



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Tailoring therapy in endometrial and cervical cancer

Gent, M.D.J.M. van

Citation

Gent, M. D. J. M. van. (2017, June 20). *Tailoring therapy in endometrial and cervical cancer*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/51101>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/51101>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/51101> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Gent, M.D.J.M. van

Title: Tailoring therapy in endometrial and cervical cancer

Issue Date: 2017-06-20

CHAPTER 3

Exploring morphologic and molecular aspects of endometrial cancer under progesterone treatment in the context of fertility preservation

Mignon van Gent
Alina Nicolae-Cristea (shared first author)
Cor de Kroon
Michelle Osse
Marjolein Kagie
Baptist Trimbos
Hans Marten Hazelbag
Vincent Smit
Tjalling Bosse

International Journal of Gynecological Cancer. 2016 Mar; 26(3):483-90

CHAPTER 3

Exploring morphologic and molecular aspects of endometrial cancer under progesterone treatment in the context of fertility preservation

- 3.1 Abstract
- 3.2 Introduction
- 3.3 Materials and Methods
- 3.4 Results
- 3.5 Discussion
- 3.6 References

3.1 ABSTRACT

Objective

The standard treatment of early stage (FIGO I) endometrioid endometrial cancer (EEC) is a hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy. An alternative approach for younger women with low-grade EEC who wish to preserve fertility may be hormonal treatment. Previous studies have suggested that progesterone may elicit its anti-tumour effect in EEC by interacting with the Wnt and/or PI3K/Akt pathways. Therefore, we explored whether common activating genetic alterations in Wnt and PI3K/Akt signaling correlated with non-responsiveness to progesterone therapy for low-grade EEC. Additionally, we investigated whether benign morphology under progesterone treatment is accompanied by absence of genetic changes.

Materials and Methods

We analysed molecular alterations in the Wnt and PI3K/Akt signaling in 84 serial endometrial samples from 11 premenopausal patients with progesterone receptor (PR) positive, low-grade EEC conservatively treated with progesterone and correlated these with histological and clinical follow-up.

Results

There were six responders and five non-responders to progesterone treatment. The response rate to progesterone treatment was 55% and the relapse rate 83%. All responders had alterations in both the Wnt and PI3K/Akt pathway prior to treatment. In the non-responder group, tumours inconsistently showed alterations in none, one or both pathways. Normalisation of the endometrium morphology under progesterone treatment is accompanied by absence of the genetic changes found in the specimen prior to treatment.

Conclusions

We found that activating molecular alterations in either Wnt or PI3K/Akt signaling pathways did not predict resistance to progesterone treatment. It seems that morphological response goes along with disappearance of the established mutations. This exploratory study suggests that Wnt or PI3K/Akt status is unable to predict response to progesterone treatment in patients with EEC.

3.2 INTRODUCTION

Endometrial cancer is the most common cancer of the female genital tract in most Western countries, with an incidence of 20 in 100.000 and is the fourth most common cancer in women after breast, lung, and colorectal cancer.^{1,2} A minority (4%) of the patients is younger than 40 years of age at diagnosis.³ Standard treatment in early stage endometrial cancer is total hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (BSO). Hormonal treatment with progesterone may also be an option in women with well-differentiated endometrioid endometrial cancer (EEC) who wish to preserve their fertility. The initial response rate to hormonal treatment is generally good (76.2%). However, there is a high risk of relapse.⁴

The premise for progesterone treatment is the concept that unopposed estrogen stimulation is the driver for both initiation and progression of EEC.⁵ In advanced endometrial cancer, progesterone receptor (PR) expression has a strong predictive value for response to progesterone therapy.^{6,7} In these patients it is possible to identify progesterone resistant tumours with an accuracy of about 90%, by determining the PR expression. Therefore, pre-treatment PR expression analysis is commonly used in the selection of patients prior to initiating progesterone therapy.⁶⁻⁸ Whether PR expression is as accurate in predicting responsiveness to progesterone in low-grade EEC is unknown.

The mechanism by which progesterone induces involution of EEC is not fully understood. There is data suggesting involvement of the Wingless (Wnt) and Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-Akt signal transduction pathways. Both Wnt and PI3K/Akt signaling are frequently altered during endometrial tumorigenesis and act additively and synergistically in inducing cell proliferation.^{9,10} Constitutive activation of the Wnt-signaling can be the result of a mutation in the *CTNNB1* gene, alterations in the *APC* gene or functionally, under influence of (endogenous) estrogen.^{9,11} Nuclear β -catenin staining, indicative of active Wnt signaling, has been demonstrated in 30-85% of EECs.^{12,13} Furthermore, endogenous activation of the Wnt and PI3K/Akt pathways occurs throughout the normal menstrual cycle following changes of estrogen and progesterone levels and induces proliferation of the endometrium.^{9,14} High levels of progesterone have been shown to result in inhibition of Wnt signaling *in vitro* through up-regulation of FOXO1 and DKK1.^{9,11}, thereby providing a possible mechanism for the anti-tumour effect of progesterone.

Activation of the PI3K/Akt pathway in EECs is most frequently due to inactivation of the tumour suppressor gene *PTEN* or by activating hotspot mutations in *PIK3CA* and/or *KRAS*. In EEC, loss of *PTEN* has been reported in 50 to 80% of cases.^{15,16} *PIK3CA* and *KRAS* have been shown to be mutated in up to 52% and 17% of EECs, respectively.^{17,18} One study of serial endometrial samples of patients with complex atypical hyperplasia (CAH), which is considered the precursor of EEC, shows significant decrease in phospho-Akt during

progesterone treatment.¹⁹ This suggests that anti-tumour effect of progesterone may be mediated by inhibiting the PI3K/Akt signaling through dephosphorylation of Akt.

The aim of our study was to evaluate the morphological and molecular changes in sequential biopsies obtained prior to, during and after progesterone treatment in 11 women with EEC. We focussed on the Wnt and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways and explored whether activation of these pathways was related to response to therapy and recurrence.

3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Between 2002 and 2012, pre-treatment specimens of eleven patients treated with progesterone for EEC were sent in for central pathological review at our institution. We retrospectively collected the clinical data of these patients and obtained all available consecutive endometrial specimens for histopathological review and molecular analysis. Patient data is administered according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki on the ethics of research with humans. Clinical, pathological and follow-up data are summarised in table 1. All patients were diagnosed as having well-differentiated (grade 1) EEC according to the criteria stated by the WHO Classification of Tumours of the Female Genital Tract.¹⁵ All tumours showed PR expression by immunohistochemistry (IHC) in their pre-treatment samples. Myometrial invasion and extra-uterine disease were evaluated by transvaginal ultrasound and contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, revealing <50% myometrium invasion and no extra-uterine disease in all cases. All patients were treated with high-dose progesterone followed by three-monthly hysteroscopy with curetting and/or biopsy. Ten patients received medroxyprogesterone (MPA) with a median dosage of 250 mg/day (range 100-600 mg) and 1 patient was treated with megestrol acetate 200 mg/day. In addition to MPA, two patients received a levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (LNG-IUS). During follow up, if responders had completed family or revised their wish for offspring, hysterectomy with BSO was advised.

Pathology evaluation

We examined 84 curettings or biopsies and 5 hysterectomy specimens. Samples were handled in a coded fashion and all procedures were performed according to the ethical guidelines as outlined in the "Code for Proper Secondary Use of Human Tissue in The Netherlands" (Dutch Federation of Medical Scientific Societies). Formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) blocks of at least 6 consecutive samples were available for each patient (range 6 - 10, median 8). All slides and immunohistochemical stains were evaluated by one senior pathology resident (A.N.) and two gynaecopathologists (T.B. en V.S.) independently. Evaluation of the specimen was performed while unaware of

patient characteristics and clinical outcome. Discordant findings were reviewed until consensus was reached. The following parameters were evaluated on haematoxylin and eosin slides: architectural abnormalities, cytological atypia and presence of progesterone induced changes (atrophy of glands, secretory changes of the epithelium and pseudodecidualisation of stroma). Complete pathological response to progesterone therapy was defined as proliferative, secretory, inactive or atrophic endometrium without hyperplasia or atypia, as previously described ²⁰ and according to the criteria stated by the WHO Classification of Tumours of the Female Genital Tract. ¹⁵ Absence of response was defined as persistence of well-differentiated EEC or CAH/endometrial intraepithelial neoplasia (EIN).

Endometrial sampling

63% of the pre-hysterectomy samples were taken by conventional dilatation and curetting or micro-curetting, 13% by biopsy/hysteroscopic resection, and 17% by both. The sampling method was unknown in 7% of the samples.

Table 1. Clinicopathological findings and follow-up of 11 patients with low-grade EEC treated with progesterone

Case no.	Age at Diagnosis, y	BMI	Menstrual cycle Prediagnosis	Indication Analysis	MRI	Prediagnosis GxPx
1	27	31.1	Oligomenorrhea	Polyp	normal	G1P1
2	30	38	Irregular	Fertility analysis	-	G0
3	35	20.8	Regular	Fertility analysis	normal	G0
4	37	30	Regular	Fertility analysis	normal	G0
5	35	20	Oligomenorrhea	Fertility analysis	-	G0
6	36	33.2	Irregular	Thickened endometrium	-	G0
7	38	22.8	Regular	Fertility analysis/polyp	< 50% myometrium	G0
8	27	27	-	Fertility analysis/polyp	normal	G0
9	31	23.9	Irregular	Metrorrhagia	normal	G0
10	33	28.7	Irregular	Fertility analysis/polyp	-	G1P0A1
11	28	39	Irregular	Irregular bleeding	normal	G0

MPA. Medroxyprogesterone; mo. months; G, gravida; P, para; A, abortion; LNG-IUS, Levenogestrel-releasing intrauterine system; * But relapsed and responded again. † Complete family. ‡ After relapse.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry for PTEN and β -catenin was performed as described previously.²¹ PTEN was scored positive if it showed cytoplasmic staining in the entire tumour or the majority of the tumour cells, and negative if it showed no cytoplasmic staining in the tumour cells.²² Adjacent stromal cells or normal endometrial glands served as positive internal controls. Activated Wnt signaling was defined as nuclear beta-catenin staining in > 1% of the tumour cells, in accordance with previous studies.^{12, 23} PR staining was performed using clone PGR 636, DAKO, 1: 100 dilution and ER staining using clone 1D5, DAKO, 1:100 on each sample. Nuclear PR and ER positivity in tumour cells was scored using a continuous percentage scale.

DNA isolation

From FFPE blocks containing sufficient tissue (curettings), two whole sections of 10 μ m were used for DNA isolation with the Tissue Preparation System (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics). From samples with a small amount of tissue (micro-curettings or biopsies), 5 whole sections of 10 μ m were used. Endometrium from hysterectomy specimens was micro dissected from five whole sections of 10 μ m, to avoid contamination with normal myometrium.

3

Treatment, mg/d	Duration of Treatment, mo	No. Curettages	Response	Hysterectomy	Follow- up, mo	GxPx at End of Follow-up
MPA 200	17.5	10	Yes*	No	47	G3P1A2
MPA 600 (10 mo) + MPA 300 (4 mo) +LNG-IUS post tx	17	8	Yes*	Yes†	128	G3P2A1
MPA 200	18	9	Yes*	No	38	G1P0A1
MPA 200	19	6	No	Yes	32	G0
Megace 200	17	7	No	No	25	G0
MPA 100 + LNG-IUS (4 mo) + MPA 500	10	8	No	Yes	42	G0
MPA 400	9	6	No	Yes	22	G0
MPA 200	15	8	Yes	No	22	G0
MPA 200	8.5	7	Yes	Yes‡	23	G0
MPA 300 (3 mo) + MPA 200 (3 mo)	6	7	Yes	No	75	G2P1A1
MPA 500 + LNG-IUS	12	6	No	No	19	G0

Allele specific PCR (KRAS and PIK3CA)

Allele specific PCR was performed to identify the seven *KRAS* and three *PIK3CA* hot spot mutations most frequently encountered in EEC, using a custom-made panel of hydrolysis probe assays as described previously.^{21,24}

Sanger sequencing

CTNNB1 exon 3 was amplified using the following primers: forward: GATTTGATGGAGTTGGACATGG, reverse: TGTTCTTGAGTGAAGGACTGA. Sanger sequencing was performed on purified PCR products (Macrogen, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). Sequences of the forward and reverse strands were analysed with Mutation Surveyor software (Softgenetics, State College, PA, USA).

Genetic susceptibility exclusion

According to the clinical data there was no suspicion of Lynch syndrome. MLH1 immunohistochemistry was performed in all patients and showed no loss of expression, thereby excluding the possibility of sporadic EEC with microsatellite instability.

3.4 RESULTS**Clinical aspects**

Eleven patients diagnosed with grade 1 EEC were included. The mean BMI was 25.8 (median 27.9, range 20-39). None of the patients suffered from diabetes. The duration of treatment varied between 6 and 19 months, with a median of 15 months. The median follow up was 32 months (range 19-128 months). Based on the aforementioned definition of complete response (CR) there were 5 non-responders (45%) and 6 responders (55%), of whom 5 patients (83%) relapsed during the follow-up of our study. All responders showed CR within the first 12 months of treatment, with a median time to response of 6 months (table 3). Four of the responders became pregnant with a total of 7 pregnancies (4 miscarriages, 3 term deliveries of healthy neonates). All pregnancies were achieved by assisted fertility treatment through intrauterine insemination (IUI) (n = 4) or in vitro fertilisation (IVF) (n = 3). Five responders had recurrent disease after discontinuation of progesterone and 4 of them responded again after restarting hormonal treatment. Two of the responders underwent hysterectomy after completion of their pregnancies (table 1). The non-responders continued treatment up to a follow up of respectively 15, 18, 24, 24 and 27 months. Of these, three have undergone hysterectomies and two are awaiting hysterectomy. In the 5 non-responders, there was persistence of residual disease in every curetting and in the hysterectomy specimen. Histological analysis of none of the available hysterectomy specimens showed upgrading or upstaging of the presumed stage of disease. Nine patients are alive without evidence of disease (table 1). Two are awaiting hysterectomy.

	Cur 1 July '02	Cur 2 Nov '02	Cur 3 April '03	Cur 4 Feb '05	Cur 5 June '05	Cur 6 May '07	Cur 7 Nov '09	Cur 8 June '11	Family completed Hysterectomy
HE	EEC*	EEC*	EEC*	Sq metapl	prog effect	EEC*	normal	normal	normal
PTEN	loss	loss	loss	normal	normal	loss	normal	loss*	normal
β-catenin	pos	pos	pos	pos*	neg	pos	neg	neg	neg
PIK3CA	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt
KRAS	c.34 G>A	wt	wt	wt	wt	c.34 G>A	wt	wt	wt
CTNNB1	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt

Cur 1: MPA 600 mg/day - 4 months
 Cur 2: MPA 600 mg/day - 5 months
 Cur 3: Stop MPA - 20 months
 Cur 4: Start Metformin + clomid
 Cur 5: Stop MPA, Start IUI - 21 months
 Cur 6: Pregnancy, Term CS
 Cur 7: Mirena in - 18 months
 Cur 8: Mirena out, start IUI - 19 months
 Family completed: Mirena in 22 months

Figure 1. Histological changes and molecular findings in sequential endometrium samples under progesterone treatment, patient 2: response, recurrent disease, response.

Legend: Sq metapl, squamous metaplasia; prog effect, progesterone effect; wt, wild type; * focally present

Histology

During treatment, the tumours showed prominent architectural as well as cellular changes. Decrease of the gland-to-stroma ratio was observed. All patients showed decreased glandular cellularity, decreased mitotic activity of glandular epithelium and decreased cellular atypia during treatment. Atrophy of pre-existing glandular epithelium and pseudodecidualisation of stroma were present in the first curetting after initiation of hormonal treatment in most patients (82%). Figure 1 displays the HE and molecular findings of an initial responder, who relapsed and responded again. In samples with residual disease under progesterone treatment, glandular confluence with a cribriform growth pattern persisted at least focally (supplement 1).

Hormone receptor status

All patients had PR and ER expression in 90-100% of tumour cells in the initial curetting and maintained positivity during treatment.

Molecular changes

All 6 responders had both pathways activated. In the non-responder group, tumours inconsistently showed alterations in none, one or both pathways. An overview of alterations in the PI3K/Akt and Wnt signaling pathways in the pre-treatment (diagnostic) samples in relation to the clinical response is listed in table 2. The genetic aberrations in subsequent curettings are summarised in table 3.

Wnt signaling

Nuclear staining of β -catenin by IHC, consistent with Wnt activation, was seen in 9 patients in the pre-treatment samples, all 6 responders and 3 non-responders (table 3). Residual foci of EEC in subsequent samples showed the same β -catenin staining as the initial tumour. In pre-treatment samples of four of these 9 patients (44%) a *CTNNB-1* exon 3 mutation could be demonstrated; 3 of them were missense mutations (p.D32V, p.S33C and p.S37C) and one was an in-frame deletion (p.D32del4(DSGI)). In two non-responders the same *CTNNB-1* mutation was found in subsequent samples with residual disease, indicating persistence of the malignant clone. Moreover, in one patient with relapse after complete response, the same *CTNNB-1* mutation as demonstrated in the initial specimen was found in the relapse specimen (case 9, table 3).

Table 3. Pathway alterations in the pretreatment endometrial specimen (EEC), responders versus nonresponders

	Responders	Nonresponders
Wnt activation only	0	1
PI3K/Akt activation only	0	1
Wnt + PI3K/Akt activation	6	2
No detected pathway alterations	0	1

Wnt activation was defined as nuclear β -catenin by immuno-histochemistry (with or without *CTNNB-1* exon 3 mutation), *PI3K/Akt activation* as *PTEN* loss and/or *PIK3CA* or *KRAS* mutations.

All responders showed activation of both pathways. In the non-responders, the molecular alterations vary.

PI3K/Akt signaling pathway

The PI3K/Akt pathway showed alterations in the pre-treatment samples in 8 patients (72%, table 2). Four of these had *PTEN* loss alone, one had simultaneous loss of *PTEN* and a *KRAS* exon 2 (p.G12S) mutation, and three had a *PIK3CA* mutation alone as activating event. Two of the *PIK3CA* mutations were located in exon 20 (H147R) and one in exon 9 (E542K). Residual foci of EEC in following samples had an identical *PTEN*-profile as the primary carcinoma. The same mutation (in *PIK3CA* and *KRAS*) was found in subsequent samples in two of the four patients, indicating persistence of the mutated clone. The same *KRAS* exon 2 mutation as in the initial specimen was found in one patient who relapsed after complete response followed by discontinuation of the treatment (table 3). None of the tumours harboured both *KRAS* and *PIK3CA* mutations simultaneously. In one of the non-responders no molecular alterations in Wnt or PI3K/Akt pathways were identified (table 2).

Samples without (pre)malignancy

Samples without histomorphological evidence of disease showed no *KRAS*, *PIK3CA* or *CTNNB-1* exon 3 mutations (N=32). In 6 of these samples, isolated benign looking glands showed nuclear beta-catenin positivity surrounded by strong pseudo-decidualized stroma. In 4 of the curettings without malignancy, sparse isolated *PTEN* negative glands with normal morphology were seen (*PTEN* null glands ²⁵).

Table 2. Histological and molecular findings in the first 24 months of follow-up, responders versus nonresponders

		Responders																														
Pt. no.	Time (mo)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24						
																						IVF		MC								
1	HE	■						○			○				○			■			○					○						
	PTEN	○						○			○				○			○			○					○						
	b-catenin	■						■			■				■			■			■					■						
	PIK3CA	■						○			○				○			○			○					○						
	KRAS	○						○			○				○			○			○					○						
	CTNNB1	○						○			○				○			○			○					○						
																				MC												
2	HE	■			■						■																					
	PTEN	■			■						■																					
	b-catenin	■			■						■																					
	PIK3CA	○				○					○																					
	KRAS	■				○					○																					
	CTNNB1	○				○					○																					
																						MC				IUI		MC				
3	HE	■	■			■				○		○						○														
	PTEN	○	■			■				○		○						○														
	b-catenin	■	■			■				○		○						○														
	PIK3CA	■	x			○				○		○						○														
	KRAS	○	x			○				○		○						○														
	CTNNB1	■	x			○				○		○	x					○														
													IUI												IUI							
8	HE	■			○			○				○							○		■			○								
	PTEN	■			○			○				○							○		■			○								
	b-catenin	■			○			○				○							○		■			○								
	PIK3CA	○			○			○				○							○		xx			xx								
	KRAS	○			○			○				○							○		xx			xx								
	CTNNB1	■			○			○				○							○		xx			xx								
																							MC				IUI				Hys	
9	HE	■	○				○			○		○					■						■		■							
	PTEN	○	○				○			○		○					○						○		○							
	b-catenin	■	○				○			■		■					■						■		■							
	PIK3CA	■	○				○			○		○					xx						xx		■							
	KRAS	○	○				○			○		○					xx						xx		○							
	CTNNB1	■	○				○			○		○					xx						xx		■							
10	HE	■			■	■						■							○													
	PTEN	■			○	■						■							■													
	b-catenin	■			■	■						■							○													
	PIK3CA	○			○	○						○							○													
	KRAS	○			○	○						○							○													
	CTNNB1	○			○	○						○							○													

		Nonresponders																								
Pt. no.	Time (mo)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
																						IVF		Hys		
4	HE	■				■			■				■				■								■	■
	PTEN	■				■			■				■				■								■	■
	b-catenin	■				■			■				■				■								■	■
	PIK3CA	○				○			○				○				○								○	○
	KRAS	○				○			○				○				○								○	○
	CTNNB1	■				x			■				■				○								■	■
5	HE	■				■			■				■							■				■		
	PTEN	■				■			■				■							■				■		
	b-catenin	○				○			○				○							○				○		
	PIK3CA	○				○			○				○							○				○		
	KRAS	○				○			○				○							○				○		
	CTNNB1	○				○			○				○							○				○		
																										Hys
6	HE	■			■			■				■							■	■				■		■
	PTEN	○			○			○				○							○	○				○		○
	b-catenin	○			○			○				○							○	○				○		○
	PIK3CA	○			○			○				○							○	○				○		○
	KRAS	○			○			○				○							○	○				○		○
	CTNNB1	○			○			○				○							○	○				○		○
																		Hys								
7	HE	■	■			■			■				■				■	■								
	PTEN	○	○			○			○				○				○	○								
	b-catenin	■	■			■			■				■				■	■								
	PIK3CA	○	○			○			○				○				○	○								
	KRAS	○	○			○			○				○				○	○								
	CTNNB1	■	○			■			■				○				○	■								
11	HE	■	■			■						■	■							○						
	PTEN	■	■			■						■	■							○						
	b-catenin	■	■			■						■	■							○						
	PIK3CA	○	○			○						○	○							○						
	KRAS	○	○			○						○	○							○						
	CTNNB1	○	○			○						○	○							○						

HE	■ Ca/CAH	PIK3CA	■ mutant	x Not enough tissue for analysis
	○ normal		○ wildtype	xx Not available
PTEN	■ loss	KRAS	■ mutant	MC Miscarriage
	○ normal		○ wildtype	Hys Hysterectomy
b-catenin	■ positive	CTNNB1	■ mutant	IVF IVF attempt
	○ negative		○ wildtype	IUI IUI attempt

3.5 DISCUSSION

This study is the first to explore whether alterations in the Wnt and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways in the pre-treatment endometrial sample are predictive for progesterone non-responsiveness in women with low-grade, FIGO I, PR positive EEC. Moreover we evaluated the alteration in these signaling pathways during treatment and follow-up. In contrast to most previous work concentrating on morphological changes alone^{20, 26, 27}, our study describes molecular genetic alterations in combination with the morphologic appearance in subsequent endometrium samples from progesterone treated patients with low-grade EEC. According to our results, molecular alterations in Wnt and/or PI3K/Akt pathways do not necessarily induce resistance to progesterone treatment.

Alterations in the Wnt or PI3K/Akt signaling pathways are the most frequent genetic abnormalities in low-grade EEC.¹⁵ Previous studies have suggested that progesterone might rely on the Wnt or PI3K/Akt signaling pathways to elicit its therapeutic effect in EEC.^{11, 19} We hypothesised that if somatic mutations activate one or both signaling pathways constitutively, that might lead to resistance to progesterone therapy. All six responders in our series had concomitant activation of both Wnt and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways suggesting that alterations in these important pathways in endometrial cancer may not be predictive of resistance to progesterone treatment.

Our findings indicate that the progesterone effect may at least partially be independent of alterations in the Wnt and/or PI3K/Akt signaling pathways. Other non-mutually exclusive hypotheses may explain how progesterone bypasses the Wnt and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways. First, progesterone may induce apoptosis through up regulation of Fas/FasL or decreasing Bcl-2 protein expression.²⁸⁻³⁰ According to this hypothesis, progesterone induces apoptosis in all endometrial glands including those with Wnt and PI3K/Akt activation, followed by replacement with new glands without genetic defects.

¹⁹ Additionally, there may be a role for endometrial stroma in endometrial carcinogenesis and response to progesterone therapy through PR receptor or hypermethylation of the tumour suppressor *HAND2* in stromal cells. Epigenetic *HAND2* inactivation in stromal cells seems to induce resistance to progesterone in hyperplastic endometrium.

³¹ As *HAND2* hypermethylation was observed in 90% of EEC, it seems unlikely to be the only factor of progesterone resistance, knowing the response rate in grade 1 EEC reaches up to 75%.⁴ Future studies on these alternative mechanisms will be required to evaluate their potential role in predicting progesterone responsiveness in EEC.

We also observed that normalisation of the endometrium morphology under progesterone treatment is accompanied by absence of the pre-treatment genetic changes. The absence of genetic alterations in most morphologically normal endometrium under progesterone treatment could support the hypothesis that progesterone acts through induction of apoptosis followed by replacement with wild-type glands. The significance

of sparse PTEN null glands and single glands with nuclear beta-catenin positivity in a few of the morphologically normal samples is not clear. The significance of sparse PTEN null glands and single glands with nuclear beta-catenin positivity in a few of the morphologically normal samples is not clear. It is unknown whether this implies residual disease, *de novo* (pre)malignant disease after complete response or functional increase of beta-catenin/ decrease of PTEN under hormonal influence.^{9,14}

Previous research identified persistent architectural abnormalities and/or cellular atypia after 7-9 months of treatment as predictive of treatment failure.²⁰ Another study found that presence of at least three out of five given unfavourable architectural features in the pre-treatment specimen, in combination with the Body Mass Index (BMI) could predict the likelihood of response.²⁶ The tumour PR status before initiating the treatment was assessed in only one of the previous studies that tried to identify predictive markers in conservative treated low-grade EEC before initiating treatment, but correlation with response was not stated.¹⁹ Most low-grade EECs express PR³², but only approximately 75% of these patients do indeed respond to fertility sparing progesterone therapy, indicating that other prognostic biomarkers are required in this subset of patients.^{4,33} Studies investigating the value of PR positivity in predicting response in conservatively treated, low-grade presumed FIGO IA EEC are lacking, most likely due to the low number of patients and the high prevalence of PR positivity of these tumours.^{32,34} In all our patients the tumours were PR positive.

This work has some limitations. Although we analysed a large collection of serial endometrial samples, the number of patients we were able to include was small. The sampling method varied among patients during follow-up, resulting in potential under sampling in selected cases, which might explain negative findings in some curettings (figure 1). This notion is supported by the fact that the same genetic alterations were found in recurrences after 'negative' curettings. Thus, 'field cancerisation' in which new clones would arise under unopposed estrogen stimulation is not sustained by our results. Furthermore, despite a national treatment advice, the patients of whom the endometrial samples were included in the study did not receive uniform treatment nor follow up regime.

In conclusion, we describe an in-depth morphologic and molecular analysis of a large collection of serial endometrium samples from EEC patients undergoing progesterone treatment for preservation of fertility. In contrast to the initial hypothesis, our findings indicate that activation of the Wnt and/or PI3K/Akt pathways does not result in lack of response to progesterone treatment. Studying a cohort with more homogenous data, taking bio-physical profiles into account, and performing large scale analyses using targeted next generation sequencing may allow selection of EEC patients who will benefit from progesterone treatment. Young women with low-grade early stage EEC and desire to conceive should be offered progesterone treatment while risks are explained exten-

sively and response is monitored according to a strict protocol. We suggest to include these patients in an international registration study and treat them according to the 2015 ESMO-ESGO-ESTRO guidelines presented at the 19th ESGO meeting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank all participating gynaecologists: K.A.M. Peters, Hospital Group Twente; C.A.G. Holleboom, H.T.C. Nagel and J.P.T. Rhemrev, MCHaaglanden-Bronovo Hospital, The Hague; H.J.M.A.A. Zijlmans, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek hospital, Amsterdam; M. van den Berg, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, C.A. van Meir, Groene Hart Hospital, Gouda; M.J.Janssen, Maasziekenhuis Pantein, Beugen; M. den Ouden, Alrijne Hospital, Leiderdorp; J. de Waard, Sint Franciscus Gasthuis, Rotterdam, K.N. Gaarenstroom and A.A.W Peters, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, J.M.A. Pijnenborg, TweeSteden Hospital, Tilburg, for their contribution to the treatment of the patients and providing the tissue for analysis.

3.6 REFERENCES

1. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2014;64(1):9-29.
2. Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics, 2002. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2005;55(2):74-108.
3. Lee NK, Cheung MK, Shin JY, Husain A, Teng NN, Berek JS, et al. Prognostic factors for uterine cancer in reproductive-aged women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;109(3):655-62.
4. Gallos ID, Yap J, Rajkhowa M, Luesley DM, Coomarasamy A, Gupta JK. Regression, relapse, and live birth rates with fertility-sparing therapy for endometrial cancer and atypical complex endometrial hyperplasia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;207(4):266-12.
5. Yang S, Thiel KW, Leslie KK. Progesterone: the ultimate endometrial tumor suppressor. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* 2011;22(4):145-52.
6. Kauppila A. Oestrogen and progestin receptors as prognostic indicators in endometrial cancer. A review of the literature. *Acta Oncol.* 1989;28(4):561-6.
7. Thigpen JT, Brady MF, Alvarez RD, Adelson MD, Homesley HD, Manetta A, et al. Oral medroxyprogesterone acetate in the treatment of advanced or recurrent endometrial carcinoma: a dose-response study by the Gynecologic Oncology Group. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 1999;17(6):1736-44.
8. Nyholm HC, Nielsen AL, Lyndrup J, Dreisler A, Thorpe SM. Estrogen and progesterone receptors in endometrial carcinoma: comparison of immunohistochemical and biochemical analysis. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 1993;12(3):246-52.
9. Wang Y, van der Zee M, Fodde R, Blok LJ. Wnt/Beta-catenin and sex hormone signaling in endometrial homeostasis and cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2010;1(7):674-84.
10. van der Zee M, Jia Y, Wang Y, Heijmans-Antonissen C, Ewing PC, Franken P, et al. Alterations in Wnt-beta-catenin and Pten signalling play distinct roles in endometrial cancer initiation and progression. *J Pathol.* 2013;230(1):48-58.
11. Wang Y, Hanifi-Moghaddam P, Hanekamp EE, Kloosterboer HJ, Franken P, Veldscholte J, et al. Progesterone inhibition of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in normal endometrium and endometrial cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15(18):5784-93.
12. Scholten AN, Creutzberg CL, van den Broek LJ, Noordijk EM, Smit VT. Nuclear beta-catenin is a molecular feature of type I endometrial carcinoma. *J Pathol.* 2003;201(3):460-5.
13. Saegusa M, Okayasu I. Frequent nuclear beta-catenin accumulation and associated mutations in endometrioid-type endometrial and ovarian carcinomas with squamous differentiation. *J Pathol.* 2001;194(1):59-67.

14. Mutter GL, Lin MC, Fitzgerald JT, Kum JB, Eng C. Changes in endometrial PTEN expression throughout the human menstrual cycle. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2000;85(6):2334-8.
15. Kurman RJ, Carcangiu, M.L., Herrington, C.S., Young, R.H. WHO Classification of Tumours of Female Reproductive Organs. Fourth Edition 2014 2014.
16. Mutter GL, Lin MC, Fitzgerald JT, Kum JB, Baak JP, Lees JA, et al. Altered PTEN expression as a diagnostic marker for the earliest endometrial precancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2000;92(11):924-30.
17. Lee II, Kim JJ. Influence of AKT on Progesterone Action in Endometrial Diseases. *Biol Reprod.* 2014;91(3):63.
18. Spaans VM, Trietsch MD, Crobach S, Stelloo E, Kremer D, Osse EM, et al. Designing a high-throughput somatic mutation profiling panel specifically for gynaecological cancers. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(3):e93451.
19. Minaguchi T, Nakagawa S, Takazawa Y, Nei T, Horie K, Fujiwara T, et al. Combined phospho-Akt and PTEN expressions associated with post-treatment hysterectomy after conservative progestin therapy in complex atypical hyperplasia and stage Ia, G1 adenocarcinoma of the endometrium. *Cancer Lett.* 2007;248(1):112-22.
20. Wheeler DT, Bristow RE, Kurman RJ. Histologic alterations in endometrial hyperplasia and well-differentiated carcinoma treated with progestins. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2007;31(7):988-98.
21. Nout RA, Bosse T, Creutzberg CL, Jurgenliemk-Schulz IM, Jobsen JJ, Lutgens LC, et al. Improved risk assessment of endometrial cancer by combined analysis of MSI, PI3K-AKT, Wnt/beta-catenin and P53 pathway activation. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2012;126(3):466-73.
22. Garg K, Broaddus RR, Soslow RA, Urbauer DL, Levine DA, Djordjevic B. Pathologic scoring of PTEN immunohistochemistry in endometrial carcinoma is highly reproducible. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2012;31(1):48-56.
23. Saegusa M, Hashimura M, Yoshida T, Okayasu I. beta- Catenin mutations and aberrant nuclear expression during endometrial tumorigenesis. *Br J Cancer.* 2001;84(2):209-17.
24. van Eijk R, Licht J, Schrumpf M, Talebian YM, Ruano D, Forte GI, et al. Rapid KRAS, EGFR, BRAF and PIK3CA mutation analysis of fine needle aspirates from non-small-cell lung cancer using allele-specific qPCR. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(3):e17791.
25. Mutter GL, Ince TA, Baak JP, Kust GA, Zhou XP, Eng C. Molecular identification of latent precancers in histologically normal endometrium. *Cancer Res.* 2001;61(11):4311-4.
26. Penner KR, Dorigo O, Aoyama C, Ostrzega N, Balzer BL, Rao J, et al. Predictors of resolution of complex atypical hyperplasia or grade 1 endometrial adenocarcinoma in premenopausal women treated with progestin therapy. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2012;124(3):542-8.

27. Kamoi S, Ohaki Y, Mori O, Kurose K, Fukunaga M, Takeshita T. Serial histologic observation of endometrial adenocarcinoma treated with high-dose progestin until complete disappearance of carcinomatous foci--review of more than 25 biopsies from five patients. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2008;18(6):1305-14.
28. Amezcua CA, Lu JJ, Felix JC, Stanczyk FZ, Zheng W. Apoptosis may be an early event of progestin therapy for endometrial hyperplasia. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2000;79(2):169-76.
29. Wang S, Pudney J, Song J, Mor G, Schwartz PE, Zheng W. Mechanisms involved in the evolution of progestin resistance in human endometrial hyperplasia--precursor of endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2003;88(2):108-17.
30. Vereide AB, Kaino T, Sager G, Orbo A. Bcl-2, BAX, and apoptosis in endometrial hyperplasia after high dose gestagen therapy: a comparison of responses in patients treated with intrauterine levonorgestrel and systemic medroxyprogesterone. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2005;97(3):740-50.
31. Jones A, Teschendorff AE, Li Q, Hayward JD, Kannan A, Mould T, et al. Role of DNA methylation and epigenetic silencing of HAND2 in endometrial cancer development. *PLoS Med*. 2013;10(11):e1001551.
32. Reid-Nicholson M, Iyengar P, Hummer AJ, Linkov I, Asher M, Soslow RA. Immunophenotypic diversity of endometrial adenocarcinomas: implications for differential diagnosis. *Mod Pathol*. 2006;19(8):1091-100.
33. Ramirez PT, Frumovitz M, Bodurka DC, Sun CC, Levenback C. Hormonal therapy for the management of grade 1 endometrial adenocarcinoma: a literature review. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2004;95(1):133-8.
34. Gent van MDJM, Kagie MJ, Trimbos BJB. No surgery for Low-grade Endometrial Cancer in Women with a Desire to Preserve Fertility. *Journal of gynecologic surgery*. 2012;28(6):389-98.

