

A grammar of Konso Orkaydo, O.O.

Citation

Orkaydo, O. O. (2013, March 28). *A grammar of Konso. LOT dissertation series*. LOT, Utrecht. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/20681

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/20681

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/20681 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Orkaydo, Ongaye Oda Title: A grammar of Konso Issue Date: 2013-03-28

11. Negation

In this chapter, I analyse negation. The chapter has three sections. Section 11.1 treats verbal negation in declarative clauses. Section 11.2 deals with negation in nominal sentences. Section 11.3 presents lexical negation.

11.1. Negation in declarative sentences

Negation in declarative sentences is marked by subject clitics and/or negative suffixes on the verb. The forms of negative subject clitics are an = for first persons, a? = for second persons and in = for third persons. Note that the form of the negative subject clitic for third persons and the form of the affirmative subject clitic for first persons are homophonous. The forms of the negative suffixes on the verb vary according to aspect as discussed below.

11.1.1. Negative Perfective

The negative marker in the perfective is the suffix -n. This morpheme precedes the perfective aspect marker -i. Except for the first person plural and second person plural, subject personal pronouns are optional. In other words, first person plural and second person plural require subject personal pronouns. Number and gender is not marked on the negative perfective verb.

(1a) antic Goyrasi? ?ammurri

anti-? Goyra-si? an = mur-n-i
1SG.PRO-NOM tree-DEF.M/F 1NEG = cut[SG]-NEG-PF
'I did not cut the tree.'

(1b) isinat tikupa adde?ni

*ifina-? tika-opa a?=dey-n-i*2PL.PRO-NOM house-to 2NEG = come-NEG-PF
'You (PL) did not come home.'

(1c) inantasip pisaasini? ?in?oraapni

inanta-si? pifaa-sini? in=?oraap-n-i girl-DEF.M/F water-P 3NEG = fetch-NEG-PF 'The girl did not fetch the water.'

Without overt subjects, the present imperfective affirmative for first person singular is segmentally identical to that of the perfective negative for third persons. The affirmative and negative distinction for these persons is made by tone: a low tone marks the present imperfective affirmative for first person singular as in (2a), while a high tone marks perfective negative for third persons as in (2b).

- (2a) immukni
 in=muk-ni
 1NEG-sleep-IPF.PRES
 'I sleep.'
- (2b) immukní
 in = muk-n-í
 3NEG = sleep-NEG-PF
 'He/She/They did not sleep.'

The paradigm in (3) is an additional example. The optional subject pronouns are left out in the paradigm. The verb root used in the paradigm is muk-'sleep'. Note that the alveolar nasal of the first person and third person subject clitics, and the glottal stop of the second person subject clitic are realised as m due to assimilation.

(3)	ammukni	an = muk-n-í	'I did not sleep.'
	inom mukni	ino=an muk-n-i	'We did not sleep.'
	ammukni	a?=muk-n-i	'You (SG) did not sleep.'
	i∫inam mukni	ifin = a? muk-n-i	'You (PL) did not sleep.'
	immukni	in=muk-n-i	'He/she/they did not sleep.'

Sometimes, the lexeme nama 'person' is used instead of the first person plural subject pronoun in negative verbs in all aspects. For example, in (4a) we have an interrogative sentence for which a negative answer is given with the first person subject pronoun in (4b), and with the lexeme nama 'person, man' in (4c). The latter renders the sentence impersonal.

- (4a) χ ormasip patay itteytinee χ orma-si? pat-ay i?=tey-t-i-n-e ox-DEF.M/F lose-PF 2=find-2-PF-P-Q 'Did you (PL) find the lost ox?'
- (4b) inon teyni
 ino = an tey-n-i
 1PL.PRO.NOM = 1NEG find-1PL-PF
 'We did not find it.'
- (4c) naman teyni
 nama = in tey-n-i
 person = 3NEG find-NEG-PF
 'We did not find it.'
 (lit.: 'A person did not find it.')

Bliese and Sokka (1986:22) provide an example (adapted here) from the Karatte dialect in which the negative for first person plural occurs without either an overt personal subject pronoun or the lexeme nama 'person'. In my dialect, the example must have the first person singular as the subject and example (5) would be ungrammatical.

(5) *andámmi

an = dám-n-i

1NEG = eat-NEG-PF

'I/We did not eat.'

11.1.2. Negative future imperfective

In the future imperfective, negation is marked by the subject clitic for all persons, and, except for second person plural and third person plural, also by the negative suffix -u on the verb. The second person plural and third person plural do not have the negative suffix -u on the verb. All subject personal pronouns can be left out. The following are illustrative examples.

- (6a) dettow an = χa?-u early 1NEG = wake.up-IPF.FUT.NEG 'I will not wake up so early.'
- (6b) Goyrasi? ?ammurtu
 Goyra-si? a?=mur-t-u
 tree-DEF.M/F 2NEG = cut[SG]-2-IPF.FUT.NEG
 'You (SG) will not cut the tree.'
- (6c) inantasif fatanaappa impi?tu
 inanta-si? fatanaa-oppa
 girl-DEF.M/F exam-in

*in = pi?-t-u*3NEG = fail-3F-IPF.FUT.NEG
'The girl will not fail in the exam.'

The sentences in (7) are equivalent affirmative forms of the examples in (6):

- (7a) dettow in = χa?-a early 1 = wake.up-IPF.FUT 'I will wake up so early.'
- (7b) Goyrasi? ?immurta Goyra-si?

Goyra-si? i?=mur-t-a tree-DEF.M/F 2 = cut[SG]-2-IPF.FUT

'You (SG) will cut the tree.'

```
(7c) inantasif fatanaappa ?ipi?ta 

inanta-si? fatanaa-oppa i=pi?-t-a 

girl-DEF.M/F exam-in 3NEG = fail-3F-IPF.FUT 

'The girl will fail in the exam.'
```

Consider the paradigms in (8) as well.

```
(8a)
                       < in=muk-u
                                          'I will not sleep.'
        ammuku
        ammuknu
                       < an=muk-n-u
                                           'We will not sleep.'
                       < a?=muk-t-u
                                           'You (SG) will not sleep.'
        ammuktu
        ammuktan
                       < a?=muk-t-a-n
                                           'You (PL) will not sleep.'
                                           'He will not sleep.'
                       < in=muk-u
        immuku
                                           'She will not sleep.'
        immuktu
                       < in = muk-t-u
        immukan
                       < in = muk-a-n
                                           'They will not sleep.'
                                          'I will sleep.'
(8b)
        immuka
                       < in=muk-a
        immukna
                       < in=muk-n-a
                                           'We will sleep.'
        immukta
                       < i?=muk-t-a
                                           'You (SG) will sleep.'
                       < i?=muk-t-a-n
                                           'You (PL) will sleep.'
        immuktan
        imuka
                       < i = muk-a
                                           'He will sleep.'
                       < i = muk-t-a
                                           'She will sleep.'
        imukta
        imukan
                       < i = muk-a-n
                                           'They will sleep.'
```

From the examples in (6) and (7), as well as the paradigms in (8), we can see that negation in the future imperfective is marked by the suffix -u while affirmative future imperfective is marked by the suffix -a.

11.1.3. Negative present imperfective

Generally, the negative present imperfective is characterised by a main and auxiliary verb construction plus a set of (negative) subject clitics and, depending on the person/number of the subject, an additional negation marker -u/o. In the negative present imperfective of the verbs up- 'know', sah- 'be able to', pah- 'resemble, look like' and heen- 'want', the auxiliary verb is not used (see below in the present section). When the subject is first or second person, the subject clitics are attached to both the main and auxiliary verb (9a-d). When the subject is third person, the subject clitics are attached only to the existential verb (9e-g). In addition, when the subject is singular or first person plural, a negation marker -u/o is affixed at the final slot of the existential verb, but when the subject is second person plural or third person plural, the negation marker u/o is not affixed to the existential verb (compare example (9d) and (9g) to the other examples in (9)). The negative suffix is realised as -o when the form of the existential verb has a final palatal consonant. It occurs as -u when the existential verb has a final alveolar consonant. The following are illustrative examples:

(9a) ankeerri anco

an = keer-ni an = kiy-o 1NEG = run[SG]-IPF.PRES 1NEG = be-NEG 'I do not run.'

(9b) anhirri ankinnu

an = hir-ni an = kit-n-u1NEG = run[PL]-IPF.PRES 1NEG = be-1PL-NEG

'We do not run.'

(9c) akkeerri akkittu

a?=keer-ni a?=kit-t-u
2NEG=run[SG]-IPF.PRES 2NEG=be-2-NEG
'You (SG) do not run.'

(9d) ahhirri akkittan

a? = hir-ni a? = kit-t-a-n2NEG = run[PL]-IPF.PRES 2NEG = be-2-IPF.FUT-P

'You (PL) do not run.'

(9e) keerri inco

keer-ni in=kiy-o
run[SG]-IPF.PRES 3NEG=be-NEG
'He does not run.'

(9f) keerri inkittu

keer-niin = kit-t-urun[SG]-IPF.PRES3NEG = be-3F-NEG

'She does not run.'

(9g) hirri incan

hir-ni in=kiy-a-n

run[PL]-IPF.PRES 3NEG = be-IPF.FUT-P

'They do not run.'

In fast speech, the negative subject clitics of the existential verb are often encliticised to the main verb. This encliticisation deletes the glottal stop of the subject clitics. This in turn results in vowel coalescence for first and second persons: $\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}$ as shown in (10a). For third persons, the final vowel of the present imperfective suffix and the initial vowel of the negative subject clitic become a short vowel $(\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i})$ as illustrated in (10b).

(10a) kawwattasi? ?addawneek kittu

kawwatta-si?a?=daw-ni=a?kit-t-uterrace-DEF.M/F2.NEG-build-IPF.PRES=2NEGbe-2-NEG'You (SG) are not building the terrace.'

(10b) isax xarsa dammin co

ifa-? χ arfa dam-ni=in kiy-o 3SGM.PRO-NOM beans eat-IPF.PRES=3NEG be-NEG 'He does not eat beans.'

With overt objects, it is possible to have three negative subject clitics for first and second person: one occurs with the object as a prefix, the second one with the main verb and the third one with the existential verb. Compare (11a-b) with (11c-d).

(11a) aGGoyrasi? ?ammurri

a? = Goyra-si? a? = mur-n-i

2NEG = tree-DEF.M/F 2NEG = cut[SG]-NEG-PF

'You (SG) did not cut the tree.'

(11b) anxormoosi? ?anpiddu

 $an = \chi orma-osi?$ an = pidd-u

1NEG = ox-DEM.M/F 1NEG = buy[SG]-IPF.FUT.NEG

'I will not buy this ox.'

(11c) anxarsa ansamni anco

 $an = \chi ar \int a$ an = dam-ni an = kiy-o1NEG = beans 1NEG-eat-IPF.PRES 1NEG-be-NEG

'I do not eat beans.'

(11d) addillaa ?addonni akkittu

a?=dillaa a?=Got-ni a?=kit-t-u

2NEG = fields 2NEG-dig-IPF.PRES 2NEG = be-2-NEG

'You (SG) do not work on fields.'

The negative subject clitics that occur with overt objects are optional, (12).

(12a) Goyrasi? ?ammurri

Goyra-si? a? = mur-n-i

tree-DEF.M/F 2NEG = cut[SG]-NEG-PF

'You (SG) did not cut the tree.'

(12b) xormoosi? ?anpiddu

xorma-osi? an=pidd-u

ox-DEM.M/F 1NEG = buy[SG]-IPF.FUT.NEG

'I will not buy this ox.'

(12c) χarsa andamni anco

χarſa an=dam-ni an=kiy-o

beans 1NEG-eat-IPF.PRES 1NEG-be-NEG

'I do not eat beans.'

The verb roots in (13a) do not require the existential verb for negation in the present imperfective as shown in (13b-d). In 6.2.1.2, we also saw that these verb roots differ from other verb roots in that they do not attach the present imperfective aspect marker -ni.

(13a) **up-** 'know'

sah- 'be able to'

pah- 'resemble, look like'

heen- 'want'

(13b) xopoosini??anheenu

χοραα-osini? an = heen-u shoes-DEM.P 1NEG = want-NEG

'I do not want these shoes.'

(13c) isak kawwatta ɗawiya insahu

ifa-? kawwatta daw-iya 3SGM.PRO-NOM terrace build-VN

in = sah-u

3NEG-be.able.to-NEG

'He is not able to build a terrace.'

(13d) isina? ?oli a??uptan

ifina-? oli

2PL.PRO-NOM each.other

?a?=?up-t-a-n

2NEG = know-2-IPF.FUT-P

'You (PL) do not know each other.'

11.1.4. Negative dependent

Negative dependent in conditional clauses and temporal clauses is marked by negative subject clitics, as well as negative suffixes. Here are some examples:

(14a) oon ankalin kikawpan ɗeya

oo-n an=kal-in ke

if-N 1NEG = return.home-NEG 2SG.PRO.ACC

kapa-opa=in dey-a

near-to = 1 come-IPF.FUT

'If I do not return home, I will come to you.'

(14b) kanden urmalaapa anaanin kodaasi? ?inki? ?iyyada

kande-n urmalaa-opa an = aan-in if-N market-to 1NEG = go-NEG

koɗaa-si? in=ki-? iyyaɗ-a work-DEF.M/F 1=2SG.PRO.ACC-DAT help-IPF.FUT 'If I did not go to the market, I will help you with the work.'

(14c) an isa akkinu male ande?nu

a=in ifa akki-n-u REL=1 3SGM.PRO[OBJ] see-PL-NEG.IPF.FUT

male an = dey-n-u without 1NEG = come-PL-NEG.IPF.FUT 'Unless we see him, we shall not come (back).'

(14d) kandee punu de?ta ohtaisi? ?ifeenna? ?andaafo

kande=i punu dey-t-a ohta-si? if=3 even come-3F-IPF.FUT cloth-DEF.M/F

ifeenna-? an = daaf-o
3SGF.PRO[ACC]-DAT 1.NEG = give-NEG.IPF.FUT
'Even if she comes, I will not give her the cloth.'

(14e) awtan ankeerin, isa angaddaapu

awta-n an=keer-in ifa when = N 1NEG = run-PF 3SGM.PRO[ACC]

an = Gaddaap-u

1NEG = catch.up.with-NEG

'When I do not run, I don't catch up with him.'

For additional examples and details, see conditional clauses in Section 12.1.1 and temporal clauses in Section 12.1.2.

11.1.5. Prohibitives with opa

Prohibition is expressed by opa (or its short form o) and negative subject clitics on the existential verb. The sentences in (15a and 16a) are interrogatives and those in (15b and 16b) are responses expressing prohibition. The responses may occur with inna? 'no' as in (16c).

(15a) tikaay supa i?annee

tika-ayfu-opa i=an-ni-ehouse-POSS.M/F.3PL-to 3=go-IPF.PRES-Q'Is it possible to go to their house?'

(15b) opa annin can

opa ?an-ni=in kiy-a-n
PROH go-IPF.PRES=3.NEG be-IPF.PRES-P
'It is forbidden to go (in).'

(16a) kupalaata idammee

(16b) opa ɗammin can

opa dam-ni=in kiy-a-n
PROH eat-IPF.PRES=3.NEG be-IPF.PRES-P
'It is forbidden to eat (rabbit).'

(16c) inna? ?opa ɗammin can

*inna? opa dam-ni=in*no PROH eat-IPF.PRES=3.NEG

kiy-a-n be-IPF.PRES-P 'No! It is forbidden to eat (rabbit).'

11.1.6. Negative imperative

As discussed in Section 6.4.1, the affirmative imperative verb is marked by -i when the addressee is singular and by -a when it is plural, but it is not marked with subject clitics. Negative imperatives, on the other hand, have negative subject clitics. In addition, the negative imperative verb is marked by the suffix -an, for both singular and plural addressee. Consider the following examples:

(17a) in = aan-an 2NEG = go-NEG.IMP.SG/PL '(You (SG/PL)) Do not go!'

(17b) Goyraasi? ?immuran

Goyra-asi? i?=mur-an tree-DEM.M/F 2NEG = cut[SG]-NEG.IPM '(You (SG/PL)) Do not cut the tree!'

11.1.7. Negative optatives

Negative optative is marked on the verb by the negative subject clitic in = and the negative suffix -in on the verb. These morphemes do not distinguish number; both third person singular and plural are marked by these morphemes, as illustrated in (18a and 18b). Number is sometimes expressed in the lexical root if the root is inherently plural, as is the case in (18b).

- (18a) in=?aan-in
 3NEG=go-NEG.OPT
 'Let him/her/them not go.'
- (18b) in = hir-in

 3NEG = run[PL]-NEG.OPT

 'Let them not run.'

11.1.8. Negation in adjectival clauses

Negation in adjectival clauses requires an adjectival root and the existential verb. Negative subject clitics occur with the adjectival root for first and second persons but not for third person subject. Likewise, negative suffixes do not occur with the adjectival root for all persons. The existential verb in adjectival clauses contains negative subject clitics for all persons. Moreover, except for second person plural and third person plural, the remaining persons occur with negative suffixes on the existential verb. (See Section 11.1.3, where similar restrictions are observed in non-adjectival lexical verbs). The negative suffixes are -u/o. Plural subjects require the reduplication of the adjectival root's initial $C_1V(C_1)$ for number agreement. Subject personal pronouns are optional. The following are illustrative examples:

- (19a) anderi anco

 an = der-i

 1NEG = be.tall-PF

 'I am not tall.'

 an = kiy-o

 1NEG = be-NEG
- (19b) addedderi akkittan

 a?=ded-der-i

 2NEG=PL-be.tall-PF

 'You (PL) are not tall.'

 a?=kit-t-a-n

 2NEG=be-2-IPF.FUT-P
- (19c) **der-i** in = kit-t-u be.tall-PF 3NEG = be-3F-NEG 'She is not tall.'

Inchoative adjectival clauses contain the suffix -aad. Furthermore, all persons have negative subject clitics. Except for second person plural and third person

plural, the remaining persons occur with a negative suffix on the adjectival root. In (20a-b) are sentential examples with the adjectival root der- 'be tall, long'. In (20c), I give the surface form of the complete paradigm.

anderaadu (20a)

an = der-aad-u

1NEG = be.tall-INCH-NEG.IPF.FUT

'I will not become tall.'

addedderaattan (20b)

a? = ded-der-aad-t-a-n

2NEG = PL-be.tall-INCH-2-IPF.FUT-P

'You (PL) will become tall.'

'I will not become tall.' (20c)anderaadu

andedderaannu 'We will not become tall.'

'You (SG) will not become tall.' adderaattu addedderaattan 'You (PL) will become tall.' inderaadu 'He will become tall.' inderaattu 'She will not become tall.'

indedderaadan 'They will not become tall.'

11.2. Negation in nominal clauses

Negation in nominal clauses is marked by the clause final clitic -nnin. The following are illustrative examples:

(21a) senit tuuyyawwaannimma xarxarayaa

tuuyyawwaa-nnin-ma seni? χarχarayaa DEM.PL pigs-NEG-but warthogs

'These are not pigs, but warthogs.'

an = akim-itta-nnin< hakim 'physician' Amh.> (21b)

1 = physician-AGENT.SGM-NEG

'I am not a physician.'

In the future imperfective, nominal clauses require the verb root kodd- 'become' to which negative subject clitics and a negative suffix are added. Here are some examples:

(22a)akim-itta an = kodd-u

physician-AGENT.SGM 1NEG = become-IPF.FUT.NEG

'I will not become a physician.'

- (22b) akim-itteeta in=kodd-u
 physician-AGENT.SGM 1NEG=become-IPF.FUT.NEG
 'He will not become a physician.'
- (22c) akim-iyyaa in=kodd-a-n physician-AGENT.PL 3NEG=become-IPF.FUT-P 'They will not become physicians.'

Possessive nominal clauses also add the clitic -nnin to express negation. The following are illustrative examples:

(23a) init tikaawunnin

ini? tika-aawu-nninDEM.M/F house-1SG.POSS.M/F-NEG'This is not my house.'

(23b) dila-adi-nnin

field-3SG.POSS-NEG 'It is not his/her field.'

The verb root **kid**- 'say' is sometimes used with object form of pronouns in negative clauses. Negative subject clitics as well as the negative suffix -n occur with this verb root. In the following examples, (24a) is a context for the reply in (24b).

(24a) kee Goyrasim muraye

ke-é Goyra-si? mur-ay-e
2PRO.ACC-CLF tree-DEF.M/F cut[SG]-PF[3M]-Q
'Is it you (SG) who cut the tree?'

(24b) anan ki?ni

ana = in kid-n-i 1SG.PRO.ACC = 3NEG say-NEG-PF 'Not me.'

(lit.: 'He/she/they did not say me.')

11.3. Lexical negation

There are certain verb roots that inherently have a negative meaning. For instance, the verb root diif- 'stop' carries a negative reading in relative clauses, as shown in (25)

nama a de?naá dii∫u iχorada
 nama a dey-naá dii∫-u i=χorad-a
 person REL come-VN stop-IPF.FUT.DP 3 = be.fined-IPF.FUT

'A person who stops coming will be fined.'

The other lexical item with a negative meaning is male 'without', which stands in lexical contrast with the word olle 'with'. This is shown below.

(26a) isa olleen aana

ifa olle = in an-a
3SGM.PRO[ACC] with = 1 go-IPF.FUT
'I will go with him.'

(26b) isa maleen aana

ifa male = in an-a
3SGM.PRO[ACC] without = 1 go-IPF.FUT
'I will go without him.'

Each of the above clauses may occur with negative markers rendering the opposite meaning.

(27a) isa olle anaanu

ifa olle an = aan-u
3SGM.PRO[ACC] with 1NEG = go-NEG.IPF.FUT
'I will not go with him.'

(27b) isa male anaanu

ifa male an = aan-u
3SGM.PRO[ACC] without 1NEG = go-NEG.IPF.FUT
'I will not go without him.'

Still another lexical item with a negative meaning is malaal- 'be unable to'. The following is an illustrative example:

(28) isak keerinta imalaalay

ifa-? keer-inta i=malaal-ay 3SGM.PRO-NOM run[SG]-VN 3=be.unable.to-PF 'He was unable to run.'

11.4. Movement of subject clitics and emphatic negation

Unlike their affirmative counterparts, negative subject clitics cannot be separated from the verb and do not occur with overt subjects. This is illustrated by the ungrammatical forms in (29).

(29a) *atteek keraa Gapni

atti=a? keraa Gap-n-i 2SG.PRO=2NEG thief catch-NEG-PF (intended: 'You (SG) did not catch thief.')

(29b) *anten mottooGaa akkini anco

anti-?=an mottooGaa akki-ni 1SG.PRO-NOM=1NEG car see-IPF.PRES

an = key-o
1NEG = be-NEG
(intended: 'I do not see a car.')

(28c) *kutaasin karraa Gapu

kuta-asi = in karraa dog-DEF.M/F = 3NEG squirrel

Gap-u catch-IPF.FUT.NEG (intended: 'The dog will not catch a squirrel.')

Negation is emphasised by using the lexeme apare 'somewhere'. It renders a meaning equivalent to the English adverb 'never'. Here are some examples:

(30a) kussitu? ?apare toxupa inanní

kussitto-P ?apare toxa-opa in=an-n-P kussitto-P NOM somewhere Toxa-to P 3NEG=go-NEG-PF 'Kussitto has never been to Toxa.'

(30b) anti? ?apare koommaytipa an?anní

anti-? apare koommayte-opa an = an-n- i1SG.PRO-NOM somewhere koommayte-to 1NEG = go-NEG-PF 'I have never been to Koommayte.'

(30c) isa? ?apare dila inkanní

ifa-1 apare dila in=kat-n-1
3SGM.PRO-NOM somewhere field 3NEG=sell-NEG-PF
'He never sold a field.'

(30d) isonna? ?apare incán

ifoonna-? apare in=kiy-á-n
3PL.PRO-NOM somewhere 3NEG = be-IPF.FUT-NEG
'They are nowhere.'