Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/20681 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Orkaydo, Ongaye Oda Title: A grammar of Konso Issue Date: 2013-03-28

4. Nouns

This chapter is about nominal morphology. Here, I describe gender, number, plurality in adjectives, semantic gender distinction, diminutive, indefinite reference and indefinite—specific morphemes and definite reference. I also deal with demonstrative suffixes, numerals, nominal derivation, case and compounding.

4.1. Gender

4.1.1. Gender of nouns

There are three interacting notions with regard to gender in nouns. First, we have the notion of plural gender versus non-plural (masculine and feminine) gender; secondly, we have the notion of semantic plurality; and thirdly, plurative versus singulative. The distinction plural gender versus non-plural masculine and feminine gender is based on the concord between a noun in the subject function and the verb of the same sentence. As will be shown later, the distinction of gender agreement markers on the verb is realised only when nouns serve as non-focused subjects. With regard to semantic plurality, we see that plural gender does imply semantic plurality in some cases but not in all, and that the non-plural genders can have plural interpretations. To avoid the confusion that might arise from the use of terms, I use the term 'plural' in the context of agreement on the verb whether the subject is numerically single or multiple. I also use the terms "singulative" and "plurative" for derived forms of nouns, and "base" for the form on which the derivation (singulative or plurative) is based. Moreover, I use the terms "single" and (following Hayward (1981)) "multiple" for the number values of nouns, and the terms, "masculine", "feminine" and "plural" for the values of gender.

Like other Cushitic languages, Konso shows gender, not number, agreement in the subject inflection on the verb. And gender has the values M(asculine), F(eminine) and P(lural), as is not uncommon for Cushitic languages. The third value for gender agreement is P(lural) because that is the ending on the verb. I use the abbreviation M/F in those gender agreement markers that do not distinguish between M and F. The head noun may be either M or F.

Thus, according to gender agreement on the verb, we have nouns that trigger the same agreement as the third person male subject (marked by the suffix -ay), those that trigger the same agreement as the third person female subject (marked by suffix -t) and those that trigger the same agreement as the third person plural subject (marked by the suffix -n).

Most nouns which are semantically specified for sex as female trigger the third person feminine gender agreement marker -t on the verb as shown in (1):

- (1a) inantasi? ?ide?ti inanta-si? i = dey-t-igirl-DEF.M/F 3 = come-3F-PF'The girl came.'
- (1b) talteetasi? ?ipi?ti talteeta-si? i=pi?-t-i she-goat-DEF.M/F 3=fall-3F-PF 'The she-goat fell.'

Certain nouns that are semantically female have masculine gender agreement. Her is an example:

- (2a) okkattasi? ?ipi?ay
 okkatta-si? i=pi?-ay
 cow-DEF.M/F 3 = fall-PF[3M]
 'The cow fell.'
- (2b) arpasi? ?idalay arpa-si? i = dal-ay elephant-DEF.M/F 3 =give.birth-PF[3M] "The elephant gave birth."

Nouns that are semantically specified for sex as male trigger third person masculine gender agreement on the verb as in (3).

- (3a) χ ormasi? ?ipatay χ orma-si? i = pat-ay ox-DEF.M/F 3 = get.lost-PF[3M] 'The ox got lost.'
- (3b) hamiyaasi? ?ideyay hamiyaa-si? i = dey-ay boy-DEF.M/F 3 = come-PF[3M] 'The boy came.'
- (3c) lahai? ?ipatay laha-si? i = pat-ay sigma ram-DEF.M/F sigma 3 = get.lost-PF[3M] 'The ram got lost.'

All nouns with plural suffixes have the plural gender agreement -n on the verb. For example, the suffix -wwaa in harreewwaa 'donkeys' in (4a), -daa in xormadaa 'oxen' in (4b) and -ddaa in lahaddaa 'rams' in (4c) are plural suffixes and, thus, impose the plural gender agreement marker -n on the verb.

(4a) harreewwaasini? ?ipatin

harreewwaa-sini? i = pat-i-n donkeys-DEF.P 3 = get.lost-PF-P 'The donkeys got lost.'

(4b) xormadaa-sini? ?ipatin

 χ ormadaa-sini? i=pat-i-noxen-DEF.P 3=get.lost-PF-P'The oxen got lost.'

(4c) lahaddaasini? ?ipatin

lahaddaa-sini?i=pat-i-nrams-DEF.P3 = get.lost.PF-P'The rams got lost.'

There are certain nouns which are semantically plural but have a masculine or feminine gender agreement on the verb. For instance, iskatta 'women' in (5a) is semantically plural but occurs with a masculine gender marker on the verb. In the same fashion, kuyleeta 'the Ts'amakko' in (5b) is semantically plural but occurs with a feminine gender agreement -t on the verb.

(5a) iskatta-si? ?idey-ay

iskatta-si? i = dey-ay women-DEF.M/F 3 = come-PF[3M]

'The women came.'

(5b) kuyleetasi? ?ide?ti

kuyleeta-si? i=dey-t-iTs'amakko-DEF.M/F 3=come-3F-PF

'The Ts'amakko came.'

Most nouns that are semantically undetermined for sex require masculine gender agreement, feminine gender agreement or plural gender agreement. The gender assignment cannot be predicted by the semantics of the nouns. Here are some examples:

(6a) Goyrasi? ?iGepay

Goyra-si? i = Gep-ay tree-DEF.M/F 3 = break-PF[3M] 'The tree was broken.'

(6b) harreetasi? ?iGepti

harreeta-si? i = Gep-t-i

donkey-DEF.M/F 3 = be.broken-3F-PF

'The donkey was broken.'

(6c) filaasini? ?iGepin

filaa-sini? i=Gep-i-n

comb-DEF.P 3 = be.broken-PF-P

'The comb was broken.'

From our discussion so far, it is apparent that nouns fall into three groups based on their subject agreement on the verb: those with M(asculine), F(eminine) and P(lural) gender agreement. The three gender values to some degree follow the semantics of nouns but for quite a number of nouns the gender value cannot be predicted by semantics. Semantically plural nouns may trigger M, F or P agreement, and semantically singular nouns may trigger P agreement. Singular and plural pairs of nouns can have different gender values.

Agreement on the adjective shows that gender and number are separate agreement systems. On the adjective number is marked by reduplication (for plural), see 3.2 above, and P(lural) gender is marked by a suffix, see 4.1.4. Nouns that are plural in number need not be P(lural) in gender and nouns that are P(lural) in gender are not always plural in number. This state of affairs is confusing for those not acquainted with Cushitic languages. Using a different term for the third value of gender would be misleading because the agreement does coincide with that of third person plural 'they'.

When there are suppletive verb roots for singulative and pluractional (see 6.2.5 for pluracitonality), nouns that have a singulative notion occur with singulative verb roots, and those that have a plurative notion occur with pluractional verb roots. Nouns with plurative notion may differ in their gender agreement on the verb. For example, if we take, as in (7), the nouns kawwaaɗaa 'the Gawwada', kaahuta 'Kaaho villagers' and xoyraa 'the Burji' and the suppletive verb roots keer- 'to run[SG]' and hir- 'to run[PL]', we see that all the nouns have a plurative notion, and hence occur with the suppletive pluractional verb root hir- 'to run[PL]' rather than the singulative verb root keer- 'to run[SG]'. However, they differ in gender agreement: kawwaaɗaa 'the Gawwada' in (7a) triggers the same gender agreement as the third person feminine subject, and xoyraa 'the Burji' in (7c) triggers the same gender agreement as plural subject.

(7a) kawwaadaasi? ?ihiray

**kawwaadaa-si? i=hir-ay

kawwada-DEF.M/F 3=run[PL]-PF[3M]

'The Gawwada ran.'

(7b) kaahutasi? ?ihirti

kaahuta-si? i=hir-t-i

kaaho-DEF.M/F 3 = run[PL]-3F-PF

'The kaahuta ran.'

(7c) χοyraasini? ?ihirin

 χ oyraa-sini? i = hir-i-n

burji-DEF.P 3 = run[PL]-PF-P

'The Burji ran.'

There are some nouns with $M\sim F$ gender values. The alternative use of the $M\sim F$ does not bring any difference in meaning. For instance, the singulative raaka 'old woman' is semantically feminine but it may occur with the indefinite F takka in (8a) or with the M counterpart tokka in (8b), the former is preferred.

(8a) raaka takka? ?ipi?ti

raaka takka-? i=pi?-t-i old.woman INDEF.F-NOM 3=fall-3F-PF

'A certain old woman fell down.'

(8b) raaka tokkan akkay

raaka tokka=in akk-ay old.woman INDEF.M=1 see-PF[3M]

'I saw a certain old woman.'

4.1.2. Gender agreement in definiteness marking

The gender of nouns determines the assignment of definite marking on nouns: nouns that trigger the same gender agreement as the masculine or feminine subject assign the definite suffix -si? as illustrated in (9).

(9a) Gimaytasi? ?ikuti?ay

Gimayta-si? i=kuti?-ay

old.man-DEF.M/F 3 = sit.down-PF[3M]

'The old man sat down.'

(9b) orra-si? ?ikal-ay

orra-si? i = kal-ay

people-DEF.M/F 3 = return.home-PF[3M]

'The people returned home.'

(9c) alleetasi? ?ipi?ti

alleeta-si? i=pi?-t-i hut-DEF.M/F 3 = fall-3F-PF

'The hut fell.'

Nouns that trigger the same agreement as the plural subject on the verb assign the definite suffix -sini? For example, the nouns innaa 'child' in (10a) and filaa 'comb' in (10b) are semantically singular. However, they add the plural gender agreement marker -n on the verb just like the noun lahaddaa 'rams' in (10c). This clearly shows that -n is a gender agreement marker, not a number marker.

(10a) innaasini? ?imukin

innaa-sini? i = muk-i-n child-DEF.P 3 = sleep-PF-P 'The child slept.'

(10b) filaasini? ?iGepin

filaa-sini? i = Gep-i-ncomb-DEF.P 3 = be.broken-PF-P'The comb was broken.'

(10c) lahaɗɗaasini? ?ikataman

lahaddaa-sini? i=kat-am-a-n rams-DEF.P 3=sell-PAS-IPF.FUT-P

'The rams will be sold.'

4.1.3. Gender agreement in demonstratives

The gender of nouns determines the assignment of demonstrative marking on nouns. In other words, nouns that trigger the same gender agreement as masculine or feminine subject assign the demonstrative suffix -asi? or -osi? as illustrated in (11). For the distribution of the demonstrative suffixes, see Section 4.8.

(11a) kahartaasi? ?idalti

kaharta-asi? i = dal-t-i ewe-DEM.M/F 3 =give.birth-3F-PF 'This ewe gave birth.'

(11b) Goyroosi? ?iGepay

Goyra-osi? i = Gep-aytree-DEM.M/F 3 = be.broken-PF[3M]'This tree was broken.'

(11c) orraasi? ?ikalay

orra-asi? i = kal-ay people-DEM.M/F 3 = return.home-PF[3M] 'These people returned home.'

Nouns that trigger the same gender agreement as the plural subject on the verb assign the demonstrative suffix -osini? In the following examples, the semantically singular noun innaa 'child' (12a) and the plurative noun pottaawwaa 'pumpkins' (12b) add the plural gender agreement suffix -osini?

(12a) innoosinif fatanaappaa ipi?in

innaa-osini? fatanaa-oppaa i=pi?-i-n child-DEM.P exam-in 3=fall-PF-P 'This child failed the exam.'

(12b) pottaawwoosini? ?inapalin

4.1.4. Gender agreement in adjectives

When adjectives serve as attributes, gender is marked in addition to number. Plural number is expressed by reduplicating the adjectival root's initial $C_1V(C_1)$. Gender agreement is marked by suffixes -a for M/F gender and by the suffix -aa? for plural gender. For example, in (13a), the modified noun χ ormasi? 'the ox' is semantically singulative and [M] in gender and it has an M/F gender suffix on the adjectival root. In (13b), the modified noun filaasini? 'the comb' is semantically singulative but requires a plural gender suffix -aa? on the adjectival root. In (13c), the modified noun ?orrasi? 'the people' is semantically plural and [M] in gender and requires a plural number agreement marked by reduplication but an M/F gender suffix on the adjectival root. In (13d), the object χ ormadasini? 'the oxen' is semantically plural and [P] in gender and has a plural number agreement marked by reduplication and a plural gender agreement suffix -aa? on the adjectival root. Notice that the subject of each sentence in (13) is the first person singular.

(13a) xormasik kappa in?akkay

 χ orma-si? kapp-a in=akk-ay ox-DEF.M/F be.fat-M/F 1 = see-PF[3M]'I saw the fat ox.'

(13b) filaasinip pooraa? ?in?akkay

filaa-sini? poor-aa? in = akk-ay comb-DEF.P be.black-P 1 = see-PF[3M] 'I saw the black comb.'

(13c) orrasik kakappa in?akkay

orra-si? ka-kapp-a in = akk-ay people-DEF.M/F PL-be.fat-M/F 1 = see-PF[3M] 'I saw the fat people.'

```
(13d) xormaɗaasinik kakappaa? ?in?akkay xormaɗaa-sini? ka-kapp-aa? in=akk-ay ox-DEF.P PL-be.fat-P 1=see-PF[3M] 'I saw the fat oxen.'
```

From the foregoing discussions, it is clear that gender as a morphological category has the M, F and P values in subject agreement marking on the verb, and M/F and P values in the noun phrase agreement, namely in definite nouns, demonstratives and adjectives.

4.2. Number

Number in nouns is derivational rather than inflectional (see Ongaye (in print)). The derivation of number in nouns involves the derivation of pluratives, and, to a much lesser degree, the derivation of singulatives. As I mentioned earlier, I use the terms "singulative" and "plurative" for derived forms of nouns, and "base" for the form on which the derivation (singulative or plurative) is based. Moreover, I use the terms "single" and (following Hayward (1981)) "multiple" for the number values of nouns. "Single" nouns refer to semantically individual entities while "multiple" nouns refer to semantically plural entities. In what follows, I first present the derivation of pluratives and then the derivation of singulatives.

Plurative is marked by the following ways:

- A. attaching plurative suffixes
- B. reduplicating the base-final consonant
- C. geminating the last consonant of the base

Pluratives derived by any one of the above strategies are plural semantically and also trigger plural gender agreement marking on the verb. As we shall see later, there are also suppletives in Konso. Singular suppletives express single reference, while plural suppletives express multiple reference.

4.2.1. Number suffixes

There are five number suffixes used to mark plurative in nouns. The number suffixes are arranged from the most to the least frequently occurring suffix with a sample of about 470 nouns (see Chapter 15).

Form of number suffix	Base
Addaa (27%)	stem
Bwwaa (22%)	root-ta (F)
Cdaa (16%)	stem
Dayaa (7.5%)	root-atta (M)
Eiyyaa (5.5%)	root-itta (M)

From the correlation between the number suffixes and their bases, we can see that some plurative suffixes are added to bases while others replace singulative suffixes. Thus, the plurative suffix of each noun has to be learned lexically. Furthermore, a lexeme may occur with more than one plurative suffix. In some cases, nouns with plurative suffixes may serve as bases to further derive pluratives. In fact, sometimes it is only the singulative that is derived. In other words, the system has both singulatives and pluratives, and both can be basic.

Below, I discuss each of the number suffixes. In the illustrative examples, I only indicate the gender values of the bases because plurative suffixes impose a plural gender value.

Plurative suffix -ddaa

The plurative suffix -ddaa is added to a base. Base final aa is shortened when -ddaa is added. The bases may have a masculine, feminine or plural gender values. The bases are either underived, or derived singulatives in -ta. The following are illustrative examples:

ers'
ougs'
es'
ses'
es'
es'
spirits'
aries'
stines'

Plurative suffix -wwaa

The plurative suffix -wwaa replaces the singulative suffix -ta. Except apuyyaata 'maternal uncle (M)' and kawkawa 'lower jaw (M)', all such singulative nouns trigger a feminine gender agreement. Examples:

(15)	Base	gloss	plurative	gloss
	hinfaakkata (F)	'ant'	hinfaakkawwaa	'ants'
	kaankita (F)	'mule'	kaankiwwaa	'mules'
	fooggita (F)	'mud'	fooggiwwaa	'muds'
	noodduta (F)	'bribe'	noodduwwaa	'bribes'
	muukuta (F)	'frog'	muukuwwaa	'frogs'
	fillayyaata (F)	'flea'	fillayyaawwaa	'fleas'
	landeeta (F)	'liver'	landeewwaa	'livers'

Plurative suffx -daa

Like the suffix -ddaa, plurative suffix -daa is added to its bases. The bases have either a consonant cluster or geminate consonants preceding the suffix with the short d. Although degemination in the context of geminate consonants or clusters of consonants has been attested elsewhere in the language, we cannot posit the suffix -daa as an allomorph of the suffix -ddaa because the suffix -ddaa also occurs after clusters of consonants, as in oxintaddaa 'fences' and hawladdaa 'graves'. Base final aa is shortened. The bases may have a masculine, feminine or plural gender value, but the majority have a masculine gender value. The following are illustrative examples. Notice that the plurative suffixes -ddaa and -daa are not allomorphs of the same plurative suffix.

Base	gloss	plurative	gloss
arpa (M)	'elephant'	arpaɗaa	'elephants'
ipsaa (P)	ʻlight'	ipsaɗaa	'lights'
dalta (F)	'seed'	ɗaltaɗaa	'seeds'
farta (F)	'horse'	fartaɗaa	'horses'
тахха (М)	'name'	maxxaɗaa	'names'
kirra (M)	'river'	kirraɗaa	'rivers'
kappaa (M)	'wheat'	kappaɗaa	'wheat'
karmaa (M)	'lion'	karmaɗaa	'lions'
karkaa (M)	'beehive'	karkaɗaa	'beehives'
раарраа (P)	'tomato'	рааррабаа	'tomatoes'
paankaa (P)	'machete'	paankaɗaa	'machetes'
	arpa (M) ipsaa (P) dalta (F) farta (F) maxxa (M) kirra (M) kappaa (M) karmaa (M) karkaa (M) naannaa (P)	arpa (M) 'elephant' ipsaa (P) 'light' dalta (F) 'seed' farta (F) 'horse' maχχa (M) 'name' kirra (M) 'river' kappaa (M) 'wheat' karmaa (M) 'lion' karkaa (M) 'psehive' naannaa (P) 'tomato'	arpa (M) 'elephant' arpaɗaa ipsaa (P) 'light' ipsaɗaa dalta (F) 'seed' daltaɗaa farta (F) 'horse' fartaɗaa maχχa (M) 'name' maχχaɗaa kirra (M) 'river' kirraɗaa kappaa (M) 'wheat' kappaɗaa karmaa (M) 'lion' karmaɗaa karkaa (M) 'beehive' karkaɗaa naannaa (P) 'tomato' naannaɗaa

The base noun <code>naannaa</code> 'tomato' can have plural interpretation in the absence the plurative suffix <code>-daa</code>. Plural or singular interpretation is understood not from the gender agreement on the verb, as both trigger plural gender agreement marking on the verb, but rather from the singulativity or pluractionality of the action: when the verb root is a singulative suppletive or the verb root's initial $C_1V(C_1)$ is not reduplicated (for non-suppletives), then it has a singular interpretation. However, when the verb root is a plurative suppletive or the verb root's initial $C_1V(C_1)$ is reduplicated (for non-suppletives), then it has plural interpretation.

Plurative suffix -ayaa

The plurative suffix -ayaa replaces the singulative suffix -atta as can be seen from the data in (17). The majority of the bases have a masculine gender agreement.

(17)	Base	gloss	plurative	gloss
	oypatta (M)	tree species	oypayaa	tree species
	arpatta (M)	grass species	arpayaa	grass species

karsatta (M)	tree species	karsayaa	tree species
dittatta (M)	plant species	dittayaa	plant species
hoppatta (M)	'gut'	hoppayaa	'guts'
kollatta (M)	'hide, skin'	kollayaa	'hides, skins'
okkatta (M)	'cow'	okkayaa	'cows, cattle'
karratta (M)	'squirrel'	karrayaa	'squirrels'
massatta (M)	'crocodile'	massayaa	'crocodiles'
kawwatta (F)	'terrace'	kawwayaa	'terraces'

There is one instance of a nominal root with a singulative suffix -eetta and a plural suffix -eeyyaa: kupeetta (M) kupeeyyaa 'lower bone of hind leg'.

Plurative suffix -iyyaa

The plurative suffix -iyyaa is added to roots by replacing the singulative suffix -itta. All the bases trigger a masculine gender agreement. Here are some examples:

(18)	Base	gloss	plurative	gloss
	alkitta (M)	'sisal'	alkiyyaa	'sisals'
	finnitta (M)	'pimple'	fiŋŋiyyaa	'pimples'
	Gupitta (M)	'finger'	Gupiyyaa	'fingers'
	ilkitta (M)	'tooth'	ilkiyyaa	'teeth'
	karitta (M))	'belly'	kariyyaa	'bellies'
	orritta (M)	'devil'	orriyyaa	'devils'
	apitta (M)	'fire'	apiyyaa	'fires'
	Gina?itta (M)	ʻrib'	Gina?iyyaa	'ribs'

4.2.2. Reduplicating the base final consonant

Reduplicating the base final consonant is another strategy that marks plurative. In this number derivation strategy, a base final consonant /l/ or /n/ in a consonant cluster is reduplicated and subsequently geminated/lengthened. The plurative forms have a final long aa. Most often the consonant clusters containing /l/ undergo metathesis (cf. 2.7.6.). The bases may have a short a or a long aa. A base final -aa is shortened in the plurative. The bases trigger either masculine or plural gender agreement, the majority triggering masculine gender agreement. The following is an exhaustive list:

(19)	Base	gloss	plurative	gloss
	hawla (M)	'tomb, grave'	hawlallaa	'tombs, graves'
	fanGala (M)	'splinter'	fanGallaa	'splinters'
	tawna (M)	'bell'	tawnannaa	'bells'
	moχna (M)	'rocky place'	moχnannaa	'rocky places'
	Golfaa (P)	'park, pod'	Golfallaa	'parks (of tree), pods'

dikla (M)	'elbow'	ɗiklallaa	'elbows'
silpa (M)	'metal'	silpallaa	'metals'
kilpa (M)	'knee'	kilpallaa	'knees'
kulpa (M)	'big calabash'	kulpallaa	'big calabashes'
Golpa (M)	'he-goat'	Golpallaa	'he-goats'
dapna (M)	'temple (body)'	ɗapnannaa	'temples'

The bases in (20a) have the same phonological pattern as those in (19) but they do not reduplicate the final consonant in the plurative. The correct plurative forms are given in (20b).

(20a)	Base talpa (M) hupna (M) haynaa (P)	gloss 'lentil' 'strength' 'remains after sucking cane'	plurative *talpallaa *hupnannaa *haynannaa
(20b)	talpaɗaa (P) hupnannaa (P)	'lentils' 'strengths'	

4.2.3. Plurative marking by gemination

haynaɗaa (P)

This plurative marking strategy geminates the onset of the last syllable. The short vowel $\langle a \rangle$ of the bases is lengthened in the plurative forms. The majority of the bases trigger masculine gender agreement. The following are illustrative data.

'remains after sucking cane'

(21)	Base	gloss	plurative	gloss
	tika (F)	'house	tikkaa	'houses'
	raaka (F)	'old woman'	raakkaa	'old women'
	dila (M)	'field'	dillaa	'fields'
	ka6a (M)	'canal'	ka66aa	'canals'
	kafa (M)	'clan'	kaffaa	'clans'
	mura (M)	'forest'	murraa	'forests'
	pora (M)	'road, route'	porraa	'roads, routes'
	paaGa (M)	'disease'	paaGGaa	'diseases'
	paala (M)	'feather'	paallaa	'feathers'
	kaasa (M)	'horn, gun'	kaassaa	'horns, guns'
	tuuda (M)	ʻpillar'	tuuddaa	ʻpillars'
	hoofa (M)	'hole'	hooffaa	'holes'

The pluratives of the following bases are derived by geminating the onset of the last syllable but the singulative is marked by suffix -ta.

(22)	Base	gloss	plurative	gloss
	kaharta (F)	'ewe'	kaharraa	'sheep'
	logta (F)	'leg'	loggaa	'legs'
	hi6ta (F)	ʻlip'	hi66aa	'lips'

4.2.4. Double plurative derivation

Certain plurative forms serve as bases for further derived pluratives. Double pluratives are derived by adding the plurative suffix -daa when the plurative bases are formed by reduplicating the base final consonant as in (23a). They are also derived by adding the plurative suffix -ddaa when the plurative bases are formed by geminating the base final consonant as in (23b).

(23a)	Base (plurative)	plurative (double derived)	
	tikkaa	tikkaɗaa	'houses'
	raakkaa	raakkaɗaa	'old women'
	ɗillaa	ɗillaɗaaa	'fields'
	ka66aa	ka66aɗaa	'canals'
	kaffaa	kaffaɗaa	'clans'
	murraa	murraɗaa	'forests'
	porraa	porradaa	'roads, routes'
	paaGGaa	paaGGadaa	'diseases'
	paallaa	paallaɗaa	'feathers'
	kaassaa	kaassaɗaa	'horns, guns'
	tuuddaa	tuuddadaa	'pillars'
	hooffaa	hooffaɗaa	'holes'
(22h)	ailmallaa	ailmalla delaa	'metals'
(23b)	silpallaa	silpalladdaa	
	diklallaa	diklalladdaa	'elbows'
	kilpallaa	kilpallaɗɗaa	'knese'
	kulpallaa	kulpallaɗɗaa	'big calabashes'
	Golpallaa	Golpalladdaa	'he-goats'
	hawlallaa	hawlallaɗɗaa	'tombs, graves'
	fanGallaa	fanGalladdaa	'splinters'
	tawnannaa	tawnannaddaa	'bells'
	moχnannaa	moxnannaddaa	'rocky places'
	ɗapnannaa	ɗapnannaɗɗaa	'temples'
	Golfallaa	Golfalladdaa	'parks (of tree), pods'

4.2.5. Irregular pluratives

Certain pluratives do not fall into the patterns discussed above. For example, the plurative ildaa 'eyes', which is derived from the nominal root il- 'eye' (singulative ilta (F) 'eye'), does not conform to the pattern I discussed earlier for the plurative suffix -daa. That is, in my earlier analysis, I showed that -daa is added to bases, not roots. But in ildaa 'eyes', it is added to a root. The other

pluratives that do not fall into our earlier patterns include Goraa 'trees', harkaa 'hands' and kere?ta 'thieves'. The plurative Goraa 'trees' has the singulative Goyra (M) 'tree'. The derivation of the plurative Goraa 'trees' involves the deletion of the consonant y in the singulative, and lengthening the final vowel of the singulative. The plurative harkaa 'hands' is derived from the base by lengthening only the final vowel of the base. With regard to the derivation of the plurative kere?ta 'thieves' and its singulative keraa (M) 'thief', both have a root ker- to which -e?ta and -aa are added to derive the plurative and singulative, respectively.

In fact, the pluratives harkaa 'hands' and kere?ta 'thieves' can alternatively be used as stems to derive the plurative harkadaa and kere?ewwaa, respectively. Similarly, the singulative Goyra may serve as a stem to derive the plurative Goyradaa. This derivation fits into our analysis for the derivational pattern of the number suffix -daa.

4.2.6. Suppletive plurals

Certain single-reference nouns have suppletive multiple reference counterparts. An exhaustive list is given in (24). The single-reference forms may trigger masculine, feminine or plural gender agreement; on the other hand, the plurals may trigger masculine or plural gender agreement.

(24)	Single	gloss	multiple	gloss
	innaa (P)	'child'	hellaa (P)	'(human) children'
	nama (M)	'man, person'	orra (M)	'people'
	saallaa (M)	'cow dung'	kuufa (M)	'pile of cow dung'
	inanta (F)	ʻgirl'	tupar(r)aa (P)	'girls'
	innayyaa (P)	'young animal'	nelGaa (P)	'young animals/birds'

4.2.7. Pluratives without corresponding singulative forms

In the preceding sections, we discussed the derivation of pluratives from singulative bases. The roots of the bases carry the semantics of singulative. However, there are instances in which there is only one number form which is plurative and not singulative. Such nouns are listed below.

```
(25) horeeta (F) 'livestock'
sawwaa (M) 'people (formal setting)'
ikkaamaa (P) 'seed corn'
```

Our evidence for claiming that the above nouns are plurative comes from agreement. For instance, the examples in (26) are acceptable because the nouns horreta 'livestock' and sawwaa 'people' occur with the pluractional verb root hir- 'run[PL]'. On the other hand, the examples in (27) are unacceptable be-

cause the same nouns horeeta and sawwaa occur with a singulative verb root keer- 'run[SG]'.

(26a) horeetasi? ?ihirti

horeeta-si? i = hir-t-i

livestock-DEF.M/F 3 = run[PL]-3F-PF

'The livestock ran.'

(26b) keraasiG Gapiyas sawwaasi? ?ihiray

keraa-si? Gap-iya-? sawwaa-si? thief-DEF.M/F catch-INF-DAT people-DEF.M/F

i = hir-ay

3 = run[PL]-PF[3M]

'The people ran in order to catch the thief.'

(27a) *horeetasi? ?ikeerti

horeeta-si? i = keer-t-i

livestock-DEF.M/F 3 = run[SG]-3F-PF

(intended: 'The livestock ran.')

(27b) *keraasiG Gapiyas sawwaasi? ?ikeeray

keraa-si? Gap-iya-? sawwaa-si? thief-DEF.M/F catch-INF-DAT people-DEF.M/F

i = keer-ay

3 = run[SG]-PF[3M]

(intended: 'The people ran in order to catch the thief.')

4.2.8. Derivation of singulatives

Singulatives are derived from underived pluratives by deleting final vowels and adding the suffixes -ayta (M) as in (28a), -ta (M/F) as in (28b), -itta (M) as in (28c) or -teeta (F) as in (28d).

(28a)	Plurative	singulative	gloss
	ɗa?ayaa	da?ayta (M)	plant species
	karayaa	karayta (M)	'gorge'
	keltayaa	keltayta (M)	'baboon'
	ottayaa	ottayta (M)	tree species
	Gimayaa	Gimayta (M)	'old man'

(28b) kumaanaa kumaanta (M) 'antelope' maskahanaa maskahanta (M) tree species pinaanaa pinanta (M) 'animal'

	hotaaraa kolalaa lafaa koromaa kusumaa oxinaa koskoraa	hotaarta (M) kolalta (M) lafta (F) koromta (F) kusumta (F) oxinta (F) koskorta (F)	acacia tree species acacia tree species 'bone' 'heifer' 'navel' 'fence' 'partridge'
(28c)	Gina?aa falaGGaa ilkaa xola?aa Gina?aa lukkalaa	Gina?itta (M) falaGGitta (M) ilkitta (M) xola?itta (M) Gina?itta (M) lukkalitta (M)	'rib' 'flat stone' 'tooth' cactus species 'rib' 'chicken'
(28d)	ikkiraa χampiraa talaa	ikkirteeta (F) xampirteeta (F) talteeta (F)	'louse' 'bird' 'she-goat'

The singulative okkatta (M) 'cow' is derived from the plurative okkaa 'cows'. The singulative apitta (M) 'fire' may also serve as a stem to derive the plurative apittaddaa.

4.2.9. Associative plural

Associative plural is marked by the particle **opa** followed by the noun it modifies. Associative plural expresses that the noun which the associative particle modifies has an associate(s) whose name(s) is (are) not mentioned. The associative plural may be a subject as in (29a) or an object as in (29b).

(29a)	opa	χampiru? ?ideyin	
	opa	χampiru-?	i = dey-i-n
	ASS	χampiro-NOM	3 = come-PF-P
	'χampiro	and his associates came	e.'

(29b) anti? ?opa Apittun akkay

anti-? opa Apitto=in akk-ay
1SG.PRO-NOM ASS Apitto=1 see-PF[3M]
'I saw ?apitto and his associate(s).'

⁷ The associative particle and the postposition indicating destination (see Section 8.2.1) have the same form opa but occur in different positions with regard to the noun they modify. I consider them to be distinct, homophonous morphemes.

4.3. Plurality in adjectives

Plural number agreement in adjectives is marked by reduplicating the root initial C_1V when there is a geminate consonant in the root as in (30), otherwise, C_1VC_1 as in (31). For example, in (30a), the initial C_1V of the adjectival root Galla?- 'to be thin, slim' is not reduplicated because the subject inanta 'girl' is singular. In (30b), it is reduplicated because the subject tuparaa 'girls' is plural. In the same fashion, in (31a), the initial C_1VC_1 of the adjectival root der- 'to be long' is not reduplicated because the subject Goyrasi? 'the tree' is singular. In (31b), the initial C_1VC_1 of the adjectival root is reduplicated because the subject Goraasini? 'the trees' is plural.

```
(30a) inantaasi? ?icalla?i

inanta-asi? i=Galla?-i

girl-DEM.M/F 3 = be.slim-PF

'This girl is slim.'
```

(30b) tuparoosini? ?iɗaɗalla?i tuparaa-sini?i=ɗa-ɗalla?-i girls-DEM.P 3=PL-be.slim-PF 'These girls are slim.'

(31a) Goyrasi? ?ideri

Goyra-si? i = der - itree-DEF.M/F 3 = be.tall-PF

'The tree is tall.'

(31b) Goraasini? ?idedderi

Goraa-sini? i=ded-der-i

tree-DEF.P 3=PL-be.tall-PF

'The trees are tall.'

We should note that reduplicating the adjectival root's initial $C_1V(C_1)$ shows only plural interpretation, and not plural gender agreement.

4.4. Semantic gender distinction

Names referring to certain domestic animals make a lexical semantic distinction between males and females. The lexical items that refer to 'sheep' are listed in (32a); those that refer to 'cow, ox, bull' are listed in (32b); and those that refer to 'goat' are listed in (32c).

Male Female
(32a) laha (M) 'ram' kaharta (F) 'ewe'
sukeenta (F) 'female lamb'

```
(32b) Male
                                           Female
                                           okkatta (M)
       χorma (M)
                        'ox, bull'
                                                            'cow'
       mirkoota (M)
                        'young bull'
                                           koromta (F)
                                                            'heifer'
                                                            'old cow'
                                           tullatta (M)
(32c) Golpa/Golpayta (M)
                                               talteeta (F)
                                                               'she-goat'
                                  'he-goat'
                                                               'young she-goat'
                                               ritta (F)
```

From the data in (32), we see that all the lexical items that are semantically male trigger masculine gender agreement on the verb. But lexical items such as ?okkatta 'cow' and tullatta 'old cow', which are semantically female, trigger masculine gender agreement on the verb as shown in (33).

```
(33b) tullattasi? ?ipi?ay
tullatta-si?
i = pi?-ay
old.cow-DEF.M/F
'The old cow fell.'
```

Lexical semantic gender distinction is also made in kinship terms. In the following table, I give the lexical items that refer to males in the first column, and their corresponding female names in the second column.

Male		Female
aappaa	'father'	aayyaa 'mother'
aappaa	'husband'	ahta 'wife'
apuyyaata	'maternal uncle'	maammata 'aunt'
aakkaa	'grandfather'	okkooyyita 'grandmother'
oopaa	'grandson'	oopta 'granddaughter'
a∫uma	'nephew'	asumta 'niece'
alawa	'male sibling'	alawta 'female sibling'
hamiya	'baby boy'	inanta 'baby girl'

Table 1: Semantic gender distinction in kinship terms

Certain proper names also distinguish gender. In most instances, the female names are derived from male names by geminating the onset of the last syllable of the male name. One instance (last example) shows that when the penultimate syllable of a male name has a closed syllable, the coda of that syllable is geminated for the female name rather than the onset of the final syllable (i.e.

orxayto/orxayya). Most of the male names end in -o and the female counterparts end in -a.

(34a)	Male	female	source noun	meaning of source
	proper name	proper name		
	Katano	Katanna	katana	'season for sowing'
	Roopo	Rooppa	roopa	'rain'
	χampiro	χampirra	χampirteeta	'bird'
	Kappino	Kappinna	kappina	'bush'
	Urmale	Urmalla	?urmalaa	'market'
	Teykane	Teykanna	teykantaa	'morning'
	Guɗaaɗo	Guɗaaɗɗa	Guɗaaɗaa	'late morning'
	Kuyyawo	Kuyyanna	kuyya?ta	'noon, day'
	Kallapo	Kallappa	kallapta	'late afternoon'
	Halkeeyo	Halkeeyya	halkeetta	'midnight'
	Orxayto	Orxayya	orχayta	'adopted child'
(34b)	Male	female	source noun	meaning of source
,	proper name	proper name		J
	Oraapo	Oraappa	oraap-	'to fetch water'
	Kutano	Kutanna	kut-	'to hunt'
	Kalso	Kalisso ⁸	kalʃ-	'to make go home'

4.5. Diminutives

Diminutive is marked by the suffix -(tt)eeta. The diminutive suffix is added to nouns that show third person masculine gender value. The diminutive suffix renders a third person feminine gender value to the noun it is added to. The diminutive suffix implies that the addresser has a low opinion of the noun in question. For example, in (35a), the addresser has a high opinion of the noun Gimaytasi? 'the old man', as it has no diminutive suffix; however, in (35b), it occurs with the diminutive suffix, implying that the addresser has a low opinion of the referent. In the translations of the examples below, I use the adjective 'little' to denote diminutive.

(35a) GimaytasiG Goyrasi? ?ihaaday

Gimayta-si? Goyra-si? i = haad-ay old.man-DEF.M/F tree-DEF.M/F 3 = carry-PF[3M] 'The old man carried the tree.'

(35b) GimayteetasiG Goyrasi? ?ihaa?ti

Gimayta-eeta-si? Goyra-si? i = haad-t-i old.man-DIM-DEF.M/F tree-DEF.M/F 3 = carry-3F-PF 'The little old man carried the tree.'

•

⁸ kalisso is underlyingly kalisto.

Diminutive does not seem to occur with nouns that trigger plural gender agreement. The only exception that I noted is **innaa** 'child' but even then, the form of the diminutive is different: **-innaata** as shown in (36b).

(36a) innaasini? ?ipi?in

innaa-sini? i=pi?-i-n child-DEF.P 3=fall-PF-P

'The child fell.'

(36b) inninnaatasi? ?ipi?ti

innaa-nnaata-si? i=pi?-t-ichild-DIM-DEF.M/F 3=fall-3F-PF 'The lttle child fell.'

The female lexical items okkatta 'cow' and tullatta 'old cow' that trigger masculine gender agreement on the verb acquire third person feminine gender agreement on the verb when the diminutive suffix is added to them. This is shown in (37).

(37a) okkatteetasi? ?ito?ti

okkatta-eeta-si? i = toy-t-icow-DIM-DEF.M/F 3 = die[SG]-3F-PF

'The little cow died.'

(37b) tullatteetasi? ?ipi?ti

tullatta-eeta-si? i=pi?-t-i old.cow-DIM-DEF.M/F 3=fall-3F-PF

'The little old cow fell.'

In the following examples, we have the noun Goyra 'tree'. This noun has third person masculine gender agreement without the diminutive as in (38a). However, with the diminutive suffix, it acquires third person feminine gender agreement on the verb, as illustrated in (38b).

(38a) Goyrasi? ?ikupaɗay

Goyra-si? i = kup-ad-ay

tree.M-DEF.M/F 3 = burn-MID-PF[3M]

'The tree was burnt.'

(38b) Goyritteetasi? ?ikupatti

Goyra-tteeta-si? i=kup-ad-t-i tree.F-DIM-DEF.M/F 3=burn-MID-3F-PF

'The little tree was burnt.'

When the performance of a referent in question excels the expectation of the addresser, the diminutive suffix expresses a surprise of the addresser. The following are illustrative examples:

(39a) raakitteetasi? ?ifapaatti

(39b) aappitteetasio ooyrasi? ?iha?ti

aappaa-tteeta-si?Goyra-si?i = had-t-ifather-DIM-DEF.M/Ftree/wood-DEF.M/F3 = carry-3F-PF'Wow! The little man carried the log.'

Some nouns seem to have frozen diminutive suffix: talteeta 'she-goat', lammitteeta 'second wife'.

4.6. Indefinite reference and indefinite-specific morphemes

Indefinite reference is not morphologically marked both in subject and object function. This can be seen from the nouns laha 'ram', ?appitta 'fire', cimayaa 'old men' and $\chi ormadaa$ 'bulls' with indefinite reference which appear in their citation forms as the following sentences demonstrate.

(40a) antil laha impidda

anti-? laha in=pidd-a
1SG.PRO-NOM ram 1=buy[SG]-IPF.FUT
'I will buy a ram.'

(40b) inantasi? ?apitta i?opassi

inanta-si? apitta $i = opay-\int -t-i$ girl-DEF.M/F fire 3 = build.fire-DCAUS-3F-PF 'The girl built fire.'

(40c) Gimayaa dise caa

Gimayaa dise kiy-aa old.men there be-IPF.PRES 'There are old men over there.'

(40d) isoonnax xormadaa heerin

ifoonna-? χ ormadaa = i heer-i-n 3PL.PRO-NOM bulls = 3 buy[PL]-PF-P 'They bought bulls.'

Specific-indefinite reference may be marked by tokka 'one.M' or takka 'one.F' or takkan \sim takka-n 'one-P'. In the following examples, tokka, takka and takkan speficy the nouns hamiya 'boy', ?inanta 'girl' and χ ormaɗaa 'oxen', respectively. These nouns have an inherent gender value: masculine, feminine and plural, respectively.

- - 'A certain boy came.'
- (41b) inanta takka? ?ide?ti inanta takka-? i = de?-t-i girl INDEF.F-NOM 3 = come-3F-PF 'A certain girl came.'
- (41c) χormadaa takka-n=in akk-ay oxen INDEF-P=1 see-PF 'I saw a certain oxen.'

Sex-unspecific singulative nouns that have a specific-indefinite reference may have a masculine, feminine or plural gender value. For instance, the singulative alleeta 'house (F)' requires a feminine gender specific-indefinite reference marker takka in (42a). The singular Goyra 'tree (M)' requires a masculine gender specific indefinite reference marker tokka in (42b). The singulative filaa 'comb (P)' requires a plural gender specific-indefinite reference marker takkan in (42c).

- (42a) alleeta takkan piddaday

 alleeta takka = in pidd-ad-ay

 house INDEF.F = 1 buy[SG]-MID-PF[3M]

 'I bought a certain house for myself.'
- (42b) Goyra tokkan piddaday
 Goyra tokka=in pidd-ad-ay
 tree INDEF.M=1 buy[SG]-MID-PF[3M
 'I bought a certain tree for myself.'
- (42c) filaa takka-n=in pidd-ad-ay comb INDEF-P=1 buy[SG]-MID-PF[3M] 'I bought a certain comb for myself.'

It should be noted that the specific-indefinite reference takka, but not tokka, is used in the numeral system, meaning 'one' (see Numerals in 4.8).

4.7. Definite reference

Definite reference is marked by suffixes -si? and -sini? on nouns. Inherently definite entities such as proper names may also appear with the definite suffix -si?.

Nouns which trigger masculine or feminine gender agreement add the definite suffix -si?. For instance, in (43), the singulative nouns **Gimayta** 'old man' and **raaka** 'old woman' and the plurative noun **orra** 'people' occur with the M/F definite reference -si?.

(43a) Gimaytasi? ?imukay

Gimayta-si? i = muk-ay old.man-DEF.M/F 3 = sleep-PF[3M] 'The old man slept.'

(43b) raaka-si? ?imukti

raaka-si? i=muk-t-i old.woman-DEF.M/F 3= sleep-3F-PF 'The old woman slept.'

(43c) orrasi? ?imukay

orra-si? i = muk-ay people-DEF.M/F 3 = sleep-PF[3M] 'The people slept.'

Nouns that trigger plural gender agreement add the definite suffix -sini? For instance, in (44), the singulatives furaa 'comb' and aannaa 'milk' and the plurative karmadaa 'lions' occur with the plural definite reference suffix.

(44a) furaasini? ?ipatin

(44b) aannaasini? ?inapalin

aannaa-sini? i=napal-i-n milk-DEF.P 3 = be.spoiled-PF-P 'The milk went bad.'

(44c) karmaɗaa-sini? ?ihirin

karmadaa-sini? i = hir-i-nlions-DEF.P 3 = run[PL]-PF-P'The lions ran.' Nouns derived from verb roots occur with the M/F definite suffix -si? as can be seen from the following examples.

(45) keeritaasi? ?i?ana kafti∫ay

keer-taa-si? i = ?ana

run[SG]-VN-DEF.M/F 3 = 1SG.PRO.ACC

kafad-f-ay tire[MID]-CAUS-PF[3M] 'The running made me tired.'

Proper names can occur with the M/F definite suffix -si?. The definite suffix is added to a proper name when there is shared knowledge between the interlocutors about the person. Examples:

(46a) Katannasi? ?i?aakta

Katanna-si? i = aak-t-a

Katanna-DEF.M/F 3 = be.well-3F-IPF.FUT 'The Katanna is well (recovering from illness).'

(46b) kappoolesi? ?ayyee ca

kappoole-si? ayye=i kiy-a

Kappoole-DEM.M/F here = 3 be-IPF.FUT

'The Kappoole is here.'

The shared knowledge between the interlocutors in (46a) is about Katanna's health situation while in (46b), it is about Kappoole's whereabouts.

When definite suffixes are followed by the dative or instrumental suffix, the definite suffixes have the forms -sit for M/F (47) and -sinit for P as shown in (48).

(47a) okkattasitip pisaa ɗaasi

okkatta-sit-? piʃaa daaʃ-i cow-DEF.M/F-DAT water give-IMP.SG '(You (SG)) Give water for the cow!'

(47b) iskatteetasi? ?orrasiti?ee faGaa katti

iskatteeta-si? orra-sit-?=i faGaa woman-DEF.M/F people-DEF.M/F-DAT=3 local.beer

kat-t-i sell-3F-PF

'The woman sold the people local beer.'

(47c) kaasasitinin karmaasi? ?i∬ay

(48a) anti? ?innaasiniti?in χopaa pidday

anti-? ?innaa-sinit-?=in χοραα 1SG.PRO-NOM boy-DEF.P-DAT=1 shoes

pidd-ay
buy[SG]-PF[3M]
'I bought shoes for the boy.'

(48b) teepaasinitin xormaasih hidi

teepaa-sinit-n xorma-asi? hidd-i rope-DEF.P-INST ox-DEM.M/F tie.SG-IMP.SG '(You (SG)) Tie this ox with the rope!'

Definite reference does not obligatorily require definite marking. In stories and conversations, for instance, it is quite customary to encounter entities that have been mentioned before used without definite suffixes later in the story or conversation. For example, in sentence (49), taken from a story about a lion that lived in a jungle, the noun **karmaa** 'lion', which has been mentioned a couple of times earlier in the story, appears without a definite marker.

(49) karmaa ka GapaleesiG Garaa kaassumaa kaassaɗay

karmaa *ka* G*apaleeta-asi*R G*araa* lion and monkey-DEM.M/F on

kaassuma = i kaassad-ay question = 3 ask-PF[3M] 'And, [the] lion asked this monkey [the] question.'

4.8. Demonstrative suffixes

There are four demonstrative suffixes that express proximity. These are: -oosi?, -asi?, -si? and -oosini?. The suffixes -oosi?, -asi?, and -si? occur with nouns that trigger an M/F gender. The suffix -oosini? occurs with nouns that trigger a plural gender. Among -oosi?, -asi?, and -si?, the suffix -oosi? is added to any nominal root. Examples:

(50a) kut-oosi? dog-DEM.M/F 'this dog'

- (50b) karm-oosi? lion-DEM.M/F 'this lion'
- (50c) orr-oosi? people-DEM.M/F 'these people'

The following are illustrative sentential examples:

(51a) kutoosis swaa ihatay kut-oosi? so?aa i = hat-ay dog-DEM.M/F meat 3 = steal-PF[3M] 'This dog stole meat.'

(51b) dakoosi? ?i?ulsi dak-oosi? i = ?uls-i stone-DEM.M/F 3 = be.heavy-PF

'This stone is heavy.'

(51c) orroosi? ?ileki

orr-oosi? i = lek-ipeople-DEM.M/F 3 = be.many-PF'These people are numerous.'

The demonstrative suffix -asi? is added to nominal roots that have the nominal-iser -a (but not -aa) or the singulative suffix -ta, as shown in the following illustrative phrases.

- (52a) kuta-asi? dog-DEM.M/F 'this dog'
- (52b) nama-asi? person-DEM.M/F 'this person'
- (52c) tuuyyata-asi? pig-DEM.M/F 'this pig'
- (52d) tapayta-asi? rat-DEM.M/F 'this rat'

The following are illustrative sentential examples in which the nouns kuta 'dog', Goyra 'tree' and tapayta 'rat' have the definite suffix -asi?.

(53a) kutaasi? ?ipoori

kuta-asi? i = poor-idog-DEM.M/F 3 = be.black-PF'This dog is black.'

(53b) Goyraasi? ?iGepay

Goyra-asi? i = Gep-ay

tree-DEM.M/F 3 = be.broken-PF[3M]

'This tree was broken.'

(53c) tapaytaasi? ?ikappi

tapayta-asi? i=kapp-irat-DEM.M/F 3=be.fat-PF

'This rat is fat.'

Nominal roots that have the nominaliser -aa do not occur with the demonstrative suffix -asi?: karmaa 'lion', ɗakaa 'stone' karkaa 'beehive', maakaa 'snake'. The nominal roots of such nouns occur only with the demonstrative suffix -oosi?.

The demonstrative suffix -si? occurs with nominal roots that have the nominaliser -a (but not -aa) or the singulative suffix -ta. In such cases -si? replaces the nominaliser and the singulative suffix. Note that -si? has the same form as the definite M/F reference marker.

(54a) por-si? < pora 'road' road-DEM.M/F

'this road'

(54b) tik-si? < tika 'house'

house-DEM.M/F 'this house'

(54c) Gimay-si? < Gimayta 'old man'

old.man-DEM.M/F 'this old man'

(54d) dam-si? < damta 'food'

food-DEM.M/F 'this food'

The following are illustrative sentential examples:

(55a) Gimaysi? ?ipaaGni

*Gimay-si?*old.man-DEM.M/F
'This old man is sick.' *i = paaG-ni*3 = be.sick-IPF.PRES

(55b) ɗamsi? ?akataa me?awni

dam-si? akata=i me?aw-ni food-DEM.M/F very=3 be.sweet-IPF.PRES 'This food is quite delicious.'

(55c) harreesi? ?ideepoodti

Nominal roots with a final CC (e.g. moott- 'friend', hark- 'hand') do not allow the demonstrative suffix -si?.

The demonstrative suffix -oosini?, as mentioned earlier, is added to nouns that trigger a plural gender agreement on the verb. For instance, the nouns innaa 'child', pifaa 'water', harreewwaa 'donkeys' and dillaa 'fields' in the following examples occur with -osini?.

(56a) innoosini? ?ipi?in

innaa-oosini? i=pi?-i-n child-DEM.P 3= be.thin-PF-P 'This child fell.'

(56b) pifoosini? ?ipooraawin

pifaa-oosini? i=pooraaw-i-n water-DEM.P 3 = be.impure-PF-P 'This water became impure.'

(56c) harreeww-oosini? ?i=ka-kapp-i

harreewwaa-oosini?i=ka-kapp-idonkeys-DEM.P3=PL-be.fat-PF'These donkeys are fat.'

(56d) dilloosini? ?ipappaldi

dillaa-oosini? i=pap-pald-i fields-DEM.P 3=PL-be.wide-PF 'These fields are wide.'

Using the nominal root por- 'road' or the singulative noun pora 'road', in (57) we show the occurrence of the demonstrative suffixes and the definite reference suffix:

(57) por-si? 'this road' por-oosi? 'this road' pora-asi? 'this road' pora-si? 'the road'

Distal location is expressed by a locative adverb (see Section 8.2.1), the existential verb and a noun with a demonstrative suffix. The following are illustrative examples:

(58a) namsid disee co moottaawu

nam-si? dise=i kiy-o person-DEM.M/F there = 3 be-3M

moottaa-wu

friend-1SG.POSS.M/F

'That man is my friend.'

(58b) kaharroosini? ?irre ca ileki

kaharr-oosini? irre kiy-a i=lek-i sheep-DEM.P up.there be-IPF.FUT 3=be.many-PF 'Those sheep up there are numerous.'

4.9. Numerals

4.9.1. Cardinal numbers

The cardinal number system is decimal. The cardinal **kuma** 'thousand' is the highest basic unit of the numeral system. The basic cardinal numbers are the following:

(59)'one' takka lakki 'two' 'three' sessaa 'four' afur 'five' ken 'six' leh 'seven' tappa settee? 'eight' 'nine' sakal 'ten' kuɗan dippa 'hundred' kuma 'thousand'

The cardinal numbers dippa 'hundred' and kuma 'thousand' can occur with the basic cardinal units from one to nine as shown in (60a-b). Moreover, kuma 'thousand' may occur with the basic cardinal unit kudan 'ten' and dippa 'hundred', as demonstrated in (60c-d).

- (60a) dippa takka hundred one 'one hundred'
- (60b) kuma lakki thousand two 'two thousand'
- (60c) kuma kuɗan thousand ten 'ten thousand'
- (60d) kuma dippa thousand hundred 'hundred thousand'

The cardinal numbers kuɗan 'ten', ɗippa 'hundred' and kuma 'thousand' may take plural suffixes, as in (61). Note that there is metathesis when kuɗan 'ten' is plural: kunɗa. The plural suffixes indicate 'many tens/hundreds/thousands'.

- (61a) kunɗaɗɗaa 'tens'
- (61b) **dippadaa** 'hundreds'
- (61c) kumaddaa 'thousands'

Cardinals between eleven and nineteen are formed from the base ten (kuɗan), the conjunction ka 'and' and the lower cardinals (one to nine). Literally, the combination means 'ten and X', where X stands for a lower cardinal. The combinations are as follows:

(62) kuɗan ka takka 'eleven' (lit.: ten and one) kuɗan ka lakki 'twelve' (lit.: ten and two) kuɗan ka sessaa 'thirteen' (lit.: ten and three) kuɗan ka afur 'fourteen' (lit.: ten and four)

kuɗan ka ken'fifteen'(lit.: ten and five)kuɗan ka leh'sixteen'(lit.: ten and six)kuɗan ka tappa'seventeen'(lit.: ten and seven)kuɗan ka settee'eighteen'(lit.: ten and eight)kuɗan ka sakal'nineteen'(lit.: ten and nine)

Multiples of ten, hundred or thousand are formed from base kunda < kudan > 'tens', dippa 'hundred' or kuma 'thousand' and the unit cardinals from one to nine. The following are illustrative examples.

(63) kunda afur 'forty'

dippa sessaa 'three hundred' dippa ken 'five hundred' kuma leh 'six thousand' kuma sakal 'nine thousand'

It is possible to say kunɗa takka 'ten' (lit. 'one ten').

Addition is expressed by ka after the unit ten, but by ka or ? otherwise. The ? appears as a gemination of the initial consonant of the following cardinal. Addition of single digits to the multiples of ten, hundred or thousand requires base ten, hundred or thousand followed by the unit cardinal of the multiple of ten, hundred or thousand. The cardinals occur in descending order from left to right. Here are some examples:

(64a) kunda lakkis sessaa

kunda lakki-? sessaa ten two-plus three 'twenty-three'

(64b) dippa sessaak kunda ken

dippa sessaa-? kunda ken hundred three-plus tens five 'three hundred fifty'

(64c) dippa lakkik kunda lakkis sessaa

dippa lakki? kunda lakki-? sessaa hundred two ten two-plus three 'two hundred twenty-three'

(64d) dippa ken ka kunda afuris sessaa

dippa ken ka kunda afur-? sessaa hundred five and ten four-plus three 'five hundred forty-three' (64e) kuma afur ka dippa sessak kunda ken

kuma afur ka dippa sessa-? thousand four and hundred three-plus

kunda ken ten five

'four thousand three hundred and fifty'

The addition of digits of hundred expressed by ? in (64c) can be replaced by ka 'and'. Likewise, ka 'and' in (64d) can be replaced by the suffix ? 'plus'.

Single digits after the multiples of hundred are expressed by a multiple of hundred followed by conjunction ka 'and', postposition Garaa 'on' and the single unit. Similarly, single units or multiples of ten after the multiples of thousand are expressed by multiple of thousand followed by the conjunction ka 'and', postposition Garaa 'on' and the single unit or multiple of ten. Examples:

- (65a) dippa lakki ka Gara-a sessaa hundred two and on-LOC three 'two hundred and three'
- (65b) kuma tappa ka Gara-a sakal thousand seven and on-LOC nine 'seven thousand and nine'
- (65c) kuma ken ka Gara-a kuɗan leh thousand five and on-LOC ten six 'five thousand and sixty'

4.9.2. Mathematical operations

Two arithmetic exercise booklets (booklet I (2001) and booklet II (2004)) have been written in Konso by the Evangelical Church of Mekane Yesus. With very little adaptation, I use the terminology used for mathematical operations in booklet II. The terminology is derived from verb roots or verb stems: the mathematical operation for addition is derived from the verb root padaw-'add, increase', subtraction from χ a?J- 'to cause to rise, lift', multiplication from lek- 'to be many', division from Goot- 'to divide'. The expressions are given in (66a). In (66b), I provide the glossed versions of some of the expressions.

(66a)	paɗaawtu	addition	(+)
	χa?issu / <i>χa?ʃtu</i> /	subtraction	(-)
	lekissu / <i>lekʃtu</i> /	multiplication	(×)
	Goottu	division	(÷)

minakkittu / mina?kittu equal to (=)
Gara Gaptu greater than (>)
kelpa χ ata kittu less than (<)
Gara Gaptu taakkite minakkittu greater than or equal to (\geq)
kelpa χ ata kittu taakkite minakkittu less than or equal to (\leq)

(66b) Gara Gap-t-u on exceed-3F-DP 'greater than (>)'

kelpa xata kittu

kela-pa yata kit-t-u under-to down be-3F-DP

'less than (<)'

Gara Gaptu taakkite minak kittu

Gara Gap-t-u taakkite mina-? kit-t-u on exceed-3F-DP otherwise front-DEST be-3F-DP 'greater than or equal to (\geq) '

kelpa χata kittu taakkite minak kittu

kela-pa χ*ata kit-t-u taakkite mina-?* under-DEST down be-3F-DP otherwise front-DEST

*kit-t-u*be-3F-DP
'less than or equal to (≤)'

Note that all the expressions of mathematical operations have the third person feminine gender agreement marker -t.

Expressions of mathematical operations are introduced by conditional conjunctions. In addition, for the operation of addition the conjunction Gara 'on' is required. The suffix -? 'plus' is added to the conjunction. The following is an illustrative example.

(67) oo lakki Garal lakki paɗaawan, afure koɗɗini

oo lakki Gara-? lakki padaaw-a-n if two on-plus two add-IPF.FUT-P

afur=i kodd-ni

four = 3 become-IPF.PRES

'If two is added to two, it becomes four.' (2 + 2 = 4)

The operation of addition may also be expressed by the conjunction ka 'and' as shown below:

- (68a) lakki ka sassaa kenee koddini *lakki ka sassaa ken=i kodd-ni*two and three five=3 become-IPF.PI
 - two and three five = 3 become-IPF.PRES 'Two and three become five.'
- (68b) sessa ka afur tappaa koddini
 sessa ka afur tappa=i kodd-ni
 three and four seven=3 become-IPF.PRES
 'Three and four become seven.'

Like that of addition, the operation of subtraction requires the conjunction Gara 'on' to which the locative suffix -a is attached. The following is an illustrative example.

(69)oo leh Garaa lakki χa?ʃan, afure kelaa hasini χa?ʃ-a-n oo leh Gara-a lakki lift-IPF.FUT-P if six on-LOC afur=i kela-a hasi-ni four = 3under-LOC remain-IPF.PRES 'If two is taken away from six, four remains.' (6-2=4)

The following is an example of the operation of multiplication:

(70)oo sessaan leh kiɗan, kuɗan ka settee?e koɗɗini leh kid-a-n, 00 sessaa-n if three-times six say-IPF.FUT-P kuɗan settee? = ikoɗɗ-ni ka eight = 3become-IPF.PRES ten and 'If six is said three times, it becomes eighteen.' $(6 \times 3 = 18)$

The following is an example of the operation of the division.

oo kuɗan pora lakkic Gootan, kene koɗɗini
oo kuɗan pora lakki-? Goot-a-n
if ten place two-DAT divide-IPF.FUT-P

ken=i koɗɗ-ni

four = 3 become-IPF.PRES

'If ten is divided into two places, it becomes five.' $(10 \div 2 = 5)$

The examples in (72a) and (72b) are illustrative examples for the operations of greater than and less than, respectively.

(72a) tappak ken Garaa Gapta

```
tappa-? ken Gara = i Gap-t-a
seven-NOM five on = 3 exceed-3F-IPF.FUT
'Seven is greater than five.' (7 > 5)
```

(72b) sakalik kuɗan kelpa xataa kitta

sakali-?	kuɗan	kela-opa	χata = i
nine-NOM	ten	under-to	down = 3

kit-t-a

be-3F-IPF.FUT

'Nine is less than ten.' (9 < 10)

4.9.3. Ordinals

All ordinal numerals, except for 'first', are formed by adding the suffix -atta to the cardinal numerals. The ordinal numeral 'first' is formed from the verb root paayy- 'to start, begin'. The ordinal number 'second' is formed from the older Cushitic root lamm- 'two' (cf. the cardinal lakki 'two') and the suffix -atta. It is also important to point out: that the final vowel in sessaa 'three' is shortened in the ordinal, that there is metathesis in the ordinal numeral arf-atta 'fourth' (cf. afur 'four'), that there is vowel deletion in saklatta 'ninth' (cf. sakal 'nine'), and that /t/ replaces the glottal stop in the cardinal number settee? 'eight'.

(73)	paayyuta	'first'
	lammatta	'second'
	sessatta	'third'
	arfatta	'fourth'
	kenatta	'fifth'
	lehatta	'sixth'
	tappatta	'seventh'
	setteetatta	'eighth'
	saklatta	'ninth'
	kunɗatta	'tenth'
	kuɗan ka takkatta	'eleventh'
	kuɗan ka sessatta	'thirteenth'
	kunɗa kenatta	'fiftieth'
	dippatta	'hundredth'

4.10. Nominal derivation

4.10.1. Denominal/adjectival abstract nominals

Abstract nominals may be derived from nominal or adjectival roots (not from derived stems) by the suffix -um. The abstract suffix is followed by the suffixes -a or -aa. Abstract nominals derived from nominal roots occur with -a (M) while those derived from adjectival roots occur with -aa (P). For example, the abstract nominal innuma 'childhood (M)' in (74a) is derived from innaa 'child (P)' while the abstract nominal kappumaa 'fatness (P)' in (74b) is derived from the adjectival root kapp- 'be fat'.

(74a) innumasi? ?i?iʃa ɗiiʃay

innaa-um-a-si? $i = i \int a$ diif-ay child-ABS-NMZ-DEF.M/F 3 = 3SGM.PRO[ACC] leave-PF[3M] 'He does not behave like a child any longer.' (lit.: The childhood left him.)

(74b) okkattasik kappumaa ipaayyay

okkatta-si? kapp-um-aa i=paayy-ay
cow-DEF.M/F be.fat-ABS-NMLZ 3 = start-PF[3M]
'The cow started to get fat.'
(lit.: The cow started fatness.)

An abstract noun referring to 'childhood' is also derived from the suppletive multiple reference noun hellaa 'children (P)': helluma 'childhood (M)'

4.10.2. Deverbal agentive nominals

Deverbal agentive nominals are derived from verb roots by the suffix -aamp. The agentive suffix is followed by the nominal gender suffixes -ayta for masculine, -ayt-eeta for feminine and -ayaa for plural. The feminine suffix is a serial derivation in that it is built on the masculine agentive. From the verb roots Got- 'dig', kod- 'work' and pol- 'joke', we derive the masculine agentive nominals (75a), the feminine agentive nominals (75b) and the plural agentive nominals (75c).

(75a)	Gotaamp-ayta	'farmer.3M'
	koɗaamp-ayta	'worker.3M'
	polaamp-ayta	'joker.3M'

(75b) Gotaamp-ayt-eeta 'farmer.3F' kodaamp-ayt-eeta 'worker.3F' polaamp-ayt-eeta 'joker.3F' (75c) Gotaamp-ayaa 'farmer.3P' koɗaamp-ayaa 'worker.3P' polaamp-ayaa 'joker.3P'

In the following examples, I show the nominal gender agreement with various subjects. In (76a), the agentive nominal occurs with the nominal masculine gender suffix -ayta for the semantically singular subject nama 'man'. In (76b), the agentive nominal occurs with the nominal masculine gender suffix -ayta for the semantically plural subject xonsitta 'the Konso'. In (76c), the agentive nominal occurs with the nominal feminine gender suffix -ayteeta for the semantically plural subject kuyleeta 'the Ts'amakko'. Lastly, in (76d), the agentive nominal occurs with the nominal plural gender suffix -ayaa for the semantically singular subject innaa 'child'.

(76a) namoosid dotaampayta
nama-osi? dot-aamp-ayta
man-DEM.M/F farm-AGENT-3M

'This man is a (hard-working) farmer.'

(76b) χonsitta Got-aamp-ayta
Konso.PL farm-AGENT-3M
'The Konso are (hard-working) farmers.'

(76c) kuyleeta Got-aamp-ayt-eeta
Ts'amakko.PL farm-AGENT-3M-3F
'The Ts'amakko are (hard-working) farmers.'

(76d) innoosini Gotaampayta

innaa-osini? Got-aamp-ayaa child-DEM.P farm-AGENT-3P 'This child is a (hard-working) farmer.'

4.10.3. Denominal ethnic nominals

Nationals or individuals of ethnic groups or place of residence (e.g. village) may be derived from nominal roots by means of gender suffixes: -itta (M) for male, -itteeta (F) for female and -itta (M), -aa (P) or -eeta (F) for plural. The plural form is the one used to refer to the name of the ethnic group or residents of a place. Table 2 contains illustrative examples for derived nominals referring to nationalities or ethnic groups. Table 3 contains illustrative examples for derived nominals referring to residents of particular villages.

Male	Female	Plural	
χons-itta	χons-itt-eeta	χons-itta (M)	Konso
Konso man	Konso woman	Konso people	
χoyr-itta	χoyr-itt-eeta	χoyr-aa (P)	Burji
kawwaad-itta	kawwaad-itt-eeta	kawwaad-aa (M)	Gawwada
firaat-itta	firaat-itt-eeta	firaat-aa (M)	Diraa∫e
kuyl-itta	kuyl-itt-eeta	kuyl-eeta (F)	Ts'amakko
Gaww-itta	Gaww-itt-eeta	Gaww-eeta (F)	Amhara

Table 2: Examples of derived nominals referring to nationality or ethnic group

Male	Female	Plural	Village name
kuum-itta	kuum-itt-eeta	kuuma (M)	Kuume
(male) person	(female) person	people from	
from Kuume	from Kuume	Kuume village	
mafaG-itta	mafaG-itt-eeta	mafaGaa (M)	MafaGe
dekatt-itta	dekatt-itt-eeta	dekattoota (F)	dekatto
sawkam-itta	sawkam-itt-eeta	sawkamaata (F)	Sawkama
kaasal-itta	kaasal-itt-eeta	kaasalaa (M)	Kaasale

Table 3: Examples of derived nominals referring to residents of particular villages

4.10.4. Denominal nouns with indication of characteristic

Persons with certain characteristic are derived from nouns with the suffix -ool which is followed by the nominal gender marking suffixes -ayta (M), -ayt-eeta (F) and -ayaa for male, female and plural, respectively. The derivation is productive mainly occurring with plural nouns and has a semantic specialisation indicating large quantity of the entities in question. With singulatives, it indicates that the noun in question has a large size. For example, from the singulative matta 'head', kessa 'chest' and plurative dillaa 'fields', we may derive the masculine nominals in (77a), feminine nominals in (77b) or plural nominals in (77c).

(77a)	matt-ool-ayta kess-ool-ayta ɗill-ool-ayta	'one (M) with a big head' 'one (M) with a broad chest' 'one (M) with many fields'
(77b)		'one (F) with a big head' (F) with a broad chest' (F) with many fields'
(77c)	matt-ool-ayaa kess-ool-ayaa ɗill-ool-ayaa	'ones with big heads' 'ones with broad chests' 'ones with many fields'

With the noun χ olmaa 'neck (P)', the derivation χ olm-ool-ayta means 'a man who uses force to obtain something'; χ olm-ool-ayt-eeta 'a woman who uses force to get something' and χ olm-ool-ayaa 'people who use force to obtain something'. With the noun hoppatta 'guts (M)' the derivation indicates greed: hoppatt-oolayta 'a greedy man'; hoppatt-ool-ayt-eeta 'a greedy woman' and hoppatt-ool-ayaa 'greedy people'.

4.10.5. Deadjectival individual entities

Deadjectival nominals are derived from adjectival roots with the nominal gender suffixes -ayta, -ayteeta and -yaa for third person masculine, feminine and plural, respectively. Plural deadjectival nominals are also characterised by having the adjectival root based on the plural adjective and hence containing initial $C_1V(C_1)$ reduplication. For instance, from the adjectival roots der- 'be tall, long', kapp- 'be fat' and Galla?- 'be thin', we can derive the masculine deadjectival nominals (78a), third person feminine deadjectival nominals (78b), singulative deadjectival nominals with plural gender (78c) or plural deadjectival nominals (78d).

(78a)	derayta	'tall one.3M'
	kappayta	'fat one.3M'
	Galla?ayta	'thin one.3M'
(78b)	derayteeta	'tall one.3F'
	kappayteeta	'fat one.3F'
	Galla?ayteeta	'thin one.3F'
(78c)	ɗerayaa	'tall one.P'
	kappayaa	'fat one.P'
	Galla?ayaa	'thin one.P'
(78d)	dedderayaa	'tall ones'
, ,	kakappayaa	'fat ones'
	GaGalla?ayaa	'thin ones'

The nominal gender suffixes added to deadjectival individual entities can be used not only to refer to persons but also to other entities.

4.10.6. Deverbal action nouns

Deverbal action nouns are derived from verb roots by using various suffixes as illustrated below. The list of the suffixes is not exhaustive.

(79a)	-anta (F)			
	hatanta palanta keranta faranta	'stealing' 'ripening' 'ageing' 'crack'	hat- pal- ker- far-	'to steal' 'to ripen' 'to be old' 'to crack'
(79b)	-antaa (M)			
	χa?antaa Ga?antaa hirantaa	'flying' 'standing' 'running[PL]'	χα?ad- Ga?ad- hir-	'to fly' 'to stand' 'to run[PL]'
(79c)	-oota (F)			
	ɗaloota Galoota	'birth' 'slaughtering'	ɗal- Gal-	'to give birth' 'to slaughter'
(79d)	-eeta (F)			
	Goteeta piddeeta diipeeta	'digging' 'buying[SG]' 'washing'	Got- pidd- diip-	'to dig, farm' 'to buy[SG]' 'to wash'
(79e)	-naa (P)			
	Gahnaa pahnaa ?upnaa sahnaa	'fleeing' 'example' 'knowledge' 'capacity'	Gah- pah- ?up- sah-	'to flee' 'to resemble' 'to know' 'to be able to'
(79f)	-a (M)			
	deeχa diika χar∫a	'peace making' 'blood' 'beans'	deeχ- diik- χar∫-	'to make peace' 'to bleed' 'to cook beans'
(79g)	-aa (P)			
	fataa ɗamaa	'vomit' 'food'	fat- ɗam-	'to vomit' 'to eat'

(79h) -uta (F)

```
noodduta
             'bribe'
                                noodd-
                                          'to push'
needduta
             'hatred'
                                needd-
                                          'to hate'
paakkuta
             'span'
                                paakk-
                                          'to measure with span'
                                puuss-
                                          'to draw a line'
puussuta
             'writing, line'
moossuta
                                          'to break (bread)'
             'piece of bread'
                                mooss-
```

4.11. Case

Konso has nominative–accusative case alignment. The core cases nominative and accusative are rarely distinguished, see 4.11.1. Genitive constructions are marked with a genitive particle following its head noun. Dative and Instrumental nouns are marked with a suffix. The dative suffix is homophonous with one of the locative suffixes, both consisting of a glottal stop. The other locative suffix is similar to the background suffix, both ending in -yye. When addressing people, a vocative ending can be used. These phenomena do not form a coherent system within the language but are discussed here under the heading Case.

4.11.1. The nominative and accusative cases

Proper names, pronouns and days of a week are marked for the nominative case with the suffix -?. For example, the proper names **Kappoole** and **Apitto** occur in the subject positions as in (80a) and (80b), respectively. Both also occur unmarked in the object position as in (80b) and (80a), respectively. In (80c), the subject pronoun ?inu 'we' occurs with the suffix -?, and in (80d), the week day palawwa 'Saturday' occurs with the suffix -?.

Nominative marking by glottal stop is limited to the above cases. Common nouns do not distinguish nominative and accusative case (except in cleft constructions, see below). The items that do show nominative marking have in common that they are inherently specific. In this respect, it is interesting to observe that demonstrative and definite suffixes end in a glottal stop while possessive suffixes do not.

(80a) Kappooli? ?apittu ?iGoffay

```
Kappoole-NOM Apitto i = Goff-ay Kappoole-NOM Apitto 3 = pinch.SG-PF[3M] 'Kappoole pinched Apitto once.'
```

(80b) Apittuk Kappooli iGoffay

```
Apittu-? Kappooli i = Goff-ay
Apitto-NOM Kappoole 3 = pinch.SG-PF[3M]
'Apitto pinched Kappoole once.'
```

(80c) inut toman piddini

inu-? toma = in pidd-n-i 1PL.PRO-NOM bowl = 1 buy[SG]-1PL-PF 'We bought a bowl.'

(80d) palawwap partaane

palawwa-? partaane

Saturday-NOM day.after.tomorrow 'Saturday is the day after tomorrow.'

With regard to pronouns, only first person singular and second person singular make a lexical distinction for nominative and accusative cases: anti 'I' vs. ana 'me' and atti 'you (SG) and ke 'you (SG)' (see Chapter 5 for details of pronouns). All pronouns in the subject position are also marked for nominative by the suffix -?. For example, the pronoun anti 'I' and ke 'you (SG)' in (81a) occur in the subject and object positions, respectively. Similarly, the pronouns atti 'you (SG)' and ana 'me' in (81b) occur in the subject and object positions, respectively.

(81a) antik ke ingoffay

anti-? ke in = Goff-ay
1SG.PRO-NOM 2SG.PRO.ACC 1 = pinch.SG-PF[3M]
'I pinched you (SG) once.'

(81b) atti? ?ana iGGoffiti

atti-? ana i?=Goff-t-i 2SG.PRO-NOM 1SG.PRO.ACC 2=pinch.SG-2-PF'You (SG) pinched me once.'

Pronouns that do not make a lexical distinction for nominative and accusative are still marked by the suffix -? for nominative as shown in (82).

(82a) inu? ?isoonna indaanni

*inu-? ifoonna*in = daan-n-i

1PL.PRO-NOM

3PL.PRO[ACC]

1 = chase-1PL-PF

'We chased them.'

(82b) isoonna? ?inu idaanni

ifoonna-? inu i=daan-n-i 3PL.PRO-NOM 1PL.PRO[ACC] 3=chase-3PL-PF 'They chased us.'

Tone is used to make the nominative and accusative case distinction in cleft sentences in such a way that the nominative case is marked by a low tone whereas the accusative case is marked by a high tone. For example, in (83a-b),

we have the nouns harreeta 'donkey' and xorma 'ox, bull'. In both examples, harreeta 'donkey' precedes xorma 'ox, bull'. The lengthened final vowel of the noun harreeta 'donkey' in (83a) has a low tone; final vowel lengthening is one of the characteristic features of clefting (as discussed in Section 3.5). In (83b), however, the lengthened final vowel of harreeta 'donkey' has a high tone which marks the accusative case.

- (83a) harreeta-a xorma diit-ay donkey-CLF[NOM] ox kick[SG]-PF[3M] 'It is a donkey that kicked an ox.'
- $\begin{array}{cccc} (83b) & \text{harreeta-\'a} & \text{\chi orma} & \text{diit-ay} \\ & \text{donkey-CLF[ACC]} & \text{ox} & \text{kick[SG]-PF[3M]} \\ & \text{`It is a donkey that an ox kicked.'} \end{array}$

Now, when we exchange the positions of the two nouns harreeta 'donkey' and χ orma 'ox, bull' in (84a-b), we find that the final vowel of χ orma 'ox, bull' is lengthened. Moreover, in (84a), the lengthened final vowel carries a low tone, thus, marking nominative case while in (84b), the lengthened final vowel carries a high tone, thus, marking an accusative case.

- (84a) xorma-a harreeta diit-ay ox-CLF[NOM] donkey kick[SG]-PF[3M] 'It is an ox that kicked a donkey.'
- (84b) xorma-á harreeta diit-t-i ox-CLF[ACC] donkey kick[SG]-3F-PF 'It is an ox that a donkey kicked.'

4.11.2. The genitive case

The genitive is expressed with the genitive particle ?a for human possessors, and ?a...? for non-human possessors. The final syllable of the possessor has a high tone.

The distribution of the genitive suffixes in accordance with whether the possessor is human or non-human is clear from the example in (85a) the noun locate 'leg' is possessed by a human possessor Kappoole but by a non-human possessor tulpeeta 'hippo' in (85b). Similarly, in the examples in (85c), the noun tika 'house' is possessed by the human possessor Anto while the noun napahta 'ear' in (85d) is possessed by the non-human possessor arpa 'elephant'. In (85e), the noun taamta 'branch' is possessed by the non-human possessor Goyra 'tree'.

- (85a) loofta a kappoolí? ?akkiti

 loofta a kappoolí=i? akk-t-i

 leg GEN kappoole=2 see-2-PF

 'You (SG) saw Kappoole's leg.'
- (85b) loofta a tulpeetá?i? ?akkiti

 loofta a tulpeetá-?=i? akk-t-i

 leg GEN hippo-GEN=2 see-2-PF

 'You (SG) saw hippopotamus's leg.'
- (85c) tika a Antú i=pald-i house GEN Anto 3=be.wide-PF 'Anto's house is wide.'
- (85d) napahta a arpá-? i=pald-i ear GEN elephant-GEN 3=wide-PF 'The ear of an elephant is wide.'
- (85e) inantasit taamta a Goyra? ?imurti

 inanta-si? taamta a Goyra-?

 girl-DEF.M/F branch GEN tree-GEN

 i=mur-t-i

i=mur-t-i 3 = cut[SG]-3F-PF 'The girl cut a branch of a tree.'

Proper names with a final aa also have \ref{a} in the genitive construction as in (86).

- (86a) okkatta a Oynaá-?=in akk-ay cow GEN Oynaa-GEN=1 see-PF[3M] 'I saw Oynaa's cow.'
- (86b) ifeennat tika a kaa6aá? ?i?upta

 ifeenna-? tika a kaa6aá-?

 3SGF.PRO-NOM house GEN kaa6aa-GEN

i=up-t-a 3 = know-IPF.FUT 'She knows Kaa6aa's house.'

Nouns possessed by associative plural are expressed with the genitive particle followed by the associative particle opa and the name, as illustrated in (87).

- (87a) tika a opa kappoolí i=sek-i house GEN ASS kappoole 3=be.far-PF 'Kappoole (and his associate)'s house is far.'
- (87b) dila a opa kintilí i = pald-i field GEN ASS kintile 3 = be.wide-PF 'Kintile (and his associate)'s field is wide.'

The genitive particle may occur after nouns with possessive suffixes, as illustrated below.

(88) hellaa-nno a xonsú-? i=dey-i-n
children-1PL.POSS.P GEN Konso-GEN 3=come-PF-P
'Our Konso fellows came.'
(lit.: 'Children of our Konso came.')

In fast speech, the glottal stop that occurs at the end of the genitive construction is elided, resulting in a complete assimilation to the initial vowel of the possessor noun if the possessor begins with a (glottal stop plus) vowel as in (89a-b). If the possessor begins with another consonant, the affix may be elided as in (89c).

- (89a) χ orma aantú ?ipoori χ orma a Antú i=poor-i ox GEN Anto 3=be.black-PF 'Anto's ox is black.'
- (89b) aannookkattá? ?in?ikay

 aannaa a okkattá-? in=ik-ay

 milk GEN cow-GEN 1=drink-PF[3M]

 'I drank cow milk.'
- (89c) hoofa karrattá? ?inakkini

 hoofa a karrattá-? in=akk-n-i

 hole GEN squirrel-GEN 1 = see-P-PF

 'We saw a squirrel's hole.'

4.11.3. The dative case

The dative is marked with the suffix -?. The dative suffix differs from the nominative suffix in that it is not limited to pronouns and names but also occurs on common nouns. The main role of the dative is to denote the beneficiary. The following are examples:

(90a) attic Golpasi? ?isa? ?ippidditi

atti-? Golpa-si? ifa-? 2SG.PRO-NOM he-goat-DEF.M/F 3SGM.PRO-DAT

i?=pidd-t-i 2=buy[SG]-2-PF 'You (SG) bought him a he-goat.'

(90b) inatasi? ?anap pisaa idaassi

inata-si? ana-? piʃaa i=daaf-t-i girl-DEF.M/F 1SG.PRO.ACC-DAT water 3= give-3F-PF 'The girl gave me water.'

(90c) antin nama tokka?in χapaa pidɗay

anti-? nama tokka-?=in χapaa 1SG.PRO-NOM person one.M-DAT=1 shoes

pidd-ay
buy[SG]-PF[3M]
'I bought shoes for someone.'

(90d) tuparaasini? ?okkayaa?e oha ohin

tuparaa-sini? okkayaa-?=i girls-DEF.P cows-DAT=3

oha oh-i-nfodder cut.fodder-PF-P'The girls cut fodder for the cows.'

First and second person beneficiaries are always marked with the dative suffix. However, it is possible for third person beneficiaries not to be marked. In this case, the dative suffix occurs at the end of the verb. This results in the final vowel of the verb having a high tone. For example, in (91a), there is no dative suffix, and as a result the final vowel of the verb occurs with a low tone. In (91b), there is a dative suffix at the end of the verb, and the preceding vowel has a high tone.

- (91a) in=ɗaaʃ-a 1=give-IPF.FUT 'I will give (it).'
- (91b) in = daa∫-á-? 1 = give-IPF.FUT-DAT 'I will give (it) for him/her/them.'

The example in (91b) can also be used to mean 'I will give (it) on behalf of him/her/them.'

4.11.4. The instrumental case

The instrumental case is marked by the suffix -n(n). The suffix appears single before consonants (92a), and geminate before vowels (92b). It indicates that the noun it is added to is used as an instrument by an agent. For example, the nouns faasita 'pick axe' and ulayta 'stick' are used as instruments to accomplish the actions of cutting and hitting, respectively.

(92a) attif faasitan Goyrasi? ?immurti

atti-? faasita-n Goyra-si?
2SG.PRO-NOM pickaxe-INST tree-DEF.M/F

i?=mur-t-i 2=cut-2-PF

'You (SG) cut the tree with a pickaxe.'

(92b) anti? ?ulaytannin pinantasid ɗayay

anti-? ulayta-nn=in pinanta-si?
1SG.PRO-NOM stick-INST=3 animal-DEF.M/F

day-ay hit-PF[3M] 'I hit the animal with a stick.'

The instrumental suffix also indicates manner as in (93).

(93) malannil lukkalittasiG Gaptin

mala-nn=i? lukkalitta-si? Gap-t-i-n wisdom-INST=2 chicken-DEF.M/F catch-3F-PF-P 'You (PL) caught the chicken skillfully.'

4.11.5. The vocative case

The vocative is marked by the suffixes -u/o and -y. The former occurs with nouns that trigger M/F gender agreement on the verb, as in (94), and the latter with nouns that trigger a plural gender agreement on the verb, as in (95).

(94a) namu, maana? ?aye ko?ni

nama-u maana = i? aye kod-ni man-VOC.M/F what = 2 here do-IPF.PRES 'You guy, what are you doing here?' (94b)karru, okkattaayti ka xormaawu kulee ɗalay

> karraa-u. okkatta-ayti ka squirrel-VOC.M/F cow-2SG.POSS.M/F and

χorma-awu kuli = idal-ay

ox-1SG.POSS.M/F also = 3give.birth-PF[3M]

'Squirrel, your cow as well as my ox gave birth.'

- tuparraa-y χοοy-a (95a)girls-VOC.P come-IMP.PL 'You girls, come!'
- χοοy-i (95b)?innaa-y boy-VOC.P come-IMP.SG 'You boy, come!'

In kinship terms, we may find the vocative suffixes -u/o, -i/e and -a. The distribution is lexically determined as can be seen from the following examples.

(96)	Vocative form	1	source	
	aapp-u/o	'daddy!'	aappaa	'father'
	okkooyy-u/o	'grandma!'	okkooyyita	'grandmother'
	aayy-i/e	'mamma!'	aayyaa	'mother'
	aatt-i/e	'elder sibling!'	aattaa	'elder sibling'
	aakk-a	'grandpa!'	aakkaa	'grandfather'
	maamm-a	'(paternal) aunt!'	maammata	'aunt'

Proper names with a final -o in the base form attach the vocative suffix -u/o as in (97a); those with a final -e attach the vocative -e/i as in (97b); those with a final -a attach the vocative suffix -a as in (97c).

- 'Anto!' (97a)Antu/o Katanu/o 'Katano!' Paritu/o 'Parito!'
- (97b)Kappoole/i 'Kappoole!' 'Kanaase!' Kanaase/i
- 'χalaalla!' χalaalla (97c)Orkeeta 'Orkeeta!'

4.11.6. The locational markers -Vyye and -?

The suffixes -Vyye and -? mark location (see locational adverbs in 8.2.1). The V of -Vyye is the lengthening of the final vowel of the noun). The locational

marker -Vyye occurs mainly with the verb root kiy- 'be, exist' whereas -? occurs with actions verbs such as χaay - 'put', diif- 'leave'. The following are illustrative examples.

(98a) sakooyyaf faaseeyyee ca

sakooyya-? faase-eyye=i kiy-a sakooyya-NOM faase-LOC=3 be-IPF.FUT 'Sakooyye is at Faase.'

(98b) inantasit tomasit tika? ?ixaayti

inanta-si? toma-si? tika-? $i = \chi aay$ -t-i girl-DEF.M/F bowl-DEF.M/F house-LOC 3 = put-3F-PF 'The girl put the bowl at home.'

The locational markers do not replace each other. This can be seen from the examples in (99), which are modified versions of the examples in (98).

(99a) *sakooyyaf faasi? ?ica

sakooyya-?faafe-?i=kiy-asakooyya-NOMfaafe-LOC3 = be-PF.FUT(intended: 'Sakooyye is at Faafe.')

(99b) *inantasit tomasit tikaayye iyaayti

inanta-si? toma-si? tika-ayye $i = \chi aay$ -t-i girl-DEF.M/F bowl-DEF.M/F house-LOC 3 = put-3F-PF (intended: 'The girl put the bowl at home.')

The locational suffixes differ with respect to optionality: It is possible to leave out -Vyye but not -?. For example, in (100a), -Vyye occurs with the noun tika 'house' but it does not occur with the same noun in (100b). On the other hand, -? is obligatory. To demonstrate this, example (100b) is repeated with and without the suffix in (100c) and (100d).

(100a) Gimaytasit tikaayyee ca

Gimayta-si? tika-ayye=i kiy-a old man-DEF.M/F house-LOC=3 be-IPF.FUT 'The old man is at home.'

(100b) Gimaytasit tikaa ca

Gimayta-si? tika = i kiy-a old man-DEF.M/F house = 3 be-IPF.FUT 'The old man is at home.'

(100c) inantasit tomasit tika? ?ixaayti

inanta-si? toma-si? tika-? i= χ aay-t-i girl-DEF.M/F bowl-DEF.M/F house-LOC 3=put-3F-PF 'The girl put the bowl at home.'

(100d) *inantasit tomasit tika ?ixaayti

inanta-si? toma-si? tika $i = \chi aay$ -t-i girl-DEF.M/F bowl-DEF.M/F house 3 = put-3F-PF 'The girl put the bowl at home.'

The locational suffix -Vyye can be used as ablative, as in the following examples:

(101a) inantaasix xonsooyyee de?ti

inanta-asi? χ onso-eyye=i dey-t-i girl-DEM.M/F Konso-LOC=3 come-3F-PF 'This girl came from Konso.'

(101b) urmalaayyeen laha pidday

urmalaa-eyye = in laha pidd-ay
market-LOC = 1 ram buy[SG]-PF[3M]
'I bought a ram from the market.'

4.11.7. The background marker

The background is marked by the suffixes -eyye or -yye. The former has an allomorph -e. The distribution is phonologically determined: nouns with a short terminal -a occur with -eyye or -e, and nouns with a terminal vowel -aa occur with -yye. The background marker has the meaning 'person-wise' or 'entity-wise'.

(102a) isan nameeyye ideri

*ifa-?*3SG.PRO-NOM person-BKGRD.M/F
'Person-wise, he is tall.' *i = der-i*3 = be.tall-PF

(102b) GoyraasiG Goyre Goyra a kokay

Goyra-asi? Goyra-e Goyra a tree-DEM.M/F tree-BKGRD.M/F tree REL

kok-ay dry-PF[3M]

'Tree-wise, this tree is dry.'

(lit.: 'Tree-wise, this tree is a tree which is dry.')

(102c) filoosinif filaayye itiimi

filaa-osini? filaa-yye i = tiim-i comb-DEM.P comb-BKGRD.P 3 = be.red-PF 'Comb-wise, this comb is red.'

(102d) tikkaa-yye i=pap-pald-i houses-BKGRD.P 3=PL-be.wide-PF

'House-wise, they are wide.'

Deadjectival nominals that modifiy head nouns also occur with the background suffix -eye For instance, the deadjectival nominal Galla?ayta 'thin one' in (103a) occurs with the head noun Goyra 'tree' which, in the example, has the background suffix -eye. However, head nouns that have the definite suffix -si? do not allow deajectival nominals to occur with the background suffix, as shown in (103b). Similarly, deadjectival nominals do not occur with subject clitics, as illustrated in (103c).

(103a) Goyreeyye Galla?ayta

Goyra-eyye Galla?-ayta tree-BKGRD.M/F be.thin-NMLZ.M 'Tree-wise, it is a thin one.'

(103b) *GoyreeyyesiG Galla?ayta

Goyra-eyye-si? Galla?-ayta tree-BKGRD-DEF.M/F be.thin-NMLZ.M (intended: 'Tree-wise, the tree is thin.')

(103c) *iGalla?ayta

i = Galla?-ayta 3 = be.thin-NMLZ.M (intended: 'It is thin one.')

4.12. Compounding

Compounding is not really productive; I disagree with Daniel (2000) on this point. The following are the compound nouns I was able to find. Most of them have the genitive particle a. The words are compounds because, for example, the first two have reduced first parts which do not exist in this form independently. The rest of the compound words have a specialised, non-predictable meaning and thus are lexicalised.

(104a) kurɗakkayta *kurra + ɗakkayta* ear + deaf.M

tree species

- (104b) kuttimpira

 kuttumaa-pir-a
 growth-finish-NMLZ
 'molar tooth'
- (104c) duusutakaarayyaá?⁹
 duusuta-a-kaarayyaá-?
 fart-GEN-devil-GEN
 mushroom species
- (104d) akalaparaffaá? akala-a-paraffaá-? sack-GEN-cereal.species-GEN 'centipede'
- (104e) xormawaaaaa? xorma-a-waaaaa? ox-GEN-God-GEN grasshopper species
- (104f) keraawaaGá? keraa-a-waaGá-? thief-GEN-God-GEN 'witchdoctor'

The above compound words may form their pluratives by replacing the singulative suffix with a plurative suffix, adding a plurative suffix in the end or to the initial part. The first compound forms its plurative by replacing the singulative suffix -ta with -aa. The the second three compound words form their pluratives by adding the plurative suffix -ddaa. The last two compound words form their pluratives based on the pluratives of the first words. Notice that the final genitive marker ? in the singulatives appears after the plurative suffix. Below, I give the plurative of each of the above compound words to show that these words are one word and a noun.

Singulative

plurative

(105a) kurdakkayta

kurra + dakkayta

ear + deaf.M

tree species

kurfakkayaa kurra + dakkayaa ear + be.deaf.P tree species

⁹ Also ussukkaarayyaa.

(105b) kuttimpira

kuttumaa-pir-a
growth-finish-NMLZ
'molar tooth'

(105c) diusutakaarayyaá?
diusuta-?a-kaarayyaá-?
fart-GEN-devil-GEN
'mushroom (species)'

(104d) akalaparaffaá? akala-a-paraffaá-? sack-GEN-cereal.species-GEN 'centipede'

(104e) xormawaaGá? xorma-a-waaGá-? ox-GEN-God-GEN 'grasshopper (species)'

(104f) keraawaagá? keraa-a-waagá-? thief-GEN-God-GEN 'witchdoctor' kutimpiraddaa kuttumaa-pir-a-ddaa growth-finish-NMLZ-P 'molar teeth'

duusutakaariyyaddaá? diusuta-a-kaariyyaa-ddaá-? fart-GEN-devil-P-GEN 'mushrooms'

akalaparaffaddaá? akala-a-paraffaddaá-? sack-GEN-cereal.species.P -GEN 'centipedes'

xormaɗawaaGá? xormaɗaa-a-waaGá-? oxen-GEN-God-GEN 'grasshoppers'

kere?tawaagá? kere?ewwa-a-waagá-? thieves-GEN-God-GEN 'witchdoctors'