



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The non-verbal clause in Qumran Hebrew

Baasten, M.F.J.

Citation

Baasten, M. F. J. (2006, October 31). *The non-verbal clause in Qumran Hebrew*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4955>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4955>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

THE NON-VERBAL CLAUSE IN QUMRAN HEBREW

THE NON-VERBAL CLAUSE IN QUMRAN HEBREW

PROEFSCHRIFT

TER VERKRIJGING VAN

DE GRAAD VAN DOCTOR AAN DE UNIVERSITEIT LEIDEN,
OP GEZAG VAN DE RECTOR MAGNIFICUS DR. D.D. BREIMER,

HOGLERAAR IN DE FACULTEIT DER WISKUNDE EN
NATUURWETENSCHAPPEN EN DIE DER GENEESKUNDE,
VOLGENS BESLUIT VAN HET COLLEGE VOOR PROMOTIES

TE VERDEDIGEN OP DINSDAG 31 OKTOBER 2006

KLOKKE 15:00 UUR

DOOR

MARTINUS FERDINAND JOZEF BAASTEN

GEBOREN TE HAARLEM

IN 1964

PROMOTIECOMMISSIE

Promotor: Prof. dr. H. Gzella

Copromotor: Dr. W.Th. van Peursen

Referent: Prof. dr. J. Joosten (Universit  Marc Bloch, Straatsburg)

Overige leden: Prof. dr. A. Griffiths

Prof. dr. A.M. Lubotsky

Prof. dr. H.J. Stroomer

Prof. dr. I.E. Zwiap (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

Quam ob rem angor iste, qui pro amico sæpe capiendus est, non tantum valet, ut tollat e vita amicitiam, non plus quam ut virtutes, quia non nullas curas & molestias adferunt, repudientur.

M. TULLIUS CICERO, *Lælius sive De amicitia*

Voor Sjef

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	xiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 THE LINGUISTIC NATURE OF QUMRAN HEBREW	2
1.2 THE CORPUS AND THE SELECTION OF ITS MATERIAL	8
1.3 THE NON-VERBAL CLAUSE AND ITS LINGUISTIC NATURE	11
1.3.1 Clause, Sentence and Phrase	12
1.3.2 Predication: Verbal and Non-Verbal	13
1.3.3 The Non-Verbal Clause: An Acknowledged Clause Type?	15
1.3.4 The Semantics of 'To Be'	17
1.3.5 The Morphological Categories of Non-Verbal Predicates	20
1.3.6 Other Predicative Elements	22
1.3.7 One-Membered Clauses	25
1.4 THEORETICAL APPROACH	27
1.4.1 Three Levels of Linguistic Description	28
1.4.2 Extraposition	34
1.4.3 Focus, Cleft and Pseudo-Cleft Sentences	35
1.4.4 The Pronominal 'Copula'	38
1.4.5 Definiteness and Determinedness	39
1.5 THE NON-VERBAL CLAUSE AND COMPARATIVE SEMITICS	40
1.5.1 Akkadian	41

1.5.2 South Arabian	43
<i>a. Epigraphic South Arabian</i>	43
<i>b. Modern South Arabian</i>	44
1.5.3 Ethio-Semitic	45
<i>a. Ge'ez</i>	45
<i>b. Tigrinya</i>	49
<i>c. Tigré</i>	50
<i>d. Amharic and Argobba</i>	50
<i>e. Other South Ethiopic Languages</i>	52
1.5.4 Central Semitic	53
<i>a. Classical and Modern Standard Arabic</i>	53
<i>b. Ugaritic</i>	55
<i>c. Phoenician and Punic</i>	56
<i>d. Modern Hebrew</i>	57
<i>e. Syriac and Other Types of Aramaic</i>	59
2. A SURVEY OF PREVIOUS RESEARCH	63
2.1 THE NON-VERBAL CLAUSE IN BIBLICAL HEBREW	63
2.1.1 Albrecht and Other Early Studies	63
2.1.2 Andersen's Approach	72
2.1.3 Hoftijzer's View	79
2.1.4 Muraoka's Approach	83
2.1.5 Richter's Study	88
2.1.6 Revell's Study	88
2.1.7 Zewi's Approach	90
2.1.8 Niccacci's Approach	92
2.1.9 Michel's Approach	93

2.2 THE 'TRIPARTITE' NON-VERBAL CLAUSE IN BIBLICAL HEBREW	95
2.2.1 Albrecht	95
2.2.2 S.R. Driver	97
2.2.3 Bendavid	99
2.2.4 The Formal Approach: Andersen, Sappan	100
2.2.5 Emphatic Theories: Geller and Muraoka	104
2.2.6 Information Structure: Zewi and Niccacci	109
2.2.7 The Functional-Transformational Approach: Buth, Shimasaki	112
2.2.8 Blau	115
2.3 PREVIOUS RESEARCH ON THE NON-VERBAL CLAUSE IN MISHNAIC HEBREW	116
2.4 PREVIOUS RESEARCH ON THE NON-VERBAL CLAUSE IN QUMRAN HEBREW	118
3. THE NON-VERBAL CLAUSE IN QUMRAN HEBREW	121
3.1 THE THEORETICAL APPROACH	121
A. BIPARTITE NON-VERBAL CLAUSES	123
3.2 THE NOMINAL CLAUSE	123
3.2.1 NPd-NPd	123
3.2.2 NPd-NPi	127
3.2.3 NPi-NPd	134
3.2.4 NPi-NPi	138
3.2.5 DPr-NPd	141
3.2.6 DPr-NPi	147
3.2.7 NPd-DPr	149
3.2.8 NPi-DPr	149

3.2.9 PPr-NPd	150
3.2.10 PPr-NPi	157
3.2.11 NPd-PPr	161
3.2.12 NPi-PPr	162
3.3 THE PREPOSITIONAL CLAUSE	166
3.3.1 PP-NPd	166
3.3.2 PP-NPi	170
3.3.3 Excursus: The Copper Scroll	173
3.3.4 PP-DPr	175
3.3.5 PP-PPr	175
3.3.6 NPd-PP	177
3.3.7 NPi-PP	180
3.3.8 DPr-PP	184
3.3.9 PPr-PP	184
3.3.10 PP-PP	186
3.3.11 Clauses with Two Contrastive Constituents	187
3.3.12 Conclusions	189
3.4 THE NON-VERBAL CLAUSE WITH INTERROGATIVE CONSTITUENTS	191
3.4.1 Int-NPd	191
3.4.2 Int-NPi	192
3.4.3 Int-DPr	194
3.4.4 Int-PPr	194
3.4.5 Int-PP	195
3.4.6 NPd-Int	195
3.4.7 NPi-Int	195
3.4.8 DPr-Int	195
3.4.9 PPr-Int	196

3.4.10 PP-Int	196
B. 'TRIPARTITE' NON-VERBAL CLAUSES	196
3.5 Ex PPr-X	196
3.5.1 NPd PPr-NPd	196
3.5.2 NPd PPr-NPi	201
3.5.3 NPi PPr-NPd	202
3.6 Ex X-PPr	203
3.6.1 NPd NPd-PPr	203
3.6.2 NPd NPi-PPr	203
3.6.3 NPd PP-PPr	204
3.6.4 NPi PP-PPr	204
3.7 X-PPr Ex	205
3.7.1 NPd-PPr NPd	205
3.7.2 NPi-PPr NPd	205
3.7.3 PP-PPr NPd	206
3.7.4 PPr-PPr NPd	206
3.7.5 DPr-PPr NPd	207
3.7.6 Int -PPr NPd	207
3.7.7 NPi-PPr NPi	208
3.7.8 Int-PPr NPi	208
3.8 X-PPr VC	209
3.8.1 NPi-PPr VC	209
3.8.2 Int-PPr VC	209
3.8.3 Int-DPr VC	210
3.9 DISAGREEMENT OF THE PRONOUN	210

C. EXISTENTIAL CLAUSES	212
3.10 NON-VERBAL CLAUSES WITH שׁי	212
3.11 NON-VERBAL CLAUSES WITH אין	214
3.11.1 (<i>w</i>)'yn NPi	214
3.11.2 <i>w</i> 'yn NPi ADV	215
3.11.3 <i>w</i> 'yn ADV NPi	217
3.11.4 <i>w</i> 'yn $\emptyset \emptyset$	218
3.11.5 Preceding PPs	218
3.11.6 Preceding NPs	219
3.11.7 Unique Construction	219
3.12 MISCELLANEOUS REMARKS	220
3.12.1 Parallel Constructions with ואין and לאין	220
3.12.2 Poetic Alternation אין /א(ר)ל	220
3.12.3 Adnominal Uses	221
3.12.4 Alternatives for possessive ל	222
3.12.5 Various Aspects	223
3.13 <i>H_{NH}</i> + NP	223
4. CONCLUSIONS	227
ABBREVIATIONS	237
BIBLIOGRAPHY	243
SAMENVATTING	265
CURRICULUM VITÆ	269

PREFACE

THE RESEARCH FOR THE PRESENT DISSERTATION was initially carried out on the basis of a research fellowship granted by the Center of Non-Western Studies (presently Research School CNWS), Leiden University, during the years 1993–1997. I am deeply grateful to this institution for having given me the opportunity to work in its building at the Nonnensteeg, a buzzing beehive of young scholars from all possible backgrounds.

It remains a rare privilege indeed to have studied for several years in an academic environment where during lunch the topic of conversation would usually range from Tibetan Buddhist concepts of the afterlife to the *lienzo* of Jucutácato, while at dinner the history of Tigrinya literature would be discussed, along with Ancient Egyptian basketry or the Bhāsa problem. And then in between, during the occasional coffee or tea break, new vistas could suddenly open on the Antichrist in Aḥmed Bicān's *Dürr-i Meknūn* or the emergence of ethnology as a scholarly discipline in eighteenth-century Europe.

Despite the fact that Leiden regulations prevent Ph.D. students from thanking those involved in the evaluation process of their dissertation, several people deserve to be mentioned here. First of all, I am grateful to my previous supervisor, Professor T. Muraoka, for his contribution to my development as a scholar. Furthermore, it is worth noting that Professors J. Hoftijzer, A.J. Drewes and D. van Arkel, as well as Dr. H.B.Th. Schot, have made a profound impression on me as teachers and human beings. Finally, without the constant support of my friend Sjef Laenen this book would not have been initiated, pursued or completed. I can do no better than dedicate the work to him.

MARTIN F.J. BAASTEN

