



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Glycoproteomics characterization of immunoglobulins in health and disease

Plomp, H.R.

Citation

Plomp, H. R. (2017, May 31). *Glycoproteomics characterization of immunoglobulins in health and disease*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/49752>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/49752>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/49752> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation

Author: Plomp, H.R.

Title: Glycoproteomics characterization of immunoglobulins in health and disease

Issue Date: 2017-05-31

Chapter 5:

Subclass-specific IgG glycosylation is associated with markers of inflammation and metabolic health

Manuscript submitted to *Scientific Reports*

Authors: R. Plomp¹, L. R. Ruhaak^{1,2}, H. Uh³, K. R. Reiding¹, M. Selman^{1,4}, J. J. Houwing-Duistermaat⁵, P. E. Slagboom⁵, M. Beekman⁵, M. Wuhrer¹

¹Center for Proteomics and Metabolomics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands;

²Department of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands;

³Department of Medical Statistics and Bioinformatics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands;

⁴present address: Pharming Group N.V., Leiden, The Netherlands;

⁵Department of Molecular Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

Table of Contents

5.1: Summary.....	140
5.2: Introduction.....	141
5.3: Methods	143
5.3.1: Cohort participants and study design	143
5.3.2: Metabolic parameter analysis.....	143
5.3.3: IgG glycopeptide sample preparation	143
5.3.4: NanoLC-ESI-QTOF-MS analysis	144
5.3.5: Glycosylation data processing.....	144
5.3.6: Data preprocessing.....	146
5.3.7: Reproducibility of the analysis	146
5.3.8: Statistical association analysis.....	146
5.3.9: Data visualization	147
5.4: Results	148
5.4.1: Association between IgG glycosylation and immune-metabolic parameters.....	149
5.4.1.1: Inflammation markers.....	150
5.4.1.2: Metabolic markers	151
5.4.1.3: CMV and smoking.....	151
5.4.2: Familial metabolic health	152
5.5: Discussion.....	153
5.5.1: IgG subclass-specific glycosylation differences.....	153
5.5.2: Galactosylation and sialylation.....	154
5.5.3: Fucosylation.....	154
5.5.4: Bisection	155
5.5.5: Biomarker potential of IgG glycosylation	156
References.....	157
Supplemental Information	162

5.1: Summary

This study indicates that glycosylation of immunoglobulin G, the most abundant antibody in human blood, may convey useful information with regard to inflammation and metabolic health. IgG occurs in the form of different subclasses, of which the effector functions show significant variation. Our method provides subclass-specific IgG glycosylation profiling, while previous large-scale studies neglected to measure IgG2-specific glycosylation.

We analysed the plasma Fc glycosylation profiles of IgG1, IgG2 and IgG4 in a cohort of 1826 individuals by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. For all subclasses, a low level of galactosylation and sialylation and a high degree of core fucosylation associated with poor metabolic health, i.e. increased inflammation as assessed by C-reactive protein, low serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and high triglycerides, which are all known to indicate increased risk of cardiovascular disease. IgG2 consistently showed weaker associations of its galactosylation and sialylation with the metabolic markers, compared to IgG1 and IgG4, while the direction of the associations were overall similar for the different IgG subclasses.

These findings demonstrate the potential of IgG glycosylation as a biomarker for inflammation and metabolic health, and further research is required to determine the additive value of IgG glycosylation on top of biomarkers which are currently used.

5.2: Introduction

Glycosylation is known to reflect the physiological state of an organism and changes thereof (1). For immunoglobulin G (IgG), which occupies a central role in the immune system, it is known that the conserved *N*-glycan located at asparagine 297 on the fragment crystallisable (Fc) part of IgG can modulate inflammatory responses: a lack of core fucose, galactose and *N*-acetylneuraminic (sialic) acid increases the ability of IgG to induce antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) in mice (2-4). Furthermore, glycosylation of IgG is associated with various pathologies. Autoimmune diseases are generally associated with decreased galactosylation and sialylation of IgG Fc, which is a hallmark of the inflammatory state of these pathologies (5-10). Different types of cancer (11-13) and viral infections (14, 15) have been shown to exhibit low galactosylation and sialylation of IgG *N*-glycans similar to inflammatory conditions. IgG glycosylation, specifically low galactosylation and sialylation, has also been proposed as a biomarker of inflammageing, the state of chronic weak inflammation in elderly individuals (16), or more generally as a marker of immune activation (17).

Since IgG glycosylation appears to be altered during a state of inflammation, it is not surprising that associations between certain glycoforms and the inflammatory marker C-reactive protein (CRP) have been reported (18-20). Inflammation often goes hand in hand with ageing and poor metabolic health, which are all associated with a higher risk for cardiovascular disease (21). However, an overview is lacking of the associations between IgG glycosylation and an assortment of clinical markers related to metabolic health in healthy individuals. Furthermore, other large cohort studies (>100 healthy participants) on IgG glycosylation report either a released glycan profile (22, 23), which does not contain any subclass-specific information, or a joint profile for IgG2 and IgG3 (24, 25). This is due to the shared peptide sequence of the tryptic glycopeptides of these two subclasses, which prevents separate analysis by mass spectrometry, and thereby prevents separate examination of IgG2 glycosylation. While little is known about the differences in effector functions between IgG subclasses *in vivo*, *in vitro* assays ascribe to IgG2 a lower overall binding affinity to Fc gamma receptors (FcγRs) (26-28) and ADCC capacity (29, 30).

In order to evaluate the merit of IgG Fc *N*-glycosylation as a systemic biomarker of metabolic health, we here analysed glycosylation of IgG1, 2 and 4 in a cohort of 1826 healthy

individuals to investigate associations with known markers related to inflammation and metabolic health. The population analysed in this study is part of the Leiden Longevity Study, aimed at determining the biological foundation of healthy ageing. In this study we investigate the glycosylation of IgG in relation to measurements of inflammation, such as plasma levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6), and of metabolism such as lipids and thyroid hormone. IgG glycosylation analysis has previously been performed on this cohort with MALDI-MS to facilitate the measurement of 6 *N*-glycopeptides for IgG1 and IgG2 each, allowing only the assessment of galactosylation and bisection (18). The current analysis is performed with nanoLC-ESI-QTOF-MS, which offers enhanced sensitivity and a more extensive glycoprofiling of the samples: 20 *N*-glycopeptides were identified for IgG1 and IgG2 and 10 for IgG4, providing novel information on the sialylation and fucosylation of these samples.

5.3: Methods

5.3.1: Cohort participants and study design

This study was performed on 1995 available plasma samples from 1170 offspring of nonagenarian siblings of the Leiden Longevity cohort and 656 of their partners as controls (31). Families were included if the parents were over 91 (for females) or over 89 (for males) years of age. Previously we have shown that comparison of the middle-aged offspring of long-lived parents and their partners as controls reveals parameters associating with familial metabolic health, thereby demonstrating that offspring have a beneficial immune-metabolic health (32-34). An overview of the age and sex distribution of the participants can be seen in Table 1. All participants have given informed consent prior to sample collection, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study design and protocols were approved by the Ethical Committee of the Leiden University Medical Center. Venous blood was collected under non-fasting conditions.

5.3.2: Metabolic parameter analysis

Various parameters related to metabolic health were determined for the participants of the Leiden Longevity cohort. High-sensitivity measurements of CRP, glucose, total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and triglycerides (TG) in blood samples were performed on the Hitachi Modular P-800, while free triiodothyronine (T3) levels were assessed using a Modular E-170 (both Roche Diagnostics, Almere, the Netherlands) (35-37). Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) was derived from these measurements using the Friedewald formula ($LDL = TC - HDL - TG/5$) (38). If the level of TG exceeded 4.52 mmol/L, LDL was listed as missing. The level of insulin in blood was assessed with an Immulite 2500 (DPC, Los Angeles, CA) (37). Interleukin 6 (IL-6) levels were determined with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Sanquin Reagents, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Furthermore, the self-reported current smoking status of the participants was registered. An ELISA was done on serum to determine if the participants had antibodies against cytomegalovirus (PKS Assay, Medac, Wedel, Germany). The distributions of these metabolic parameters within the cohort are listed in Table 1.

5.3.3: IgG glycopeptide sample preparation

IgGs were purified in 96-well format as described previously (18). An amount of 2 μ L of plasma was incubated with 15 μ L Protein A Sepharose Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) and 150 μ L phosphate buffered saline (PBS) on a 96-well filter plate for 1

hour at room temperature while shaking. The IgG samples were washed three times with PBS and three times with MilliQ-purified water, followed by elution with 100 μ L 100 mM formic acid. The samples were then dried in a vacuum concentrator for 2 hours at 60 $^{\circ}$ C and resuspended in 40 μ L 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate with 200 ng of trypsin (sequencing grade modified trypsin, Promega, Madison, WI). Digestion took place overnight at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. Two of the 96-well plates were prepared twice to assess interbatch variation.

5.3.4: NanoLC-ESI-QTOF-MS analysis

The IgG glycopeptide samples were analysed using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (LC-MS), in a setup described previously (39). An amount of 2.5 μ L of the samples was injected in an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano liquid chromatography system (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA). The samples were first washed on an Acclaim PepMap100 C18 trap column (5 mm x 300 μ m i.d., Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA), and subsequently separated on an Ascentis Express C18 nanoLC column (50 mm x 75 μ m i.d., 2.7 μ m HALO fused core particles; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA) with a flow rate of 0.9 μ L/min. The following linear gradient was used, with solvent A consisting of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and B of 95% acetonitrile (ACN): t=0, 3% solvent B; t=2, 6%; t=4.5, 18%; t=5, 30%; t=7, 30%; t=8, 0%; t=11, 0%.

Via a sheath-flow electrospray (ESI) interface (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), the LC was coupled to a Maxis Impact quadrupole time-of-flight (QTOF)-MS system (microTOF-Q; Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). A sheath-flow consisting of 50% isopropanol, 20% propionic acid (Merck) and 30% MilliQ-purified water was applied at 2 μ L/min, and nitrogen gas was applied at 4 L/min. MS1 spectra were acquired with a frequency of 0.5 Hz and within an m/z range of 600-2000. An IgG standard and two blank injections were run in between every 12 runs.

5.3.5: Glycosylation data processing

Glycosylation profiles were extracted from the data files as described previously (39). The three subclass-specific types of tryptic glycopeptides (IgG1: EEQYNSTYR, IgG2: EEQFNSTFR, IgG4: EEQFNSTYR) eluted at different time points, with the retention times of differentially glycosylated variants of each subclass clustered closely together, since the secondary interaction between sialic acids and the silica column which usually leads to later elution of sialylated glycopeptides was negated by the use of TFA in the mobile phase (Supplemental Figure S1). Based on their mass and previous characterizations of IgG N-

glycan structures (40-42), 20 *N*-glycans were identified for both IgG1 and IgG2 (Supplemental Table S1). For IgG4, only 10 glycopeptides could be identified, as the mass of the minor afucosylated species overlapped with the much more abundant IgG1 fucosylated glycopeptides, and could thus not be reliably distinguished from tailing of the latter (Supplemental Table S1).

LC-MS data was examined and calibrated in Compass Data Analysis 4.2 (Bruker Daltonics), based on a list of four of the most abundant *N*-glycopeptides (G0F, G1F, G2F, G2FS) in both double and triple charge state. The data was then exported in mzXML file format. Alignment of the retention times was done using MSalign. Next, the in-house developed software tool Xtractor 2D (43) was used to extract the signal intensity of the first three isotopic peaks of various glycopeptides in both double and triple charge state, within an *m/z* window of ± 0.04 Thompson and a time window of ± 10 s surrounding the retention time. These *N*-glycopeptides were inputted from a list of *m/z* values (Supplemental Table S1) encompassing 20 IgG1 glycopeptides, 20 IgG2 glycopeptides and 10 IgG4 glycopeptides (afucosylated IgG4 species overlapped with IgG1 glycopeptides and thus could not be properly analysed).

For each *N*-glycopeptide, the signal intensity of the three isotopic peaks in double and triple charge state were background-corrected and summed. IgG2-G1FNS1 (the IgG2 glycan carrying 1 galactose (G1), 1 sialic acid (S1), a core fucose (F) and a bisecting *N*-acetylglucosamine) and IgG2-G2FNS1, which each represented less than 0.4% of the total IgG2 glycopeptide abundance, were excluded from the data due to a severe batch effect. The *N*-glycopeptide signals were normalised by dividing each by the total signal intensity of all *N*-glycopeptides belonging to that subclass, yielding percentage data amounting to 100% per subclass.

An intensity threshold was determined based on the percentage of the signal intensity which was derived from *N*-glycopeptides with a signal-to-background ratio larger than 3. If the total intensity per subclass did not exceed the threshold, data from that subclass was excluded. After this exclusion, IgG1 data remained for 1825 individuals, IgG2 for 1826 and IgG4 for 1742.

5.3.6: Data preprocessing

From the individual *N*-glycan percentage data, five glycosylation features were determined for each IgG subclass (calculations can be found in Supplemental Table S2): fucosylation (% of fucosylated *N*-glycopeptides), bisection (% of *N*-glycopeptides carrying a bisecting *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc)), galactosylation (% of galactoses per antennae), sialylation (% of sialic acids per antennae) and sialic acid per galactose (% of sialic acids per galactose). Fucosylation could not be determined for IgG4, since no afucosylated IgG4 glycopeptides were measured due to overlap with IgG1 glycopeptides.

The three most abundant glycopeptides (G0F, G1F, G2F) of the standard IgG samples run in between every twelve samples showed a relative standard deviation (RSD) of 4.7%. The average intraplate RSD was 1.9%, based on standard IgG samples run during the measurement of each plate, and the interplate RSD was 3.3%. Therefore, batch correction was done using the ComBat package (44) in R 3.0.1, with the 96-well plates as batches (45). Furthermore, any glycosylation feature value which deviated more than 5 standard deviations (SD) from the mean was replaced by 5 x SD from the mean.

The glycosylation features and several of the metabolic parameters (CRP, IL-6, glucose, insulin, TG, free T3) underwent natural logarithm transformation, in order to make these parameters more normally distributed.

5.3.7: Reproducibility of the analysis

Two 96-well plates with samples were purified and analysed twice, to assess the reproducibility of our method. To assess the level of correlation between these sample plates, a Pearson's correlation test was performed in R using the function `cor.test()`. The glycosylation features on the different plates showed a high level of correlation, i.e. Pearson's correlation coefficients (*r*) of 0.97 (fucosylation), 0.95 (bisection), 0.98 (galactosylation), 0.93 (sialylation) and 0.80 (SA per gal) for IgG1. From the two plates which were prepared twice, the plate which showed the highest signals was used for further data analysis.

5.3.8: Statistical association analysis

Statistical analyses were done in R 3.0.1 (45). A paired t-test from the R stats package was performed to see if there was a significant difference in glycosylation features between different subclasses. Regression analysis was done using the generalised estimating equation package (`geepack`) (46) to account for family relationship. A linear model with formula

‘glycosylation feature $Y \sim \beta_1 \cdot \text{metabolic parameter } X + \beta_2 \cdot \text{age} + \beta_3 \cdot \text{sex} + \beta_4 \cdot (\text{age} \cdot \text{sex}) + \text{error}$ ’ was fitted to the data, to assess the association between each glycosylation feature and metabolic parameter, while correcting for confounders, taking into account within-family relatedness and under the assumption of an exchangeable correlation structure. The output of this regression analysis consisted of a p_1 -value, β_1 coefficient and its standard error ($\text{SE}(\beta_1)$) describing the association between each glycosylation feature and metabolic parameter, and from this the t statistic was derived using $t = \beta_1 / \text{SE}(\beta_1)$. To assess the relation of IgG glycosylation with familial metabolic health, a logistic regression model was applied using the same software, with familial metabolic health as dependent variable Y , coded as 0 for controls and 1 for members of long-lived families, and IgG glycosylation features as independent variable X , while correcting for the same confounders and within-family relatedness, and under the assumption of an independent correlation structure.

Significance was defined as a p -value below the Bonferroni-corrected threshold $\alpha = 0.000714$ (7.14×10^{-4}). This threshold was derived from the standard threshold $\alpha = 0.05$ divided by 70, which is the number of analyses between 14 variables (metabolic parameters + age + sex) and 5 glycosylation features (subclass-specific versions of the same glycosylation feature were disregarded since they are highly intercorrelated).

5.3.9: Data visualization

Before plotting, glycosylation data was corrected for either age-specific differences or for both age- and sex-specific differences, by fitting the linear model (geepack) ‘glycosylation feature \sim age’ or ‘glycosylation feature \sim age + sex’, and then taking the residuals. The ggplot2 package in R was used for visualization of the data (47). A linear trendline was fitted to plots with the geom_smooth function. A heatmap was generated in R with the weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA) package (48).

5.4: Results

By performing nanoLC-ESI-QTOF-MS analysis on tryptic IgG glycopeptides, Fc glycosylation profiles of IgG1, IgG2 and IgG4 were determined for nearly 2000 participants of the Leiden Longevity study. After data curation, IgG1, IgG2 and IgG4 data remained for respectively 1825, 1826 and 1742 participants. From the individual *N*-glycan data five glycosylation features were derived (the calculations can be found in Supplemental Table S2): fucosylation, bisection, galactosylation, sialylation and sialic acid per galactose (SA per gal). The distribution of the age and sex of the study population, as well as the average measurements of metabolic parameters and IgG glycosylation features, can be found in Table 1. IgG glycosylation was observed to be age-dependent and differences were seen between males and females (Supplemental Figure S2), as has been described in earlier reports (25, 49-51). We observe minor but significant differences between the glycosylation features of the three IgG subclasses: t-tests revealed a *p*-value below 1.0×10^{-10} for all comparisons of glycosylation features between IgG subclasses (1 vs 2, 1 vs 4 and 2 vs 4). IgG2 exhibits a higher level of fucosylation (IgG1: $91.2 \pm 4.1\%$ (mean \pm SD); IgG2: $97.4 \pm 0.9\%$; IgG4 fucosylation could not be determined), but a lower level of bisection (IgG1: $19.1 \pm 3.3\%$, IgG2: $13.9 \pm 2.7\%$, IgG4: $19.6 \pm 4.4\%$) and galactosylation (IgG1: $50.1 \pm 6.4\%$, IgG2: $39.5 \pm 6.2\%$, IgG4: $43.7 \pm 6.9\%$).

Table 5.1: Distribution of age, sex, glycosylation features and metabolic parameters within the Leiden Longevity study population. The mean, standard deviation (SD), range (minimum and maximum value) and number of included samples (N) are given. Samples that were excluded based on data quality criteria were not used for calculation of values in this table.

	mean	SD	range	N
Age (years)	59.1	+/-6.7	30.2 - 79.2	1826
Sex	47.1 % male	-	-	1826
CRP (mg/L)	2.86	+/-9.5	0.2 - 228.7	1813
IL-6 (pg/mL)	0.67	+/-1.2	0 - 19.6	1705
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.94	+/-1.5	2.5 - 26.3	1816
Insulin (mU/L)	23.23	+/-22.1	2 - 238	1766
TC (mmol/L)	5.55	+/-1.2	1.4 - 10.8	1820
LDLC (mmol/L)	3.33	+/-1.0	0.7 - 7.9	1772
HDLC (mmol/L)	1.42	+/-0.4	0.2 - 3.2	1819
TG (mmol/L)	1.82	+/-1.2	0.2 - 21.2	1820
free T3 (pmol/L)	4.12	+/-0.8	1.8 - 14.3	1819
smoking	13.6 % smoking	-	-	1587
CMV serostatus	45.9 % positive	-	-	1437
longevity	64.1 % offspring	-	-	1826
IgG1 fucosylation	91.2 %	+/-4.1	69.3 - 99.5	1825
IgG2 fucosylation	97.4 %	+/-0.9	92.6 - 99.1	1826
IgG1 bisection	19.1 %	+/-3.3	6.5 - 35.8	1825
IgG2 bisection	13.9 %	+/-2.7	6.4 - 26.5	1826
IgG4 bisection	19.6 %	+/-4.4	8.8 - 41.3	1742
IgG1 galactosylation	50.1 %	+/-6.4	24.6 - 70.8	1825
IgG2 galactosylation	39.5 %	+/-6.2	9.0 - 61.3	1826
IgG4 galactosylation	43.7 %	+/-6.9	14.5 - 66.0	1742
IgG1 sialylation	6.8 %	+/-1.4	2.5 - 14.0	1825
IgG2 sialylation	6.2 %	+/-1.4	1.4 - 11.9	1826
IgG4 sialylation	9.1 %	+/-2.0	3.2 - 18.9	1742
IgG1 sialic acid per gal	13.6 %	+/-1.6	9.0 - 21.5	1825
IgG2 sialic acid per gal	15.5 %	+/-1.7	9.4 - 22.5	1826
IgG4 sialic acid per gal	20.7 %	+/-2.0	13.8 - 30.8	1742

5.4.1: Association between IgG glycosylation and immune-metabolic parameters

To investigate the relationship between IgG glycosylation and metabolic health parameters, we performed regression analysis. The resulting associations between IgG glycosylation features and metabolic parameters are visualised in a heatmap (Figure 1, Supplemental Table S3).




Figure 5.1: Associations between glycosylation features (y-axis) and metabolic parameters (x-axis). The colors indicate the magnitude of the association as represented by the t statistic ($t_1 = \beta_1 / SE(\beta_1)$), with positive associations shown in red and negative in blue. Associations with a p_1 -value below 0.05 are marked with a point, while associations with a p_1 -value below 7.14×10^{-4} , which are significant after Bonferroni correction, are marked with an X.

5.4.1.1: Inflammation markers

Low galactosylation and high fucosylation of IgG were associated with low-grade inflammation, as determined by the well-known inflammation markers CRP and IL-6 (Figure 2) ($p_1 < 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for all but IgG1 fucosylation, for which $p_1 = 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$). IgG sialylation was also found to be significantly associated with CRP and IL-6 ($p_{1, \text{IgG1}} < 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$), but sialic acid per galactose was not ($p_{1, \text{IgG1}} = 6.2 \times 10^{-1}$, $p_{1, \text{IgG2}} = 1.9 \times 10^{-2}$ for CRP). Notably, CRP only has a small contribution to the variance of IgG galactosylation ($R^2 = 0.0569$ with a linear model for IgG1).




Figure 5.2: IgG1 galactosylation and fucosylation are associated with CRP. Both parameters were log transformed, and the glycosylation features were subsequently corrected for age. Males are shown in blue and females in pink. A trend line is shown with a 95% confidence interval. P_1 -values and β_1 coefficients are shown for the total population (males and females).

5.4.1.2: Metabolic markers

An increase in IgG galactosylation and sialylation ($p_{1,\text{IgG1}} < 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$), as well as a non-significant decrease in fucosylation ($p_{1,\text{IgG1}} = 5.2 \times 10^{-2}$; $p_{1,\text{IgG2}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$) was found to be associated with high levels of HDLC and low levels of triglycerides (TG), which together form beneficial risk profiles for cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes and obesity (52, 53). A negative association of galactosylation and a positive association of fucosylation were seen with insulin and glucose, which are markers for diabetes type 2 and are also known to associate with inflammation (54). Interestingly, IgG bisection exhibited a positive association with glucose and insulin ($p_{1,\text{IgG4}} = 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$ for glucose). Total cholesterol (TC) and LDLC did not significantly influence IgG glycosylation.

5.4.1.3: CMV and smoking

The presence of antibodies against cytomegalovirus (CMV), a common herpes virus which remains latent in many individuals after infection, was found to be associated with low levels of IgG fucosylation. Fucosylation generally shows little variation between individuals ($91.2 \pm 4.1\%$ for IgG1), so while the difference in IgG1 fucosylation between seronegative and seropositive individuals was small ($91.7 \pm 3.7\%$ versus $90.6 \pm 4.3\%$), it was nonetheless

significant ($p_{1,\text{IgG1}} < 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$, Figure 3A). The other glycosylation features did not show any association with CMV serostatus.

Furthermore, smokers showed significantly higher levels of bisection compared to non-smokers ($p_1 < 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for all IgG subclasses, Figure 3B), as well as a low level of IgG2 fucosylation. Individuals who smoked at the time of sample collection showed a mean IgG1 bisection of $20.1 \pm 3.4\%$ versus $18.9 \pm 3.2\%$ in non-smokers.




Figure 5.3: A) IgG1 fucosylation of individuals with and without cytomegalovirus infection. B) IgG1 and IgG2 bisection of smokers/non-smokers. The interquartile range is shown. Glycosylation data was log transformed and adjusted for age and sex.

5.4.2: Familial metabolic health

Since we observed various associations between IgG glycosylation and parameters related to metabolic health, we were interested to know whether metabolically healthier middle-aged offspring from nonagenarians differed from controls. None of the glycosylation features showed, however, a significant difference between these groups, although several trends could be observed (Supplemental Table S4). IgG4 galactosylation was increased in offspring ($p_{1,\text{IgG4}} < 2.2 \times 10^{-2}$), which is consistent with a ‘younger’ and less inflammatory IgG glycosylation profile. Furthermore, weak trends towards lower levels of IgG bisection ($p_{1,\text{IgG4}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-1}$) and fucosylation ($p_{1,\text{IgG2}} = 5.1 \times 10^{-2}$) could be observed in members of long-lived families.

5.5: Discussion

In the current study we were able to measure IgG2-specific glycosylation features, in addition to those of IgG1 and IgG4. IgG2-specific glycosylation features showed similar associations with inflammation and metabolic health markers compared to IgG1 and 4, though IgG2 glycosylation levels showed in general weaker associations for galactosylation and sialylation. Low levels of galactosylation and sialylation, as well as a high degree of fucosylation, appear to reflect an inflammatory state, poor metabolic health and potentially cardiovascular disease risk. In contrast, sialic acid per galactose was not associated with any of the metabolic markers. Furthermore, fucosylation is lower in individuals infected with cytomegalovirus, reflecting a low grade chronic inflammatory state, and current smokers show higher bisecting GlcNAc levels.

5.5.1: IgG subclass-specific glycosylation differences

Tryptic *N*-glycopeptides of IgG2 and IgG3 share the same peptide sequence in Caucasians (55, 56), and so the commonly used protein G enrichment of IgG gives a joint profile for both IgG2 and IgG3. In this study we use Protein A for IgG purification, which does not capture IgG3 and thus allows for separate glycoprofiling of IgG2.

The IgG subclasses display subtle differences in their Fc glycosylation, with IgG2 exhibiting a higher degree of fucosylation and a lower level of bisection and galactosylation compared to the other subclasses, confirming observations from a previous study which examined only four blood samples (57). In addition, we find that galactosylation and sialylation of IgG2 generally display a weaker association (i.e. lower β coefficient) with markers of inflammation and metabolic health compared to IgG1 and IgG4. This corresponds with literature, in which it is described that IgG2 exhibits the lowest overall affinity for Fc γ Rs (26-28) and has the lowest ADCC capacity (29) compared to other IgG subclasses. One may speculate that the lower capacity of IgG2 to trigger inflammatory responses, either pro-inflammatory via Fc γ RI, IIa, IIc, and IIIa, or anti-inflammatory via Fc γ RIIb, could result in a weaker association with inflammation and metabolic health as compared to the other subclasses. Furthermore, it has been reported that IgG2 antibody production is primarily triggered during T-cell independent immune reactions (27, 30), which would also contribute to the weaker association with T-cell-secreted IL-6 and IL-6-stimulated CRP. Together this points towards a more limited role of IgG2 with regard to inflammation compared to the other IgG subclasses.

5.5.2: Galactosylation and sialylation

Low galactosylation and sialylation are associated with a state of inflammation, which is in concordance with previous work based on MALDI-MS measurements within the same cohort (18, 58) and in children (17). Our observations also agree with observations of decreased galactosylation and sialylation in autoimmune diseases (5-10, 59-61) and with several experimental findings that IgG without galactose and/or sialic acid has a higher inflammatory capacity (3, 4, 62). The mechanism by which IgG galactosylation influences inflammation is not yet fully resolved, but the *N*-glycan in the Fc region is known to confer changes to the IgG structure and influence the binding of IgG with Fc gamma receptors (FcγRs) (63-65). The association between low galactosylation and sialylation and inflammation may thus reflect an active role of IgG glycosylation in the regulation of immune activation.

Levels of sialylation and galactosylation are highly correlated, reflecting the fact that the attachment of a sialic acid requires the presence of a galactose. We find that galactosylation and sialylation exhibit very similar associations with metabolic parameters, but the association with sialylation is generally slightly weaker than with galactosylation. The presence of sialic acid on IgG *N*-glycans is thought to confer an anti-inflammatory effect (3, 62). However, we did not observe any significant association with sialic acid per galactose, i.e. the percentage of galactoses which carry a sialic acid, indicating that associations with sialylation may be mediated by its close correlation with galactosylation. This is in line with several previous studies which concluded that galactosylation of human IgG, rather than sialylation, appears to show a pronounced negative association with clinical parameters and infection (5, 66). Together, this supports the notion that IgG Fc galactosylation may be involved in modulating inflammation.

5.5.3: Fucosylation

We find that IgG fucosylation is higher in individuals with a higher level of inflammation. Despite the fact that functional studies have shown that the lack of a core fucose in IgG glycosylation greatly increases the inflammatory capacity through increased binding to FcγRIIIa (63, 64, 67, 68), an increase in IgG fucosylation is sometimes observed in autoimmune patients (59-61). Furthermore, a previous study using UHPLC found that high CRP levels were associated with high fucosylation in non-galactosylated IgG *N*-glycans (69), further demonstrating that IgG fucosylation is increased during a state of inflammation.

In individuals who tested seropositive for cytomegalovirus (CMV), a common virus which can remain in the body in a latent state after infection, a significantly lower level of fucosylation of IgG was observed than in non-infected individuals. Low IgG fucosylation has also been observed in alloantibody reactions against platelets and red blood cells during pregnancy or blood transfusion (70) and in anti-HIV antibodies, and has been suggested to be a defence mechanism by B-cells to increase antiviral control (71). It may be interesting to investigate autoantibodies against CMV, as well as other types of infectious agents, to determine whether low fucosylation of IgG might be a hallmark of viral infections.

5.5.4: Bisection

In our cohort, incidence of a bisecting GlcNAc is higher in current smokers than in non-smokers. Smoking is associated with inflammation and can enact changes in the levels of various cytokines (72). Since cytokine expression has been shown to affect IgG glycosylation, including bisection (73), it can be speculated that these smoking-associated IgG glycosylation changes are mediated through cytokines. A high degree of bisection is further associated with high levels of glucose and triglycerides. This association between higher IgG bisection and poor metabolic health could, as a consequence of the association between smoking and an unhealthy diet and lifestyle (74), reflect the higher prevalence of type 2 diabetes among smokers.

Though we find that the IgG glycosylation reflects poor metabolic health, we were unable to find significant differences between middle-aged members of long-lived families and controls, who are known to differ on various metabolic measures (32, 36, 75). Previous work which applied MALDI-MS to the same set of samples reported a significant decrease in the level of bisection of offspring of long-lived people, but only in individuals below 60 years of age (18), and IgG glycosylation features contributed to the differentiation of controls and members of long-lived families (76). While in the current dataset we indeed observe a similar trend towards decreased bisection in the offspring of long-lived individuals, this was not significant in either the total cohort ($p_{1,\text{IgG4}} = 4.3 \times 10^{-1}$), or among individuals below the age of 60 ($p_{1,\text{IgG1}} = 5.2 \times 10^{-2}$, $p_{1,\text{IgG4}} = 6.3 \times 10^{-1}$; Supplemental Table S4), even without multiple testing correction. From a biological viewpoint the non-significant trends we see are consistent with the age-dependence of IgG glycosylation. Bisection is known to increase with age, so the changes observed in offspring of long-lived individuals are exemplary of a 'younger' profile. These trends fit the expectation that individuals prone to longevity show

delayed ageing which is reflected in their IgG glycosylation profile. A recent investigation into the released *N*-glycan profile of plasma samples within the Leiden Longevity cohort also could not find any association with longevity, while replicating several of the associations we find between *N*-glycans which likely originate from IgG and metabolic markers (58).

5.5.5: Biomarker potential of IgG glycosylation

Our study design does not allow for estimation of whether IgG glycosylation features offer a predictive value for cardiovascular disease in addition to traditional markers of inflammation. However, we did test whether IgG parameters associate with metabolic health markers and which of the subclasses features the strongest association. We show that low levels of galactosylation and sialylation and high levels of fucosylation correspond with a state of low-grade inflammation and a detrimental lipid profile. This is likely due to the fact that a chronic level of inflammation is a known risk factor for cardiovascular disease (77). This high risk profile is also characteristic for ageing – in older individuals, galactosylation and sialylation decrease, while bisection increases (Supplemental Figure 2).

IgG glycosylation is known to be directly involved in the interaction with Fc γ receptors and complement, modulating the inflammatory capacity of the antibody (3, 78), whereas CRP influences opsonisation of pathogens and complement activation (79). Considering the complexity of the immune system and the multitude of pathways therein, these regulatory mechanisms might well be (partially) additive rather than overlapping. Furthermore, while IgG2 glycosylation appears to be of less consequence for low-grade inflammation, IgG2 is more involved in T-cell independent immune reactions compared to the other IgG subclasses and CRP (27, 30). This could provide a reason to further investigate associations of this IgG subclass with health and disease phenotype. Finally, the complexity of IgG glycosylation with its multiple features has the advantage that it could provide more information than the level of a single analyte such as CRP, as shown by the IgG glycosylation features exhibiting different associations with metabolic markers. For these reasons, subclass-specific glycosylation of IgG, independently or in combination with the traditional inflammation marker CRP, might be a more informative biomarker regarding inflammation and metabolic health than CRP on its own. Further studies are required to review the added value of IgG glycosylation as a biomarker in light of prospective data on cardiovascular incidence and morbidity.

References

1. Varki, A. (1993) Biological roles of oligosaccharides: all of the theories are correct. *Glycobiology* 3, 97-130
2. Collin, M., and Ehlers, M. (2013) The carbohydrate switch between pathogenic and immunosuppressive antigen-specific antibodies. *Exp Dermatol* 22, 511-514
3. Kaneko, Y., Nimmerjahn, F., and Ravetch, J. V. (2006) Anti-inflammatory activity of immunoglobulin G resulting from Fc sialylation. *Science* 313, 670-673
4. Karsten, C. M., Pandey, M. K., Figge, J., Kilchenstein, R., Taylor, P. R., Rosas, M., McDonald, J. U., Orr, S. J., Berger, M., Petzold, D., Blanchard, V., Winkler, A., Hess, C., Reid, D. M., Majoul, I. V., Strait, R. T., Harris, N. L., Kohl, G., Wex, E., Ludwig, R., Zillikens, D., Nimmerjahn, F., Finkelman, F. D., Brown, G. D., Ehlers, M., and Kohl, J. (2012) Anti-inflammatory activity of IgG1 mediated by Fc galactosylation and association of FcγRIIB and dectin-1. *Nat Med* 18, 1401-1406
5. Bondt, A., Selman, M. H., Deelder, A. M., Hazes, J. M., Willemsen, S. P., Wuhrer, M., and Dolhain, R. J. (2013) Association between galactosylation of immunoglobulin G and improvement of rheumatoid arthritis during pregnancy is independent of sialylation. *J Proteome Res* 12, 4522-4531
6. Matsumoto, A., Shikata, K., Takeuchi, F., Kojima, N., and Mizuochi, T. (2000) Autoantibody activity of IgG rheumatoid factor increases with decreasing levels of galactosylation and sialylation. *J Biochem* 128, 621-628
7. Holland, M., Yagi, H., Takahashi, N., Kato, K., Savage, C. O., Goodall, D. M., and Jefferis, R. (2006) Differential glycosylation of polyclonal IgG, IgG-Fc and IgG-Fab isolated from the sera of patients with ANCA-associated systemic vasculitis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1760, 669-677
8. Wuhrer, M., Stavenhagen, K., Koeleman, C. A., Selman, M. H., Harper, L., Jacobs, B. C., Savage, C. O., Jefferis, R., Deelder, A. M., and Morgan, M. (2015) Skewed Fc glycosylation profiles of anti-proteinase 3 immunoglobulin G1 autoantibodies from granulomatosis with polyangiitis patients show low levels of bisection, galactosylation, and sialylation. *J Proteome Res* 14, 1657-1665
9. Vuckovic, F., Kristic, J., Gudelj, I., Teruel, M., Keser, T., Pezer, M., Pucic-Bakovic, M., Stambuk, J., Trbojevic-Akmacic, I., Barrios, C., Pavic, T., Menni, C., Wang, Y., Zhou, Y., Cui, L., Song, H., Zeng, Q., Guo, X., Pons-Estel, B. A., McKeigue, P., Leslie Patrick, A., Gornik, O., Spector, T. D., Harjacek, M., Alarcon-Riquelme, M., Molokhia, M., Wang, W., and Lauc, G. (2015) Association of systemic lupus erythematosus with decreased immunosuppressive potential of the IgG glycome. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 67, 2978-2989
10. Youinou, P., Pennec, Y. L., Casburn-Budd, R., Dueymes, M., Letoux, G., and Lamour, A. (1992) Galactose terminating oligosaccharides of IgG in patients with primary Sjogren's syndrome. *J Autoimmun* 5, 393-400
11. Theodoratou, E., Thaci, K., Agakov, F., Timofeeva, M. N., Stambuk, J., Pucic-Bakovic, M., Vuckovic, F., Orchard, P., Agakova, A., Din, F. V., Brown, E., Rudd, P. M., Farrington, S. M., Dunlop, M. G., Campbell, H., and Lauc, G. (2016) Glycosylation of plasma IgG in colorectal cancer prognosis. *Sci Rep* 6, 28098
12. Saldova, R., Wormald, M. R., Dwek, R. A., and Rudd, P. M. (2008) Glycosylation changes on serum glycoproteins in ovarian cancer may contribute to disease pathogenesis. *Dis Markers* 25, 219-232
13. Ren, S., Zhang, Z., Xu, C., Guo, L., Lu, R., Sun, Y., Guo, J., Qin, R., Qin, W., and Gu, J. (2016) Distribution of IgG galactosylation as a promising biomarker for cancer screening in multiple cancer types. *Cell Res* 26, 963-966
14. Moore, J. S., Wu, X., Kulhavy, R., Tomana, M., Novak, J., Moldoveanu, Z., Brown, R., Goepfert, P. A., and Mestecky, J. (2005) Increased levels of galactose-deficient IgG in sera of HIV-1-infected individuals. *Aids* 19, 381-389
15. Ho, C. H., Chien, R. N., Cheng, P. N., Liu, J. H., Liu, C. K., Su, C. S., Wu, I. C., Li, I. C., Tsai, H. W., Wu, S. L., Liu, W. C., Chen, S. H., and Chang, T. T. (2015) Aberrant serum immunoglobulin G

glycosylation in chronic hepatitis B is associated with histological liver damage and reversible by antiviral therapy. *J Infect Dis* 211, 115-124

16. Dall'Olio, F., Vanhooren, V., Chen, C. C., Slagboom, P. E., Wuhrer, M., and Franceschi, C. (2013) N-glycomic biomarkers of biological aging and longevity: a link with inflammaging. *Ageing Res Rev* 12, 685-698
17. de Jong, S. E., Selman, M. H., Adegnika, A. A., Amoah, A. S., van Riet, E., Kruize, Y. C., Raynes, J. G., Rodriguez, A., Boakye, D., von Mutius, E., Knulst, A. C., Genuneit, J., Cooper, P. J., Hokke, C. H., Wuhrer, M., and Yazdanbakhsh, M. (2016) IgG1 Fc N-glycan galactosylation as a biomarker for immune activation. *Sci Rep* 6, 28207
18. Ruhaak, L. R., Uh, H. W., Beekman, M., Koeleman, C. A., Hokke, C. H., Westendorp, R. G., Wuhrer, M., Houwing-Duistermaat, J. J., Slagboom, P. E., and Deelder, A. M. (2010) Decreased levels of bisecting GlcNAc glycoforms of IgG are associated with human longevity. *PLoS One* 5, e12566
19. Gardinassi, L. G., Dotz, V., Hipgrave Ederveen, A., de Almeida, R. P., Nery Costa, C. H., Costa, D. L., de Jesus, A. R., Mayboroda, O. A., Garcia, G. R., Wuhrer, M., and de Miranda Santos, I. K. (2014) Clinical severity of visceral leishmaniasis is associated with changes in immunoglobulin g fc N-glycosylation. *MBio* 5, e01844
20. Dube, R., Rook, G. A., Steele, J., Brealey, R., Dwek, R., Rademacher, T., and Lennard-Jones, J. (1990) Agalactosyl IgG in inflammatory bowel disease: correlation with C-reactive protein. *Gut* 31, 431-434
21. Guarner, V., and Rubio-Ruiz, M. E. (2015) Low-grade systemic inflammation connects aging, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease. *Interdiscip Top Gerontol* 40, 99-106
22. Kristic, J., Vuckovic, F., Menni, C., Klaric, L., Keser, T., Beceheli, I., Pucic-Bakovic, M., Novokmet, M., Mangino, M., Thaqi, K., Rudan, P., Novokmet, N., Sarac, J., Missoni, S., Kolcic, I., Polasek, O., Rudan, I., Campbell, H., Hayward, C., Aulchenko, Y., Valdes, A., Wilson, J. F., Gornik, O., Primorac, D., Zoldos, V., Spector, T., and Lauc, G. (2014) Glycans are a novel biomarker of chronological and biological ages. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 69, 779-789
23. Pucic, M., Knezevic, A., Vidic, J., Adamczyk, B., Novokmet, M., Polasek, O., Gornik, O., Supraha-Goreta, S., Wormald, M. R., Redzic, I., Campbell, H., Wright, A., Hastie, N. D., Wilson, J. F., Rudan, I., Wuhrer, M., Rudd, P. M., Josic, D., and Lauc, G. (2011) High throughput isolation and glycosylation analysis of IgG-variability and heritability of the IgG glycome in three isolated human populations. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 10, M111.010090
24. Lauc, G., Huffman, J. E., Pucic, M., Zgaga, L., Adamczyk, B., Muzinic, A., Novokmet, M., Polasek, O., Gornik, O., Kristic, J., Keser, T., Vitart, V., Scheijen, B., Uh, H. W., Molokhia, M., Patrick, A. L., McKeigue, P., Kolcic, I., Lukic, I. K., Swann, O., van Leeuwen, F. N., Ruhaak, L. R., Houwing-Duistermaat, J. J., Slagboom, P. E., Beekman, M., de Craen, A. J., Deelder, A. M., Zeng, Q., Wang, W., Hastie, N. D., Gyllensten, U., Wilson, J. F., Wuhrer, M., Wright, A. F., Rudd, P. M., Hayward, C., Aulchenko, Y., Campbell, H., and Rudan, I. (2013) Loci associated with N-glycosylation of human immunoglobulin G show pleiotropy with autoimmune diseases and haematological cancers. *PLoS Genet* 9, e1003225
25. Bakovic, M. P., Selman, M. H., Hoffmann, M., Rudan, I., Campbell, H., Deelder, A. M., Lauc, G., and Wuhrer, M. (2013) High-throughput IgG Fc N-glycosylation profiling by mass spectrometry of glycopeptides. *J Proteome Res* 12, 821-831
26. Canfield, S. M., and Morrison, S. L. (1991) The binding affinity of human IgG for its high affinity Fc receptor is determined by multiple amino acids in the CH2 domain and is modulated by the hinge region. *J Exp Med* 173, 1483-1491
27. Bruhns, P., Iannascoli, B., England, P., Mancardi, D. A., Fernandez, N., Jorieux, S., and Daeron, M. (2009) Specificity and affinity of human Fcγ receptors and their polymorphic variants for human IgG subclasses. *Blood* 113, 3716-3725
28. Hogarth, P. M., and Pietersz, G. A. (2012) Fc receptor-targeted therapies for the treatment of inflammation, cancer and beyond. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 11, 311-331


29. Michaelsen, T. E., Aase, A., Norderhaug, L., and Sandlie, I. (1992) Antibody dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity induced by chimeric mouse-human IgG subclasses and IgG3 antibodies with altered hinge region. *Mol Immunol* 29, 319-326
30. Vidarsson, G., Dekkers, G., and Rispens, T. (2014) IgG subclasses and allotypes: from structure to effector functions. *Front Immunol* 5, 520
31. Schoenmaker, M., de Craen, A. J., de Meijer, P. H., Beekman, M., Blauw, G. J., Slagboom, P. E., and Westendorp, R. G. (2006) Evidence of genetic enrichment for exceptional survival using a family approach: the Leiden Longevity Study. *Eur J Hum Genet* 14, 79-84
32. Rozing, M. P., Westendorp, R. G., de Craen, A. J., Frolich, M., de Goeij, M. C., Heijmans, B. T., Beekman, M., Wijnsman, C. A., Mooijaart, S. P., Blauw, G. J., Slagboom, P. E., and van Heemst, D. (2010) Favorable glucose tolerance and lower prevalence of metabolic syndrome in offspring without diabetes mellitus of nonagenarian siblings: the Leiden longevity study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 58, 564-569
33. Postmus, I., Deelen, J., Sedaghat, S., Trompet, S., de Craen, A. J., Heijmans, B. T., Franco, O. H., Hofman, A., Dehghan, A., Slagboom, P. E., Westendorp, R. G., and Jukema, J. W. (2015) LDL cholesterol still a problem in old age? A Mendelian randomization study. *Int J Epidemiol* 44, 604-612
34. Derhovanessian, E., Maier, A. B., Beck, R., Jahn, G., Hahnel, K., Slagboom, P. E., de Craen, A. J., Westendorp, R. G., and Pawelec, G. (2010) Hallmark features of immunosenescence are absent in familial longevity. *J Immunol* 185, 4618-4624
35. Rozing, M. P., Mooijaart, S. P., Beekman, M., Wijnsman, C. A., Maier, A. B., Bartke, A., Westendorp, R. G., Slagboom, P. E., and van Heemst, D. (2011) C-reactive protein and glucose regulation in familial longevity. *Age (Dordr)* 33, 623-630
36. Rozing, M. P., Westendorp, R. G., de Craen, A. J., Frolich, M., Heijmans, B. T., Beekman, M., Wijnsman, C., Mooijaart, S. P., Blauw, G. J., Slagboom, P. E., and van Heemst, D. (2010) Low serum free triiodothyronine levels mark familial longevity: the Leiden Longevity Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 65, 365-368
37. Rozing, M. P., Westendorp, R. G., Frolich, M., de Craen, A. J., Beekman, M., Heijmans, B. T., Mooijaart, S. P., Blauw, G. J., Slagboom, P. E., and van Heemst, D. (2009) Human insulin/IGF-1 and familial longevity at middle age. *Aging (Albany NY)* 1, 714-722
38. Friedewald, W. T., Levy, R. I., and Fredrickson, D. S. (1972) Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 18, 499-502
39. Selman, M. H., Derks, R. J., Bondt, A., Palmblad, M., Schoenmaker, B., Koeleman, C. A., van de Geijn, F. E., Dolhain, R. J., Deelder, A. M., and Wuhrer, M. (2012) Fc specific IgG glycosylation profiling by robust nano-reverse phase HPLC-MS using a sheath-flow ESI sprayer interface. *J Proteomics* 75, 1318-1329
40. Parekh, R. B., Dwek, R. A., Sutton, B. J., Fernandes, D. L., Leung, A., Stanworth, D., Rademacher, T. W., Mizuuchi, T., Taniguchi, T., Matsuta, K., and et al. (1985) Association of rheumatoid arthritis and primary osteoarthritis with changes in the glycosylation pattern of total serum IgG. *Nature* 316, 452-457
41. Stadlmann, J., Pabst, M., Kolarich, D., Kunert, R., and Altmann, F. (2008) Analysis of immunoglobulin glycosylation by LC-ESI-MS of glycopeptides and oligosaccharides. *Proteomics* 8, 2858-2871
42. Takahashi, N., Ishii, I., Ishihara, H., Mori, M., Tejima, S., Jefferis, R., Endo, S., and Arata, Y. (1987) Comparative structural study of the N-linked oligosaccharides of human normal and pathological immunoglobulin G. *Biochemistry* 26, 1137-1144
43. Palmblad, M. (2009) Xtractor. Creative Commons Attribution
44. Johnson, W. E., Li, C., and Rabinovic, A. (2007) Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. *Biostatistics* 8, 118-127
45. R Core Team (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

46. Hojsgaard, S., Halekoh, U., and Yan, J. (2006) The R Package geepack for Generalized Estimating Equations. *Journal of Statistical Software* 15, 1-11
47. Wickam, H. (2009) *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*
48. Langfelder, P., and Horvath, S. (2008) WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9, 559
49. Shikata, K., Yasuda, T., Takeuchi, F., Konishi, T., Nakata, M., and Mizuochi, T. (1998) Structural changes in the oligosaccharide moiety of human IgG with aging. *Glycoconj J* 15, 683-689
50. Parekh, R., Roitt, I., Isenberg, D., Dwek, R., and Rademacher, T. (1988) Age-related galactosylation of the N-linked oligosaccharides of human serum IgG. *J Exp Med* 167, 1731-1736
51. Yamada, E., Tsukamoto, Y., Sasaki, R., Yagyu, K., and Takahashi, N. (1997) Structural changes of immunoglobulin G oligosaccharides with age in healthy human serum. *Glycoconj J* 14, 401-405
52. Rigotti, A., and Krieger, M. (1999) Getting a handle on "good" cholesterol with the high-density lipoprotein receptor. *N Engl J Med* 341, 2011-2013
53. Smith, S. C., Jr. (2007) Multiple risk factors for cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus. *Am J Med* 120, S3-S11
54. Haffner, S. M. (2003) Insulin resistance, inflammation, and the prediabetic state. *Am J Cardiol* 92, 18-26
55. Plomp, R., Bondt, A., de Haan, N., Rombouts, Y., and Wuhrer, M. (2016) Recent Advances in Clinical Glycoproteomics of Immunoglobulins (Igs). *Mol Cell Proteomics* 15, 2217-2228
56. Dard, P., Lefranc, M. P., Osipova, L., and Sanchez-Mazas, A. (2001) DNA sequence variability of IGHG3 alleles associated to the main G3m haplotypes in human populations. *Eur J Hum Genet* 9, 765-772
57. Wuhrer, M., Stam, J. C., van de Geijn, F. E., Koeleman, C. A., Verrips, C. T., Dolhain, R. J., Hokke, C. H., and Deelder, A. M. (2007) Glycosylation profiling of immunoglobulin G (IgG) subclasses from human serum. *Proteomics* 7, 4070-4081
58. Reiding, K. R., Ruhaak, L. R., Uh, H. W., El Bouhaddani, S., van den Akker, E. B., Plomp, R., McDonnell, L. A., Houwing-Duistermaat, J. J., Slagboom, P. E., Beekman, M., and Wuhrer, M. (2016) Human plasma N-glycosylation as analyzed by MALDI-FTICR-MS associates with markers of inflammation and metabolic health. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 16, 228-242
59. Fogel, M., Lauc, G., Gornik, I., and Macek, B. (1998) Fucosylation and galactosylation of IgG heavy chains differ between acute and remission phases of juvenile chronic arthritis. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 36, 99-102
60. Rombouts, Y., Ewing, E., van de Stadt, L. A., Selman, M. H., Trouw, L. A., Deelder, A. M., Huizinga, T. W., Wuhrer, M., van Schaardenburg, D., Toes, R. E., and Scherer, H. U. (2015) Anti-citrullinated protein antibodies acquire a pro-inflammatory Fc glycosylation phenotype prior to the onset of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 74, 234-241
61. Sjowall, C., Zapf, J., von Lohneysen, S., Magorivska, I., Biermann, M., Janko, C., Winkler, S., Bilyy, R., Schett, G., Herrmann, M., and Munoz, L. E. (2015) Altered glycosylation of complexed native IgG molecules is associated with disease activity of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 24, 569-581
62. Scallon, B. J., Tam, S. H., McCarthy, S. G., Cai, A. N., and Raju, T. S. (2007) Higher levels of sialylated Fc glycans in immunoglobulin G molecules can adversely impact functionality. *Mol Immunol* 44, 1524-1534
63. Lu, J., Chu, J., Zou, Z., Hamacher, N. B., Rixon, M. W., and Sun, P. D. (2015) Structure of FcγRI in complex with Fc reveals the importance of glycan recognition for high-affinity IgG binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112, 833-838
64. Ferrara, C., Grau, S., Jager, C., Sondermann, P., Brunker, P., Waldhauer, I., Hennig, M., Ruf, A., Rufer, A. C., Stihle, M., Umana, P., and Benz, J. (2011) Unique carbohydrate-carbohydrate interactions are required for high affinity binding between FcγRIII and antibodies lacking core fucose. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108, 12669-12674

65. Jefferis, R., and Lund, J. (2002) Interaction sites on human IgG-Fc for Fcγ₃R: current models. *Immunol Lett* 82, 57-65
 66. Kemna, M. J., Plomp, R., van Paassen, P., Koeleman, C. A., Jansen, B. C., Damoiseaux, J. G., Cohen Tervaert, J. W., and Wuhrer, M. (2017) Galactosylation and Sialylation Levels of IgG Predict Relapse in Patients With PR3-ANCA Associated Vasculitis. *EBioMedicine* 17, 108-118
 67. Niwa, R., Natsume, A., Uehara, A., Wakitani, M., Iida, S., Uchida, K., Satoh, M., and Shitara, K. (2005) IgG subclass-independent improvement of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity by fucose removal from Asn297-linked oligosaccharides. *J Immunol Methods* 306, 151-160
 68. Shields, R. L., Lai, J., Keck, R., O'Connell, L. Y., Hong, K., Meng, Y. G., Weikert, S. H., and Presta, L. G. (2002) Lack of fucose on human IgG1 N-linked oligosaccharide improves binding to human Fcγ₃R and antibody-dependent cellular toxicity. *J Biol Chem* 277, 26733-26740
 69. Menni, C., Keser, T., Mangino, M., Bell, J. T., Erte, I., Akmacic, I., Vuckovic, F., Pucic Bakovic, M., Gornik, O., McCarthy, M. I., Zoldos, V., Spector, T. D., Lauc, G., and Valdes, A. M. (2013) Glycosylation of immunoglobulin g: role of genetic and epigenetic influences. *PLoS One* 8, e82558
 70. Sonneveld, M. E., van der Schoot, C. E., and Vidarsson, G. (2016) The Elements Steering Pathogenesis in IgG-Mediated Alloimmune Diseases. *J Clin Immunol* 36 Suppl 1, 76-81
 71. Ackerman, M. E., Crispin, M., Yu, X., Baruah, K., Boesch, A. W., Harvey, D. J., Dugast, A. S., Heizen, E. L., Ercan, A., Choi, I., Streeck, H., Nigrovic, P. A., Bailey-Kellogg, C., Scanlan, C., and Alter, G. (2013) Natural variation in Fc glycosylation of HIV-specific antibodies impacts antiviral activity. *J Clin Invest* 123, 2183-2192
 72. Lee, J., Taneja, V., and Vassallo, R. (2012) Cigarette smoking and inflammation: cellular and molecular mechanisms. *J Dent Res* 91, 142-149
 73. Wang, J., Balog, C. I., Stavenhagen, K., Koeleman, C. A., Scherer, H. U., Selman, M. H., Deelder, A. M., Huizinga, T. W., Toes, R. E., and Wuhrer, M. (2011) Fc-glycosylation of IgG1 is modulated by B-cell stimuli. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 10, M110.004655
 74. Willi, C., Bodenmann, P., Ghali, W. A., Faris, P. D., and Cornuz, J. (2007) Active smoking and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Jama* 298, 2654-2664
 75. Westendorp, R. G., van Heemst, D., Rozing, M. P., Frolich, M., Mooijaart, S. P., Blauw, G. J., Beekman, M., Heijmans, B. T., de Craen, A. J., and Slagboom, P. E. (2009) Nonagenarian siblings and their offspring display lower risk of mortality and morbidity than sporadic nonagenarians: The Leiden Longevity Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 57, 1634-1637
 76. Beekman, M., Uh, H. W., van Heemst, D., Wuhrer, M., Ruhaak, L. R., Gonzalez-Covarrubias, V., Hankemeier, T., Houwing-Duistermaat, J. J., and Slagboom, P. E. (2016) Classification for Longevity Potential: The Use of Novel Biomarkers. *Front Public Health* 4, 233
 77. Koene, R. J., Prizment, A. E., Blaes, A., and Konety, S. H. (2016) Shared Risk Factors in Cardiovascular Disease and Cancer. *Circulation* 133, 1104-1114
 78. Anthony, R. M., and Nimmerjahn, F. (2011) The role of differential IgG glycosylation in the interaction of antibodies with Fcγ₃R in vivo. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 16, 7-14
 79. Murphy, K., and Weaver, C. (2016) *Janeway's Immunobiology*, 9th edition Ed., Garland Science, New York
-

Supplemental Information

A complete overview of the supplemental information will be made available online upon publication.



Supplemental Figure S5.1: A) Extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of various IgG glycopeptides, showing that IgG1 glycopeptides elute first, followed by IgG4 and lastly IgG2. B) A mass spectrum of IgG1 glycopeptides in both 2+ and 3+ charge state. The peaks marked with an asterisk are quadruply charged dimers of two IgG1 glycopeptides.

Supplemental Table S5.2: Calculation of glycosylation features from individual *N*-glycan percentages. The difference between glycosylation feature calculations for different IgG subclasses arises from the exclusion of IgG2 *N*-glycopeptides G1FNS1 and G2FNS1 and afucosylated IgG4 *N*-glycopeptides. F=core fucose, N=bisecting *N*-acetylglucosamine, G=galactose, S=*N*-acetylneuraminic (sialic) acid.

glycosylation feature	description	calculation
IgG1 fucosylation	% of IgG1 <i>N</i> -glycans carrying a core fucose	$G0F + G1F + G2F + G0FN + G1FN + G2FN + G1FS1 + G2FS1 + G1FNS1 + G2FNS1$
IgG1 bisection	% of IgG1 <i>N</i> -glycans carrying a bisecting GlcNAc	$G0FN + G1FN + G2FN + G1FNS1 + G2FNS1 + G0N + G1N + G2N + G1NS1 + G2NS1$
IgG1 galactosylation	% of IgG1 <i>N</i> -glycan antennae carrying a galactose	$(G1F + G1FN + G1FS1 + G1FNS1 + G1 + G1N + G1S1 + G1NS1) * 0.5 + (G2F + G2FN + G2FS1 + G2FNS1 + G2 + G2N + G2S1 + G2NS1) * 1$
IgG1 sialylation	% of IgG1 <i>N</i> -glycan antennae carrying a sialic acid	$(G1FS1 + G2FS1 + G1FNS1 + G2FNS1 + G1S1 + G2S1 + G1NS1 + G2NS1) * 0.5$
IgG1 sialic acid per galactose	% of galactoses carrying a sialic acid on IgG1	$(\text{IgG1 sialylation} / \text{IgG1 galactosylation}) * 100$
IgG2 fucosylation	% of IgG2 <i>N</i> -glycans carrying a core fucose	$G0F + G1F + G2F + G0FN + G1FN + G2FN + G1FS1 + G2FS1$
IgG2 bisection	% of IgG2 <i>N</i> -glycans carrying a bisecting GlcNAc	$G0FN + G1FN + G2FN + G0N + G1N + G2N + G1NS1 + G2NS1$
IgG2 galactosylation	% of IgG2 <i>N</i> -glycan antennae carrying a galactose	$(G1F + G1FN + G1FS1 + G1 + G1N + G1S1 + G1NS1) * 0.5 + (G2F + G2FN + G2FS1 + G2 + G2N + G2S1 + G2NS1) * 1$
IgG2 sialylation	% of IgG2 <i>N</i> -glycan antennae carrying a sialic acid	$(G1FS1 + G2FS1 + G1S1 + G2S1 + G1NS1 + G2NS1) * 0.5$
IgG2 sialic acid per galactose	% of galactoses carrying a sialic acid on IgG2	$(\text{IgG2 sialylation} / \text{IgG2 galactosylation}) * 100$
IgG4 bisection	% of IgG4 <i>N</i> -glycans carrying a bisecting GlcNAc	$G0FN + G1FN + G2FN + G1FNS1 + G2FNS1$
IgG4 galactosylation	% of IgG4 <i>N</i> -glycan antennae carrying a galactose	$(G1F + G1FN + G1FS1 + G1FNS1) * 0.5 + (G2F + G2FN + G2FS1 + G2FNS1) * 1$
IgG4 sialylation	% of IgG4 <i>N</i> -glycan antennae carrying a sialic acid	$(G1FS1 + G2FS1 + G1FNS1 + G2FNS1 + G1S1 + G2S1 + G1NS1 + G2NS1) * 0.5$
IgG4 sialic acid per galactose	% of galactoses carrying a sialic acid on IgG4	$(\text{IgG4 sialylation} / \text{IgG4 galactosylation}) * 100$