



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Plato's pragmatic project : a reading of Plato's Laws

Bartels, M.L.

Citation

Bartels, M. L. (2014, June 10). *Plato's pragmatic project : a reading of Plato's Laws*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25982>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/25982>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle <http://hdl.handle.net/1887/25982> holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Bartels, Myrthe Laura

Title: Plato's pragmatic project : a reading of Plato's Laws

Issue Date: 2014-06-10

Plato's Pragmatic Project A Reading of Plato's *Laws*

PROEFSCHRIFT

TER VERKRIJGING VAN
DE GRAAD VAN DOCTOR AAN DE UNIVERSITEIT LEIDEN,
OP GEZAG VAN DE RECTOR MAGNIFICUS PROF. MR. C.J.J.M. STOLKER,
VOLGENS HET BESLUIT VAN HET COLLEGE VOOR PROMOTIES
TE VERDEDIGEN OP DINSDAG 10 JUNI 2014
KLOKKE 16.15 UUR

DOOR

MYRTHE LAURA BARTELS
GEBOREN TE AMSTERDAM
IN 1983

PROMOTIECOMMISSIE

Promotor	Mw prof. dr I. Sluiter (Universiteit Leiden)
Copromotor	Mw dr M. van Raalte (Universiteit Leiden)
Leden	Dr R.M. van den Berg (Universiteit Leiden) Prof. dr C. Bobonich (Stanford University) Prof. dr G.J. Boter (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam)

Cover Dionysos(?)/Plato(?), Dionysos-Plato(?), Priapus(?)
Roman copy from the middle of 1st century B.C.E., after a
Hellenistic original.
Found in Herculaneum, Villa dei Papyri, 18 April 1759. Naples,
National Archeological Museum, Inv. No. 5618.
Image source: ancientrome.ru

Printed by CPI-Koninklijke Wöhrmann, Zutphen

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>ix</i>
-------------------------------	-----------

1. INTRODUCTION: LAWS IN DIALECTIC	1
1.1 <i>Status quaestionis</i> and principles of charity	5
1.2 The style of <i>Laws</i>	17
1.3 Τέχνη	25
1.3.1 Structuring principles of the τέχνη-analogy in Plato	25
1.3.2 “Stochastic” τέχνη	33
1.4 The structure of <i>Laws</i>	38
1.5 Plan of this thesis	42
2. APOLOGY, CRITO, REPUBLIC: THREE PLATONIC TEXTS ON JUSTICE	45
2.1 <i>Apology</i> : Socrates unjustly accused	47
2.1.1 An isolated Socrates and <i>Apology</i> ’s philosophical rhetoric	47
2.1.2 The oracle and ó θεός: Socrates’ “mission”	50
2.1.3 Socrates’ <i>daimonion</i>	54
2.1.4 Conclusion	57
2.2 <i>Crito</i> : Socrates unjustly convicted	58
2.2.1 Introduction: the relation between <i>Apology</i> and <i>Crito</i>	58
2.2.2 The speech of the Laws	61
2.2.3 Conclusion	65
2.3 <i>Republic</i> : the just <i>polis</i>	66
2.3.1 Introduction: the solution to the dilemma	66
2.3.2 <i>Republic</i> ’s analytical toolbox: ζῶον, ιατρικὴ τέχνη	67
2.3.3 The εὐδαιμονία of the whole <i>polis</i> as the natural norm	71
The guards and producers	72
The philosophers	74
2.3.4 The philosopher-kings: inside and outside the <i>polis</i>	78
2.3.5 Conclusion	81
2.4 Concluding remarks	82

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3. SETTING THE SCENE: ἀρετή IN LAWS I AND II	83
3.1 Setting the scene I: <i>syssitia</i> and Spartan ἀνδρεία	84
3.2 Setting the scene II: <i>syssitia</i> and <i>symposia</i>	92
3.2.1 The weak spot of Spartan law	92
3.2.2 Illustrating the need for <i>paideia</i> : the “puppet”	98
3.2.3 <i>Symposia</i> and <i>syssitia</i>	105
3.3 Setting the scene III: χορεία and phases of <i>paideia</i>	116
3.4 The <i>symposia</i> and the third chorus.....	122
3.4.1 Χορεία and the three choruses	122
3.4.2 The Dionysian chorus and the final answer to the benefit of <i>symposia</i>	125
3.5 Conclusion	129
4. OUTLINE AND AMENDMENT: THE PROCESS OF LAWGIVING	133
4.1 Framing lawgiving: the painter-analogy in Book VI	135
4.2 From the painting-analogy to the law code in <i>Laws</i>	147
4.3 Blanks in the outline: to be continued.....	154
Religious choruses of boys and girls	159
Details of the music festivals	163
Public courts.....	166
4.4 External influences: adopting laws from other <i>poleis</i>	169
4.5 Conclusion	171
5. PERSUADING THE CITIZENS: THE LEGISLATIVE PREAMBLES.....	175
5.1 The purpose of the lawgiver: πειθώ and βία	176
5.2 Two kinds of doctors	183
5.2.1 The doctor-analogy of Book IV	183
5.2.2 The preambles: a brief overview	190
5.2.3 The doctor-analogy of Book IX.....	196
5.3 Lawgiving λόγῳ	199
5.3.1 Embedded preambles.....	199
5.3.2 The question of lawgiving ἔργῳ	202
5.4 Conclusion	206

TABLE OF CONTENTS

6. OUTSIDE THE LAW CODE: THE NOCTURNAL COUNCIL AND THE ATHENIAN STRANGER	209
6.1 The identity and function of the nocturnal council.....	210
6.1.1 The σκοπός of the nocturnal council.....	210
6.1.2 The qualifications of the nocturnal council	219
6.2 The Athenian stranger.....	223
6.3 Conclusion	230
7. CONCLUSION: PLATO'S PRAGMATIC PROJECT	233
Bibliography	245
Editions, commentaries & translations.....	245
General	248
<i>Nederlandse samenvatting</i>	275
<i>Curriculum vitae</i>	291

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

When I finished my MA-thesis on the dialogic aspects of Plato's *Laws*, I realised that I had only just started to glimpse the complexities of Plato's last work. Being appointed as a PhD student (AiO) at Leiden University (LUCAS) gave me the opportunity to pursue my interest in Plato, his ethics, and especially his enigmatic *Laws*.

The present thesis reflects, then, what I have come to understand about *Laws* so far. Being imbued with the fact that ultimately every scholarly product is work in progress, at least I feel I have arrived at a point at which, to quote a person who has become much more than a colleague, "the incompleteness is justifiable".

Many people have guided and supported me in the process that led to this thesis. I wish to thank all those who discussed my work and ideas with me, both in the Netherlands (the Classics Department in Leiden, OIKOS) and abroad, and although I cannot mention all of them here individually, I would like to name a few people and institutions to whom I am especially indebted.

First, I would like to thank my high school teacher of Latin, Henk van Gessel, for initiating me into the world of Classical literature. Despite my original preference for Latin, during my college years at Leiden University I became more and more oriented towards the study of Greek. The primary person

responsible for this is Peter Stork, who was an enormous inspiration for me during my undergraduate years.

I owe a special debt of gratitude to Chris Bobonich for welcoming me to the paradisiacal campus of Stanford University; for his willingness to read and discuss my work in its early stages; for his challenging yet stimulating criticism from which it benefited enormously; and, finally, for providing me with practically ideal research circumstances by granting me access to his secret office in the Stanford Humanities Library. I had the privilege of spending this memorable winter term 2011 at Stanford University with the financial support of the Prins Bernhard Cultuurfonds (Reiman-de Bas Fonds) and the Leiden University Fund (LUF). I would also like to thank Corinne Gartner and Claire Rydell for their heartwarming hospitality.

I thankfully think back to two very fruitful, yet at the same time strangely relaxing, research periods at the Fondation Hardt, Vandoeuvres, Switzerland, in July 2012 and July 2013. I am grateful to the staff of the Fondation for their hospitality and assistance, to the fellow guests at the Fondation (many of whom have become friends) for our most spirited and delightful conversations, and the Leiden University Centre for the Arts in Society (LUCAS) for making possible both stays.

I have been so fortunate as to receive a grant from Ineke Sluiter's Spinoza Prize, which has enabled me to finish this thesis in the way I had envisaged it.

My dissertation has benefited greatly from those who were prepared to read and comment on previous versions of individual chapters: thank you Casper de Jonge, Albert Joosse, Han Lamers, Kathryn Morgan, and Bettina Reitz-Joosse. Christoph Pieper was the most good-humoured, musical neighbour on "de gang" one could have wished for. I would also like to express a special

word of thanks to my paranymphs Casper and Bettina for their wonderful work in preparation for the defence of this thesis.

During the years of working on this thesis, and especially during the final year and a half, I could not have done without the support and animating friendship of my friends, especially Lenie, Bettina, Saskia, and, perhaps more distant but no less warmly felt, Chanoeck. My mother has been unfailingly supportive. Her positive attitude in times of adversity is a lasting example to me. Finally, I thank Holger for correcting the English of this thesis, but he knows that my gratitude to him extends well beyond his patient assistance with the more prosaic aspects of writing a doctoral thesis.

