



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Active personality and non-extradition of nationals in international criminal law at the dawn of the twenty-first century : adapting key functions of nationality to the requirements of International Criminal Justice

Deen-Racsmány, Z.

Citation

Deen-Racsmány, Z. (2007, June 20). *Active personality and non-extradition of nationals in international criminal law at the dawn of the twenty-first century : adapting key functions of nationality to the requirements of International Criminal Justice*. LUP Dissertations. Leiden University Press, Leiden. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12098>

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/12098>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Active Personality and Non-extradition of Nationals in
International Criminal Law at the Dawn of the Twenty-first Century

Adapting Key Functions of Nationality to the Requirements
of International Criminal Justice

Active Personality and Non-extradition of Nationals in International Criminal Law at the Dawn of the Twenty-first Century

Adapting Key Functions of Nationality to the
Requirements of International Criminal Justice

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus prof. mr. P.F. van der Heijden,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 20 juni 2007
klokke 13.45 uur

door

Zsuzsanna Deen-Racsmány

geboren te Kiskunhalas, Hongarije in 1975

Promotiecommissie:

promotor: prof. dr. C.J.R. Dugard
referent: prof. C. Warbrick (University of Birmingham)
overige leden: prof. dr. N.M. Blokker
prof. dr. G.G.J. Knoops (Universiteit Utrecht)
prof. dr. N.J. Schrijver
prof. dr. A.H.J. Swart (Universiteit van Amsterdam)
prof. dr. H.G. van der Wilt (Universiteit van Amsterdam)
dr. E. van Sliedregt

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Waddinxveen

© 2007 Zs. Deen-Racsmány

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Voorzover het maken van reprografische verveelvoudigingen uit deze uitgave is toegestaan op grond van artikel 16h Auteurswet 1912 dient men de daarvoor wettelijk verschuldigde vergoedingen te voldoen aan de Stichting Reprorecht (Postbus 3060, 2130 KM Hoofddorp, www.reprorecht.nl). Voor het overnemen van (een) gedeelte(n) uit deze uitgave in bloemlezingen, readers en andere compilatiewerken (art. 16 Auteurswet 1912) kan men zich wenden tot de Stichting PRO (Stichting Publicatie- en Reproductierechten Organisatie, Postbus 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.cedar.nl/pro).

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission from the publisher.

*In memory of
my Father and Grandfather*

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	XI
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1 Complementary Functions of the Bond of Nationality in International Criminal Law: Active Personality and Non-extradition of Nationals	1
2 The Relevance of the Subject to International Criminal Law in the Twenty-first Century	4
2.1 Active Personality	6
2.2 Non-extradition of Nationals	7
3 Aims and Scope of the Research	10
3.1 Research Questions	10
3.2 Scope	10
4 Form and Methodology	14
5 Structure	18
2 THE NATIONALITY OF THE OFFENDER AND THE JURISDICTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT	21
1 Nationality and International Criminal Jurisdiction	23
(1.1) Nationality as a Concept of International Law	23
(1.2) The Active Personality Principle	25
2 Application of the Active Personality Principle: Nationality-related Problems	25
(2.1) The Offender Is a Multiple National	25
(2.2) The Offender Has Changed Nationality	28
(2.3) The Offender Is a Stateless Person	32
(2.4) The Offender Is a Refugee	35
3 Problems and Solutions	38
Addendum	40
3 A NEW PASSPORT TO IMPUNITY? NON-EXTRADITION OF NATURALIZED CITIZENS VERSUS CRIMINAL JUSTICE	43
1 Introduction	44
2 Changes of Nationality and the Prospects of Criminal Justice	47
2.1 The Non-extradition of Nationals	47
2.2 Mitigating Factors	52

3	Consequences for the International Criminal Court	59
3.1	Surrender Obligations	59
3.2	Article 98 and Agreements Covered by It	62
4	A Re-evaluation	66
4	THE DECLINE OF THE NATIONALITY EXCEPTION IN EUROPEAN EXTRADITION? THE IMPACT OF THE REGULATION OF (NON-) SURRENDER OF NATIONALS AND DUAL CRIMINALITY UNDER THE EUROPEAN ARREST WARRANT	69
1	Introduction	71
2	Recent Developments Relating to the Nationality Exception in European Extradition Law: Slow But Certain Erosion	75
2.1	From European Convention on Extradition to Convention on Extradition between Member States of the European Union	75
2.2	Indirect Contribution through Increased Cooperation in Related Fields	80
2.3	Final Triggers	86
2.4	EAW: Considerable Progress with Remnants of the Nationality Exception	88
3	A Look Beyond the Surface	93
3.1	The Achievements of the EAW at the National Level	93
3.2	Problems Related to Imperfect Implementation	95
3.2.1	Surrender of Nationals for the Purposes of Prosecution	95
3.2.2	Non-surrender of Nationals for the Purposes of Enforcement of Sentences	101
3.2.3	Safeguards against Extraterritoriality – Nationality in Disguise?	107
3.2.4	Problems on the Requesting End	109
4	Conclusion	114
5	EUROPEAN ARREST WARRANT AND THE SURRENDER OF NATIONALS REVISITED: THE LESSONS OF CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES	117
1	Introduction	118
2	Surrender of Nationals under the European Arrest Warrant	119
3	Constitutional Challenges	125
3.1	Poland	125
3.2	Germany	130
3.3	Greece	135
3.4	Cyprus	137
3.5	Central Arguments	139
4	Implications for Cooperation in Criminal Matters in the EU	140
4.1	Constitutional Provisions on the Non-extradition of Nationals in Other Member States and the Potential for Successful Constitutional Challenge	140

4.2	The Nature of Framework Decisions and the Obligation to Implement and Interpret Domestic Law Consistently with EU Law	146
5	Implications for the Nordic Arrest Warrant	149
6	Conclusion	152
6	LESSONS OF THE EUROPEAN ARREST WARRANT FOR DOMESTIC IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OBLIGATION TO SURRENDER NATIONALS TO THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT	155
1	Introduction	156
2	EAW Experience Related to the Obligation to Surrender Nationals	159
3	Applicability of EAW Surrender Challenges to the ICC	161
3.1	Surrender versus Extradition	162
3.2	Constitutional Rules Permitting Restrictions on Fundamental Rights	167
3.3	Recognition of International Obligations under the Constitution	169
3.4	No Ground for Arrest and Other Special Provisions Related to Nationals	171
3.5	Rule of Law	172
3.6	Requirement of Return	175
3.7	Relevant Prohibition in An Extradition Act Or A Code of Criminal Procedure	177
3.8	Provisions Prohibiting Expulsion and Deportation But Not Explicitly Referring to Extradition	178
4	Conclusion	179
7	MODERNIZING THE NATIONALITY EXCEPTION: IS THE NON-EXTRADITION OF RESIDENTS A BETTER RULE?	181
1	Introduction	182
2	The Original Scope of the Phenomenon: Nationality	186
3	The Residency and Extended Nationality Exception in Treaties and State Practice	189
4	Is Residence A Better Criterion?	199
4.1	Related Jurisdictional Competence – An Obligation?	199
4.2	Related Jurisdictional Competence – A Right?	200
4.2.1	Public International Law	204
4.2.2	The Rights of the Accused	207
4.3	Limits Related to the Rehabilitation Argument	209
4.3.1	The Material Date	209
4.3.2	Equal Treatment	210
4.3.3	Immigration Law	211
4.4	Alternatives	212
5	Conclusion	213

8	SYNTHESIS AND CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS	215
1	Active Personality	215
1.1	Impact on International Criminal Justice	215
1.2	Problems and Proposed Responses and Adaptations	216
1.3	Alternatives	218
2	Non-extradition of Nationals	219
2.1	Impact on International Criminal Justice	219
2.2	Problems and Proposed Responses and Adaptations	220
2.3	Alternatives	221
3	General Observations	222
	SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH)	225
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	233
	INDEX	249
	CURRICULUM VITAE	253

Acknowledgments

The list of people without whom this dissertation would not have come about, or at least not in this form, is too long to name everyone. I would nevertheless like to mention a few persons to whom special thanks are due.

First, I would like to thank my mother, Ferencné Racsmány, and my brother, Zoltán Racsmány, for their listening ears and relentless, unconditional support. While having the responsibility of raising two teenagers on her own, my mother always supported whatever decision about my studies and career I came up with (except for the plan to work in Sudan at age 19). She has even taken upon herself the often unthankful task of defending my choices to other members of the family. Together with my late father, Ferenc Racsmány, she inspired me to study abroad and to pursue doctoral studies from early on, and trusted that I was capable of succeeding.

My parents, brother and my grandparents have supported me more than they knew just by having faith in me, even though the dissertation project ended up taking considerably longer than planned. I am utterly grateful for this.

Next to my family, warm thanks are due to Lasse Johansson, who supported me like a father during my studies in Sweden and kept feeding my fascination with international relations and the study thereof, and to his family. Another person who must be mentioned from my Swedish period is Dr. Mats Hammarström, my supervisor and mentor from Uppsala. He taught me the basics of scientific research methodology, and I could always count on him for useful and well-considered advice. I wish to thank him above all for his significant contribution to my development as a researcher and for his belief in my talent.

It is a commonplace that writing a dissertation is a lonely exercise. Yet, I have been fortunate to be surrounded by friends most of the trajectory who made my experience different from the standard story. I would like to thank the members of the informal Leiden ‘international criminal law team’, Nina Jørgensen, Rosanne van Alebeek and Marten Zwanenburg for fruitful and pleasant discussions and for introducing me to some of the fundamental principles of international criminal law.

In addition to them, I was privileged to have a number of individuals, friends, around me to share my ideas, happiness, frustration and despair with. Judge Rob Blekxtoon, Barbara Does, Adèle Erasmus, Yael Schwartz, (again) Rosanne van Alebeek, Annemarieke Vermeer-Künzli, and last but not least

my parents-in-law, Yvonne and Dirk Deen have all been a great support, each in their own ways. I am indebted to all of them.

When it came to finishing off the work in all its aspects, it was an enormous relief to be able to rely on the personnel of the E.M. Meijers Institute for advice and assistance. I would like to mention in particular Dr. Kees Waaldijk, Karin van Heijningen, Esmé ten Donkelaar, Riekje Boumlak and Petra van der Weel. I thank them for their untiring assistance in finding out about rules related to submitting and defending a dissertation that – due to its form – is still seen as somewhat unconventional at the Faculty of Law, and for their efforts to help meet tight deadlines.

I am also grateful to Peter Bakker for understanding my wishes related to the layout of this book and for doing his utmost to implement them.

Finally and most of all, a special word of thanks must go to Joppe and Emma, for their patience and love, and for readily providing me with an excuse to leave my desk whenever I needed one.

Overveen, April 2007