



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Decision-making in the council of the European Union. The role of committees.**

Häge, F.M.

### **Citation**

Häge, F. M. (2008, October 23). *Decision-making in the council of the European Union. The role of committees*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13222>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/13222>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

**Decision-Making in the Council of the European Union:  
The Role of Committees**

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van

de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,

op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.mr. P.F. van der Heijden,

volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties

te verdedigen op donderdag 23 oktober 2008

klokke 13.45 uur

door

Frank Michael Häge

geboren te Geislingen an der Steige (Duitsland)

in 1975

## **Promotiecommissie**

Promotor:

Prof. Dr. Bernard Steunenberg

Referent:

Prof. Dr. Jan Beyers (Universiteit Antwerpen)

Overige leden:

Prof. Dr. Liesbet Hooghe (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam)

Prof. Dr. Madeleine O. Hosli

Prof. Dr. David Lowery

Prof. Dr. Gerald Schneider (Universität Konstanz)

© Frank M. Häge, Leiden

Optima Grafische Communicatie

Rotterdam

ISBN: 978-90-8559-392-8

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission from the author.

# Contents

<b>Tables</b> .....	<b>V</b>
<b>Figures</b> .....	<b>VI</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>VII</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>IX</b>
<b>Part I Introduction and background</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1 The study of Council committees.....	3
2 The Council's committee system .....	15
3 Existing research on Council decision-making.....	39
4 Theoretical perspectives on Committee decision-making .....	57
<b>Part II Quantitative analysis</b> .....	<b>81</b>
5 Sample selection.....	83
6 Describing the extent of committee decision-making .....	89
7 Explaining the variation in committee decision-making .....	95
<b>Part III Qualitative analysis</b> .....	<b>109</b>
8 Methodological issues.....	111
9 Agriculture .....	125
10 Environment .....	159
11 Economic and Financial Affairs.....	197
12 Summary and between-sector comparison.....	231
<b>Part IV Synthesis and conclusion</b> .....	<b>239</b>
13 Discussion of research results and theory building .....	241
14 Conclusion.....	253
<b>References</b> .....	<b>259</b>
<b>Samenvatting</b> .....	<b>269</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b> .....	<b>275</b>

## Detailed contents

<b>Tables</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>Figures</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>VII</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>Part I Introduction and background</b>	<b>1</b>
1 The study of Council committees	3
1.1 Contributions of the study	4
1.2 Research approach and methods	7
1.3 Plan of the book	11
2 The Council's committee system	15
2.1 Council committees in EU legislative decision-making	15
2.2 The organisational structure	23
2.3 Long-term trends in Council committee activity	30
2.4 The role, organisation and activities of Council committees	36
3 Existing research on Council decision-making	39
3.1 The extent of committee decision-making	40
3.2 Committee communication and co-operation patterns	43
3.3 Committee member socialisation	45
3.4 Committee interaction styles	47
3.5 Policy outcomes of Council decision-making	48
3.6 Process characteristics of Council decision-making	52
3.7 Summary of the literature review	53
4 Theoretical perspectives on Committee decision-making	57
4.1 Preferences, institutions, and policy stability	58
4.2 Committee socialisation	65
4.3 Policy uncertainty, salience, and delegation	71
4.4 The theoretical arguments in brief	77

<b>Part II Quantitative analysis .....</b>	<b>81</b>
5 Sample selection .....	83
5.1 Selection criteria .....	83
5.2 Selection procedure .....	85
6 Describing the extent of committee decision-making .....	89
6.1 Measuring committee decision-making .....	89
6.2 Results of the descriptive analysis .....	92
7 Explaining the variation in committee decision-making .....	95
7.1 Operationalisation of variables .....	95
7.2 Results of the statistical analysis .....	101
7.3 Summary and discussion .....	106
<b>Part III Qualitative analysis .....</b>	<b>109</b>
8 Methodological issues .....	111
8.1 The complementarity of quantitative and qualitative research .....	111
8.2 Advantages and disadvantages of a nested design .....	112
8.3 Case selection criteria .....	114
8.4 Data sources and collection .....	119
9 Agriculture .....	125
9.1 Geographical Indications Regulation .....	126
9.2 Leaf Tobacco Regulation .....	138
9.3 Comparative analysis .....	148
9.4 Appendix: Development of individual negotiation issues .....	156
10 Environment .....	159
10.1 Ambient Air Directive .....	160
10.2 Batteries Directive .....	169
10.3 Comparative analysis .....	183
10.4 Appendix: Development of individual negotiation issues .....	192

11	Economic and Financial Affairs .....	197
11.1	Parent-Subsidiary Directive.....	199
11.2	Mergers Directive .....	209
11.3	Comparative analysis .....	220
11.4	Appendix: Development of individual negotiation issues .....	228
12	Summary and between-sector comparison.....	231
12.1	Summary of the within-sector comparisons .....	231
12.2	Between-sector comparison.....	234
<b>Part IV Synthesis and conclusion .....</b>		<b>239</b>
13	Discussion of research results and theory building .....	241
13.1	Synthesis of the quantitative and qualitative research findings.....	242
13.2	Towards a procedural theory of Council decision-making .....	250
14	Conclusion.....	253
14.1	The legitimacy of Council decision-making .....	253
14.2	Challenges for future research .....	255
<b>References.....</b>		<b>259</b>
<b>Samenvatting .....</b>		<b>269</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae .....</b>		<b>275</b>

## Tables

Table 2.1	Working parties and sub-areas by Council formation .....	29
Table 3.1	The extent of committee decision-making: Previous research .....	41
Table 6.1	Decision-making level by Council formation .....	93
Table 7.1	Description of variables and data sources.....	97
Table 7.2	The linkage of Council formations with party policy positions.....	98
Table 7.3	Determinants of committee decision-making .....	102
Table 7.4	Effects of changes in the explanatory variables .....	105
Table 8.1	Characteristics of selected cases.....	118
Table 8.2	List of case study interviews .....	123
Table 9.1	Geographical Indications Regulation: Main decision-making events.	130
Table 9.2	Leaf Tobacco Regulation: Main decision-making events .....	140
Table 9.3	Agriculture: Types of negotiation outcomes by Council level .....	151
Table 10.1	Ambient Air Directive: Main decision-making events.....	162
Table 10.2	Batteries Directive: Main decision-making events.....	173
Table 10.3	Environment: Types of negotiation outcomes by Council level.....	187
Table 11.1	Parent-Subsidiary Directive: Main decision-making events.....	202
Table 11.2	Mergers Directive: Main decision-making events .....	211
Table 11.3	Taxation: Types of negotiation outcomes by Council level .....	224
Table 12.1	Summary of the within-sector and between-sector comparison .....	237



## Figures

Figure 2.1	The internal decision-making process of the Council .....	17
Figure 2.2	Organisational structure of the Council .....	26
Figure 2.3	Yearly meeting days of ministers, 1958-2004 .....	31
Figure 2.4	Yearly meeting days of Coreper, 1958-2004 .....	32
Figure 2.5	Yearly meeting days of working parties, 1958-2004 .....	33
Figure 2.6	Distribution of meeting days across Council levels, 1958-2004.....	35
Figure 4.1	Unanimity and qualified majority core.....	61
Figure 4.2	Small and large preference divergence unanimity cores .....	62
Figure 4.3	Qualified majority committee core and co-decision core .....	64
Figure 4.4	Performance norms winset of socialised committee members .....	68
Figure 4.5	The delegation set of the minister in a one-dimensional policy space ..	74
Figure 9.1	Geographical Indications Regulation: Negotiation process.....	131
Figure 9.2	Leaf Tobacco Regulation: Negotiation process .....	141
Figure 9.3	Agriculture: Comparison of negotiation processes .....	149
Figure 9.4	Geographical Indications Regulation: Negotiation issues .....	156
Figure 9.5	Leaf Tobacco Regulation: Negotiation issues.....	158
Figure 10.1	Ambient Air Directive: Negotiation process .....	164
Figure 10.2	Batteries Directive: Negotiation process .....	175
Figure 10.3	Environment: Comparison of negotiation processes.....	186
Figure 10.4	Ambient Air Directive: Negotiation issues.....	192
Figure 10.5	Batteries Directive: Negotiation issues.....	194
Figure 11.1	Parent-Subsidiary Directive: Negotiation process .....	203
Figure 11.2	Mergers Directive: Negotiation process .....	213
Figure 11.3	Taxation: Comparison of negotiation processes .....	222
Figure 11.4	Parent-Subsidiary Directive: Negotiation issues.....	228
Figure 11.5	Mergers Directive: Negotiation issues.....	229

## Abbreviations

AT	Austria
BE	Belgium
CAP	Common Agricultural Policy
CFSP	Common Foreign and Security Policy
CoR	Committee of the Regions
Coreper	Committee of Permanent Representatives
CY	Cyprus
CZ	Czech Republic
DE	Germany
DG	Directorate general
DK	Denmark
TEC	Treaty establishing the European Community
ECJ	European Court of Justice
EE	Estonia
EL	Greece
EP	European Parliament
ES	Spain
ESC	Economic and Social Committee
EU	European Union
FL	Finland
FR	France
HU	Hungary
IE	Ireland
IT	Italy
LT	Lithuania
LU	Luxembourg
LV	Latvia
MT	Malta
NiCad	Nickel-cadmium
NL	Netherlands
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

VIII Abbreviations

PL	Poland
PSC	Political and Security Committee
PT	Portugal
SCA	Special Committee on Agriculture
SE	Sweden
SCIFA	Strategic Committee on Immigration, Frontiers and Asylum
SK	Slovakia
SL	Slovenia
TRIPS	Trade-Related International Property Rights
UK	United Kingdom
WP	Working party
WTO	World Trade Organisation

## Acknowledgements

This research project benefited from many comments and discussions on various occasions. Earlier versions of parts of the thesis were presented at the Annual Work Conference of the Netherlands Institute of Government (NIG, 2004 and 2006), at the Third Pan-European Conference on EU Politics of the ECPR Standing Group on the European Union (2006), and at the 36th Annual Conference of UACES (2006). Furthermore, elements of the thesis were discussed several times at the AiO Seminar at Leiden University and at the European PhD Research Colloquium on 'Democracy and the European Union'. I would like to thank the participants of these conferences and seminars for their valuable comments and constructive criticisms. Special thanks go to Michael Kaeding and Dimiter Toshkov, who provided continuous feedback and valuable advice throughout the entire life of the project. Needless to say, any remaining errors are mine.

The implementation of this research project also profited greatly from graduate training received from the NIG and from several international summer schools in Europe and the United States. I would like to thank the teachers that capably introduced me to relatively unfamiliar topics like advanced game theory or fuzzy set and qualitative comparative analysis. I gratefully acknowledge financial support by the NIG and the Leiden University Fund, without which participating in many of these conferences and training programmes would not have been possible.

Furthermore, I would like to thank Aisling Buckley for proof-reading the entire manuscript, Toon Kerkhoff and Ineke Smit for translating the thesis summary into Dutch, and Sage Publications for allowing me to use parts of a copyrighted article published earlier in the journal *European Union Politics*. I am also grateful to the investigators of the Chapel Hill expert survey for providing their data on the positions of parties with respect to European integration and to the officials of the Council Secretariat for their patient and comprehensive responses to my rather extensive requests for access to Council documents. The cover of this thesis represents a sketch of the seating order in the Council following the accession to the EU of Bulgaria and Romania in January 2007. The sketch is a direct adaptation of the seating plan annexed to Council document 6046/05. Finally, I am most grateful to the European and national officials I interviewed for sharing their precious time with me and for answering all my questions about the intricacies of Council decision-making.

