is marked by the conditional particle tsa and the main verb sawd 'become', which has the meaning 'be possible; be okay'. As with any other conditional AC, the verb in the embedded clause, which contains the action that is permitted, remains in the finite form, and tsa either immediately precedes or follows it. The main verb sawd occurs at the end of the sentence. In this basic structure, the speaker is either granting permission or informing someone that something is permitted, as in (11.35) & (11.36). If the speaker is asking for permission, the interrogative enclitic = o is added at the end, as in (11.37) - (11.39). Both the embedded verb and the main verb sawd may be negated with the preverbal particle na, as in (11.39a) & (11.40), respectively. If the embedded verb is negated, tsa occurs either before or after the negator and the verb, but not in between, as shown by the ungrammatical example (11.39b).

```
(11.35) \partial wd \quad ni\theta = it tsa \quad s\partial wd here sit.IPFV = 2PL.IPFV COND become.3SG.IPFV 'It is okay for you(pl) to sit here.'
```

(11.36) m-ono = ri tilfon tsa ka 1SG.NNOM-mother = DAT phone COND do.IPFV

səwd

become.3sg.IPFV

'It is okay for you to call my mother.'

(11.37) az ta i gap pars = am tsaABL 2SG.NNOM one word ask.IPFV = 1sg.IPFV COND

sawd = o

become.3sg.IPFV = Q

'Is it okay if I ask you something?'

```
(11.38)
        romila citc
                      χш
                                  pa tçed
                                              tsa
                                                     tizd
         Romila now REFL.NNOM LOC house COND go.3SG.IPFV
           sawd = o
           become.3sg.IPFV = Q
         'Is it okay if Romila goes home now?'
(11.39)
        a. pugan
                            dars
                       pa
                                   na
                                        so = am
                                                                tsa
            tomorrow LOC lesson NEG become.IPFV = 1SG.IPFV COND
              sawd = 0
              become.3SG.IPFV = Q
            'Is it okay if I do not go to class tomorrow?'
         b. *pugan
                      ра
                           dars
                                   na
                                        tsa
                                               so = am
            tomorrow LOC lesson NEG COND become.IPFV = 1SG.IPFV
              sawd = 0
              become.3sg.ipfv = o
            'Is it okay if I do not go to class tomorrow?'
(11.40) a = di
                                mon
                                      χor
                                               tsa
                                                      na
         ACC = 3SG.NNOM.PROX apple eat.IPFV COND NEG
           səwd
           become.3sg.IPFV
         'Is is not okay to eat this apple.'
```

11.7 Obligation

The construction for expressing obligation is the inverse of the permission construction, negating both the protasis and the apodosis of the permission construction (§11.6). The content of obligation is expressed as a conditional AC, and both the main verb sawd and the verb that contains the obligated action are negated, with the particle tsa occurring either before or after the embedded verb and its negator. To question or express regret about the obligation, the interrogative enclitic = o is added at the end, as in (11.44) & (11.45).

(11.41) pa dars na so tsa na səwd

LOC lesson NEG become.IPFV COND NEG become.3SG.IPFV

'You must go to class.' (lit. It is not okay for you not to go to class.)

```
(11.42) nur
               a = di
                                       teer
                                             adu
                                                   na
         today ACC = 3SG.NNOM.PROX work finish NEG
           ka = am
                                          səwd
                              tsa
                                     na
           do.ipfv = 1sg.ipfv cond neg become.3sg.ipfv
         'I must finish this work today.' (lit. It is not okay for me not to
           finish this work today.)
                   pugan
(11.43)
         tamaç
                                   wazefs = it
                              na
                                                         tsa
                                                                na
         2PL.NOM tomorrow NEG return.IPFV = 2PL.IPFV COND NEG
           səwd
           become.3sg.IPFV
         'You(pl) must return tomorrow.' (lit. It is not okay for you(pl) not
           to return tomorrow.)
(11.44)
                                   sawd = o
         na
              tεdz
                       tsa
                             na
         NEG go.IPFV COND NEG become.3SG.IPFV = Q
         'Must you go?' (lit. Is it not okay for you not to go?)
(11.45)
                              ka = am
                         na
                  tsa
         wedding COND NEG do.IPFV = 1SG.IPFV NEG
           sawd = o
           become.3sg.ipfv=Q
         'Must I get married?' (lit. Is it not okay for me not to do my
```

In addition, there are two modal words that may be used interchangeably to form constructions expressing strong obligation or necessity: <code>luzim</code> and <code>darkur</code> 'necessary'. Although they are interchangeable, <code>luzim</code> is much more commonly used than <code>darkur</code>. To form these obligation constructions, <code>luzim</code> or <code>darkur</code> is placed after an infinitival CC containing the matter of obligation. <code>luzim</code> and <code>darkur</code> do not have five different stems as verbal predicates do, and are not marked for subject-verb agreement through pronominal clitics.

wedding?)

```
(11.46) maç vijojddz=ɛndz xtur-xejl dam zoxt
1PL.NNOM ride.PRF=REL camel-PL.NOM rest get.INF

luzim/darkur
necessary

'For the camels that we rode, it is necessary to get rest.'
```

(11.47) sulir xojd adu t cej g = it cuz $bat co- \chi cej l$ az next.year read.INF finish do.INF = REL child-PL.NOM ABL

çitç xuzmat xikejg luzim/darkur

now work search.INF necessary

'For the students who will finish their studies next year, it is necessary to begin searching for jobs now.'

(11.48) χalg $zuv\delta dz = \varepsilon ndz$ $a = \chi alg$ vid na vid person kill.PRF = REL ACC = person be.INF NEG be.INF

zed luzim/darkur

kill.INF necessary

'It is necessary to kill someone who has killed another person.'

(11.49) pa aftoruz $nalist = it \varepsilon uz$ $a = dzuj - \varepsilon f$ $p\varepsilon \varepsilon qadam$ LOC bus sit.INF = REL ACC = place-PL.NNOM elderly

majif garun puj əwrat udziz batço pa disabled heavy perseverance woman weak child LOC

makəwl $t \in \partial w y dz = \varepsilon n dz$ $\chi a l g - \varepsilon f = ir$ $\delta o d$ lap do.PRF = REL person-PL.NNOM = REL give.INF

luzim/darkur

necessary

'It is necessary to give the seats on the bus to the elderly, disabled, pregnant, weak, and people who are carrying children.'

Weaker obligation or duty is expressed by the modal word *tegic* 'should', which is placed after an infinitival CC containing the matter of obligation:

- (11.50) jad tsavur xipik dzuft vid tegiç
 3SG.NOM.PROX four flatbread pair be.INF should
 'The four flatbreads should be stacked up in twos.'
- (11.51) rahmat mo lev jad muu thanks PROH say.IPFV 3SG.NOM.PROX 1SG.NNOM

t cej g = ir t cej c constant for the should

'Do not thank me, this is my responsibility.' (lit. Do not say thank you, this is something that I should do.)

```
(11.52)
         sala-an
                      wi
                                       rang mas ruct at
                                                              speid
         turban-GEN 3SG.NNOM.DIST color also red CONJ white
           vid
                   tegiç
           be.INF should
         'The color of the turban should also be red and white.'
(11.53)
              aftovuz nalist = itçuz a = dzuj-ef
                                                           peçqadam
         LOC bus
                       sit.INF = REL ACC = place-PL.NNOM elderly
           \chialg = ir
                          ðod
                                   tegiç
           person = DAT give.INF should
         'The seats on the bus should be given to the elderly.'
```

11.8 Hypothetical

The hypothetical modality expresses that a proposition may easily be true, even if it may not be true in actuality. It is expressed as a conditional AC, marked by adding the conditional tsa particle immediately before or after the verb in the imperfective stem, and optionally adding the word $b\varepsilon \chi ala$ 'what if' at the beginning of the sentence. As with other conditional ACs, it is ungrammatical for the verb to be in a non-imperfective aspect, as shown by the ungrammatical example (11.58b). But unlike other conditional ACs, it constitutes an entire sentence by itself. This construction is used when the speaker is not certain of the actual situation and wants to express fear or concern, usually expecting some kind of response or change in the course of action, so it is posed as a question.

- (11.54) ($b\varepsilon\chi ala$) ranos = in tsa what.if forget.IPFV = 3PL.IPFV COND 'What if they forget?'

 (11.55) ($b\varepsilon\chi ala$) $a = ma\varepsilon$ na $laka = ma\varepsilon$
- (11.55) (bexala) a=mac na laka=in tsa what.if ACC=1PL.NNOM NEG let.IPFV=3PL.IPFV COND 'What if they do not allow us?'
- (11.56) ($b \varepsilon \chi a la$) tilfon tu = ri tsa $jo \delta d$ what.if phone 2 s G.NNOM = DAT COND come. 3 s G.IPFV 'What if you get a phone call?'

- (11.57) (bɛxala) bast tsa what.if disappear.3sg.IPFV COND 'What if it disappears?'
- (11.58) a. (*bεχala*) pa puiz dzuj tsa na rast what.if LOC train place COND NEG remain.IPFV 'What if there will be no seats left on the train?'
 - b. *(bεχala) pa puiz dzuj tsa na rejd
 what.if LOC train place COND NEG remain.PFV
 'What if there are no seats left on the train?'

11.9 Optative

The optative indicates that the speaker hopes or wishes that something would be true, and directly expresses the wish of the speaker. The optative is expressed as a conditional AC, marked with the tsa particle immediately before or after the verb in the imperfective stem, and optionally adding the word kucki 'I wish' at the beginning of the sentence. As with the hypothetical modality, the optative conditional AC constitutes an entire sentence by itself and is not followed by an apodosis. In the following examples of the optative, (11.59) is a copula clause showing an attribution relation, (11.60) & (11.61) are existential clauses, and (11.62) - (11.64) are clauses with verbal predicates.

- (11.59) (ku¢ki) pugan mas hawu hat tsa vid
 I.wish tomorrow also weather open COND be.3SG.IPFV
 'If only it will be sunny again tomorrow...'
- (11.60) (kuçki) pugan mu-an dars na vid I.wish tomorrow 1sg.nnom-gen lesson neg be.3sg.ipfv

tsa COND

'If only I didn't have class tomorrow...'

(11.61) (kuçki) m-oto m-ono mu χejz I.wish 1SG.NNOM-father 1SG.NNOM-mother 1SG.NNOM side

v = in tsa be.IPFV = 3PL.IPFV COND

'If only my father and mother were by my side...'

```
(11.62)
         (kucki) waz
                           ut¢ pur
                                       ziv
                                               wazon = am
         I.wish 1sg.nom very much tongue know.ipfv=1sg.ipfv
           tsa
           COND
         'If only I knew very many languages...'
(11.63)
         (kucki) ingles
                                 mu = ri
                                                  ðа
                                                       BOV
                                                              gap
         I.wish English tongue 1SG.NNOM = DAT two mouth word
           xumand tsa
                           ka
           teach
                    COND do.IPFV
         'If only you would teach me two phrases of English...'
(11.64)
         (ku¢ki) uz
                       i
                            wejn = am
         I.wish again one see.IPFV = 1SG.IPFV COND
         'If only I could see it again one more time...'
```

11.10 Reminder

The reminder modality is used when the speaker is reminding the addressee of something or bringing up a topic that she assumes the addressee already knows about. It is expressed as a conditional AC, in which the *tsa* particle occurs immediately before or after the finite verb. Unlike other conditional ACs, however, the reminder construction is not limited to using imperfective verbs and may occur with any aspect specification: perfective aspect (11.65) - (11.67), imperfective aspect (11.68), and pluperfect aspect (11.69). It may also occur with words or phrases that do not constitute a complete clause, as in (11.70). The propositional content must be something that has actually happened or certainly will happen and is assumed to be known by both the speaker and the addressee, rather than a mere possibility. The reminder construction is not posed as a question, and is often followed by other thoughts related to the topic which was reminded, as in the examples below.

```
(11.65) t > w = at m = ri t > a l > c > d, 2 > G. NOM = 2 > G. PFV 1 > G. NNOM = DAT COND SAY. PFV waz = am na ranuxt 1 > G. NOM = 1 > G. PFV NEG forget. PFV 'You know how you told me? I did not forget.'
```

(11.66) *mui-an* tçer utç pur tsa vud. kazwi = am1SG.NNOM-GEN work very much COND be.PFV so = 1SG.PFV

dejr xuvd

late sleep.PFV

'You know how I had so much work to do? That is why I went to bed so late.'

(11.67) ingum qiw tsa tçəwg, jui a = mujust.now ACC=1sg.nnom call cond do.pfv 3sg.nom.dist

> sots mu χor

girl 1sg.nnom niece

'You know the one who called me just now? That girl is my niece.'

(11.68) *taw* tsa, waz = ampars 2SG.NOM ask.IPFV COND 1SG.NOM = 1SG.PFV

rang parst

ANA = 3SG.NNOM.DIST SEMB ask.PFV

'You know how you ask? I asked like that.'

(11.69) $t \ge w = at$ mu = ritilfon tsa 2SG.NOM = 2SG.PFV 1SG.NNOM = DAT phone COND

> tçi znod tçəwydz-it, waz = amlεq do.prf-cess 1sg.nom = 1sg.pfv clothing loc wash.inf

vuud

be.PFV

'You know how you called me? I was in the middle of washing clothes.'

(11.70) *parus* tej $t \in \partial w y dz = \varepsilon n dz$ sots tsa, last.year wedding do.PRF = REL girl COND 3SG.NOM

padiom vəwg

twin bring.PFV

'You know the girl who got married last year? She gave birth to twins.'

11.11 Supposition

Supposition is marked by adding the tag = o k u u to the end of any declarative sentence. The = o u is the interrogative enclitic used to mark polar questions. When using = o k u u, the speaker is making a guess or assumption that something is true based on previous experience from similar situations, but does not have complete certainty because there is no evidence for that particular case. The following examples show that the supposition construction may be formed from an existential clause (11.71) & (11.72), copula clause (11.73) & (11.74), verbal clause (11.75) & (11.76), and even words that do not constitute a complete clause (11.77). The part preceding = o k u u has the same intonation as a declarative sentence, and k u u carries a high pitch.

```
(11.71) pa t \in \mathcal{U} \chi alg nist = o ku LOC house person NEG.be.IPFV = Q SUP 'There is nobody at home, I think.'
```

- (11.72) ki=wi rang bejt mas jost=o ku ANA=3SG.NNOM.DIST SEMB song also be.IPFV=Q SUP 'There is also a song like that, I think.'
- (11.73) sulejmon $t \notin \mathcal{E} d$ $u t \notin \partial a r = 0$ k uSeeleymon house very f a r = Q SUP 'Seeleymon's house is very f a r, I think.'
- (11.74) u ju awrat tej $tcawydz = \varepsilon ndz = o$ ku there 3SG.NOM.DIST woman wedding do.PRF=REL=Q SUP 'That woman is married, I think.'
- (11.75) woð seð ejd na 3PL.NOM.DIST this.year festival NEG

narzambon = in = o kw celebrate.IPFV = 3PL.IPFV = Q SUP

'They are not celebrating the festival this year, I think.'

(11.76) wi $t \in d-n \in d = af$ hit $c \in d = af$ hit $c \in d = af$ house-ADJ-PL.NOM = 3PL.PFV none SEMB

zijun na wand=o ku harm NEG see.PFV=Q SUP

'His family did not suffer any kind of harm, I think.'

320 Topics in the syntax of Sarikoli

(11.77) k = dos = o kuANA = manner = Q SUP
'It is so, I think.'