



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Cavity quantum electrodynamics with quantum dots in microcavities

Gudat, J.

### Citation

Gudat, J. (2012, June 19). *Cavity quantum electrodynamics with quantum dots in microcavities. Casimir PhD Series*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/19553>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/19553>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/19553> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

**Author:** Gudat, Jan

**Title:** Cavity quantum electrodynamics with quantum dots in microcavities

**Issue Date:** 2012-06-19

**Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics  
with  
Quantum Dots in Microcavities**

**Jan Gudat**

Cover: The picture on the cover shows an optical cavity with a dipole inside. The curves in the background illustrate a cavity reflectivity measurement. The photon entering the cavity (from the left) interacts with the dipole. When the dipole is coupled to the cavity and the photon is interacting on resonance with a dipole electron spin, the photon gets reflected. This can be measured by a peak in the dip of the reflection (blue) curve. In the uncoupled case with the dipole being out of resonance, the photon gets transmitted and a dip in the reflection (red) curve can be observed. This simplified idea can be realized with a quantum dot in a microcavity, which could serve as the building block (a qubit) for a quantum computer.

# **Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics with Quantum Dots in Microcavities**

**PROEFSCHRIFT**

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. P.F. van der Heijden,  
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties  
te verdedigen op dinsdag 19 juni 2012  
klokke 10:00 uur

door

**Jan Gudat**

**Promotiecommissie:**

Promoter: Prof. dr. D. Bouwmeester

Universiteit Leiden /

University of California, Santa Barbara

Leden: Dr. M.P. van Exter

Universiteit Leiden

Dr. M.J.A. de Dood

Universiteit Leiden

Prof. dr. E.R. Eliel

Universiteit Leiden

Prof. dr. A. Fiore

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

Dr. H. Krenner

Universität Augsburg

Prof. dr. ir. C.H. van der Wal

Rijksuniversiteit Groningen

The work presented in this thesis has been made possible by financial support from the Marie-Curie Program No. EXT-CT-2006-042580.

Casimir PhD series, Delft-Leiden, 2012-15

ISBN: 978-90-8593-126-3

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Controlling electron spin interactions via photons . . . . .	4
1.1.1	Vision . . . . .	5
1.1.2	Required developments . . . . .	9
1.2	Challenges . . . . .	9
1.2.1	Deterministic spin positioning at the center of optical micro resonators . . . . .	11
1.2.2	Controlled emitter-cavity interaction in the weak-coupling regime . . . . .	11
1.2.3	Controlled emitter-cavity interaction in a polarization degenerate way . . . . .	13
1.2.4	Single electron spin preparation in QDs . . . . .	15
1.2.5	Entangle a single spin with a photon via the trion state	16
1.2.6	Enhance single spin coherence time . . . . .	17
1.2.7	Couple multiple microcavity-QD systems . . . . .	18
1.2.8	Entangle two electron qubits via the hybrid scheme . . .	18
1.3	Quantum dots . . . . .	19
1.3.1	Types of QDs . . . . .	19
1.3.2	Fabrication of self-assembled QDs . . . . .	19
1.3.3	Optical and electrical properties . . . . .	21
1.3.4	QD tuning via the Stark effect . . . . .	26
1.4	Microcavities . . . . .	29
1.5	Cavity quantum electrodynamics . . . . .	30
1.5.1	Cavity coupling parameters . . . . .	30
1.5.2	The Jaynes-Cummings model . . . . .	31
1.5.3	Dynamics of the Jaynes-Cummings model . . . . .	34
1.5.4	The strong coupling regime . . . . .	38
1.5.5	The weak coupling regime . . . . .	38
1.5.6	Spontaneous emission and Purcell effect in microcavities	40
1.5.7	Cavity reflectivity with a single QD . . . . .	42

<b>2 Experimental Setup</b>	<b>45</b>
2.1 Optical setup . . . . .	45
2.2 Laser and detector options . . . . .	46
2.3 Cryostat . . . . .	48
<b>3 Optical Modes in Oxide-Apertered Micropillars</b>	<b>51</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	52
3.2 Oxide-apertured micropillars design and fabrication . . . . .	53
3.3 Theoretical model of the optical modes . . . . .	60
3.3.1 Theoretical spectrum of the modes . . . . .	64
3.3.2 Anisotropic materials . . . . .	65
3.3.3 Relative mode splitting and Purcell factor . . . . .	66
3.4 Measurements . . . . .	67
3.5 Results . . . . .	68
3.6 Improving the theoretical model . . . . .	77
3.7 Conclusion and discussion . . . . .	84
<b>4 Microcavity Tuning</b>	<b>87</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	88
4.2 Oxide-apertured micropillar design and properties . . . . .	89
4.3 Tuning micropillar cavity birefringence by laser induced surface defects . . . . .	91
4.3.1 Experimental procedure . . . . .	92
4.3.2 Data analysis . . . . .	93
4.3.3 Summary and outlook . . . . .	98
4.4 Permanent tuning of quantum dot transitions to degenerate microcavity resonances . . . . .	99
4.4.1 Experimental procedure . . . . .	99
4.4.2 Summary . . . . .	106
4.5 Theoretical model . . . . .	107
4.5.1 Effect on cavity modes . . . . .	108
4.5.2 Effect on QD optical transitions . . . . .	110
4.6 Conclusion and discussion . . . . .	115
<b>5 Active Positioning of Single QDs in Microcavities</b>	<b>117</b>
5.1 Optical positioning of single QDs . . . . .	118
5.1.1 Physical limits of the scanning method . . . . .	119
5.1.2 QD positioning in planar cavities . . . . .	120
5.2 Strong coupling through optical positioning of a QD in a photonic crystal cavity . . . . .	121
5.2.1 Sample design . . . . .	121

5.2.2	Scanning technique . . . . .	121
5.2.3	Photonic crystal fabrication . . . . .	123
5.2.4	Demonstration of strong coupling . . . . .	125
5.3	Waveguide-coupled photonic crystal-QD cavities . . . . .	127
5.3.1	Sample design and fabrication . . . . .	127
5.3.2	Measurements and results . . . . .	130
5.4	Conclusion and discussion . . . . .	134
<b>6</b>	<b>Spin Quantum Jumps</b>	<b>135</b>
6.1	Open quantum systems . . . . .	136
6.1.1	Density operator . . . . .	137
6.1.2	Liouville operator . . . . .	138
6.1.3	Master equation . . . . .	139
6.2	Separation of time scales . . . . .	140
6.3	Spin quantum jumps in a singly charged quantum dot . . . . .	143
6.3.1	The four-level system . . . . .	145
6.3.2	Separation of time scales . . . . .	148
6.3.3	Jump rate due to coherent spin coupling . . . . .	151
6.3.4	Experimental possibilities . . . . .	157
6.4	Conclusion . . . . .	158
6.5	Further extension of the model . . . . .	159
<b>7</b>	<b>Schemes in the Weak-Coupling Cavity QED Regime</b>	<b>161</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	161
7.2	Optical selection rules . . . . .	162
7.3	CNOT gate . . . . .	164
7.4	Bell-state analyzer . . . . .	166
7.5	Experimental feasibility . . . . .	168
7.6	Conclusion . . . . .	169
<b>8</b>	<b>Reflection Spectroscopy of a Quantum Dot in a Microcavity</b>	<b>171</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	171
8.2	Experimental procedure . . . . .	172
8.3	Experimental results . . . . .	173
8.4	Conclusion and discussion . . . . .	178
<b>Appendices</b>		<b>181</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Experimental setup</b>	<b>183</b>

## *CONTENTS*

---

<b>B Fabrication of micropillars</b>	<b>189</b>
B.1 Process structure for different sample types . . . . .	189
B.2 Step details . . . . .	190
<b>C Glossary of Terms</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>197</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>215</b>
<b>Nederlandse samenvatting</b>	<b>219</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>223</b>
<b>List of Publications</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>227</b>