



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Contact-induced change in Dolgan : an investigation into the role of linguistic data for the reconstruction of a people's (pre)history**

Stapert, E.L.

### **Citation**

Stapert, E. L. (2013, September 26). *Contact-induced change in Dolgan : an investigation into the role of linguistic data for the reconstruction of a people's (pre)history. LOT dissertation series*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/21798>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/21798>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/21798> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Stapert, Eugénie

**Title:** Contact-induced change in Dolgan : an investigation into the role of linguistic data for the reconstruction of a people's (pre)history

**Issue Date:** 2013-09-26

CONTACT-INDUCED CHANGE IN DOLGAN:  
AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE ROLE OF LINGUISTIC DATA FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION  
OF A PEOPLE'S (PRE)HISTORY

Published by  
LOT  
Trans 10  
3512 JK Utrecht  
The Netherlands

phone: +31 30 253 6006  
e-mail: [lot@uu.nl](mailto:lot@uu.nl)  
<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: Portrait of a Dolgan child. Photo by Eugénie Stapert

ISBN: 978-94-6093-119-2  
NUR 616

Copyright © 2013; Eugénie Stapert. All rights reserved.

CONTACT-INDUCED CHANGE IN DOLGAN:  
AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE ROLE OF LINGUISTIC DATA FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION  
OF A PEOPLE'S (PRE)HISTORY

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus Prof.mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 26 september 2013  
klokke 16.15 uur

door

Eugénie Stapert  
geboren te 's-Gravenhage, Nederland  
in 1981

Promotiecommissie:

Promotores: Prof. dr. M.P.G.M. Mous  
Dr. dr. B. Pakendorf, Directeur de Recherche (C. N. R. S Lyon)

Overige leden: Prof. dr. É. Á. Csató Johanson (Uppsala Universitet)  
Prof. dr. A. Backus (Tilburg University)  
Dr. M. G. Kossmann





# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	I
<b>REFERENCE INFORMATION</b> .....	IV
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
1.1 AIMS OF THE THESIS .....	1
1.2 DATA COLLECTION AND METHODOLOGY .....	6
1.2.1 CHOICE OF FIELD SITES AND DATA COLLECTION .....	6
1.2.2 THE DATA .....	7
1.2.3 METHODOLOGY FOR IDENTIFYING CONTACT-INDUCED CHANGE .....	11
1.2.4 CAVEATS .....	14
1.3 DESCRIPTION OF FIELD SITE .....	14
1.3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION.....	14
1.3.2 SOCIOLINGUISTIC SETTING IN THE VILLAGES.....	17
1.3.3 THE CONSULTANTS.....	19
1.4 OUTLINE OF THE THESIS .....	21
<b>CHAPTER 2 THE DOLGAN PEOPLE</b> .....	25
2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION.....	25
2.2 GEOGRAPHICAL ENVIRONMENT.....	28
2.2.1 ECOLOGY, FLORA AND FAUNA .....	28
2.2.2 SETTLEMENTS AND CITIES .....	30
2.3 HISTORY .....	31
2.3.1 PREHISTORY OF THE TAIMYR (UP TO 1638).....	31
2.3.2 RUSSIAN EMPIRE (1638 - 1917).....	32
2.3.2.1 ACCESS TO WEST SIBERIA .....	32
2.3.2.2 THE VILYUY AND LENA BASIN .....	33
2.3.2.3 THE TAIMYR PENINSULA.....	36
2.3.3 SOVIET PERIOD (1917 - 1989).....	42
2.3.4 POST SOVIET PERIOD (1989 - PRESENT).....	47
2.4 ETHNOGRAPHY .....	48
2.4.1 RUSSIAN EMPIRE (1638 - 1917).....	48
2.4.2 SOVIET UNION (1917 - 1989) .....	51

2.5 LANGUAGE .....	59
2.5.1 LANGUAGES ON THE TAIMYR .....	60
2.5.2 DOLGAN: A DIALECT OR A LANGUAGE? .....	62
2.6 GENETIC COMPOSITION OF THE DOLGANS .....	65
2.6.1 BASIC CONCEPTS.....	65
2.6.2 MTDNA ANALYSIS .....	69
2.6.3 Y-CHROMOSOME ANALYSIS.....	71
2.6.4 INTERPRETATION.....	74
2.7 SUMMARY .....	77
<b>CHAPTER 3 LANGUAGE CONTACT THEORY .....</b>	<b>81</b>
3.1 THE FIELD OF LANGUAGE CONTACT STUDIES .....	81
3.1.1 DEFINITION AND BRIEF HISTORY OF THE FIELD.....	81
3.1.2 STRUCTURAL CONSTRAINTS .....	84
3.1.3 PSYCHOLINGUISTIC CONSTRAINTS .....	87
3.1.3.1 SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION .....	88
3.1.3.2 BILINGUAL ACQUISITION.....	91
3.1.3.3 BILINGUALISM IN LANGUAGE CONTACT THEORY .....	92
3.1.4 SOCIAL FACTORS.....	95
3.1.4.1 INTENSITY OF CONTACT .....	96
3.1.4.2 EMBLEMATICITY (ROSS).....	100
3.1.4.3 SOCIO-SPATIAL FUNCTION AND ATTITUDE (THURSTON, ANDERSEN, WRAY & GRACE .....	102
3.1.5 FROM INNOVATION TO CHANGE.....	104
3.1.5.1 NETWORK TIES (MILROY AND MILROY) .....	105
3.1.5.2 AGE.....	107
3.1.6 SUMMARY .....	108
3.2 THE ROLE OF THEORY IN LANGUAGE CONTACT STUDIES .....	110
3.3 TERMINOLOGY .....	113
<b>CHAPTER 4 LEXICON .....</b>	<b>117</b>
4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	117
4.2 LEXICAL CHANGE AND LANGUAGE CONTACT .....	118
4.3 METHODOLOGY AND CATEGORIES OF CLASSIFICATION .....	121
4.3.1 METHODOLOGY .....	121
4.3.2 CATEGORIES OF CLASSIFICATION.....	123
4.4 RESULTS .....	125

4.4.1 QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS .....	125
4.4.1.1 ONOMASIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE .....	126
4.4.1.2 TYPES OF DIFFERENCE.....	128
4.4.2 QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS.....	131
4.4.2.1 SEMANTIC CHANGE .....	131
4.4.2.2 REPLACEMENT .....	144
4.4.3 SUMMARY .....	158
4.5 INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSION.....	160
<b>CHAPTER 5 REGULARISATION OF PARADIGMS .....</b>	<b>165</b>
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	165
5.2 REGULARISATION OF NOMINAL PARADIGMS.....	166
5.2.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE PHENOMENON .....	166
5.2.2 REANALYSIS .....	168
5.2.3 SAKHA NOMINAL DECLENSION .....	169
5.2.3.1 RELATIONAL NOUNS AND REFERENTIAL NOUNS .....	169
5.2.3.2 NOUNS IN PHRASES OF LOCATION.....	170
5.2.3.3 REGULAR STEMS AND UNSTABLE STEMS .....	172
5.2.4 DOLGAN EQUIVLENTS TO THE SAKHA FORMS.....	176
5.2.4.1 RELATIONAL NOUNS .....	176
5.2.4.2 REFERENTIAL NOUNS.....	188
5.2.4.3 EARLIER EXPLANATIONS IN THE LITERATURE .....	190
5.3 REGULARISATION OF THE PARADIGM OF AUXILIARY VERB <i>E</i> - 'BE' .....	195
5.3.1 DESCRIPTION .....	195
5.3.2 DIRECTION OF CHANGE AND EARLIER ACCOUNTS IN THE LITERATURE .....	198
5.4 DISCUSSION .....	199
5.4.1 PRINCIPLES UNDERLYING PARADIGM REGULARISATION AND REANALYSIS .....	200
5.4.2 REGULARISATION AND REANALYSIS IN DOLGAN EXPLAINED.....	202
5.4.3 THE POTENTIAL ROLE OF L1 AND L2 LEARNERS IN REANALYSIS .....	204
<b>CHAPTER 6 HABITUAL.....</b>	<b>209</b>
6.1 INTRODUCTION.....	209
6.2 HABITUAL IN DOLGAN AND SAKHA AND THEIR POSITION AMONG OTHER TURKIC LANGUAGES .....	210
6.3 THE HABITUAL IN <i>-A:ččĭ</i> .....	213
6.3.1 THE ORIGIN OF <i>-A:ččĭ</i> .....	213
6.3.2 USE OF <i>-A:ččĭ</i> IN DOLGAN AND SAKHA.....	215

6.3.3 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DOLGAN AND SAKHA.....	217
6.3.3.1 -A:ččI vs. -A:ččIk .....	217
6.3.3.2 NEGATION .....	217
6.3.3.3 VERBAL, NOMINAL AND ADJECTIVAL USE .....	218
6.3.3.4 FREQUENCY OF USE .....	220
6.4 PROBING THE CAUSE OF THESE DIFFERENCES IN DOLGAN AND SAKHA .....	224
6.4.1 LANGUAGE-INTERNAL MOTIVATIONS.....	224
6.4.2 LANGUAGE-EXTERNAL MOTIVATIONS .....	225
6.4.2.1 MORPHOSYNTACTIC PROPERTIES OF HABITUAL IN TUNGUSIC LANGUAGES ....	225
6.4.2.2 FREQUENCY OF HABITUAL ASPECT IN TUNGUSIC LANGUAGES .....	230
6.5 INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSION .....	234
<b>CHAPTER 7 WORD ORDER .....</b>	<b>241</b>
7.1 INTRODUCTION.....	241
7.2 WORD ORDER IN TURKIC LANGUAGES .....	242
7.3 WORD ORDER IN SAKHA .....	244
7.4 WORD ORDER IN DOLGAN .....	246
7.5 QUANTITATIVE COMPARISON OF WORD ORDER PATTERNS IN DOLGAN AND SAKHA .....	249
7.5.1 METHODOLOGY .....	249
7.5.2 RESULTS .....	251
7.6 DISCUSSION.....	254
7.6.1.1 LANGUAGE-INTERNAL MOTIVATIONS FOR WORD ORDER CHANGE .....	254
7.6.1.2 LANGUAGE-EXTERNAL MOTIVATIONSS APPLIED TO DOLGAN .....	256
7.6.2.1 LANGUAGE CONTACT AND WORD ORDER CHANGE .....	258
7.6.2.2 LANGUAGE ACQUISITION AND WORD ORDER CHANGE.....	260
7.6.3 WORD ORDER VARIATION IN DOLGAN EXPLAINED.....	262
<b>CHAPTER 8 CLAUSE COMBINING .....</b>	<b>267</b>
8.1 INTRODUCTION.....	267
8.1.1 DEFINING COORDINATION AND SUBORDINATION .....	267
8.1.2 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS .....	272
8.2 COORDINATION.....	273
8.2.1 COORDINATION IN SAKHA .....	273
8.2.2 COORDINATION IN DOLGAN.....	276
8.2.3 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DOLGAN AND SAKHA.....	278
8.2.3.1 ABSENCE OF UONNA 'AND' IN DOLGAN .....	278
8.2.3.2 FREQUENT USE OF ONTON 'AND THEN' IN DOLGAN.....	282

8.2.3.3 THE USE OF GITTA 'WITH' IN INCLUSORY COORDINATION CONSTRUCTIONS ....	286
8.2.3.4 RUSSIAN COORDINATORS IN DOLGAN DISCOURSE .....	292
8.2.3.5 RANGE OF ACTIVELY USED COORDINATORS .....	293
8.2.4 DISCUSSION .....	295
8.3 SUBORDINATION .....	296
8.3.1 ADVERBIAL SUBORDINATION .....	297
8.3.1.1 PURPOSE .....	297
8.3.1.2 TEMPORAL RELATIONS .....	302
8.3.1.3 CONDITIONAL RELATIONS .....	305
8.3.1.4 REASON .....	306
8.3.2 RELATIVE RELATIONS .....	307
8.3.2.1 RELATIVE CLAUSES IN SAKHA .....	309
8.3.2.2 RELATIVE CLAUSES IN DOLGAN .....	311
8.4 THE USE OF RUSSIAN LINKING ELEMENTS .....	318
8.5 CONCLUSION .....	323
<b>CHAPTER 9 DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>327</b>
9.1 DRAWING THE STRANDS TOGETHER .....	327
9.2 SUMMARY OF RESULTS .....	329
9.3 CONTACT WITH EVENKS .....	330
9.3.1 LINGUISTIC CHANGES .....	330
9.3.1.1 A NOTE ON PHONETICS .....	336
9.3.2 INTERPRETATION OF THE LINGUISTIC DATA WITHIN LANGUAGE CONTACT THEORY AND GENETICS .....	340
9.3.2.1 CONTACT BEFORE ARRIVAL ON THE TAIMYR .....	340
9.3.2.2 CONTACT ON THE TAIMYR .....	343
9.3.3 SUMMARY .....	348
9.4 CONTACT WITH RUSSIANS .....	349
9.4.1 LINGUISTIC CHANGES .....	350
9.4.2 INTERPRETATION OF CHANGES USING LANGUAGE CONTACT THEORY, SOCIO-HISTORICAL AND GENETIC INFORMATION .....	354
9.4.3 SUMMARY .....	358
9.5 THE USE OF DOLGAN/SAKHA AS A LINGUA FRANCA .....	358
9.6 CONCLUSION .....	361
<b>CHAPTER 10 CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE OUTLOOK .....</b>	<b>363</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>371</b>

<b>SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>389</b>
<b>SAMENVATTING.....</b>	<b>392</b>
<b>КРАТКОЕ СОДЕРЖАНИЕ.....</b>	<b>396</b>
<b>CURRICULUM VITAE.....</b>	<b>400</b>





## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Reflecting on how many people contributed to the realisation of this project, it seems wrong to see just a single author on the front page of this book. While I obviously carry full responsibility for its content, this volume would simply not exist without the invaluable help of many others, who advised and supported me in uncountable ways.

First of all I want to thank Brigitte Pakendorf for her inspiring ideas, her commitment and for her absolute support. Not only did she introduce me to the Dolgan people and their intriguing history, but she also revealed to me new potentials of linguistic data when they are combined with genetics. The idea to combine these two research fields to gain insight into Dolgan prehistory is entirely hers, and the modest knowledge I acquired with respect to population genetics I owe to her patient explanations. I thank her for sharing her Sakha and Even data with me, for her instant and careful reviews of my work and for our fruitful discussions.

My gratefulness also goes to Maarten Mous, who accepted me as an external PhD student at the University of Leiden, and whose refreshing and insightful comments helped me keep the big picture in mind while finalising the details of this work.

Most essential for the accomplishment of this project has been the hospitality of the Dolgan and Sakha people and their willingness to share their language and daily life with me. My visits to the Dolgans and the Sakha have enriched my life in unparalleled ways, and without their kindness, collaboration and trust the entire enterprise would have been pointless. I warmly thank every single person I had the privilege to work with and who made my visits to the Taimyr possible. In particular, I would like to thank the following people for telling me their stories, for their collaboration in linguistic work and for their patience while initiating me in the Dolgan and Sakha languages: Tatyana Porotova, Vasilij Porotov, Svetlana Chuprina, Anna Timchenko, Nina Bettu, Vladislav Kokore, Maria Chardu, Irina Porotova, Afanasiy Fedosiev, Stepanida Bettu, Praskovya Kirgizova, Irina Antonova, Makar Antonov, Aksinya Chuprina, Diana Kudryakova, Nikolay Chuprin, Spiridon Kudryakov, Marina Antonova, Lyudmila Baykalova, Tamara Suvorova, Larisa Sotnikova, Ekaterina Bettu, Aleksandr Silkin, Evdokia Bettu, Anna

Barbolina, Elena Kirgizova, Lina Kirgizova, Ol'ga Makarova, Anastasia Rakhleeva, Aitalina Androsova, Boris Mandar, Valentina Khristoforova, Margarita Egorova, Nikolay Egorov. In addition I express my gratitude to Ol'ga Zhilkina and Ivan Zhilkin for the occasional apple they brought in from town and for their *banya*, which improved my personal well-being considerably.

I thank Avgusta Pavlovna, Nina Fedorovna, Natalia Fal'kova and Bella Vasilievna for accepting me into the villages, and most notably Oksana Kudryakova and Evdokim Kudryakov for hosting me in their baloks in the tundra. I am particularly indebted to Vera Rudinskaya, Inga Rudinskaya, Nina Koval'chuk, and Anna Bychkova, whose house was always open for me, and whose friendship and hospitality were more heartwarming than any Siberian stove could ever be.

The organisation of my fieldwork was greatly facilitated by our collaboration with Dom Narodnogo Tvorchestva in Dudinka and the Institute of Problems of Minority Peoples of the North in Yakutsk. I thank Lyubov' Popova, Tatyana Ruban, Nina Kudryakova and Larisa Bettu for dealing with the complex administrative procedures that are required to invite foreigners into the region, and Alexandr Maksimov at the border police in Dudinka for the necessary permissions to enter the villages in the Khatanga region. Furthermore, I am grateful to Innokentiy Machitov, Anatolij Savvinov and Marina Dmitrievna for helping with practical matters during my stay in Yakutsk and Dudinka.

Closer to home, I express my gratitude to the Max Planck Society for its generous funding and for creating optimal research conditions. On a more personal level, I thank my colleagues and friends at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology in Leipzig who added irreplaceable intellectual and personal value to the time I spent at this institute. I am grateful to Peter Fröhlich and Hans-Jörg Bibiko for technical support and for their help with the creation of databases, Silvio Tüpke and Marike Schreiber for transforming my cartographic ideas into pretty maps, Roger Mundry, Michael Danneman and Matthias Urban for patiently explaining statistics to me and for choosing the right statistical models to represent my data, Jana Neuwirt for coding Evenki texts, and Martin Haspelmath, Malcolm Ross and Frank Seifart for discussing linguistic issues with me. The Max Planck research group for Comparative Population Linguistics proved an ideal context to carry out this research, and I thank all its members for their inspiring presence. In particular I thank Mark Whitten for sharing the results of his genetic analyses of the Dolgans with me, and for allowing me to use them in this thesis. My deep gratitude goes out to Bethwyn Evans, for her professional and

personal encouragement throughout the entire project. I thank her for her critical reading of my work, for correcting my English, for many insightful conversations, regardless whether they took place in the Irish hills, in the office, or at outings to the Leipzig moose. I thank my office mate Chiara Barbieri for spending an incredible number of hours with me in a small room without complaining, and Natasha and Katya Aralova for translating the summary of this work into Russian.

Finally I thank my close friends and my family. You bore with me despite geographical distance and my long periods of absence. Only a dedicated volume could express the deep gratitude I feel for your unconditional support, and would do justice to the recognition you deserve.

# REFERENCE INFORMATION

## ABBREVIATIONS AND GLOSSES

1	- first person	EXIST	- existential
2	- second person	F	- feminine
3	- third person	FUT	- future
ABL	- ablative	GEN	- genitive
ACC	- accusative	GNR	- generic
ADJZR	- adjectivizer	HAB	- habitual
ADVLZR	- adverbializer	IMP	- imperative
AG	- agent	IMPV	- imperfective
AOR	- aorist	INDEF	- indefinite
AUX	- auxiliary	INF	- infinitive
CAUS	- causative	INTNS	- intensifier
CIR	- circumstantial	INST	- instrumental
COLL	- collective	LAT	- lative
COM	- comitative	LOC	- locative
COND	- conditional	M	- masculine
CONTR	- contrastive	MOD	- modal
CV	- converb	MULT	- multiplicative
DAT	- dative	N	- neuter
DECL	- declarative	NLZR	- nominalizer
DEF	- definite	NONEXIST	- nonexistential
DEM	- demonstrative	NONFUT	- nonfuture
DET	- determiner	NEG	- negation
DER	- derivation	ORD	- ordinal
DIM	- diminutive	PART	- partitive
DISTR	- distributive	PASS	- passive
DUR	- durative	PF	- perfective
EMPH	- emphasis	PST	- past
EP	- epenthetic	PL	- plural
EXCL	- exclusive	POSS	- possessive

PRED	- predicative
PROG	- progressive
PRON	- pronoun
PROP	- proprietive
PRS	- present
PRT	- particle
PURP	- purposive
PTC	- participle
Q	- question
REL	- relative
RFL	- reflexive
R	- from Russian
RECP	- reciprocal
RES	- resultative
SG	- singular
SIM	- simultaneous
SQ	- sequential
VBLZR	- verbalizer

NOTE: case followed by person marking represents the possessive form of that particular case, e.g. ACC.3SG is the third person singular possessive form of the accusative.

## DOLGAN TRANSCRIPTION SYMBOLS AND THEIR IPA VALUE

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>IPA value</i>	<i>Symbol</i>	<i>IPA value</i>
a	- a	n	- n
b	- b	ń	- ɲ
č	- tʃ	o	- o
d	- d	ö	- ø
e	- e	p	- p
g	- g	γ	- ɣ
h	- h	r	- r
i	- i	s	- s
ï	- u	t	- t
dʒ	- dʒ	u	- u
d'	- d'	ü	- y
k	- k	v	- v
l	- l	χ	- q
m	- m	:	- length

## ETHNONYMS AND LINGUISTIC CLASSIFICATION OF SIBERIAN PEOPLES

<i>Ethnonym</i>	<i>Language</i>	<i>Language family</i>	
Dolgan	Dolgan	Northern Turkic	Altaic
Sakha (Yakut)	Sakha (Yakut)	Northern Turkic	Altaic
Khakas	Khakas	Northern Turkic	Altaic
Evenk	Evenki	Northern Tungusic	Altaic
Even	Even	Northern Tungusic	Altaic
Negidal	Negidal	Northern Tungusic	Altaic
Nanaj	Nanaj	Southern Tungusic	Altaic
Udighe	Udighe	Southern Tungusic	Altaic
Nganasan	Nganasan	Samoyedic	Uralic
Nenets	Nenets	Samoyedic	Uralic
Enets	Enets	Samoyedic	Uralic
Russian	Russian	Slavic	Indo-European
Chukchi	Chukchi	Chukot	Chukotka-Kamchatkan
Yukaghir	Yukaghir	Yukaghir	

## MAPS

- Map 1: Current distribution of indigenous peoples of the Taimyr and neighbouring regions
- Map 2: Dolgan villages and towns on the Taimyr Peninsula
- Map 3: Distribution of indigenous people and Khatanga Trading Way on the Taimyr Peninsula and neighbouring regions in early 18<sup>th</sup> century
- Map 4: Peoples and locations where genetic samples were collected

