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## 9. Basic syntax

This chapter presents word order in noun phrases and simple sentences. It also treats verbless sentences and contains information on both comparatives and equative sentences. The comparative sentences are first discussed, followed by the discussion about equatives. Finally, we examine relative clauses.

### 9.1. Word order

### 9.1.1. Word order in noun phrases

A noun phrase may consist of just a noun. The following are illustrative examples:
(1a) kumayta
stick
'a stick'
(1b) tapayta
rat
'a rat'
(1c) iskatta
women
'women'
(1d) Gimayaa
old.men
'old men'

A noun phrase may consist of a head noun and a definite suffix as shown in (2).
(2a) kuta-si?
dog-DEF.M/F
'the dog'
(2b) orra-si?
people-DEF.M/F
'the people'
(2c) kaharraa-sini?
sheep-DEF.P
'the sheep'

```
Goraa-sini?
trees-DEF.P
    'the trees'
```

A noun phrase can also be formed from a noun and a demonstrative suffix. For instance, the demonstrative suffix -osi? occurs with the noun tika 'house' in (3a), and the demonstrative suffix -osini? occurs with the noun dillaa 'fields' in (3b).
(3a) tikoosi?
tika-osi?
house-DEM.M/F
'this house'
(3b) dilloosini?
dillaa-osini?
fields-DEM.P
'these fields'

A noun phrase may contain a head noun with possessive suffixes, as shown in (4).
(4a) tika-awu
house-1SG.POSS.M/F
'my house'
(4b) fillaa-ssu
comb-3PL.POSS.P
'their comb'
(4c) $\chi$ ormadaassin
oxen-2PL.POSS.P
'your oxen'
Indefinite head nouns modified by attributive adjectives contain a relative particle a, as in (5a-b). Such noun phrases may be followed by a quantifier, as in (5c-d).
(5a) nama a der-a
person REL be.tall-SG
'a tall person'
(lit.: 'a person who is tall')

| (5b) | hellaa a ded-der-aa? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | children REL PL-be.tall-P |  |  |  |  |
|  | 'tall children' |  |  |  |  |
|  | (lit.: 'children who are tall') |  |  |  |  |
| (5c) | Goyra a der-a tokka |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 'a tall tree' |  |  |  |  |
|  | (lit.: 'a tree which is tall') |  |  |  |  |
| (5d) | Goraa a dedderaal lakki |  |  |  |  |
|  | Goraa | $a$ | ded-de |  | lakki |
|  | trees | REL | PL-be. |  | two |
|  | 'two tall tre | rees' |  |  |  |
|  | (lit.: 'two | rees wh | hich are t |  |  |

In noun phrases composed of a head noun and a quantifier, the word order is head noun followed by quantifiers. When numerals higher than one are used as quantifiers, singulative nouns are used in the noun phrases, as in (6a-b). In noun phrases, plurative nouns may occur with numerals higher than one as in ( $6 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ).
(6a) tika lakki
house two
'two houses'
(6b) nama ken
person five
'five people'
(6c) $\chi$ orma-daa leh
ox-PL six
'six oxen'
(6d) kahar-raa afur
sheep-PL four
'four sheep'
The use of the singulative noun nama 'person' in the context of noun phrases quantified with numerals higher than one is special in that its suppletive plural form orra 'persons, people' is never used with numeral quantifiers, as the ungrammaticality of (7b) illustrates.
(7a) nama ken=in akk-ay
person five $=1$ see-PF[3M]
'I saw five people.'
(7) *orra ken=in akk-ay
people five $=1$ see-PF[3M]
(intended: 'I saw five people.')
Interestingly, both nama 'person' and orra 'persons, people' may occur with such quantifiers as lamayta 'some. M' as shown in (8).
(8a) nama lamaytaa aytulaa ca
nama lamayta $=i$ ayetulaa kiy-a
person some. $\mathrm{M}=3$ out.there be-IPF.FUT
'There are some people out there.'
(8b) orra lamaytaa aytulaa ca
orra lamayta $=i$ aye-tulaa kiy-a
persons some.M=3 out.there be-IPF.FUT
'There are some people out there.'
The quantifier piisa 'all' may occur together with numerals in noun phrases. The order is that the numeral precedes the quantifier. Here is an example:
(9) antih hellaasinik ken piisan akkay

| anti-? | hellaa-sini? | ken |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.PRO-NOM | children-DEF.P | five |

piisa $=$ in $\quad$ akk-ay
all $=1 \quad$ see-PF[3M]
'I saw all five children.'

### 9.1.2. Word order in simple sentences

In simple sentences with intransitive verbs and overt subjects, the word order is that the subject precedes the verb as in (10a-b). In simple sentences with overt subject and overt object, the word order is subject-object-verb as in (10c-d).
(10a) ifeenna $\chi \chi$ ala ide?ti
ifeenna-? $\quad$ zala $i=$ dey-t-i

3SGF.PRO-NOM
yesterday $3=$ come $-3 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{PF}$
'She came yesterday.'
(10b) inu? ?inhirra
inu-? in=hir-n-a
1PL.PRO-NOM $\quad 1=$ run[PL]-1PL-IPF.FUT
'We will run.'
(10c) ifać Goyrasi? Rimuray

| ifa-? | Goyra-sip <br> 3SGM.PRO-NOM | $i=$ mur-ay <br> tree-DEF.M/F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'H | $=$ cut[SG]-PF[3M] |  |

'He cut the tree.'
(10d) attil lahasi? ?ikkatti

| atti-? | laha-si? | $i \boldsymbol{i}=$ kat $-t$ - $\boldsymbol{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SG.PRO-NOM | ram-DEF.M/F | $1=$ sell-2-PF |

'You (SG) sold the ram.'
The above simple sentences may occur without the overt subjects, in which case the subjects are understood from the type of the subject clitic and the gender agreement marker on the verb. The sentences in (10a) and (10c) are repeated below as (11a) and (11b) without the subject noun.
(11a) Xala ide?ti
रala $\quad i=$ dey-t-i
yesterday $\quad 3=$ come- $3 F-P F$
'She came yesterday.'
(11b) Goyrasi? Rimuray
Goyra-si? $\quad i=m u r-a y$
tree-DEF.M/F $\quad 3=\operatorname{cut}[S G]-P F[3 M]$
'He cut the tree.'
Below, I show different word orders that are possible, without discussing the meaning differences. For example, the SV word order in (10a), repeated here as (12a), has the VS order in (12b). The examples in (12c-f) have the same constituents but differ in the order of those constituents: (12c) has SOV word order, (12d) has SVO word order, (12e) has VSO word order, and (12f) has OVS word order. VOS and OSV word orders are also possible, though I do not show them here. Further research is needed to determine the functional differences of these word order variants.
(12a) ifeennax Xala ideyti

| ifeenna-P | $\chi$ ala | $i=d e y-t-i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SGF.PRO-NOM | yesterday | $3=$ come-3F-PF |
| 'She |  |  |

(12b) ide?ti ifeennax $\chi$ ala
$i=$ dey-t-i $\quad$ ifeenna- $\quad$ Xala
$3=$ come-3F-PF 3SGF.PRO-NOM yesterday
'She came.'
(12c) ifać Goyrasi? Rimuray
ifa-? Goyra-si? $\quad i=m u r-a y$
3SGM.PRO-NOM tree-DEF.M/F $3=\operatorname{cut}[S G]-\mathrm{PF}[3 \mathrm{M}]$
'He cut the tree.'
(12d) ifa? Pimuray Goyrasi?
ifa? $i=$ mur-ay Goyrasi?

3SGM.PRO-NOM $3=\operatorname{cut}[S G]-P F[3 M] \quad$ tree-DEF.M/F
'He cut the tree.'
(12e) imuray ifag Goyrasi?

| $i=$ mur-ay | ifa-? | Goyra-si? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3=$ cut[SG]-PF[3M] | 3SGM.PRO-NOM | tree-DEF.M/F |

'He cut the tree.'
(12f) Goyrasi? Rimuray Rifa?
Goyra-sip imur-ay ifa-?
tree-DEF.M/F $3=\operatorname{cut[SG]-PF[3M]~3SGM.PRO-NOM~}$
'He cut the tree.'
Simple sentences may occur with temporal adverbs such as $\chi$ ala 'yesterday' and parre 'tomorrow'. Such temporal adverbs are not restricted in their position. They may occur sentence initially as in (13a), between the subject and object as in (13b), between the object and the verb as in (13c) or sentence final as in (13d).
(13a) $\quad \chi$ ala Gimaytasik karmaa iPiffay
रala Gimayta-si? karmaa $i=i \int f-a y$
yesterday old.man-DEF.M/F lion $3=$ kill-PF[3M]
'Yesterday the old man killed a lion.'
(13b) Gimaytasi $\chi$ रala karmaa iPi $\iint$ ay
Gimayta-si? $\quad$ रala karmaa $i=i \int f-a y$
old.man-DEF.M/F yesterday lion $3=$ kill-PF[3M]
'Yesterday the old man killed a lion.'
(13c) Gimaytasi $\chi$ karmaa $\quad$ ala iPi $\iint a y$
Gimayta-si? karmaa $\quad$ रala $\quad i=i \int f-a y$
old.man-DEF.M/F lion yesterday $3=$ kill-PF[3M]
'The old man killed a lion yesterday.'
(13d)
Gimaytasi $\chi$ karmaa iPiffay $\chi$ ala Gimayta-si? karmaa $i=$ Riff-ay $\quad$ रala old.man-DEF.M/F lion $3=$ kill-PF[3M] yesterday
'The old man killed a lion yesterday.'

### 9.2. Verbless sentences

The predicate of a sentence can be a verb, noun, adjective or adverb. Verbless sentences may contain nouns that express a profession as in (14a) or a place of origin as in (14b-c).
(14a) antiP PanPakimitta
anti-? an=akim-itta
1SG.PRO-NOM $\quad 1=$ treat.patient-3SGM
'I am a physician.'
(14b) namasif firaatitta
nama-si? firaat-itta
man-DEF.M/F Dirashe-3SGM
'The man is a Dirafitta.'
(14c) ifeena? Rakimtteeta
ifeena-? akim-tteeta
3SGF.PRO-NOM treat.patient-3SGF
'She is a physician.'
(14d) ifina? RaRRakimiyyaa
ifina- $\quad a ?=$ akim-iyyaa
2PL.PRO-NOM $\quad 2=$ treat.patient-P
'(You (SG)) are physicians.'
(14e) orroosik kawwaadaa
orra-osi? kawwaadaa
people-DEM.M/F Gawwada
'These people are Gawwada.'
Verbless sentences may also be formed from temporal adverbs. The nominative suffix $-?$ is added to names of the days of the week. Here are some examples:
(15a) awwi palawwa
today Saturday
'Today is Saturday.'
(15b) xala lankayya
yesterday Tuesday
'Yesterday was Tuesday.'
(15c) palawwa? Rawwi
palawwa-? awwi
Saturday-NOM today
'Today is Saturday.'
Temporal adverbs and question words such as ayfa 'where?' and aytamu 'when?' also form verbless sentences, as shown in (16).
(16a) awwi ayfa
today where
'What is the day today?'
(lit.: Where is today?)
(16b) palawwa? ?aytamu
palawwa-? aytamu
Saturday-NOM when
'When is Saturday?'
Verbless sentences can also be formed from numerals with possessor nouns, as shown below.
(17a) hellaa-ssu lakki
children-3PL.POSS.P two
'They have two children.'
(lit.: 'Their children are two.')
(17b) dillaa-yyu sessa
fields-1SG.POSS.P three
'I have three fields.'
(lit.: ‘My fields are three.')
Furthermore, verbless sentences may be formed from demonstrative pronouns and other nominals, as illustrated in (18).
(18a) sedi tika-awu
this house-1SG.POSS.M/F
'This is my house.'
(18b) seni pinaanaa
these wild.animals
'These are wild animals.'

### 9.3. Comparative and equative sentences

A comparative construction is expressed by the postposition Gara 'on' and the verb root Gap- 'to have'. Gara Gap- is a phrase used for 'to exceed'. The following are illustrative examples.
(19a) Apittud derumaak Kappooli Gara igapa

| Apittu-? | der-umaa-? | Kappooli |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Apittu-NOM | be.tall-ABS-DAT | Kappooli |

Gara $\quad i=$ Gap-a
on $\quad 3=$ exceed-IPF.FUT
'Apittu is taller than Kappoole.'
(lit.: ‘Apitto exceeds Kappoole for tallness.')
(19b) lahasik kappumaaf Golpasig Gara ifapa

| laha-sik | kapp-umaa-? | Golpa-si? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ram-DEF.M/F | be.fat-ABS-DAT | he-goat-DEF.M/F |

Gara $\quad i=$ Gap $-a$
on $\quad 3=$ exceed-IPF.FUT
'The ram is fatter than the he-goat.'
(lit.: The ram exceeds the he-goat for fatness.)
Equative sentences are expressed by a construction in which the equated element is the subject, the entity to which it is equated receives the postposition mina? 'in front of (facing)' and the value of comparison is expressed in a predicative adjective or a (derived) abstract noun plus the dative and a verb 'to be'. The equated element may be a pronoun (20a), an independent possessive pronoun (20b) or a noun preceded by a genitive (20c).
(20a) inantasi? Rifa mina?e derumaak kitta
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { inanta-si? } & \text { Rifa } & \text { minaP=i } & \text { der-umaa- } \text { ? } \\ \text { girl-DEF.M/F } & \text { he } & \text { in.front.of=3 } & \text { be.tall-ABS-DAT }\end{array}$

## kiy-t-a

be-3F-IPF.FUT
'The girl is as tall as he is.'
(20b) inantasi $\chi$ रayya mina?e deri
inanta-si? $\quad$ дayya mina? $=i \quad$ der-i
girl-DEF.M/F mine in.front.of $=3$ be.tall-PF
'The girl is as tall as I am.'
(20c) simmintoosi? Pa dakaam mina?ee kokkooki

| simmintoota-asi? | Pa | dakáa- $\boldsymbol{P}$ | mina $2=\boldsymbol{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cement-DEM.SG | GEN | stone-GEN | in.front.of=3 |

kokkook-i
be.strong-PF
'This (mixed) cement is as strong as stone.'
A noun may precede the genitive particle which, in turn, is followed by a possessive pronoun as in (21).
(21) inantaasi? pa $\chi$ дyya mina?e deri

| inanta-asi? | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | रayya | mina? $=\boldsymbol{i}$ | der- $\boldsymbol{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| girl-DEM.SG | GEN | mine | in.front.of $=3$ | be.tall-PF |

'The girl is as tall as I am.'

### 9.4. Relative clauses

Relative clauses follow their head noun. Except for a definite head noun in subject relative clauses, the head noun is marked by the relative particle Ra. In subject relative clauses in which the head noun is definite, there are no subject clitics. The head noun is never represented in the relative clause by a pronoun. Moreover, there is no marking of the end of the relative clause. Special verb forms are used in relative clauses. These special forms mark gender and/or number and vary with respect to aspect. For example, in the present imperfective, first person singular and third person singular masculine add -yo; plurals of all persons and single nouns with plural gender value add -yaa?; second person singular, third person singular feminine and nouns that show third feminine gender agreement marker on the verb add -ttu. These forms are added after the present imperfective suffix -ni. The special forms are followed by the cleft construction marker (see also Section 3.5). The following are illustrative examples:
ana a urmalaapa anniyoó ifa akkay
ana
1SG.PRO.ACC

| an-ni-yo-ó | ifa |
| :--- | :--- |
| go-IPF.PRES-1SG/3SGM-CLF | 3SGM.PRO.ACC |

## akk-ay

see-PF[3M]
'It's me who was going to the market who saw him.'
(22b) ifoonna a urmalaapa anniyaa?é ifa akkay ifoonna a urmalaa-opa 2PL.PRO.ACC REL market-to
an-ni-yaar-é ifa
go-IPF.PRES-P-CLF 3SGM.PRO.ACC
akk-ay
see-PF[3M]
'It's you (PL) who went to the market and saw him.'
(22c) ifeenna a urmalaapa annittoó ifa akkay
ifeenna a urmalaa-opa
3SGF.PRO.ACC REL market-to
an-ni-ttu-ó ifa akk-ay
go-IPF.PRES-P-CLF 3SGM.PRO.ACC see-PF[3M]
'It's her who went to the market and saw him.'

It is also common for first person singular to add -ttu in the present imperfective.

In the future imperfective, except second person plural and third person plural, the remaining persons replace the future imperfective marker -a with -u. The second person plural, the third person plural and single reference nouns with plural gender value add -a ? to the future imperfective suffix. Here are some examples:
(23a) anti? Rinantasi? Rurmalaapa antun upa

| anti-? | Pinanta-si? | urmalaa-opa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.PRO-NOM | girl-DEF.M/F | market-to |

an-t-u=in up-a
go-3F-1SG/1PL/2SG/3SGM/3SGF = 1 know-IPF.FUT
'I know the girl who will go to the market.'
(23b) antit tuparraasini? ?urmalaapa anaa? ?inupa
anti-? tuparraa-sini? urmalaa-opa

1SG.PRO-NOM girl-DEF.M/F market-to
$a n-a a ? \quad i n=u p-a$
go-P $\quad 1=$ know-IPF.FUT
'I know the girls who will go to the market.'

In the perfective, except the second person singular and third person singular feminine, the remaining persons have the third person masculine perfective suffix -ay. All plural persons add -ee? after -ay. The second person singular and third person singular feminine have the perfective marker -i. The following are demonstrative examples.
(24a) hellaasini $\chi$ रala hirayee?in akkay

| hellaa-sini? | रala | hir-ay-ee $\boldsymbol{P}=$ in |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| children-DEF.P | yesterday | run[PL]-PF[3M]-P=1 |

akk-ay
see-PF[3M]
'I saw the children who ran yesterday.'
(24b) innaasini $\chi \chi$ ala deyayee?in akkay
innaa-sini? đala dey-ay-ee?=in
children-DEF.P yesterday come-PF[3M]-P=1
akk-ay
see-PF[3M]
'I saw the child who came yesterday.'
(24c) inanta a de?ti ideri
inanta $a \quad$ dey-t-i $\quad i=d e r-i$
girl REL come-3F-PF $3=$ be.tall-PF
'The girl who came is tall.'
In the subsequent subsections, I discuss word order in relative clauses, subject relative clauses, non-subject relative clauses and headless relative clauses.

### 9.4.1.Word order in relative clauses

In relative clauses with indefinite antecedent, the word order is that the head noun is followed by the relative particle Pa . The relative particle is followed by the object, which, in turn, is followed by the verb as in (25a). With definite subjects, the head noun is followed by the object, which is, in turn, followed by the verb as in (25b). Note that despite the English translation in (25a), the head noun is indefinite.

| nama | a | sawwi | Gaarfaar-ay | $i=$ dey-ay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| person | REL | Sawwe | help-PF[3M] | $3=$ come-PF[3F] |

'The person who helped Sawwe came.'

| nama-si? | sawwi | GaarGaar-ay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| person-DEF.M/F | Sawwe | help-PF[3M] |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{i}=\text { dey-ay } \\
& 3=\text { come-PF[3M] } \\
& \text { 'The person who helped Sawwe came.' }
\end{aligned}
$$

In subject relative clauses, the word order is strict. For example, any reordering of the contituents of the example in (25a) yields unacceptable sentences, as in (26): (26a) is unacceptable because the relative particle occurs clause-initially. Similarly, sentence (26b) is unacceptable because the relative particle comes after the object noun sawwe (proper name); (26c) is unacceptable since the verb is moved from its clause-final position; (26d) is unacceptable because the object of the relative clause precedes the definite head noun.
(26a) $*_{a}$ nama sawwe Gaarfaar-ay $i=d e y-a y$ REL person Sawwe help-PF[3M] 3 = come-PF[3M] (intended: 'The person who helped Sawwe came.')
(26b) *nama sawwe a Gaarfaar-ay $i=$ dey-ay person Sawwe REL help-PF[3M $3=$ come-PF[3M] (intended: 'The person who helped Sawwe came.')
(26c) *a Gaarfaar-ay nama sawwe $i=$ dey-ay REL help-PF[3M] person Sawwe $3=$ come-PF[3M] (intended: 'The person who helped Sawwe came.')
(26d) *sawwe namasic Gaarfaaray ideyay

| ${ }^{*}$ sawwe | nama-si? | GaarGaar-ay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sawwe | person-DEF.M/F | help-PF[3M] |

$i=d e y-a y$
3 = come-PF[3M]
(intended: 'The person who helped sawwe came.')
In object relative clauses, the reordering of the subject and object is needed. In (27a), we have a subject relative clause but an object relative clause in (27b).
(27a) hellaasinig Golpasi? Riffayee? RigagGapamin
hellaa-sini? Golpa-si?
children-DEF.P he-goat-DEF.M/F
?iff-ay-ee? $\quad i=$ Ga $\boldsymbol{G}^{\text {- Gap }}$-am-i-n
kill-PF[3M]-P $3=$ PL-catch-PAS-PF-P
'The children who killed the he-goat were caught.'
(27b) Golpaytasee a hellaasini? Riffin ifalamay

| Golpayta-si=i <br> he-goat-DEF.M/F $=3$ | Ra | REL | hellaa-sini? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| children-DEF.P |  |  |  |$\quad$| Piff-i- $n$ |
| :--- |
| kill-PF-P |

$i=$ Gal-am-ay
3 = slaughter-PAS-PF[3M]
'The he-goat that the children killed was slaughtered.'

### 9.4.2. Subject relative clauses

In subject relative clauses, the head noun is the subject of the relative clause. Subject relative clauses can be headed by a definite head noun (28a-b) or an indefinite head noun ( $28 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ).
(28a) filaasinip patayee? ?iteyadin
filaa-sini? pat-ay-ee?
comb-DEF.P be.lost-PF[3M]-P
$i=$ teyad $-i-n$
3 = find.MID-PF-P
'The comb that went missing was found.'
(28b) orrasiG foraa Guuray ideyay
orra-si? Goraa Guur-ay
people-DEF.M/F trees cut[PL]-PF[3M]
$i=d e y-a y$
$3=$ come- $\mathrm{PF}[3 \mathrm{M}$ ]
'The people who cut trees came.'
(28c) tika a pald-a? $\mathrm{i}=$ pagaar- i
house REL be.wide-M/F $3=$ be.good-PF
'A house that is wide is good.'
(28d) orra a Goraa Guur-ay $i=$ dey-ay
people REL trees cut[PL]-PF[3M] $3=$ come $-\mathrm{PF}[3 \mathrm{M}]$
'People who cut trees came.'

### 9.4.3. Non-subject relative clauses

In non-subject relative clauses, the head noun is not the subject of the clause. In such relative clauses, the object of the verb can be relativised. In (29) the object lokkatta 'cow' is relativised as a definite object head noun (29a) and as an indefinite head noun in (29b).
(29a) anti? Rokkattasik katamayin akkay

| anti-? | okkatta-siP | kat-am-ay=in | akk-ay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.PRO-NOM | cow-DEF.M/F | sell-PAS-PF[3M]=1 | see-[3M] |

'I saw the cow that was sold.'
(29b) anti? Pokkatta a katamayin akkay

| anti-P | okkatta | a | kat-am-ay=in |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG.PRO-NOM | cow | REL | sell-PAS-PF[3M]=1 |

akk-ay
see-[3M]
'I saw a cow that was sold.'

In non-subject relative clauses, the object of the dative can also be relativised. In (30a), object noun in the dative phrase konfa 'shorts' is relativised. In (30b), (irrespective of the English translation) the indefinite dative object ohta 'blanket' is relativised.
(30a) konfaseen kappoolip pidday ikeray

| konfa-si? | $a=$ in | kappoole-? <br> shorts-DEF.M/F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| REL $=1$ | kappoole-DAT |  |

'The shorts that I bought for Kappoole got worn out.'
(30b) ohta ak kantoolid daassi Pbaldi
ohta $\quad a=i ? \quad$ kantoole-? daaf-t $-i \quad i=$ bald $-i$
blanket $\mathrm{REL}=2$ kantoole-DAT give-2-PF $3=$ be.wide-PF
'The blanket that you (SG) gave to Kantoole was wide.'
In non-subject clauses, the object of the postposition can be relativised, as in (31).
(31) Goyraseen Garaa luukkata pohay imuramay

Goyra-siP=in Garaa luukkata
tree-DEF.M/F = 1 on fruit
poh-ay $\quad i=$ mur-am-ay
harvest-PF[3M] $3=$ cut[SG]-PAS-PF[3M]
'The tree that I picked the fruits from was cut.'

### 9.4.4.Headless relative clauses

Headless relative clauses are characterised by not having overt head nouns. This is shown in the following examples:
(32a) an ifa akkinu male ande?nu
$a=$ in ifa akki-n-u
$\mathrm{REL}=1$ 3SGM.PRO[ACC]
see-1PL-NEG.IPF.FUT
male an=dey-n-u
without 1 NEG $=$ come-1PL-NEG.IPF.FUT
'Unless we see him, we shall not come (back).'
(32b) aa inun akkin male indeyan
$a=i \quad i n u=i n \quad a k k-n$
$\mathrm{REL}=3 \quad$ 1PL.PRO[ACC] $=3 \mathrm{NEG}$ see- P
male in=dey-a-n
without 3 NEG = come-IPF.FUT-P
'Unless they see us, they will not come (back).'

