



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Contact-induced change in Dolgan : an investigation into the role of linguistic data for the reconstruction of a people's (pre)history

Stapert, E.L.

Citation

Stapert, E. L. (2013, September 26). *Contact-induced change in Dolgan : an investigation into the role of linguistic data for the reconstruction of a people's (pre)history*. LOT dissertation series. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/21798>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/21798>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/21798> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Stapert, Eugénie

Title: Contact-induced change in Dolgan : an investigation into the role of linguistic data for the reconstruction of a people's (pre)history

Issue Date: 2013-09-26

CONTACT-INDUCED CHANGE IN DOLGAN:
AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE ROLE OF LINGUISTIC DATA FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION
OF A PEOPLE'S (PRE)HISTORY

Published by
LOT
Trans 10
3512 JK Utrecht
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6006
e-mail: lot@uu.nl
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: Portrait of a Dolgan child. Photo by Eugénie Stapert

ISBN: 978-94-6093-119-2
NUR 616

Copyright © 2013; Eugénie Stapert. All rights reserved.

CONTACT-INDUCED CHANGE IN DOLGAN:
AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE ROLE OF LINGUISTIC DATA FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION
OF A PEOPLE'S (PRE)HISTORY

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus Prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 26 september 2013
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Eugénie Stapert
geboren te 's-Gravenhage, Nederland
in 1981

Promotiecommissie:

Promotores: Prof. dr. M.P.G.M. Mous
Dr. dr. B. Pakendorf, Directeur de Recherche (C. N. R. S Lyon)

Overige leden: Prof. dr. É. Á. Csató Johanson (Uppsala Universitet)
Prof. dr. A. Backus (Tilburg University)
Dr. M. G. Kossmann

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	I
REFERENCE INFORMATION	IV
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 AIMS OF THE THESIS	1
1.2 DATA COLLECTION AND METHODOLOGY	6
1.2.1 CHOICE OF FIELD SITES AND DATA COLLECTION	6
1.2.2 THE DATA	7
1.2.3 METHODOLOGY FOR IDENTIFYING CONTACT-INDUCED CHANGE	11
1.2.4 CAVEATS	14
1.3 DESCRIPTION OF FIELD SITE	14
1.3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION.....	14
1.3.2 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SETTING IN THE VILLAGES.....	17
1.3.3 THE CONSULTANTS.....	19
1.4 OUTLINE OF THE THESIS	21
CHAPTER 2 THE DOLGAN PEOPLE	25
2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION.....	25
2.2 GEOGRAPHICAL ENVIRONMENT.....	28
2.2.1 ECOLOGY, FLORA AND FAUNA	28
2.2.2 SETTLEMENTS AND CITIES	30
2.3 HISTORY	31
2.3.1 PREHISTORY OF THE TAIMYR (UP TO 1638).....	31
2.3.2 RUSSIAN EMPIRE (1638 - 1917).....	32
2.3.2.1 ACCESS TO WEST SIBERIA	32
2.3.2.2 THE VILYUY AND LENA BASIN	33
2.3.2.3 THE TAIMYR PENINSULA.....	36
2.3.3 SOVIET PERIOD (1917 - 1989).....	42
2.3.4 POST SOVIET PERIOD (1989 - PRESENT).....	47
2.4 ETHNOGRAPHY	48
2.4.1 RUSSIAN EMPIRE (1638 - 1917).....	48
2.4.2 SOVIET UNION (1917 - 1989)	51

2.5 LANGUAGE	59
2.5.1 LANGUAGES ON THE TAIMYR	60
2.5.2 DOLGAN: A DIALECT OR A LANGUAGE?	62
2.6 GENETIC COMPOSITION OF THE DOLGANS	65
2.6.1 BASIC CONCEPTS.....	65
2.6.2 MTDNA ANALYSIS	69
2.6.3 Y-CHROMOSOME ANALYSIS.....	71
2.6.4 INTERPRETATION.....	74
2.7 SUMMARY	77
CHAPTER 3 LANGUAGE CONTACT THEORY	81
3.1 THE FIELD OF LANGUAGE CONTACT STUDIES	81
3.1.1 DEFINITION AND BRIEF HISTORY OF THE FIELD.....	81
3.1.2 STRUCTURAL CONSTRAINTS	84
3.1.3 PSYCHOLINGUISTIC CONSTRAINTS	87
3.1.3.1 SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION	88
3.1.3.2 BILINGUAL ACQUISITION.....	91
3.1.3.3 BILINGUALISM IN LANGUAGE CONTACT THEORY	92
3.1.4 SOCIAL FACTORS.....	95
3.1.4.1 INTENSITY OF CONTACT	96
3.1.4.2 EMBLEMATICITY (ROSS).....	100
3.1.4.3 SOCIO-SPATIAL FUNCTION AND ATTITUDE (THURSTON, ANDERSEN, WRAY & GRACE	102
3.1.5 FROM INNOVATION TO CHANGE.....	104
3.1.5.1 NETWORK TIES (MILROY AND MILROY)	105
3.1.5.2 AGE.....	107
3.1.6 SUMMARY	108
3.2 THE ROLE OF THEORY IN LANGUAGE CONTACT STUDIES	110
3.3 TERMINOLOGY	113
CHAPTER 4 LEXICON	117
4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	117
4.2 LEXICAL CHANGE AND LANGUAGE CONTACT	118
4.3 METHODOLOGY AND CATEGORIES OF CLASSIFICATION	121
4.3.1 METHODOLOGY	121
4.3.2 CATEGORIES OF CLASSIFICATION.....	123
4.4 RESULTS	125

4.4.1 QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS	125
4.4.1.1 ONOMASIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE	126
4.4.1.2 TYPES OF DIFFERENCE.....	128
4.4.2 QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS.....	131
4.4.2.1 SEMANTIC CHANGE	131
4.4.2.2 REPLACEMENT	144
4.4.3 SUMMARY	158
4.5 INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSION.....	160
CHAPTER 5 REGULARISATION OF PARADIGMS	165
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	165
5.2 REGULARISATION OF NOMINAL PARADIGMS.....	166
5.2.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE PHENOMENON	166
5.2.2 REANALYSIS	168
5.2.3 SAKHA NOMINAL DECLENSION	169
5.2.3.1 RELATIONAL NOUNS AND REFERENTIAL NOUNS	169
5.2.3.2 NOUNS IN PHRASES OF LOCATION.....	170
5.2.3.3 REGULAR STEMS AND UNSTABLE STEMS	172
5.2.4 DOLGAN EQUIVLENTS TO THE SAKHA FORMS.....	176
5.2.4.1 RELATIONAL NOUNS	176
5.2.4.2 REFERENTIAL NOUNS.....	188
5.2.4.3 EARLIER EXPLANATIONS IN THE LITERATURE	190
5.3 REGULARISATION OF THE PARADIGM OF AUXILIARY VERB <i>E- 'BE'</i>	195
5.3.1 DESCRIPTION	195
5.3.2 DIRECTION OF CHANGE AND EARLIER ACCOUNTS IN THE LITERATURE	198
5.4 DISCUSSION	199
5.4.1 PRINCIPLES UNDERLYING PARADIGM REGULARISATION AND REANALYSIS	200
5.4.2 REGULARISATION AND REANALYSIS IN DOLGAN EXPLAINED.....	202
5.4.3 THE POTENTIAL ROLE OF L1 AND L2 LEARNERS IN REANALYSIS	204
CHAPTER 6 HABITUAL.....	209
6.1 INTRODUCTION.....	209
6.2 HABITUAL IN DOLGAN AND SAKHA AND THEIR POSITION AMONG OTHER TURKIC LANGUAGES	210
6.3 THE HABITUAL IN <i>-A:ččĭ</i>	213
6.3.1 THE ORIGIN OF <i>-A:ččĭ</i>	213
6.3.2 USE OF <i>-A:ččĭ</i> IN DOLGAN AND SAKHA.....	215

6.3.3 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DOLGAN AND SAKHA.....	217
6.3.3.1 -A:ččI vs. -A:ččIk	217
6.3.3.2 NEGATION	217
6.3.3.3 VERBAL, NOMINAL AND ADJECTIVAL USE	218
6.3.3.4 FREQUENCY OF USE	220
6.4 PROBING THE CAUSE OF THESE DIFFERENCES IN DOLGAN AND SAKHA	224
6.4.1 LANGUAGE-INTERNAL MOTIVATIONS.....	224
6.4.2 LANGUAGE-EXTERNAL MOTIVATIONS	225
6.4.2.1 MORPHOSYNTACTIC PROPERTIES OF HABITUAL IN TUNGUSIC LANGUAGES	225
6.4.2.2 FREQUENCY OF HABITUAL ASPECT IN TUNGUSIC LANGUAGES	230
6.5 INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSION	234
CHAPTER 7 WORD ORDER	241
7.1 INTRODUCTION.....	241
7.2 WORD ORDER IN TURKIC LANGUAGES	242
7.3 WORD ORDER IN SAKHA	244
7.4 WORD ORDER IN DOLGAN	246
7.5 QUANTITATIVE COMPARISON OF WORD ORDER PATTERNS IN DOLGAN AND SAKHA	249
7.5.1 METHODOLOGY	249
7.5.2 RESULTS	251
7.6 DISCUSSION.....	254
7.6.1.1 LANGUAGE-INTERNAL MOTIVATIONS FOR WORD ORDER CHANGE	254
7.6.1.2 LANGUAGE-EXTERNAL MOTIVATIONSS APPLIED TO DOLGAN	256
7.6.2.1 LANGUAGE CONTACT AND WORD ORDER CHANGE	258
7.6.2.2 LANGUAGE ACQUISITION AND WORD ORDER CHANGE.....	260
7.6.3 WORD ORDER VARIATION IN DOLGAN EXPLAINED.....	262
CHAPTER 8 CLAUSE COMBINING	267
8.1 INTRODUCTION.....	267
8.1.1 DEFINING COORDINATION AND SUBORDINATION	267
8.1.2 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	272
8.2 COORDINATION.....	273
8.2.1 COORDINATION IN SAKHA	273
8.2.2 COORDINATION IN DOLGAN.....	276
8.2.3 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DOLGAN AND SAKHA.....	278
8.2.3.1 ABSENCE OF UONNA 'AND' IN DOLGAN	278
8.2.3.2 FREQUENT USE OF ONTON 'AND THEN' IN DOLGAN.....	282

8.2.3.3 THE USE OF GITTA 'WITH' IN INCLUSORY COORDINATION CONSTRUCTIONS	286
8.2.3.4 RUSSIAN COORDINATORS IN DOLGAN DISCOURSE	292
8.2.3.5 RANGE OF ACTIVELY USED COORDINATORS	293
8.2.4 DISCUSSION	295
8.3 SUBORDINATION	296
8.3.1 ADVERBIAL SUBORDINATION	297
8.3.1.1 PURPOSE	297
8.3.1.2 TEMPORAL RELATIONS	302
8.3.1.3 CONDITIONAL RELATIONS	305
8.3.1.4 REASON	306
8.3.2 RELATIVE RELATIONS	307
8.3.2.1 RELATIVE CLAUSES IN SAKHA	309
8.3.2.2 RELATIVE CLAUSES IN DOLGAN	311
8.4 THE USE OF RUSSIAN LINKING ELEMENTS	318
8.5 CONCLUSION	323
CHAPTER 9 DISCUSSION	327
9.1 DRAWING THE STRANDS TOGETHER	327
9.2 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	329
9.3 CONTACT WITH EVENKS	330
9.3.1 LINGUISTIC CHANGES	330
9.3.1.1 A NOTE ON PHONETICS	336
9.3.2 INTERPRETATION OF THE LINGUISTIC DATA WITHIN LANGUAGE CONTACT THEORY AND GENETICS	340
9.3.2.1 CONTACT BEFORE ARRIVAL ON THE TAIMYR	340
9.3.2.2 CONTACT ON THE TAIMYR	343
9.3.3 SUMMARY	348
9.4 CONTACT WITH RUSSIANS	349
9.4.1 LINGUISTIC CHANGES	350
9.4.2 INTERPRETATION OF CHANGES USING LANGUAGE CONTACT THEORY, SOCIO-HISTORICAL AND GENETIC INFORMATION	354
9.4.3 SUMMARY	358
9.5 THE USE OF DOLGAN/SAKHA AS A LINGUA FRANCA	358
9.6 CONCLUSION	361
CHAPTER 10 CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE OUTLOOK	363
REFERENCES	371

SUMMARY	389
SAMENVATTING.....	392
КРАТКОЕ СОДЕРЖАНИЕ.....	396
CURRICULUM VITAE.....	400

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Reflecting on how many people contributed to the realisation of this project, it seems wrong to see just a single author on the front page of this book. While I obviously carry full responsibility for its content, this volume would simply not exist without the invaluable help of many others, who advised and supported me in uncountable ways.

First of all I want to thank Brigitte Pakendorf for her inspiring ideas, her commitment and for her absolute support. Not only did she introduce me to the Dolgan people and their intriguing history, but she also revealed to me new potentials of linguistic data when they are combined with genetics. The idea to combine these two research fields to gain insight into Dolgan prehistory is entirely hers, and the modest knowledge I acquired with respect to population genetics I owe to her patient explanations. I thank her for sharing her Sakha and Even data with me, for her instant and careful reviews of my work and for our fruitful discussions.

My gratefulness also goes to Maarten Mous, who accepted me as an external PhD student at the University of Leiden, and whose refreshing and insightful comments helped me keep the big picture in mind while finalising the details of this work.

Most essential for the accomplishment of this project has been the hospitality of the Dolgan and Sakha people and their willingness to share their language and daily life with me. My visits to the Dolgans and the Sakha have enriched my life in unparalleled ways, and without their kindness, collaboration and trust the entire enterprise would have been pointless. I warmly thank every single person I had the privilege to work with and who made my visits to the Taimyr possible. In particular, I would like to thank the following people for telling me their stories, for their collaboration in linguistic work and for their patience while initiating me in the Dolgan and Sakha languages: Tatyana Porotova, Vasilij Porotov, Svetlana Chuprina, Anna Timchenko, Nina Bettu, Vladislav Kokore, Maria Chardu, Irina Porotova, Afanasiy Fedosiev, Stepanida Bettu, Praskovya Kirgizova, Irina Antonova, Makar Antonov, Aksinya Chuprina, Diana Kudryakova, Nikolay Chuprin, Spiridon Kudryakov, Marina Antonova, Lyudmila Baykalova, Tamara Suvorova, Larisa Sotnikova, Ekaterina Bettu, Aleksandr Silkin, Evdokia Bettu, Anna

Barbolina, Elena Kirgizova, Lina Kirgizova, Ol'ga Makarova, Anastasia Rakhleeva, Aitalina Androsova, Boris Mandar, Valentina Khristoforova, Margarita Egorova, Nikolay Egorov. In addition I express my gratitude to Ol'ga Zhilkina and Ivan Zhilkin for the occasional apple they brought in from town and for their *banya*, which improved my personal well-being considerably.

I thank Avgusta Pavlovna, Nina Fedorovna, Natalia Fal'kova and Bella Vasilievna for accepting me into the villages, and most notably Oksana Kudryakova and Evdokim Kudryakov for hosting me in their baloks in the tundra. I am particularly indebted to Vera Rudinskaya, Inga Rudinskaya, Nina Koval'chuk, and Anna Bychkova, whose house was always open for me, and whose friendship and hospitality were more heartwarming than any Siberian stove could ever be.

The organisation of my fieldwork was greatly facilitated by our collaboration with Dom Narodnogo Tvorchestva in Dudinka and the Institute of Problems of Minority Peoples of the North in Yakutsk. I thank Lyubov' Popova, Tatyana Ruban, Nina Kudryakova and Larisa Bettu for dealing with the complex administrative procedures that are required to invite foreigners into the region, and Alexandr Maksimov at the border police in Dudinka for the necessary permissions to enter the villages in the Khatanga region. Furthermore, I am grateful to Innokentiy Machitov, Anatolij Savvinov and Marina Dmitrievna for helping with practical matters during my stay in Yakutsk and Dudinka.

Closer to home, I express my gratitude to the Max Planck Society for its generous funding and for creating optimal research conditions. On a more personal level, I thank my colleagues and friends at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology in Leipzig who added irreplaceable intellectual and personal value to the time I spent at this institute. I am grateful to Peter Fröhlich and Hans-Jörg Bibiko for technical support and for their help with the creation of databases, Silvio Tüpke and Marike Schreiber for transforming my cartographic ideas into pretty maps, Roger Mundry, Michael Danneman and Matthias Urban for patiently explaining statistics to me and for choosing the right statistical models to represent my data, Jana Neuwirt for coding Evenki texts, and Martin Haspelmath, Malcolm Ross and Frank Seifart for discussing linguistic issues with me. The Max Planck research group for Comparative Population Linguistics proved an ideal context to carry out this research, and I thank all its members for their inspiring presence. In particular I thank Mark Whitten for sharing the results of his genetic analyses of the Dolgans with me, and for allowing me to use them in this thesis. My deep gratitude goes out to Bethwyn Evans, for her professional and

personal encouragement throughout the entire project. I thank her for her critical reading of my work, for correcting my English, for many insightful conversations, regardless whether they took place in the Irish hills, in the office, or at outings to the Leipzig moose. I thank my office mate Chiara Barbieri for spending an incredible number of hours with me in a small room without complaining, and Natasha and Katya Aralova for translating the summary of this work into Russian.

Finally I thank my close friends and my family. You bore with me despite geographical distance and my long periods of absence. Only a dedicated volume could express the deep gratitude I feel for your unconditional support, and would do justice to the recognition you deserve.

REFERENCE INFORMATION

ABBREVIATIONS AND GLOSSES

1	- first person	EXIST	- existential
2	- second person	F	- feminine
3	- third person	FUT	- future
ABL	- ablative	GEN	- genitive
ACC	- accusative	GNR	- generic
ADJZR	- adjectivizer	HAB	- habitual
ADVLZR	- adverbializer	IMP	- imperative
AG	- agent	IMPV	- imperfective
AOR	- aorist	INDEF	- indefinite
AUX	- auxiliary	INF	- infinitive
CAUS	- causative	INTNS	- intensifier
CIR	- circumstantial	INST	- instrumental
COLL	- collective	LAT	- lative
COM	- comitative	LOC	- locative
COND	- conditional	M	- masculine
CONTR	- contrastive	MOD	- modal
CV	- converb	MULT	- multiplicative
DAT	- dative	N	- neuter
DECL	- declarative	NLZR	- nominalizer
DEF	- definite	NONEXIST	- nonexistential
DEM	- demonstrative	NONFUT	- nonfuture
DET	- determiner	NEG	- negation
DER	- derivation	ORD	- ordinal
DIM	- diminutive	PART	- partitive
DISTR	- distributive	PASS	- passive
DUR	- durative	PF	- perfective
EMPH	- emphasis	PST	- past
EP	- epenthetic	PL	- plural
EXCL	- exclusive	POSS	- possessive

PRED	- predicative
PROG	- progressive
PRON	- pronoun
PROP	- proprietive
PRS	- present
PRT	- particle
PURP	- purposive
PTC	- participle
Q	- question
REL	- relative
RFL	- reflexive
R	- from Russian
RECP	- reciprocal
RES	- resultative
SG	- singular
SIM	- simultaneous
SQ	- sequential
VBLZR	- verbalizer

NOTE: case followed by person marking represents the possessive form of that particular case, e.g. ACC.3SG is the third person singular possessive form of the accusative.

DOLGAN TRANSCRIPTION SYMBOLS AND THEIR IPA VALUE

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>IPA value</i>	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>IPA value</i>
a	- a	n	- n
b	- b	ń	- ɲ
č	- tʃ	o	- o
d	- d	ö	- ø
e	- e	p	- p
g	- g	γ	- ɣ
h	- h	r	- r
i	- i	s	- s
ï	- u	t	- t
dʒ	- dʒ	u	- u
d'	- d'	ü	- y
k	- k	v	- v
l	- l	χ	- q
m	- m	:	- length

ETHNONYMS AND LINGUISTIC CLASSIFICATION OF SIBERIAN PEOPLES

<i>Ethnonym</i>	<i>Language</i>	<i>Language family</i>	
Dolgan	Dolgan	Northern Turkic	Altaic
Sakha (Yakut)	Sakha (Yakut)	Northern Turkic	Altaic
Khakas	Khakas	Northern Turkic	Altaic
Evenk	Evenki	Northern Tungusic	Altaic
Even	Even	Northern Tungusic	Altaic
Negidal	Negidal	Northern Tungusic	Altaic
Nanaj	Nanaj	Southern Tungusic	Altaic
Udighe	Udighe	Southern Tungusic	Altaic
Nganasan	Nganasan	Samoyedic	Uralic
Nenets	Nenets	Samoyedic	Uralic
Enets	Enets	Samoyedic	Uralic
Russian	Russian	Slavic	Indo-European
Chukchi	Chukchi	Chukot	Chukotka-Kamchatkan
Yukaghir	Yukaghir	Yukaghir	

MAPS

- Map 1: Current distribution of indigenous peoples of the Taimyr and neighbouring regions
- Map 2: Dolgan villages and towns on the Taimyr Peninsula
- Map 3: Distribution of indigenous people and Khatanga Trading Way on the Taimyr Peninsula and neighbouring regions in early 18th century
- Map 4: Peoples and locations where genetic samples were collected

