



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The research-teaching nexus in the sciences : scientific research dispositions and teaching practice

Rijst, R.M. van der

Citation

Rijst, R. M. van der. (2009, September 23). *The research-teaching nexus in the sciences : scientific research dispositions and teaching practice*. ICLON PhD Dissertation Series. Leiden. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14011>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14011>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Table of contents

1. General introduction	13
1.1 Introduction	13
1.2 The research-teaching nexus	14
1.2.1 <i>Higher education and society</i>	14
1.2.2 <i>Studies on the integration of research in teaching</i>	15
1.3 Teaching in higher education	17
1.3.1 <i>Higher education as a separate field of research</i>	17
1.3.2 <i>Research on teaching in secondary education</i>	19
1.4 Relevance of the studies	21
1.4.1 <i>Scientific research dispositions in university education</i>	21
1.4.2 <i>Tangible and intangible elements of the research-teaching nexus</i>	22
1.4.3 <i>Teachers' intentions and approaches to teaching</i>	22
1.4.4 <i>Students' perceptions of learning environments</i>	23
1.5 Context and research questions	24
1.5.1 <i>Research context</i>	24
1.5.2 <i>Research questions</i>	24
1.6 Outline of the thesis	26
2. Exploring scientific research disposition from the perspective of academics	31
2.1 Introduction	32
2.1.1 <i>Scientific research dispositions in literature</i>	32
2.1.2 <i>Nature of science and scientific inquiry</i>	34
2.1.3 <i>Context and research question</i>	36
2.2 Methods	36
2.2.1 <i>Participants</i>	36
2.2.2 <i>Procedure</i>	37
2.2.3 <i>Qualitative analysis of interview data</i>	39
2.2.4 <i>Quantitative analysis of codes</i>	40
2.3 Results	41
2.3.1 <i>Six aspects of scientific research dispositions</i>	41
2.3.2 <i>Percentage distribution of codes among participants</i>	46
2.3.3 <i>Hierarchical cluster analysis and principal component analysis</i>	46
2.3.4 <i>Relationship between clusters and background variables</i>	50
2.4 Conclusions and discussion	51
2.4.1 <i>Scientific research dispositions</i>	51
2.4.2 <i>Implications for research and teaching</i>	54

3. Towards an empirically based notion of the concept of disposition	59
3.1 Introduction	60
3.1.1 <i>Dispositions of substances in modern philosophy</i>	61
3.1.2 <i>Three principles for the concept of disposition</i>	62
3.1.3 <i>Dispositions of subjects in educational research</i>	63
3.1.4 <i>Habitus as a system of dispositions</i>	64
3.1.5 <i>Thinking dispositions</i>	66
3.1.6 <i>Teacher dispositions</i>	67
3.1.7 <i>Research question</i>	68
3.2 Methods	68
3.2.1 <i>Three instruments to assess scientific research dispositions of academics</i>	68
3.2.2 <i>Participants</i>	69
3.2.3 <i>Instrument 1: Semi-structured open-ended interview</i>	69
3.2.4 <i>Instrument 2: Hierarchical ordering task</i>	69
3.2.5 <i>Instrument 3: Structured mapping task</i>	70
3.3 Results	74
3.3.1 <i>Results instrument 1: Semi-structured open-ended interview</i>	74
3.3.2 <i>Results instrument 2: Hierarchical ordering task</i>	74
3.3.3 <i>Results Instrument 3: Structured mapping task</i>	74
3.4 Conclusions and discussion	78
3.4.1 <i>Differences and similarities between the instruments</i>	78
3.4.2 <i>Implications for an empirically based notion of the concept of disposition</i>	80
3.4.3 <i>Suggestions for further research</i>	81
4. Speech act theory as an instrument to capture university science teachers' discourse	85
4.1 Introduction	86
4.1.1 <i>Discourse analysis and speech act theory</i>	86
4.1.2 <i>Methods of instruction</i>	88
4.1.3 <i>Approaches to teaching</i>	88
4.1.4 <i>Research questions</i>	89
4.2 Methods	89
4.2.1 <i>Sample and research context</i>	89
4.2.2 <i>Procedure</i>	90
4.2.3 <i>Analysis of teachers' speech acts</i>	90
4.2.4 <i>Post-course administration of the ATI</i>	91
4.2.5 <i>Analysis of typical speech act sequences</i>	93
4.3 Results	94
4.3.1 <i>Teachers' speech acts</i>	94
4.3.2 <i>Typical speech act sequences</i>	98
4.3.3 <i>Groups of typical speech act sequences</i>	98
4.3.4 <i>Characteristics of the typical speech act groups</i>	102

4.4 Conclusions and discussion	103
4.4.1 <i>Assertive and directive speech acts</i>	103
4.4.2 <i>Limitations and suggestions for further research</i>	104
5. Associations between teachers' intentions and students' perceptions of the research intensiveness of learning environments	109
5.1 Introduction	110
5.1.1 <i>Intangible elements in the research-teaching nexus</i>	111
5.1.2 <i>Modes of integration of disciplinary research into teaching</i>	111
5.1.3 <i>Students' perceptions of the research intensiveness of learning environments</i>	114
5.1.4 <i>Research question</i>	115
5.2 Methods	115
5.2.1 <i>Sample</i>	115
5.2.2 <i>Procedure</i>	116
5.2.3 <i>Interview about teachers' intentions</i>	117
5.2.4 <i>Student questionnaire on research intensiveness of learning environments</i>	117
5.2.5 <i>Analysis</i>	119
5.3 Results	120
5.3.1 <i>Teaching research-led courses</i>	121
5.3.2 <i>Teaching research-tutored courses</i>	122
5.3.3 <i>Teaching research-oriented courses</i>	122
5.3.4 <i>Teaching research-based courses</i>	124
5.3.5 <i>Congruence between teachers' intentions and students' perceptions</i>	124
5.3.6 <i>Aspects of scientific research disposition</i>	125
5.4 Conclusions and discussion	128
5.4.1 <i>Congruence between teachers' intentions and students' perceptions</i>	128
5.4.2 <i>Limitations and suggestions for further research</i>	129
6. General conclusions and discussion	133
6.1 Introduction	133
6.2 Links between research and teaching	133
6.3 Findings with regard to the research questions	135
6.3.1 <i>Research question 1a</i>	135
6.3.2 <i>Research question 1b</i>	135
6.3.3 <i>Research question 2</i>	135
6.3.4 <i>Research question 3a</i>	136
6.3.5 <i>Research question 3b</i>	137
6.3.6 <i>Research question 4</i>	137
6.4 General conclusions	138
6.4.1 <i>Research dispositions of academics</i>	138
6.4.2 <i>Evaluation of research dispositions</i>	138
6.4.3 <i>Teachers' speech acts</i>	139

6.4.4 <i>Teachers' intentions regarding research in teaching</i>	139
6.5 Strengths and limitations of the studies	139
6.5.1 <i>Strengths</i>	139
6.5.2 <i>Limitations</i>	141
6.6 Suggestions for further research into higher education	143
6.6.1 <i>Further development of the research instruments</i>	143
6.6.2 <i>Student evaluation of research-intensive learning environments</i>	145
6.7 Recommendations for teaching practice in higher education	145
6.7.1 <i>Educational policy and consultancy</i>	145
6.7.2 <i>Teaching practice and teacher training</i>	147
6.7.3 <i>Student learning</i>	147
References	149
Summary	165
Samenvatting	173
Publications	183
Curriculum Vitae	187
Dankwoord	189
ICLON PhD dissertation series	191