



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

GPCR and G protein mobility in *D. discoideum* : a single molecule study

Hemert, F. van

Citation

Hemert, F. van. (2009, December 21). *GPCR and G protein mobility in D. discoideum : a single molecule study*. *Casimir PhD Series*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14549>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/14549>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

GPCR and G protein mobility in *D. discoideum*
a single molecule study

Proefschrift
ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof. mr. P. F. van der Heijden,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op maandag 21 december 2009
klokke 13:45 uur

door

Freek van Hemert
geboren te Oostburg
in 1982

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor: prof. dr. Thomas Schmidt, Leiden Institute of Physics
Co-Promotor: dr. B. Ewa Snaar-Jagalska, Leiden Institute of Biology
Overige leden: prof. dr. Gerhard Schütz, University of Linz
prof. dr. Theodorus W. J. Gadella, University of Amsterdam
prof. dr. Peter J. M. van Haastert, University of Groningen
dr. ir. John van Noort, Leiden Institute of Physics
prof. dr. Jan M. van Ruitenbeek, Leiden Institute of Physics
prof. dr. Herman P. Spaink, Leiden Institute of Biology

ISBN 978-90-8593-065-5

Casimir PhD series, Delft-Leiden 2009-20

Contents

1	Chemotaxis: a mechanistic perspective	1
1.1	<i>Dictyostelium discoideum</i>	2
1.2	The biochemistry of chemotaxis	3
1.3	Signaling dynamics	5
1.4	Biophysical techniques provide quantitative data	7
1.5	The cAR1 - G protein system	9
1.6	Chemotaxis models	12
1.6.1	Gradient sensing	12
1.6.2	Polarization	14
1.6.3	Biased pseudopods	14
1.7	Conclusion	16
1.8	Thesis outline	16
2	Heterogeneous G protein mobility during chemotaxis	19
2.1	Introduction	20
2.2	Materials and methods	22
2.2.1	Cell culturing and transformation	22
2.2.2	Cell preparation for measurements	22
2.2.3	Developmental test	23
2.2.4	Global cAMP stimulation assay	23
2.2.5	Chemotaxis micropipette assay	23
2.2.6	Latrunculin A treatment	24
2.2.7	Single molecule microscopy	24

2.2.8	Estimation of the expression level of G α 2-YFP and G β -YFP	24
2.2.9	Particle image correlation spectroscopy (PICS)	25
2.2.10	Analysis of the cumulative probability functions	25
2.3	Results	26
2.3.1	Heterogeneity in the mobility of G α 2-YFP and G β -YFP	26
2.3.2	Mobility suggests the existence of a receptor/G protein pre-coupled complex in the absence of agonist	27
2.3.3	A fraction of G β -YFP becomes immobilized upon cAMP-induced receptor activation	34
2.3.4	Stimulation induces confined diffusion of fast G α 2 and G β γ	34
2.3.5	cAMP-induced membrane domains and G β -YFP immobilization are F-actin dependent	35
2.3.6	G β γ immobilization is leading edge specific	37
2.3.7	cAMP-induced domain formation is PI3K and PLA2 independent	40
2.4	Discussion	40
	Supplemental information	48
3	Leading edge specific cortex attenuation leads to higher GPCR mobility	51
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Materials and methods	54
3.2.1	Cell culture and transformation	54
3.2.2	Preparation of cells for measurements	54
3.2.3	Global cAMP stimulation assay	55
3.2.4	Applied gradient assay	55
3.2.5	Latrunculin A treatment	55
3.2.6	Single-molecule microscopy	55
3.2.7	Analysis of single molecule data	56
3.2.8	Error estimation	57
3.3	Results	60
3.3.1	In naïve wt cells cAR1 moves slowly and exists in two distinct states	60

3.3.2	cAR1 mobility is increased and polarized during chemotaxis	62
3.3.3	cAR1 mobility is not influenced by G α 2 or G β γ binding . .	63
3.3.4	Polarized cAR1 mobility is F-actin independent	65
3.4	Discussion	68
	Supplemental information	75
	Appendix	78
4	cAR1 and G protein mobility in <i>rasC</i>⁻/<i>rasG</i>⁻ cells	81
4.1	Introduction	82
4.2	Materials and methods	84
4.2.1	Cell culture	84
4.2.2	Preparing naïve cells for measurements	85
4.2.3	Single molecule measurements	85
4.2.4	Global cAMP stimulation assay	85
4.2.5	Applied gradient assay	86
4.2.6	Latrunculin A treatment	86
4.2.7	Data analysis	86
4.3	Results	87
4.3.1	The mobility of cAR1 in <i>rasC</i> ⁻ / <i>rasG</i> ⁻ cells is increased and reflects the mobility found for F-actin depleted cells	88
4.3.2	The polarized mobility of cAR1 is lost in the <i>rasC</i> ⁻ / <i>rasG</i> ⁻ knockout	90
4.3.3	G β γ in the RasC/RasG knockout does not immobilize upon cAMP stimulation	93
4.4	Discussion	95
	Supplemental information	102
	Bibliography	119
	Samenvatting	121
	List of publications	127
	Curriculum Vitae	129

