



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Beyond hearing : social-emotional outcomes following cochlear implantation in young children

Ketelaar, L.

Citation

Ketelaar, L. (2014, June 4). *Beyond hearing : social-emotional outcomes following cochlear implantation in young children*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25886>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25886>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/25886> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Ketelaar, Lizet

Title: Beyond hearing : social-emotional outcomes following cochlear implantation in young children

Issue Date: 2014-06-04



5

The interplay between moral emotions and social behavior in young children with normal hearing and with cochlear implants

Ketelaar, L., Wiefferink, C.H., Frijns, J.H.M., & Rieffe, C. (in revision).

Abstract

Moral emotions such as shame, guilt and pride are the result of an evaluation of the own behavior as (morally) right or wrong. The capacity to experience moral emotions is thought to be an important driving force behind socially appropriate behavior. Nonetheless, the relation between moral emotions and social behavior in young children has not been studied extensively, let alone in those with a hearing impairment. This study compared young children with hearing impairments who have a cochlear implant (CI) to normally hearing (NH) peers regarding the extent to which they display moral emotions, and how this relates to their social functioning and language skills. Responses of 184 NH children and 60 children with CI (14 to 61 months old) to shame/guilt and pride inducing events were observed. Parents reported on their children's social competence and externalizing behavior, and experimenters observed children's cooperative behavior. Additionally, children's language skills were assessed. Results show that children with CI displayed moral emotions to a lesser degree than NH children. A relation between moral emotions and social functioning was found in the NH group, but not in the CI group. Language skills were unrelated to moral emotions, yet emotion vocabulary was related to social functioning. Facilitating emotion language skills in addition to general language skills could promote children's social functioning. Future studies should examine which factors are associated with moral emotions, particularly in children with CI.

Acknowledgments. We thank the children and their parents for participating in this study. We also thank the students who have helped collecting data with the NH children, and Anke Otten and Bernadette Vermeij for collecting data with the children with CI.

Introduction

Research in the normal-hearing (NH) population has demonstrated that moral emotions such as shame, guilt, and pride are important determinants of social competence, reflected in being liked by others, for example (Barrett, 1995; Stearns & Parrott, 2012). Conversely, an impaired ability to experience these emotions is associated with a range of undesirable behaviors in preadolescents, including bullying and aggression (Menesini & Camodeca, 2008; Olthof, 2012) and, at the far end of the spectrum, even criminal behavior and psychopathy in adolescents and adults (Holmqvist, 2008; Mealey, 1995). These studies indicate that moral emotions play a significant role in regulating social behavior. Research examining this relation in younger children is largely lacking, but is warranted given these striking findings in older children and adolescents.

The ability of children with hearing impairments to experience and express moral emotions, and how this ability relates to their social functioning, has received little or no attention to date even though social problems are known to exist in this population. Children and adolescents with prelingual, severe to profound hearing loss often experience social difficulties, for example manifested in problematic peer relations (Wolters et al., 2011), a higher incidence of behavior problems (Barker et al., 2009), and more symptoms of psychopathy (i.e., cold-blooded manipulative behavior, lack of empathy) (Theunissen et al., in press) compared to NH peers. These days, the vast majority of young children with severe to profound hearing loss born in Western countries receive a cochlear implant (CI), often before their second birthday (De Raeve & Lichtert, 2011; Hyde & Power, 2006). This electronic device bypasses the damaged part of the ear by directly stimulating the auditory nerve which, combined with extensive rehabilitation, enables sound perception and benefits spoken language skills (De Raeve, 2010; Ganek et al., 2012). Yet, how cochlear implantation affects these young children's social functioning, and which factors underlie their social development, is largely unknown. Determining risk or protective factors is important in light of optimizing rehabilitation programs for children with CI. This study is the first to explore the moral development of children with CI, and to examine its relation to these children's social functioning.



Function and Development of Moral Emotions

Emotions have a social function, motivating a person to find a balance between the own interest and certain social requirements, in order to optimize interpersonal relations (Keltner & Haidt, 1999; Rieffe & De Rooij, 2012). Yet, a number of theorists have argued that moral emotions take a special position in the spectrum of emotions (e.g., Barrett, 1995; Eisenberg, 2000; Lewis et al., 1992; Shariff & Tracy, 2009; Tangney, Stuewig, & Mashek, 2007; Tracy & Robins, 2004a). Crucially, moral emotions include a self-evaluative component, and occur when people judge their own behavior as (morally) right or wrong. This self-awareness or self-reflection causes the individual to correct the own behavior or even better, to prevent oneself from making moral transgressions in the future (Barrett, 1995; Tangney et al., 2007). Each moral emotion has its own function, and a corresponding pattern of behavior. Although the same event may cause shame in one person and guilt in the next (Tangney et al., 2007), a distinction between these two emotions can be made based on appraisals concerning stability and globality (Tracy & Robins, 2004a). Shame arises when a failure or transgression is attributed to a global and stable cause (e.g., 'I broke the vase because I am clumsy'). Guilt, on the other hand, is caused by specific and unstable attributions (e.g., 'I broke the vase because I did not look where I was going'). Both emotions communicate to others that you are aware of your transgression and feel bad. Yet, shame is associated with escape-related behavior (e.g., making yourself smaller, avoiding eye contact), whereas guilt is associated with reparative behavior (e.g., apologizing, trying to undo the consequences) (Barrett, 1995; Tangney et al., 2007). Pride can be felt when you have accomplished something notable, which makes you want to repeat or sustain the behavior that led up to this emotion. Its expression is aimed at drawing attention to this accomplishment (e.g., expanded posture, making eye contact), and signals to others that you (temporarily) deserve a higher status within the group (Shariff & Tracy, 2009; Tracy & Robins, 2004b). In sum, moral emotions discourage inappropriate, i.e., morally incorrect, and reinforce appropriate, i.e., morally correct, behavior. Therefore, it is not surprising that emotionally competent NH children - those who know when and how to express (moral) emotions - are generally perceived to be more socially competent as well (Barrett, 1995; Denham et al., 2003; Stearns & Parrott, 2012).

Moral emotions require certain insights and capacities, which develop over time. Children need to be aware of the dominant moral standards, and

have to be able to evaluate their own behavior in this context (Lewis et al., 1992; Tangney et al., 2007; Tracy & Robins, 2004a). Yet, in a review of the empirical literature, Draghi-Lorenz, Reddy, and Costall (Draghi-Lorenz et al., 2001) argued that signs of pride, guilt or shame can already be observed in infancy, before children have internalized moral standards. At this early age these emotions are a result of external evaluations and not of children's own judgment of their behavior (Mills, 2005). Whether or not children with CI will express moral emotions to the same extent as NH children when their behavior is evaluated by others is unclear. However, we do know that children with CI have difficulties recognizing other people's emotions (Wang et al., 2011; Wiefferink et al., 2013), and are less sensitive to intonation (Most & Michaelis, 2012). Therefore, these children might not pick up on more subtle forms of feedback, which for example is relayed by someone's facial expression or tone of voice. If children with CI are indeed less aware of other people's evaluations of their behavior, this could prevent them from displaying the appropriate moral emotion.

During the toddler period, most NH children become increasingly able to evaluate their own behavior based on what they have learned from previous feedback, and will start to generalize this knowledge to other situations. From three years up, NH children start to internalize a personal set of moral standards which will eventually channel their (emotional) behavior, independent from outside guidance (Lewis et al., 1992; Mills, 2005; Tracy & Robins, 2004a). In order for this personal set of moral standards to develop, children first need to be able to judge their own behavior through the eyes of other people, which requires certain socio-cognitive abilities. The best-known example of these is the so-called Theory of Mind (ToM), which entails the capacity to take other people's perspective into account (Premack & Woodruff, 1978). NH children show a major development in their ToM understanding between the ages of 2 and 5 years old (Wellman, 1990), but ToM skills of children with CI are known to fall behind during this crucial period. In early and middle childhood, children with CI are less able than their NH peers to predict other people's behavior based on these people's desires and expectations, but tend to use their own frame of reference instead (Ketelaar et al., 2012a; Peterson, 2004). A limited understanding of other people's perspectives also implies a limited understanding of other people's judgments about their behavior. Consequently, children with CI may be less inclined to express moral emotions because they do not realize that they have done something that would be judged as reprehensible or admirable by others.



The Role of Communication and Socialization

Emotions are subjective experiences in response to meaningful events. Yet, how these emotions are interpreted and displayed is modulated by the social environment (Barrett, 1995; Keltner & Haidt, 1999). Children learn to regulate their emotions and behavior through interactions with role models; by observing parents' responses to events, but also through verbal communication. Children with CI have experienced a period of time before receiving their CI during which they were deprived of access to spoken language, and even with a CI a large proportion of these children still faces language delays (Ganek et al., 2012). In addition, parents of children with hearing impairments more often have difficulties conversing with their children, particularly about abstract topics (Peterson & Siegal, 2000). This includes having conversations about emotions; helping children to label their emotions and discussing how to communicate emotions appropriately. Moreover, this could also prevent parents from discussing moral matters with their children. Language delays and restricted communication could seriously impair the quality of interactions between hearing parents and their children with CI and, in turn, these children's ability to understand their own and other people's emotions (Morris, Silk, Steinberg, Myers, & Robinson, 2007). Moreover, children with CI are likely to miss out on so-called incidental learning, i.e., picking up conversations in which they are not directly addressed, as has been shown for children with hearing impairments who did not have a CI (Vaccari & Marschark, 1997). An impoverished quality of interactions with NH people in their immediate surroundings combined with a limited capacity for incidental learning could negatively impact these children's ability to become independent moral agents.

Current Study

This study's first aim is to examine the extent to which young children with NH or with CI display moral emotions in an experimental setting. Because of communication difficulties and limited opportunities for incidental learning (Peterson & Siegal, 2000; Vaccari & Marschark, 1997), children with CI presumably have had less opportunity to learn and internalize moral standards, and subsequent moral emotions. Moreover, these children have an impaired insight into other people's emotions and perspective (Ketelaar et al., 2012a; Peterson, 2004; Wang et al., 2011; Wiefferink et al., 2013), which further hampers their ability to make inferences about their own behavior from cues in their

environment. Therefore, we expect children with CI to display moral emotions to a lesser degree than NH peers.

Second, the interplay between moral emotions and social behavior is examined. Because moral emotions play such a crucial role in social functioning of the NH population at later ages (Holmqvist, 2008; Menesini & Camodeca, 2008; Stearns & Parrott, 2012), we expect to observe this relation already early in life, both in the NH group and in the CI group. Given that we expect lower levels of moral emotions in children with CI in comparison to their NH peers, we expect that this will also lead to lower levels of social functioning in these children.

Third, we wish to verify whether communication indeed plays an important role in the development of moral emotions. We examine children's language skills as a determinant of communication. Particularly emotion-related language might be important for children's social-emotional development. Regardless of children's hearing status, we expect to find a positive relation between their ability to understand and use emotion language and the extent to which they express moral emotions. Within the CI group, we also assess whether general spoken language abilities are related to moral emotions. Fourth, we explore whether earlier implantation promotes children's social and emotional functioning similar to what has been found for their spoken language skills (Ganek et al., 2012).

Table 1 Sample Characteristics

	CI (n = 60)	NH (n = 184)
Age, mean (SD), mo	38 (14.3)	38 (12.1)
Age, range, mo	14 - 61	14 - 61
Male, No. (%)	36 (60%)	110 (60%)
Socioeconomic status		
Maternal education, mean (SD) ^a	3.46 (0.83)	3.63 (0.62)
Net household income, mean (SD) ^b	3.68 (1.12)	3.65 (0.96)
Age at implantation, mean (SD), mo	16 (7.3)	
Age at implantation, range, mo	6 - 35	
Time with (first) CI, mean (SD), mo	21 (12.8)	
Time with (first) CI, range, mo	1 - 44	

^a(1 = no / primary education, 2 = lower general secondary education, 3 = higher general secondary education, 4 = college / university).

^b(1 = < €15,000, 2 = €15,000 - €30,000, 3 = €30,000 - €45,000, 4 = €45,000 - €60,000, 5 = > €60,000).



Methods

Participants

This study is part of a larger research project which focuses on various areas of the social-emotional development of young children with CI and with NH. A total of 244 children (60 with CI and 184 with NH) from the Netherlands and the Dutch-speaking part of Belgium participated in this study. All children were born to hearing parents and had no apparent mental health disorders such as ADHD or autism spectrum disorders. Characteristics of the samples are reported in Table 1. Age, gender and socioeconomic status (based on maternal education and net household income) did not differ between the groups. All children with CI had prelingual, severe to profound hearing loss and had received their (first) implant before the age of three years. Sixty-eight percent had been using their CI for 12 months or more at the time of data collection. Parents indicated that practically all children were wearing their CI full-time. Approximately half the group had two implants, the other half had one. All children with CI entered a tailored rehabilitation program after implantation, which includes joining specialized playgroups, receiving technical support for the device, speech therapy, and visits with a psychologist. At the time of data collection, 22 children (37%) preferred to solely use spoken language, the remaining 38 children (63%) preferred to use some form of signed language, mostly Sign-Supported Dutch (i.e., spoken Dutch supported by signs).

Materials

Indices for moral emotions

Shame/guilt. Three tasks were designed to evoke feelings of shame and/or guilt. In the Broken Car Task, children were led to believe they had broken the experimenter's toy car (i.e., the wheels would come off when children played with it). The other two tasks involved failure on an assignment that appeared to be easy, which supposedly evokes shame and/or guilt (Lewis et al., 1992). In the Copy Task, children were asked to copy a drawing made by the experimenter. Children always received negative feedback upon completion of their drawing. In the Bottle Task, the experimenter asked children to open a bottle that, unknowingly to the child, was equipped with a child-proof safety cap. The experimenter opened and closed the bottle before handing it to the child, demonstrating it could be opened easily. Based on previous research

(Alessandri & Lewis, 1993; Barrett, 1995; Lewis et al., 1992), the occurrence of the following four behaviors was coded on a three-point scale (0 = *not at all*, 1 = *a little*, 2 = *a lot*) for each of the tasks: 1) negative response to the situation, 2) gaze aversion / turning away from situation, 3) collapsed body, 4) corners of the mouth turned down / lower lip pushed outward (pouting). Hiding one's face was also scored but showed a floor effect for all tasks and was removed from the scale. A single, overall score (ranging between 0 and 2) for shame/guilt was computed by averaging the ratings of the four items across the three tasks. The reliability of the scale meets the expected minimum of Cronbach's Alpha $> .70$ (Nunally, 1978) (Table 2).

Pride. Two pride-evoking tasks were administered, both of which involved mastery. These two tasks directly followed their shame/guilt-evoking counterparts, which would have set the stage for children to believe that these tasks were hard. Mastering them this time around was assumed to evoke pride (Lewis et al., 1992). In the Copy Task, children again were asked to copy a drawing but this time were given positive feedback. In the Bottle Task, the experimenter looked at the bottle that the child had been unable to open and 'discovered' that the cap was screwed on wrong. She then (without the child noticing) released the safety lock before handing the bottle back and encouraging the child to try again. The experimenter made sure children succeeded to open the bottle this time. Based on previous studies (Alessandri & Lewis, 1993; Lewis et al., 1992; Tracy & Robins, 2004b), three separate cues for pride were scored on a three-point scale (0 = *not at all*, 1 = *a little*, 2 = *a lot*): 1) positive response to situation, 2) smiling / laughing, 3) eye contact and erect posture. Pointing to the outcome or applauding was also scored but showed a floor effect for both pride tasks and was removed from the scales. A single, overall score (ranging between 0 and 2) for pride was computed by averaging the ratings of the three items across the two tasks. The reliability of the scale meets the expected minimum of Cronbach's Alpha $> .70$ (Nunally, 1978) (Table 2).

Indices for social functioning

Social Competence was assessed by calculating mean scores for the items of the Prosocial and Peer Problems scales (5 items each) from the Dutch parent-report version of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (Goodman, 1997; Muris et al., 2003). Parents rated each item on a three-point scale (0 = *not true*, 1 = *somewhat true*, 2 = *certainly true*). Negatively formulated



items on the Peer Problems scale were reversed so that higher scores were indicative of less peer problems. The reliability of the scale meets the expected minimum of Cronbach's Alpha $> .70$ (Nunally, 1978) (Table 2).

Cooperation. Following each test session, experimenters completed a questionnaire that was designed for the purpose of this study concerning the child's behavior during the test session. The scale Cooperation (9 items) reflects the extent to which children were motivated to complete the tasks, and how responsive they were to the experimenter's instructions. Items were rated on a three-point scale (0 = *not*, 1 = *sometimes*, 2 = *often*), mean scores across the items were calculated. The reliability of the scale meets the expected minimum of Cronbach's Alpha $> .70$ (Nunally, 1978) (Table 2). Data were missing for one NH child.

Externalizing Behavior was assessed by calculating mean scores for the Hyperactivity and Behavioral Problems scales (5 items each) from the Dutch parent-report version of the SDQ (Goodman, 1997; Muris et al., 2003). Parents rated each item on a three-point scale (0 = *not true*, 1 = *somewhat true*, 2 = *certainly true*). The reliability of the scale meets the expected minimum of Cronbach's Alpha $> .70$ (Nunally, 1978) (Table 2).

Indices for language

Emotion Vocabulary. Children's emotion language was measured with the Emotion Vocabulary Questionnaire, a parent-report measure that was designed for the purpose of this study. Parents rated whether their children knew and used (either in spoken or sign language) each of 20 emotion and/or mental state words (0 = *no*, 1 = *yes*). Basic emotions such as happy or angry, more complex emotions such as jealous or disappointed, and mental states such as dreaming or thinking were represented in the questionnaire. A mean score across the items was calculated to indicate children's emotion vocabulary. The reliability of the scale meets the expected minimum of Cronbach's Alpha $> .70$ (Nunally, 1978) (Table 2). Data were missing for one child with CI.

Spoken language understanding and production scores of children with CI were obtained via records from hospitals and counseling services. Part of the rehabilitation process after implantation involves monitoring children's language development, most commonly by administering the Dutch versions of the Reynell Developmental Language Scales for language understanding and the Schlichting Expressive Language Test for word and sentence production (Van

Eldik, 1998). Hospitals and counseling services were asked to provide children's most recent scores. Recent language scores were unavailable for 11 children, and the language skills of 5 additional children were assessed with alternative, incomparable instruments, leaving us with language scores of 43 children with CI (Table 2). Using independent sample *t* tests, we compared children in the CI group with and without language scores and found no differences regarding age or any of the indices for social-emotional functioning.

Procedure

Children with NH were recruited through day-care centers, preschools, and elementary schools in the Netherlands. Children with CI were recruited through hospitals and family counseling services all over the Netherlands and the Dutch-speaking part of Belgium. All children were tested individually in a quiet room at home, school or hospital. The emotion-evoking events were interspersed among other tasks not presented in this manuscript. Parents filled in questionnaires. Additional information, such as household income and age at implantation, was obtained from parents and/or medical records. Informed consent was obtained for all children and the study was approved by the university's medical ethics committee.

The tasks were nonverbal in nature (common gestures made clear what was expected) and did not require a verbal response from the children. The tasks were administered to children in the CI group by one of two hearing experimenters who were fluent in Dutch sign language and sign-supported Dutch. Children with CI were addressed in their preferred mode of communication (spoken or signed language) during the test session.



Table 2 Internal Consistencies, Means, and SDs for Measures of Social and Emotional Functioning

	No. of items	Min-Max	Cronbach's Alpha	Inter-item correlation	CI (n = 60)	NH (n = 184)
					M(SD)	M(SD)
<i>Moral emotions</i>						
Shame***	12	0-2	.79	.24	0.19 (0.19)	0.41 (0.33)
Pride*	6	0-2	.81	.41	0.70 (0.49)	0.89 (0.54)
<i>Language</i>						
Emotion Vocabulary***	20	0-1	.92	.37	0.46 (0.26)	0.57 (0.28)
Language Understanding					86.49 (17.59)	
Word Production					89.08 (18.67)	
Sentence Production					84.09 (14.47)	
<i>Social functioning</i>						
Social Competence	10	0-2	.70	.17	1.42 (0.35)	1.48 (0.33)
Externalizing Behavior	10	0-2	.71	.20	0.61 (0.38)	0.53 (0.31)
Cooperation	9	0-2	.87	.43	1.62 (0.41)	1.62 (0.43)

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Results

Group Differences on Moral Emotions and Social Functioning

To examine group differences for moral emotion expressions, social functioning and language, ANCOVAs controlling for age were performed. The ANCOVA for Shame/guilt revealed a main effect for Group (NH, CI), $F(1, 241) = 27.38$, $p < .001$, Cohen's $d = 0.84$. Similarly, the ANCOVA for Pride revealed a main effect for Group (NH, CI), $F(1, 241) = 6.47$, $p = .012$, Cohen's $d = 0.39$. Mean scores per group (Table 2) indicate that children with CI expressed moral emotions to a lesser extent than NH children. No main effects for Group (NH, CI) were found for any of the measures of social functioning (Social Competence: $F(1, 241) = 1.70$, $p = .194$, Cohen's $d = 0.18$; Cooperation: $F(1, 240) = 0.00$, $p = .990$, Cohen's $d = 0.00$; Externalizing Behavior: $F(1, 241) = 3.11$, $p = .079$, Cohen's $d = 0.23$). Additionally, the ANCOVA for Emotion Vocabulary showed a main effect for Group (NH, CI), $F(1, 240) = 16.48$, $p < .001$, Cohen's $d = 0.41$, indicating that NH children knew and used more emotion words than children with CI. Spoken language skills were only obtained for children with CI. On average, children with CI scored 1 SD below the normative mean ($M = 100$, $SD = 15$) (Table 2).

Relation of Moral Emotions with Social Functioning, and the Role of Language

Shame/guilt and Pride increased with age in both groups of children. Cooperation also increased with age in both groups, whereas Social Competence only increased with age in the NH group. Externalizing Behavior was unrelated to age in the NH group, but increased with age in the CI group (Table 3). Because age was a confounding variable, correlations between moral emotions and social functioning were calculated corrected for age (Table 4). Results for the NH group show that Shame/guilt was related to Social Competence and that Pride was related to both Social Competence and Cooperation. Moral emotions were unrelated to Externalizing Behavior in the NH group. Results for the CI group show that moral emotions were unrelated to social functioning.

Next, we explored the association between various indices of language and the expression of moral emotions, as well as social functioning (Table 5). Emotion language, as indexed by Emotion Vocabulary, was unrelated to moral emotions in both groups. It was however positively related to Social Competence in both groups, and negatively related to Externalizing Behavior in the CI group only. None of the indices for spoken language skills in the CI group were related to moral emotions or social functioning.

Finally, we examined the effect of implantation timing on indices of moral emotions and social functioning in the CI group. As can be seen in Table 3, younger age at implantation and longer use of the implant were positively related to Pride but not to Shame/guilt or any of the indices for social functioning. Although not an initial focus point of this study, we also examined whether implantation timing affected children's language skills, like previous research indicated (Ganek et al., 2012). In accordance with previous studies, we found that younger age at implantation and longer time with CI (both corrected for chronological age) were related to better spoken language skills. However, implantation timing was unrelated to emotion language, as indicated by children's Emotion Vocabulary (Table 3).



Table 3 Pearson Correlations of Moral emotions, Social Functioning, and Language with Age and Implantation Timing

	Chronological age		Age at implantation ^a	Time with CI ^a
	NH	CI	CI	CI
<i>Moral emotions</i>				
Shame/guilt	.33***	.40**	.00	-.01
Pride	.25**	.40**	-.33*	.35**
<i>Social functioning</i>				
Social Competence	.34***	.09	-.18	.17
Cooperation	.51***	.55***	-.18	.17
Externalizing Behavior	-.09	.34**	.21	-.21
<i>Language</i>				
Emotion Vocabulary	.76***	.73***	-.26	.25
Language Understanding		.17	-.48**	.47**
Word Production		.14	-.50**	.48**
Sentence Production		.04	-.58***	.57***

^aCorrected for chronological age

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$ (two-tailed).

Table 4 Pearson Correlations of Moral emotions with Social Functioning per Group, Corrected for Age

	Shame/guilt	Pride	Social Competence	Cooperation	Externalizing Behavior
Shame/guilt	-	.19	.10	.23	-.10
Pride	.27***	-	-.04	.12	.06
Social Competence	.29***	.18*	-	.12	-.41***
Cooperation	.03	.16*	.19*	-	-.12
Externalizing Behavior	-.10	.00	-.41***	-.18	-

Note. Right upper corner shows correlations for CI group, left lower corner shows correlations for NH group.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$ (two-tailed).

Table 5 Pearson Correlations of Language Indices with Moral emotions and Social Functioning, Corrected for Age

	Shame/guilt	Pride	Social Competence	Cooperation	Externalizing Behavior
Emotion Vocabulary	.11/-.05	-.08/-.15	.35***/.39**	.11/.05	-.05 / -.56***
Language Understanding	-.08	.00	.12	.08	-.13
Word Production	-.11	.08	.19	.14	-.09
Sentence Production	-.02	.17	.19	.06	-.15

Note. Correlations with Emotion Vocabulary are provided separately for NH and CI respectively. Correlations with other language indices are provided for CI group only.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$ (two-tailed).

Discussion

Research shows that moral emotions such as shame, guilt and pride promote positive social behavior and protect against negative social behavior in the typically developing population (Menesini & Camodeca, 2008; Stearns & Parrott, 2012; Tangney et al., 2007). Yet, to our knowledge, this study is the first to examine whether the link between moral emotions and social behavior can already be observed in young children (age 1 to 5). We examined this in a group of typically developing children and in a group of atypically developing children, that is children with hearing impairments who had received a CI. The latter group of children is assumed to have a limited capacity for acquiring social-emotional skills because of restricted communication with their surroundings (Peterson & Siegal, 2000; Vaccari & Marschark, 1997). We aimed to examine how this would affect their ability for moral and social functioning.

Our study confirms previous studies (Barrett, 2005; Lewis et al., 1992) which demonstrated that young, typically developing children already display moral emotions, and that this ability increases with age. As expected, children with CI expressed shame/guilt to a lesser extent than their NH peers in response to staged emotion-evoking events (i.e., failing on a mastery task and breaking a toy). In addition, children with CI also showed less pride than NH children when they succeeded on a mastery task. General feedback on children's performance or behavior was provided by the experimenter, which should have focused children's attention on their failure or transgression (or in the case of pride, on their success). Nonetheless, children with CI seemed to be less aware than their NH peers of what was expected of them in terms of moral behavior in these situations. On a positive note, as in the NH group, a relation was found between age and moral emotions in children with CI. This could imply that moral skills develop along the same lines as in NH children, but at a different pace. However, longitudinal studies should of course confirm this.

Expectations concerning the interplay between moral emotions and social behavior were not met. First, we expected a relation between the extent to which NH children expressed moral emotions and their level of social behavior. Yet, we only found a relation between moral emotions and positive social behavior, but not with negative social behavior. In other words, a better-developed moral sense did promote positive behavior, but did not seem to prevent NH children from displaying negative social (i.e., externalizing) behavior.



It should however be noted that parents of NH children reported quite low levels of behavior problems, which could have masked an association between moral expressiveness and negative behavior. Future studies could try to examine this association in children who show high levels of behavior problems. Second, we expected that the interplay between moral and social behavior would be similar in the NH and the CI group. In contrast to this expectation, we found no associations between moral emotions and social behavior in the CI group. As in the NH group, parents of children with CI reported low levels of behavior problems in their children, which could explain the lack of an association between moral emotions and negative social behavior. Yet, the absence of a relation between moral emotions and positive social behavior requires some additional attention. Levels of positive as well as negative social functioning were equal in both groups of children, which, in combination with the absence of a relation between moral emotions and social functioning in children with CI, leads us to question the importance of a delayed development of moral emotions for these children.

The absence of a relation between moral emotions and social behavior in children with CI could be explained by differences in the ways children acquire social-emotional competence. Instilling a moral sense in children is not about teaching them a repertoire of socially appropriate behaviors, yet it is about providing children with the resources to judge their own (intended) behavior as right or wrong. Children are likely to receive explicit feedback on the behavior they display, particularly if this behavior stands out in a positive or a negative way. Yet, the feedback provided will not always include an evaluation of the morality of children's behavior. Consequently, children might have to rely on more implicit ways of learning in order to develop a moral sense, one of these being incidental learning. Children with CI have less opportunities than their NH peers for incidental learning. They have difficulties overhearing other people's conversations, particularly in noisy environments (Boons et al., 2012b). This could explain why the social skills of children with CI are comparable to those of their NH peers while their moral development is delayed. Moreover, factors related to the rehabilitation program that children in the Netherlands enter after receiving their CI could also play a role. Children with CI receive a lot of attention from adults during their frequent visits to the hospital and in their specialized playgroups. This could provide them with ample models for appropriate social behavior. Yet, it is unlikely that these role models also explain

how and when to express moral emotions.

Although the social skills of children with CI seem to develop well, it remains to be seen whether these will continue to develop at the same pace as NH children's social skills, in the absence of equally well-developing emotional skills. As children grow older, more sophisticated social skills are expected, which could draw more heavily on children's emotional skills. Children with CI are found to have an impaired ToM (Ketelaar et al., 2012a; Peterson, 2004), which hampers their ability to judge their own behavior from another person's perspective, and thus could prevent them from experiencing and displaying moral emotions when these are called for. A lack of expressing moral emotions following a transgression does not seem to damage the social relationships of children with CI at this early age. Yet, children's social competence was evaluated by parents and parents of children with CI might be more protective and consequently more forgiving towards their children than NH parents. Down the line, peer relations become more important and peers may be less forgiving when children cross the line without showing remorse. There is no doubt that everyone will violate the social norms from time to time. Yet, individuals who display or report feelings of shame or guilt following a transgression are less likely to be socially rejected than those who seem to be indifferent to their wrong-doing (Martens, Tracy, & Shariff, 2012; Stearns & Parrott, 2012).

To verify whether communication is important for children's moral and social development, we examined one of its key components: language. Language did not turn out to be the important determinant of children's moral emotion expressions we had hypothesized. Children who used more emotion language did not express more moral emotions. Emotion language was, however, associated with more positive social behavior in both groups, and with less negative behavior in the CI group. Important to note is that general spoken language skills of children with CI did not influence their emotional or their social functioning. These outcomes support the assumption previously made by other researchers (Peterson & Slaughter, 2003) that it is not just the ability to understand and produce general language that is important for social-emotional functioning; it is the ability to understand and use emotion language in daily conversations that is critical for adequate social functioning.

A recent study by Quittner and colleagues (2013) demonstrated that parental behaviors, including language stimulation and maternal sensitivity, had a major impact on language outcomes in children with CI. Nonetheless, parents



might continue to experience difficulties conversing about abstract concepts such as emotions with their implanted children, despite these children having adequate concrete language skills. In a study by Zaidman-Zait (2008), 39% of parents reported that they experienced difficulties communicating with their children with CI. A previous study by the current authors demonstrated that children with CI who had well-developed general language understanding still had an impaired theory of mind in comparison to NH age mates (Ketelaar, Rieffe, Wiefferink, & Frijns, 2012b). Additionally, emotion language was unrelated to implantation timing in the current study, whereas general language was better developed in children who had been implanted at a younger age, or who had been using their implant for a longer time. Together, these findings validate that professionals and parents should actively try to promote the emotion language skills of children with CI in order to enhance their ability to understand their own and other people's (emotional) behavior, and to further raise their level of social skills.

The outcomes of this study should be interpreted with caution and represent a preliminary step towards understanding the interplay between moral and social behavior. We included a specific subset of children with CI, who were implanted before age three, had hearing parents, and no apparent mental health disorders. Generalization to the whole population of children with CI, of which approximately one third is reported to have an additional disability (Fortnum et al., 2002; Holden-Pitt & Diaz, 1998), is problematic. Furthermore, the correlational and cross-sectional nature of the study precludes drawing any conclusions as to causation. Another point which needs to be addressed concerns the type of data gathered for the purpose of this study. Although parents are a well-informed source regarding their young children's behavior, their reports on their children's social and externalizing behavior may have been biased. Parents of children with CI in particular may not have been aware of young children's normative behavior, and could have been overly positive regarding their children's capacities. Likewise, we cannot be certain that children's responses to the experimental tasks designed to induce moral emotions were a genuine reflection of how they would behave in a real-life situation. Therefore, adopting a multi-informant approach in future studies is advisable. Clearly, more (longitudinal) research is necessary to confirm the current findings.

Moreover, the outcomes of this study give rise to new research questions. For example, regarding which factors contribute to children's moral development. As moral development in children with CI turns out to be impaired, it is even more important to gain an understanding of the underlying reasons for this impairment. This might provide us with valuable information on how moral development in these children could be promoted. Attention could be directed at children's ToM understanding as a likely contributing factor. In addition, it might also be worthwhile to assess parent-child communication more directly instead of by means of children's language skills, i.e., through observational measures. Nonetheless, despite its limitations, this study constitutes an important first step in shedding more light on the moral development of children with CI, and its relation to social functioning. The outcomes of this study demonstrate that young children with CI have a less well-developed moral sense than their NH peers. Older children with CI did however express moral emotions more often than younger children with CI, which suggests a delayed rather than a qualitatively different moral development. At this young age, impaired expression of moral emotions did not have negative consequences for social functioning in children with CI. In contrast, moral behavior did turn out to be important for NH children's social functioning, but only in terms of positive social behavior.

